1 **Cardiac autonomic activity has a circadian rhythm in summer but not in winter in non-**
2 **lactating pregnant dairy cows**

3 Levente Kovács^{a,b,*}, Fruzsina Luca Kézér^{a,b}, Ferenc Ruff^c, Ottó Szenci^{a,d}

4 ^a*MTA–SZIE Large Animal Clinical Research Group, Üllő-Dóra major, H-2225, Hungary*

5 ^b*Institute of Animal Husbandry, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Science, Szent*
6 *István University, Gödöllő, Páter Károly utca 1, H-2100, Hungary*

7 ^c*Department of Methodology, Hungarian Central Statistical Office, Budapest, Keleti Károly*
8 *utca 5–7. H-1024, Hungary*

9 ^d*Szent István University, Faculty of Veterinary Science, Department and Clinic for*
10 *Production Animals, Üllő-Dóra major, H-2225, Hungary*

11 * Corresponding author. Tel.: +36 30 9443990.

12 E-mail address: Kovacs.Levente@mkk.szie.hu (L. Kovács)

Abstract

This investigation was conducted to examine circadian and seasonal rhythms of heart rate and heart rate variability (HRV) by means of hour-by-hour recordings over 24 h in a large population of non-lactating Holstein–Friesian pregnant cows [$N = 56$, summer (June–July); $N = 61$, winter (November–December)]. Data were collected during a 5-day period from each animal. Besides parameters of cardiac autonomic function [the high-frequency (HF) component of HRV and the ratio between the low-frequency (LF) and the HF components (LF/HF ratio)], the RR triangular index and $L_{\max}$ were calculated. A clear circadian profile was observed for every parameter in summer. Heart rate elevated gradually with the course of the day from 7:00 to 17:00 o'clock and then slightly decreased from 18:00 to 6:00. Sympathovagal balance shifted towards sympathetic dominance during the daytime (increased LF/HF ratio), whereas parasympathetic activity was predominant during the night (increased HF). $L_{\max}$ reflected a chaotic behavior of heart rate fluctuations during the afternoon in summer. Decreased values of RR triangular index indicated a sensitive period for cows between 14:00 and 16:00 o'clock in summer. During winter, except for the RR triangular (RRtri) index reflecting a high overall variability in R–R intervals between 12:00 and 23:00 o'clock, heart rate and HRV showed no periodicity over the 24-h period. The results suggest an impaired cardiac autonomic function during daytime in summer. HF, $L_{\max}$ and RRtri index showed seasonal differences for both daytime and nighttime. Heart rate was higher in summer than in winter during the daytime, whereas the LF/HF ratio was higher in winter during the nighttime. Circadian and seasonal rhythms of cardiovascular function are presumably related to the differing temperature, and animal activity associated with summer and winter. As all of the investigated parameters are commonly used in bovine HRV research, these findings have practical implications for behavioral, physiological and welfare studies on dairy cattle.

37 *Keywords:* circadian rhythm, seasonal rhythm, heart rate variability, cardiac autonomic
38 activity, dairy cows

1. Introduction

A number of biological variables that are influenced by external stimuli and internal homoeostatic control mechanisms including behavior, physiological functions and several biochemical factors, may show fluctuations in the short, medium, and long term [1]. The most common variation is that of a 24-h rhythm, referred to as circadian, which is determined by sunrise and sunset [2]. In mammals, circadian rhythms are the result of both external stimuli and endogenously controlled self-contained homeostatic control mechanisms, i.e. the so-called circadian clocks [3,4].

The cardiovascular system is one of the series of biological systems having rhythmic variations. Cardiovascular function has been described by heart rate variability (**HRV**), i.e., the short-term fluctuations in the successive R–R intervals of the ECG [5–7]. This beat-to-beat variation results from the cyclic interplay of the sympathetic and vagal branches of the autonomic nervous system (**ANS**) [8–10] and other local or systemic control and feedback mechanisms [11,12]. Frequency-domain parameters of HRV enable a detailed assessment of ANS activity in several species [13–16], and it is possible to measure the balance between the two branches [17,18]. Spectral parameters of HRV, i.e. the high-frequency (**HF**) component as an indicator of vagal regulation and the ratio between the low-frequency (**LF**) and the HF band (**LF/HF**) are appropriate indices reflecting stress in dairy cattle [19]. For studying the autonomic modulation of cardiac activity, monitoring the rhythmic patterns in heart rate and HRV is of scientific interest in humans [20–22] and in laboratory species in both health and disease [23–26]. The observations made in rodents and humans indicate that cardiac autonomic function is synchronized with the time of day.

The circadian variation of heart rate and arterial blood pressure in farm animal species is well known [27]; however, rhythmic fluctuations in HRV are poorly studied in domestic animals. The few existing studies on the diurnal patterns of HRV are restricted to horses [28] and focus

only on differences between the day/night cycle of spectral parameters. To the best of our knowledge, no data are available on hour-by-hour fluctuations in heart rate and HRV in dairy cattle. Since baseline and response values of HRV parameters were established mainly during different periods of the day, without taking into consideration the circadian rhythms of cardiac activity, the interpretation and comparison of results obtained in stress and behavioral studies are sometimes difficult.

According to recent research on humans, geometric [29] and non-linear [30,31] measures HRV are suitable for chronic stress assessment. The major advantage of geometric methods lies in their relative insensitivity to the analytical quality of the series of R–R intervals [32]. Non-linear techniques are derived from the chaos theory and the non-linear system theory [33] and are designed to assess the quality of the R–R time series [34]. Some of these procedures are extremely robust against nonstationary data, which are widespread in physiological time series [6]. Although non-linear and geometric indices are promising tools for the assessment of chronic physiological conditions in cattle [19], up to now only a few studies on the welfare of dairy cattle have used non-traditional components of HRV [35–37]. In their review article, von Borell et al. [18] summarized the unresolved problems in physiological studies on HRV in farm animals. They suggested determining the diurnal variation of cardiac activity and the effects of season on HRV. From that fundamental work, several questions have arisen with regard to these rhythms and their possible influence on cardiovascular function. As extensive research focusing on complex behavioral concerns of HRV in dairy cattle has been started [38,39], it would be urgent to develop and establish standards for HRV analysis. Recent studies on behavioral physiology have performed behavioral tests at different times of the day; however, basal HRV was recorded mostly once a day.

The aim of our study was to examine the possible circadian profile and seasonal differences of heart rate and HRV in dairy cows. Besides the heart rate and the ANS-related frequency domain parameters of HRV, nonlinear and geometric measures were also investigated. We used hour-by-hour analysis for heart rate and HRV parameters, enabling to investigate the 24-h profile in more detail, instead of monitoring only day–night variations.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animals and housing

The experiment was carried out at the Prorag Agrárcentrum Ltd. in Ráckeresztúr, Lászlópuszta, Hungary (N47°18'191" E18°48'336"), which has a herd of 900 Holstein-Friesian cattle. Our study was conducted as part of a larger research project on behavioral and physiological aspects of the transition period in dairy cows. The farm was visited for a 40-day period between June 21 and July 30 [temperature; average/min/max (°C): 21.3/15.6/34.8] and for a 43-day period between November 10 and December 12 [temperature; average/min/max (°C): 3.5/−4.7/8.2] in 2013. One hundred and fifty non-lactating multiparous cows were selected at random from the herd for this study, between 250 and 260 days of gestation. All selected cows were inspected physically before heart rate recording. Twenty-two animals with health problems were not included, in order to help exclude possible causes of stress caused by pathological conditions. Three of the cows were excluded due to technical problems during the measurement (dried-out electrodes, disturbance caused by group mates during data recording). Temperamental animals ($N = 8$) were also excluded from the experiment. Finally, a total of 117 clinically healthy (without any pharmacological treatment, without visible lesions), non-lactating multiparous pregnant cows ($N = 56$, summer; $N = 61$, winter) were included in the study (means $\pm$ SD; age = 6.4 ± 1.2 years; parity = 3.6 ± 0.7 ; BCS = 2.9 ± 0.3).

From approximately 4 weeks before calving, cows were kept in a 40 m × 8 m group pen bedded with deep straw and including 50–60 animals. TMR was provided twice a day at 5:00 and 16:00 o'clock, and animals had free access to water.

2.2. Measurement preparation and R–R data collection

Twenty-four hour R–R interval recordings were obtained using a mobile recording system, which contained a Polar Equine T56H transmitter with two integrated electrodes with a compatible Polar H7 heart rate sensor and a Polar RS800 CX heart rate receiver (Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland). Electrode sites were covered with ultrasound transmission gel (Aquaultra Blue, MedGel Medical, Barcelona, Spain). The electrodes were positioned and fitted to cows as advised by von Borell et al. [18] and the electrode belt was protected against external impacts by a leather girth. For ease of later visual identification, cows were marked on their hind legs and backs at the time of fixing the devices.

After fixing the heart rate monitors, 10 cows were moved from the group pen into a 15 m × 10 m separated experimental area, which had a 3.5 m high solid wall made of wood, facing north. As the experimental area was covered with wooden shade structures, protection from direct solar radiation was provided for the animals at all times. The cows always had visual and auditory contact with animals staying outside the experimental area. Experimental groups were formed 24 h before the start of observations, and heart rate monitors were fixed on the animals at that time to reduce the effects due to adaptation to wearing the devices.

Because of the limited storage capacity of the heart rate receivers for about 25,000 R–R intervals, data were downloaded every 48 h. This procedure lasted approximately 10 min/animal and was performed regularly when animals were standing at the feed bunks. During all times of data downloading the electrodes were covered with extra gel. Except for data downloading and preparation of the electrodes, any practice that could potentially disturb

the animals was avoided during the measurement period. R–R data were recorded continuously for 5 days from each animal.

2.3. Behavioral observations

Since HRV differs between standing and lying posture in cattle [36,38], disturbances of the measurements due to physical activity of the cows were excluded by using for later analysis only R–R data recorded during undisturbed lying periods [40]. Criteria of lying were the followings: 1) the cow is lying comfortable without any disturbance from her herd mates; 2) the cow finished feeding or walking until 10 min before the start of data recording. During the last 2 min before data recording and throughout the period of interest any kind of disturbances (presence of stockmen, sudden noise) were recorded.

For the selection of these lying periods, the entire experimental area was video-recorded with a closed-circuit camera system including two day/night outdoor network bullet cameras (Vivotek IP8331, VIVOTEK Inc., Taiwan) installed above the experimental pen for checking the posture of animals throughout 24 h, to allow subsequent matching of the individual's behavior and the R–R data recordings. It was possible to choose 2.8 ± 0.4 (range: 2–4) samples from individuals that were recorded during lying for each hour. For the appropriate statistical analysis (see Section 2.5.), the 24-h recordings were split into four diurnal periods [two at the daytime (7:00–12:00 and 13:00–18:00) and two at the nighttime (19:00–24:00 and 1:00–6:00 o'clock)], each including six measurement points.

2.4. Processing of R–R interval data

A total of 6,720 h of R–R data were recorded from the animals [means $\pm$ SD (range); 118.6 ± 3.3 (112.7–126.0) per cow] in summer, and in winter a total of 7,320 h [means $\pm$ SD (range); 119.2 ± 2.5 (113.2–125.1) per cow]. Finally, a total of 42,794 valid continuous lying periods fulfilling the criteria of subsequent evaluation were used for the analysis, 20,834 from

summer and 21,960 from winter [means $\pm$ SD (range); 372.0 ± 11 (359–381) and 360.8 ± 8 (341–378) per cow, respectively].

The Kubios HRV software (version 2.2, Biomedical Signal Analysis Group, Department of Applied Physics, University of Kuopio, Finland) was used for the analysis of R–R interval data [41]. Special attention was paid to ensuring that only R–R intervals with uniformly detected onsets were included in the HRV tachogram. In this way, Type 1 errors (QRS detected prematurely when in fact a sinus-conducted wave has not occurred) and Type 2 errors (failing to detect an R wave that is present) could be largely avoided [42], as could irregular sinus rhythms [43]. Using the custom filter of the program, every R–R interval that differed more than 30% from the previous one, was replaced by an interpolated value calculated from the differences between the previous and the next accepted R–R intervals. In addition, a visual inspection of the corrected data was performed to edit out Type 4 and 5 errors (for details see Ref. [44]). Slow nonstationary trend components were removed by using the ‘smoothness priors’ based detrending approach with $\lambda = 1000$ and $f_c = 0.029$ Hz.

For representing vagal regulatory activity the normalized power of the HF component was computed, whereas LF/HF was presented for assessing the sympathovagal balance. Both parameters were used in numerous HRV studies on dairy cattle [35–37,39,40]. In time-domain, heart rate (beats/min) was quantified. The $L_{\max}$ parameter was chosen for the assessment of nonlinearity of HRV, which was calculated by the Recurrence Quantification Analysis (**RQA**) method representing the R–R intervals in a multidimensional matrix [45]. The recurrence plot (**RP**) is the representation of the matrix as a black (for ones) and white (for zeros) image in which $L_{\max}$ is the longest diagonal line segment in a continuous row within the plot [46]. $L_{\max}$ characterizes the sensitivity of the system to different initial conditions, and it has been used in studies on dairy cattle [35–37]. For geometric representation of long-term variability in R–R intervals the HRV triangular index (RRtri

index) was calculated, which has been extensively evaluated in humans [29,32,47], but not in animals. The RRtri index describes the overall variability in R–R intervals [48]. Triangular interpolation used for calculating the RRtri index approximates the R–R interval distribution by a linear function and the baseline width of this approximation triangle is used as a measure of the RRtri index [48]. It is highly insensitive to artifacts and ectopic beats, because they are left outside the triangle. During analysis, equal lying periods of 5 min were examined for heart rate, HF, LF/HF and $L_{\max}$, as recommended for frequency-domain analysis of HRV using Fast Fourier Transformation (FFT) [5]. The recommendations of von Borell et al. [18] were considered by setting the limits of the spectral components as follows: LF: 0.05–0.20 Hz, HF: 0.20–0.58 Hz. The HRV spectrum was calculated with the FFT-based Welch's periodogram method set to 256-s overlapping segments with 50% window overlap. The interpolation rate of IBI series was 4 Hz. In case of the RRtri index, 20-min time periods were chosen as advised earlier [5] in order to generate the geometric pattern. For further details of HRV analysis in dairy cattle, see our recent review [19].

2.5. Statistical evaluation

All statistical computations and graphics were performed with the R 3.0.2. language and environment [49]. To evaluate the circadian rhythms of cardiac function, the area under the curve (AUC) method was chosen for analysis. Using this method, we reduced the number of statistical comparisons between heart rate and HRV values recorded for each hour, by calculating for four 6-h diurnal periods of the day and comparing these periods. Avoiding pseudo-replication caused by independent measurements of individuals and effect of day, we used the averaged values of HRV parameters for each hour (and for the same hour on consecutive experimental days per animal) for individuals for both summer and winter. The AUC simplifies the statistical analyses by transforming the multivariate data into univariate space, especially when the numbers of repeated measurements are high and a need exists to

summarize the information [50]. Parameters included minimum and maximum values of heart rate, HF, LF/HF, RRtri and $L_{\max}$, and areas under the curves. All variables were determined for each 6-h period of the day, and AUCs were calculated utilizing the trapezoidal rule described by Yeh [51]. The base of each curve was determined as the averaged mean values of the previous 6-h diurnal period of the day. For comparisons of minimum and maximum values between periods of day, the individual's minimum and maximum values from each diurnal period were used for analysis.

All data were inspected graphically for distribution. In case of normal distribution, diurnal variations in heart rate and HRV were determined using a repeated measures ANOVA, and comparison of cardiac parameters between periods of the day was made by Tukey's test ($P < 0.05$). The non-parametric Friedman rank sum test was used in case of non-normality of data, and statistical significances between periods of the day were calculated by the Nemenyi *post-hoc* test ($P < 0.05$). The seasonality of cardiac activity was studied by calculating averaged values of heart rate and HRV parameters of individual means separately for the daytime (07:00–18:00) and nighttime (19:00–06:00) periods. Statistical comparisons between seasons were made using the Wilcoxon rank sum test and the paired *t*-test. Significance was set at the level of 0.05 in both cases.

3. Results

3.1. Diurnal patterns of cardiac activity

Means and standard errors of heart rate and HRV parameters for each hour are plotted in **Fig. 1a–e**, separately for summer and winter.

An evolution over the daytime hours can be observed for heart rate in summer, starting already with an extreme increase from 7:00 to 17:00 o'clock, and slightly decreasing from 18:00, reaching the lowest peak at 6:00 o'clock in the morning (**Fig. 1a**). Although two

transient dips were observed in heart rate, one around noon and another at midnight, it did not show a clear 24-h profile in winter. Two mild acrophases were also observed, the first around 2:00 and the second between 17:00 and 19:00 (**Fig. 1a**), but no differences were obtained between the studied 6-h periods by AUC analysis (**Table 1**). Minimum, maximum and areas under the heart rate curves differed significantly between the four periods of the day in all comparisons during summer (**Table 1**). All heart rate parameters were higher in daytime (between 7:00–12:00 and 13:00–18:00 o'clock) than during the nighttime periods (between 1:00–06:00 and 19:00–24:00 o'clock).

As did the heart rate, spectral parameters showed significant circadian rhythms in summer but not in winter. Both HF and LF/HF ratio were characterized with a balanced behavior in winter (**Fig. 1b–c**). HF showed a gradual decline from 1:00 until 8:00 o'clock. During the day it was balanced, but showed a wavering pattern between 11:00 and 20:00 o'clock and then it increased rapidly until midnight. Areas under the HF curves for the 6-h periods differed significantly except when daytime periods were compared (**Table 2**), and the AUC was higher at night than during the daytime. Maximal values were higher in daytime than in nighttime periods. Minimum values were higher between 1:00 and 6:00 o'clock than in any other period of the day. AUC analysis did not detect any differences between the examined periods in winter.

The LF/HF ratio, which usually changes in a manner opposite to HF, increased in the morning hours until 8:00 o'clock in summer and stayed elevated until 15:00 o'clock. LF/HF decreased gradually between 15:00 and 18:00 o'clock, and during the dark period, it was consistent for the remainder of the day. In summer, areas under the LF/HF ratio curves were higher during the daytime than in the dark periods (**Table 3**). AUCs calculated for the nighttime periods did not differ from each other. Maximal values were higher during the daytime than in the nighttime periods. All comparisons of minimum LF/HF ratios for different periods of the day

showed significant differences, and minimum values were lower during the nighttime than during the daytime periods. During winter, LF/HF was consistent over the 24-h period, with a relatively high variation being demonstrable between individuals for each hour. Consistently with findings on heart rate and HF, none of the AUC parameters reflected a diurnal variation of the LF/HF ratio in winter.

In summer, $L_{\max}$ was characterized by small individual variation for both daytime and nighttime. It was relatively stable from 1:00 o'clock until noon, and then decreased monotonously to reach the lowest peak at 16:00 o'clock (**Fig. 1d**). During the late afternoon and during the dark period a slow increase was observed for $L_{\max}$ values. Areas under the $L_{\max}$ curves and minimum values differed significantly in all comparisons between the four periods of the day (**Table 4**), with decreasing values during the afternoon and the dark periods for both parameters. Except for one comparison (7:00–12:00 and 19:00–24:00), maximal values differed significantly between all the periods studied. During winter, $L_{\max}$ showed no periodicity over the 24-h period (confirmed by AUC analysis, **Table 4**) and consistently showed higher absolute values for each hour than in summer.

The circadian evolution of the RRtri index over 24 h was similar to that of $L_{\max}$ in summer, when it showed an abrupt fall from 14:00 o'clock, reaching the minimum at 16:00 o'clock. Until midnight, a slow increase was observed (**Fig. 1e**). The circadian profile of the RRtri index in winter was almost the inverse of that observed in summer. It was almost constant until 10:00 o'clock and started to increase with a maximum in late afternoon (around 17:00 o'clock), which was followed by a gradual decrease for the remainder of the day (**Fig. 1e**). When studying AUC parameters in particular, except for the comparison of the 7:00–12:00 and 19:00–24:00 periods for maximal values and areas under the RRtri index curves, all periods of the day differed significantly from each other. Minimum, maximum, and AUC were the highest during the afternoon (between 13:00 and 18:00, **Table 5**).

283

284

285

286

Fig. 1. Circadian profile of a) heart rate (HR), b) the high-frequency (HF) component of HRV, c) the ratio between the low-frequency (LF) and HF component (LF/HF ratio), d) $L_{\max}$ (the longest diagonal line segment in a continuous row within the Recurrence Plot), and e) R–R triangular index (RRtri) in non-lactating dairy cows in summer (●, $N = 56$) and in winter (▲, $N = 61$).

Dashed lines vertical to the X-axis indicate the start and end points of the periods of the day. For significant differences between periods of day (circadian rhythm, **Tables 1–5**) and between summer and winter (seasonal rhythm, **Table 6**) for cardiac function, parameters are calculated as area under the curve (AUC). Values are calculated for undisturbed lying posture for each hour and presented as means $\pm$ SEM.

297 **Table 1** Diurnal rhythm of heart rate in summer and winter by comparing area under the curve (AUC) parameters calculated for 6-h periods of the day

Period of day	Heart rate parameters, summer						Heart rate parameters, winter					
(h)	Min (min ⁻¹)	<i>P</i>	Max (min ⁻¹)	<i>P</i>	AUC (min ⁻¹ × h)	<i>P</i>	Min (min ⁻¹)	Max (min ⁻¹)	<i>P</i>	AUC (min ⁻¹ × h)	<i>P</i>	
Statistics	<i>F</i> (3,147) = 100.38 <i>P</i> < 0.0001		<i>F</i> (3,153) = 117.58 <i>P</i> < 0.0001		<i>F</i> (3,147) = 168.71 <i>P</i> < 0.0001		<i>F</i> (3,42)=3.58 <i>P</i> = 0.0615		<i>F</i> (3,42)=4.15 <i>P</i> = 0.0115		<i>F</i> (3,39)=4.07 <i>P</i> = 0.0131	
1:00–6:00	66.8±0.6	0.025	73.1±0.6	0.010	354.5±3.2	0.009	68.9±0.6	74.1±0.7	0.087	357.4±3.0	0.068	
7:00–12:00	69.2±0.7		77.4±0.8		368.4±3.8		67.2±0.5	72.0±0.5		348.4±2.3		
1:00–6:00	68.0±0.6	0.003	73.1±0.6	0.001	354.5±3.2	0.001	68.9±0.6	74.1±0.7	0.955	357.4±3.0	0.643	
13:00–18:00	77.3±1.0		83.3±0.8		407.7±4.6		67.5±0.5	75.2±1.2		353.4±3.3		
1:00–6:00	68.0±0.6	0.016	73.1±0.6	0.002	354.5±3.2	0.001	68.9±0.6	74.1±0.7	0.839	357.4±3.0	0.851	
19:00–24:00	73.7±0.9		81.3±0.8		398.6±4.3		68.9±0.6	74.8±1.1		360.2±4.4		
7:00–12:00	69.9±0.7	0.005	77.4±0.8	0.004	368.4±3.8	0.002	67.2±0.5	72.0±0.5	0.070	348.4±2.3	0.439	
13:00–18:00	77.3±1.0		83.3±0.8		407.7±4.6		67.5±0.5	75.2±1.2		353.4±3.3		
7:00–12:00	69.9±0.7	0.015	77.4±0.8	0.012	368.4±3.8	0.002	67.2±0.5	72.0±0.5	0.065	348.4±2.3	0.053	
19:00–24:00	73.7±0.9		81.3±0.8		398.6±4.3		68.1±0.5	74.8±1.1		360.2±4.4		
13:00–18:00	77.3±1.0	0.007	83.3±0.8	0.040	407.7±4.6	0.005	67.5±0.5	75.2±1.2	0.989	353.4±3.3	0.194	
19:00–24:00	73.7±0.9		81.3±0.8		398.6±4.3		68.1±0.5	74.8±1.1		360.2±4.4		

298 Statistics are based on the output of the Repeated Measures ANOVA. Statistical significances between the periods of the day are based on the Tukey test. *P*

299 values in italics are significant at *P* < 0.05, while those in bold are significant at *P* < 0.01. Values are means ± SEM of averaged means of individual non-

300 transformed data (*N* = 56 for summer, *N* = 61 for winter).

301 **Table 2** Diurnal rhythm of HF^a in summer and in winter by comparing area under the curve (AUC) parameters calculated for 6-h periods of the day

Period of day (h)	HF parameters, summer						HF parameters, winter		
	Min (n.u.)	<i>P</i>	Max (n.u.)	<i>P</i>	AUC (n.u. × h)	<i>P</i>	Min (n.u.)	Max (n.u.)	AUC (n.u. × h)
Statistics	$\chi^2(3) = 83.14$ <i>P</i> < 0.0001		$\chi^2(3) = 103.64$ <i>P</i> < 0.0001		$\chi^2(3) = 91.64$ <i>P</i> < 0.0001		<i>F</i> (3,36)=1.93 <i>P</i> = 0.1416	<i>F</i> (3,33)=2.89 <i>P</i> = 0.0501	<i>F</i> (3,36)=4.48 <i>P</i> = 0.0543
1:00–6:00	32.5±0.9	0.001	49.7±2.2	0.0002	207.3±8.9	0.0001	30.7±0.5	34.4±0.4	163.2±1.7
7:00–12:00	25.3±1.3		36.6±2.4		140.2±10.2		31.1±0.3	35.1±0.7	162.8±2.3
1:00–6:00	32.5±0.9	0.001	49.7±2.2	0.0005	207.3±8.9	0.0002	30.7±0.5	34.4±0.4	163.2±1.7
13:00–18:00	26.2±1.2		39.4±1.9		148.0±7.6		31.9±0.4	35.4±0.4	170.2±2.0
1:00–6:00	32.5±0.9	0.005	49.7±2.2	0.676	207.3±8.9	0.002	30.7±0.5	34.4±0.4	163.2±1.7
19:00–24:00	28.3±1.1		51.1±1.8		181.4±8.2		31.7±0.3	36.6±0.9	169.5±2.5
7:00–12:00	25.3±1.3	0.833	36.6±2.4	0.115	140.2±10.2	0.580	31.1±0.3	35.1±0.7	162.8±2.3
13:00–18:00	26.2±1.2		39.4±1.9		148.0±7.6		31.9±0.4	35.4±0.4	170.2±2.0
7:00–12:00	25.3±1.3	<i>0.021</i>	36.6±2.4	0.0001	140.2±10.2	0.0004	31.1± 0.3	35.1±0.7	162.8±2.3
19:00–24:00	28.3±1.1		51.1±1.8		181.4±8.2		31.7±0.3	36.6±0.9	169.5±2.5
13:00–18:00	26.2±1.2	0.128	39.4±1.9	0.0001	148.0±7.6	0.005	31.9±0.4	35.4±0.4	170.2±2.0
19:00–24:00	28.3±1.1		51.1±1.8		181.4±8.2		31.7±0.3	36.6±0.9	169.5±2.5

302 Statistics are based on the output of the Repeated Measures ANOVA (winter) and on the Friedman rank sum test with the Nemenyi *post hoc* test (summer). *P*

303 values in italics are significant at *P* < 0.05, while those in bold are significant at *P* < 0.01. Values are means ± SEM of averaged means of individual non-

304 transformed data (*N* = 56 for summer, *N* = 61 for winter).

305 ^aHF = normalized power of the high-frequency band of HRV.

306 **Table 3** Diurnal rhythm of LF/HF ratio^a in summer and in winter by comparing area under the curve (AUC) parameters calculated for 6-h periods of the day

Period of day (h)	LF/HF parameters, summer						LF/HF parameters, winter			
	Min	<i>P</i>	Max	<i>P</i>	AUC (h)	<i>P</i>	Min	Max	AUC (h)	<i>P</i>
	$\chi^2(3) = 86.77$ <i>P</i> < 0.0001		$\chi^2(3) = 72.89$ <i>P</i> < 0.0001		$\chi^2(3) = 97.59$ <i>P</i> < 0.0001		$\chi^2(3) = 11.71$ <i>P</i> = 0.0584		$\chi^2(3) = 25.42$ <i>P</i> = 0.0520	
Statistics										
1:00–6:00	3.2±0.3	0.008	4.7±0.4	0.004	21.9±2.4	0.001	4.2±0.2	7.7±0.8	23.9±2.4	0.056
7:00–12:00	4.6±0.4		6.7±0.5		31.2±2.5		4.0±0.2	7.7±0.7	31.2±2.5	
1:00–6:00	3.2±0.3	<i>0.020</i>	4.7±0.4	0.008	21.9±2.4	0.130	4.2±0.2	7.7±0.8	21.9±2.4	0.072
13:00–18:00	3.4±0.3		6.5±0.5		25.5±1.9		4.0±0.1	7.0±0.6	25.5±1.9	
1:00–6:00	3.2±0.3	<i>0.045</i>	4.7±0.4	0.701	21.9±2.4	0.130	4.2±0.2	7.7±0.8	21.9±2.4	0.197
19:00–24:00	2.9±0.3		4.2±0.3		19.2±1.6		4.1±0.2	7.3±0.5	19.2±1.6	
7:00–12:00	4.4±0.4	<i>0.031</i>	6.7±0.5	0.413	31.2±2.5	<i>0.015</i>	4.0±0.2	7.7±0.7	31.2±2.5	0.053
13:00–18:00	3.6±0.3		6.5±0.5		25.5±1.9		4.0±0.1	7.0±0.6	25.5±1.9	
7:00–12:00	4.6±0.4	0.001	6.7±0.5	0.002	31.2±2.5	0.0007	4.0±0.2	7.7±0.7	28.2±2.5	0.053
19:00–24:00	2.9±0.3		4.2±0.3		19.2±1.6		4.1±0.2	7.3±0.5	23.2±1.6	
13:00–18:00	3.4±0.3	<i>0.012</i>	6.5±0.5	0.001	25.5±1.9	0.005	4.0±0.1	7.0±0.6	25.5±1.9	0.079
19:00–24:00	2.9±0.3		4.2±0.3		19.2±1.6		4.1±0.2	7.3±0.5	19.2±1.6	

307 Statistics are based on the Friedman rank sum test. Statistical significances between the periods of the day are based on the Nemenyi *post hoc* test. *P* values in
308 italics are significant at *P* < 0.05, while those in bold are significant at *P* < 0.01. Values are means ± SEM of averaged means of individual non-transformed
309 data (*N* = 56 for summer, *N* = 61 for winter).

310 ^aLF/HF ratio = the ratio between the low-frequency (LF) and the high-frequency (HF) bands of HRV.

311 **Table 4** Diurnal rhythm of $L_{\max}^a$ in summer and in winter by comparing area under the curve (AUC) parameters calculated for 6-h periods of the day

Period of day (h)	$L_{\max}$ parameters, summer					$L_{\max}$ parameters, winter			
	Min (beats)	<i>P</i>	Max (beats)	<i>P</i>	AUC (beats × h)	<i>P</i>	Min (beats)	Max (beats)	AUC (beats × h)
Statistics	$\chi^2(3) = 106.92$ <i>P</i> < 0.0001		$\chi^2(3) = 89.88$ <i>P</i> < 0.0001		$\chi^2(3) = 111.19$ <i>P</i> < 0.0001		<i>F</i> (3,44)=3.28 <i>P</i> = 0.0535	<i>F</i> (3,39)=2.52 <i>P</i> = 0.0515	<i>F</i> (3,42)=5.38 <i>P</i> = 0.0565
1:00–6:00	270.2±7.1		289.3±5.6		1380.4±29.4		363.3±7.9	388.4±8.5	1881.5±41.6
7:00–12:00	253.6±7.6	<i>0.032</i>	274.4±5.8	0.007	1300.6±33.4	<i>0.048</i>	357.1±7.7	371.9±8.3	1799.0±39.8
1:00–6:00	270.2±7.1		289.3±5.6		1380.4±29.4		363.3±7.9	388.4±8.5	1881.5±41.6
13:00–18:00	156.3±7.9	0.0002	239.2±6.2	0.001	872.5±40.1	0.0005	354.1±7.7	376.5±8.3	1811.2±40.0
1:00–6:00	270.2±7.1		289.3±5.6		1380.4±29.4		363.3±7.9	388.4±8.5	1881.5±41.6
19:00–24:00	177.4±7.5	0.0003	278.6±5.7	<i>0.048</i>	1049.1±33.3	0.001	354.0±7.6	370.2±8.3	1783.2±39.5
7:00–12:00	253.6±7.6		274.4±5.8		1300.6±33.4		357.1±7.7	371.9±8.3	1799.0±39.8
13:00–18:00	156.3±7.9	0.000	239.2±6.2	0.002	872.5±40.1	0.0005	354.1±7.7	376.5±8.3	1811.2±40.0
7:00–12:00	253.6±7.6		274.4±5.8		1300.6±33.4		357.1±7.7	371.9±8.3	1799.0±39.8
19:00–24:00	177.4±7.5	0.010	278.6±5.7	0.744	1049.1±33.3	0.001	354.0±7.6	370.2±8.3	1783.2±39.5
13:00–18:00	156.3±7.9		239.2±6.2		872.5±40.1		354.1±7.7	376.5±8.3	1811.2±40.0
19:00–24:00	177.4±7.5	<i>0.022</i>	278.6±5.7	0.003	1049.1±33.3	0.002	354.0±7.6	370.2±8.3	1783.2±39.5

312 Statistics are based on the output of the Repeated Measures ANOVA (winter) and on the Friedman rank sum test with the Nemenyi *post hoc* test (summer). *P*

313 values in italics are significant at *P* < 0.05, while those in bold are significant at *P* < 0.01. Values are means ± SEM of averaged means of individual non-

314 transformed data (*N* = 56 for summer, *N* = 61 for winter).

315 ^a $L_{\max}$ = the longest diagonal line segment in a continuous row within the Recurrence Plot.

316 **Table 5** Diurnal rhythm of RRtri index^a in summer and in winter by comparing area under the curve (AUC) parameters calculated for 6-h periods of the day

Period of day (h)	RRtri index parameters, summer						RRtri index parameters, winter					
	Min (ms)	<i>P</i>	Max (ms)	<i>P</i>	AUC (ms × h)	<i>P</i>	Min (ms)	<i>P</i>	Max (ms)	<i>P</i>	AUC (ms × h)	<i>P</i>
	$\chi^2(3) = 61.71$ <i>P</i> < 0.0001		<i>F</i> (3,135)=17.03 <i>P</i> < 0.0001		$\chi^2(3) = 59.14$ <i>P</i> < 0.0001		$\chi^2(3) = 162.00$ <i>P</i> < 0.0001		$\chi^2(3) = 154.23$ <i>P</i> < 0.0001		$\chi^2(3) = 144.15$ <i>P</i> = 0.0032	
1:00–6:00	5.7±0.1	0.010	6.5±0.1	<i>0.013</i>	31.0±0.6	0.003	5.7±0.2	0.185	6.1±0.2	<i>0.027</i>	29.8±0.8	0.978
7:00–12:00	5.2±0.1		6.1±0.1		27.8±0.7		5.9±0.2		6.5±0.2		30.3±0.8	
1:00–6:00	5.7±0.1	0.006	6.5±0.1	0.001	31.0±0.6	0.002	5.7±0.2	0.001	6.1±0.2	0.008	29.8±0.8	<i>0.023</i>
13:00–18:00	4.9±0.1		5.6±0.1		25.2±0.7		6.6±0.2		7.2±0.2		35.5±0.8	
1:00–6:00	5.7±0.1	0.007	6.5±0.1	<i>0.045</i>	31.0±0.6	0.002	5.7±0.2	0.130	6.1±0.2	<i>0.016</i>	29.8±0.8	0.157
19:00–24:00	5.0±0.1		6.1±0.2		26.8±0.8		6.0±0.2		6.8±0.2		33.1±0.9	
7:00–12:00	5.2±0.1	<i>0.028</i>	6.1±0.1	0.001	27.8±0.7	<i>0.024</i>	5.9±0.2	0.003	6.5±0.2	<i>0.020</i>	30.3±0.8	<i>0.048</i>
13:00–18:00	4.9±0.1		5.6±0.1		25.2±0.7		6.6±0.2		7.2±0.2		35.5±0.8	
7:00–12:00	5.2±0.1	<i>0.043</i>	6.1±0.1	0.928	27.8±0.7	0.978	5.9±0.2	0.985	6.5±0.2	0.127	30.3±0.8	0.585
19:00–24:00	5.0±0.1		6.2±0.2		26.8±0.8		6.0±0.2		6.8±0.2		33.1±0.9	
13:00–18:00	4.9±0.1	0.001	5.6±0.1	0.001	25.2±0.7	<i>0.046</i>	6.6±0.2	<i>0.025</i>	7.2±0.2	0.070	35.5±0.8	0.446
19:00–24:00	5.0±0.1		6.2±0.2		26.8±0.8		6.0±0.2		6.8±0.2		33.1±0.9	

317 Statistics are based on the Friedman rank sum test with the Nemenyi *post hoc* test except for one case (summer, maximum values), when the Repeated

318 Measures ANOVA with the Tukey test was used. *P* values in italics are significant at *P* < 0.05, while those in bold are significant at *P* < 0.01.

319 Values are means ± SEM of averaged means of individual non-transformed data (*N* = 56 for summer, *N* = 61 for winter).

320 ^aRRtri index = R–R triangular index.

321 *3.2. Seasonal rhythm of cardiac function*

322 Season had an expressed effect on cardiac activity both for daytime and nighttime periods.
323 Heart rate was higher in summer (June–July) than in winter (November–December), but the
324 differences were significant only for daytime with a 10 beats/min overall mean difference
325 between seasons. Except for HF, which was higher in summer during the dark period, all
326 investigated HRV parameters were higher in winter than in summer, however, the difference
327 in LF/HF was non-significant (**Table 6**).

328 **Table 6** Seasonal differences of heart rate and heart rate variability (HRV) parameters^a of non-lactating dairy cows for 12-h periods of the day during summer
329 and winter

Cardiac parameter	Means ± MAD ^b					
	Summer	Winter	Wilcoxon W	Summer	Winter	Wilcoxon W
	Period of the day	Daytime (7:00–18:00)		Nighttime (19:00–06:00)		
HR (beats/min)	78.2±0.9	68.4±0.6**	2234	73.3±0.8	71.0±0.6	1150
HF (n.u.)	29.8±2.6	35.6±1.2**	2220	41.3±1.8	33.4±0.7***	2061
LF/HF	5.2±0.6	5.8±0.5	1225	3.6±0.5	6.0±0.6***	2811
L _{max} (beats)	230.2±7.8	365.9±8.2***	2828	255.5±7.0	362.5±8.9***	2362
RRtri index (ms)	5.7±0.1	6.7±0.2**	2205	5.9±0.1	6.2±0.2*	1780

330 Descriptive statistics are based on non-transformed data of individual animals. *P* values for differences between summer and winter are based on the results of
331 the Wilcoxon rank sum test. *N* = 56 for summer, *N* = 61 for winter.

332 * *P* < 0.05, ** *P* < 0.01, *** *P* < 0.001.

333 ^a HF = normalized power of the high-frequency band of HRV, LF/HF ratio = the ratio between the low-frequency (LF) and the HF components of HRV, L_{max}
334 = the longest diagonal line segment in a continuous row within the Recurrence Plot, RRtri index = R–R triangular index.

335 ^b median absolute deviation.

Discussion

Circadian rhythms are well described in laboratory species at every level of organization within an organism, for behavioral, biochemical and physiological processes [52–55]. Generally, daily fluctuations in physiological variables are believed to be endogenous since they persist even when no external time cues are present [52]. So far, relatively little research has been done on circadian and seasonal patterns of cardiovascular function in domestic animals, and existing data are restricted to arterial blood pressure and heart rate [56–59]. In the literature, we found no data on the circadian and seasonal variation of HRV parameters in cattle. The main reason for this might be the difficulty of long-term recording under field and unrestrained conditions [19]. In the present study, we evaluated the circadian and seasonal variation of heart rate and HRV in a population of 117 healthy non-lactating pregnant cows. Via hour-by-hour analysis, we presented the clear circadian profile of cardiac activity for both summer and winter. Besides traditional frequency-domain parameters, which are considered to be indicative of autonomic cardiovascular function in farm animals [18], geometric and nonlinear measures were also investigated.

In summer, heart rate was characterized with dominant circadian patterns. Subtle changes during the transition between day and night were mirrored in the heart rate. Interestingly, minimum and maximum values and areas under the heart rate curves reflected elevated daytime heart rates, when the cows' dry matter intake and the frequency of feeding activity are lower than during the night under hot weather conditions [60]. In concordance with our finding, in rats the heart rate showed dominant circadian patterns with elevated heart rate during the activity period in the dark phase [61–63]. Consistently with our results, Brosh et al. [64] observed an increase in heart rate in cows during the afternoon based on measurements taken over the entire 24-h periods of several consecutive days in late summer. The comparison of our results with the experiment of Brosh et al. is problematic however, since

they analyzed cardiac rhythms of beef cows grazing on heterogeneous Mediterranean grassland, with a high moving ability as opposed to our cows kept in a separated experimental pen. It must also be taken into account that we used only those R–R interval samples for analysis when animals were lying without rumination. In our study, elevated heart rates during the afternoon period might be the consequence of elevated external temperatures in summer.

Although heart rate showed no significant diurnal variations in winter as shown by AUC analysis, two acrophases occurred, one at 2:00 o'clock and another in the early evening (between 17:00 and 19:00 o'clock). The existence of a similar rhythmicity has been revealed in sports horses at different frequencies (circadian and circasemidian) in heart rate, with nocturnal acrophases at 17:00 o'clock for the circasemidian and at 2:00 o'clock for the circadian period [57]. In our study, peaks in heart rates during winter may be caused by the increased metabolic activity of the animals [65]. At temperatures under the thermoneutral zone cattle increase their metabolism [66].

Like the heart rate, ANS activity showed a circadian rhythm in summer but not in winter. Although this is controversial, we observed increased sympathetic tone during the day and a considerable relative increase in parasympathetic tone during the night in summer, when cows' overall activity usually shifts to nighttime and to the early hours of the morning [60,67], and sympathetic activity and concentrations of plasma pressor hormones are suggested to be higher [68]. The predominance of sympathetic activity during active periods (daytime) and the nocturnal increase in parasympathetic activity was described in humans [69–72], infants and children [20] and guinea pigs [24] using the LF/HF ratio and HF parameters. In line with our results, Kuwahara et al. [23] found a decline in the HF parameter between 6:00 and 18:00 o'clock and an elevated LF/HF ratio during the daytime in miniature swine; however, their findings were obtained at room temperature.

It would be interesting to know what factors might be responsible for this fluctuation in ANS activity in summer in our study. It has been shown that the rhythms of heart rate are controlled by an endogenous circadian oscillating system, however, it has only been shown for rats [61,73]. According to an earlier study, a modifying effect on cardiac autonomic activity is controlled by daylight, which is considered to be the major environmental factor [74,75]. Although analyzing the effects of meteorological factors was not the focus of our study, it could be assumed that the circadian rhythm of heart rate, HF and LF/HF ratio are modulated by ambient temperature rather than by daylight. Increased sympathetic tone during daytime and decreased vagal tone in summer might be indicative of an increased heat load causing stress for cows [76]. Consequently, the decrease of sympathetic activity from the late afternoon with a parallel increase in parasympathetic tone might be associated with the decreasing ambient temperature in summer. During the experimental period, the mean decline from maximal and minimal daily temperature was 14 °C in average (average max: 37 °C, average min: 23 °C), while in winter it was 3 °C (average max: 8 °C, average min: -4 °C). The disappearance of the circadian variation of heart rate and ANS-related measures in winter confirms our assumption and seems to be associated with the cold environment. During the experiment, winter was not extremely cold; moreover, it is well known that cows are capable of adapting to cold temperatures, as the latter are closer to their thermoneutral zone than are high summer temperatures [77].

When looking at the patterns of $L_{\max}$, a higher nonlinear behavior was observed during the night until noon in summer, whereas a deterministic chaos increased drastically in the afternoon, indicated by decreasing values between 13:00 and 16:00 o'clock. In a fundamental review article by von Borell et al. [18] a small $L_{\max}$ was interpreted as a hallmark for a large amount of chaos in time series data. These hour-by-hour findings confirm the results obtained for ANS-related HRV, and suggest that chaotic heart rate fluctuations increase during the

afternoon when animals are exposed to high external temperatures. In line with our presumption, decreased $L_{\max}$ was indicative of internal loads caused by bovine viral diarrhea (BVD) in calves [35] and, in our recent work, of chronic lameness in cows [37]. Although the difference was not significant, $L_{\max}$ was slightly higher during the dark period (between 1:00 and 6:00 o'clock) than in the remainder of the 24-h period, which is in accordance with the earlier observation of Beckers et al. [78], who described a tendency for higher nonlinearity during the night in healthy subjects.

The significant decrease in minimum, maximum and AUC parameters of the RRtri index during the afternoon period in summer confirms the results of impaired cardiac autonomic activity reflected by the HF and the LF/HF ratio. It is clear from the literature that the reduction in geometric HRV is indicative of stress load [79], which has been reported in numerous studies on chronic health disorders in humans [29,80] and recently in dairy cows suffering from chronic lameness [37]. During winter, RRtri reflected exactly the opposite profile. The higher overall variability in R-R intervals during the afternoon suggests a less impaired cardiac function.

All parameters showed seasonality. $L_{\max}$ and RRtri were lower in summer both for day- and nighttime, whereas HF reflected lower vagal activity in summer during the day and higher vagal activity during the night than in winter. Heart rate was higher in summer than in winter during daytime, which could be explained by the fact that cows spend more time lying down in summer than in other seasons [81]. LF/HF ratio was higher in winter, but only during the nighttime. Although it needs further confirmation, these clear seasonal differences in cows' cardiac activity might be attributable to day length and temperature. There is however, one limitation of our study, namely individual-related behaviors were not measured. As no technological differences due to human activities or farm management were appeared between seasons, feeding patterns, lying frequency and locomotor activity seem to be two

factors that might have influenced our results. Although in wild animal species two classes of individuals can be distinguished based on locomotor activity rhythms as being photoresponsive and nonresponsive [82], in intensively housed farm animals, such as cattle, moving activity is rather associated with the feeding regime applied on the farm. As the feeding regime was similar between seasons, (feed was provided twice a day at 5:00 and 16:00 o'clock), in opposite to natural environments, where food availability fluctuates on a daily and seasonal basis, significant differences in the schedule of cows' feeding behavior were not appeared. Animals were present at the feeding bunk for 20–30 min during feeding both during the early morning and during the afternoon. Although we analyzed HRV only during lying periods, the prolonged effects of increased metabolic activity after the afternoon feeding can be one explanation for the second acrophase of heart rate at 17:00 o'clock and for the slightly increased LF/HF ratio at 6:00 and 17:00 o'clock in winter. Based on our findings, seasonal variation in heart rate and HRV are considerable during long-term investigations, when heart rate data are collected over a period of one or several years.

Since the circadian rhythms of cardiac function are thought to be regulated by different mechanisms involving the ANS [83], it would be worth finding out whether our data are indicative of the physiological adaptation to external heat load or reflect the circadian fluctuations of ANS activity. Earlier studies suggest that the suprachiasmatic nucleus influences some peripheral targets via circadian regulation of the sympathetic nervous system, and other circadian outputs are regulated via different, unknown pathways [84]. It would be also important to know whether pregnancy had an effect on circadian and seasonal variations in cardiac parameters, however, we suggest that in intensive dairies, the physiological state of late pregnant animals are not more affected than of non-pregnant (but lactating) ones. In the first study on HRV analysis in dairy cattle, Mohr et al. [18] proved that lactation and late pregnancy are comparable physiological loads for cows that cannot be differentiated by linear

and frequency HRV components. As being in the first 120 d of lactation, non-pregnant cows are disturbed by several internal (e.g. postpartum uterine diseases, negative energy balance due to high milk yield) and environmental factors (regular veterinary checkups, inseminations, regrouping) which prevented the involvement of those cows. Moreover, we found similar values for heart rate, RMSSD, HF and LF/HF ratio between 2 and 3 days before calving in cows [40] as was observed for healthy lactating cows in early stages (between 30 and 40 d) of gestation [39].

In summary, we found the existence of a diurnal periodicity in the cardiovascular functions in summer and seasonal differences for both daytime and nighttime in heart rate and HRV in time-, frequency- and non-linear domains as well. As the main finding of our study, we showed that cardiac autonomic activity has a significant diurnal rhythm in summer. Although the possible external and internal factors were not assessed in this study, these rhythms most likely result from the complex interplay between environmental factors and endogenous regulatory mechanisms. We believe that our findings will be useful for future bio-behavioral studies on dairy cattle. Based on the present results, the significant circadian variation in heart rate and HRV should be considered in the design of experiments, in particular when selecting baseline values. Specifically, we suggest that reference values should be taken as shortly before the phase of interest as possible. Further research appears to be quite important not only from a descriptive point of view, but also for studying the heart's ability to respond to environmental and individual-related factors, e.g. ambient temperature and humidity, feeding patterns and locomotor activity, which may influence its rhythm over the day.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Ferenc Bodó, the owner of the farm for supporting the study, and the farm staff of Prorag Agrárcentrum Ltd. at Ráckeresztúr, Lászlópuszta for taking care of the animals during the experimental period. We are indebted to Dr. András Székely for correcting the English. Levente Kovács was supported by the Research Center of Excellence – 9878/2015/FEKUT project.

References

- [1] Golombek DA, Rosenstein RE. Physiology of circadian entrainment. *Physiol Rev* 2010;90:1063–102.
- [2] Buijs RM, Van Eden CG, Goncharuk VD, Kalsbeek A. The biological clock tunes the organs of the body: timing by hormones and the autonomic nervous system. *J Endocrinol* 2003;177:17–26.
- [3] Rutter J, Reick M, McKnight SL. Metabolism and the control of circadian rhythms. *Ann Rev Biochem* 2002;71:307–31.
- [4] Mortola JP, Lanthier C. Scaling the amplitudes of the circadian pattern of resting oxygen consumption, body temperature and heart rate in mammals. *Comp Biochem Physiol A Mol Integr Physiol* 2004;139:83–95.
- [5] Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. Heart rate variability: Standards of measurement, physiological interpretation and clinical use. *Circulation* 1996;93:1043–65.
- [6] Acharya UR, Joseph KP, Kannathal N, Lim ChM, Suri JS. Heart rate variability: a review. *Med Bio Eng Comput* 2006;44:1031–51.
- [7] Karim N, Hasan JA, Ali SS. Heart rate variability—a review. *J Basic Appl Sci* 2011;7:71–7.

- 506 [8] Malik M, Camm AJ. Heart rate variability: from facts to fancies. [Letter; comment]. J Am
507 Coll Cardiol 1993;22:566.
- 508 [9] Berntson GG, Bigger JT, Eckberg DL, Grossman P, Kaufmann PG, Malik M, Nagaraja
509 HN, Porges SW, Saul JP, Stone PH, van der Molen MW. Heart rate variability:
510 origins, methods, and interpretive caveats. Psychophysiology 1997;34:623–48.
- 511 [10] Zhang LF, Wang SY, Niu YG. Recent advances in multi-variate and multi-dimensional
512 analysis of heart rate variability and blood pressure variability. Space Med Med Eng
513 (Beijing) 2002;15:157.
- 514 [11] Ravenswaaij-Arts van CMA, Kollée LAA, Hopman JCW, Stoeltinga GBA, Vangeijn HP.
515 Heart-rate-variability. Ann Intern Med 1993;118:436–47.
- 516 [12] Kanters JL, Hojgaard MV, Agner E, Holstein-Rathlou NH. Short- and long-term
517 variations in non-linear dynamics of heart rate variability. Cardiovasc Res
518 1996;31:400–9.
- 519 [13] Pagani M, Lombardi F, Guzzetti O, Rimoldi O, Furlan R, Pizzinelli P, Sandrone G,
520 Malfatto G, Dell’Orto S, Piccaluga E, Turiel M, Baselli G, Cerutti S, Malliani A.
521 Power spectral analysis of heart rate and arterial pressure variabilities as a marker of
522 sympathovagal interaction in man and conscious dog. Circ Res 1986;59:178–93.
- 523 [14] Cerutti S, Bianchi AM, Mainardi LT. Spectral analysis of the heart rate variability signal.
524 In: Malik M, Camm AJ, editors. Heart rate variability. New York: Futura Publishing,
525 1995. p. 63–74.
- 526 [15] Kuwahara M, Hashimoto S, Ishii K, Yagi Y, Hada T, Hiraga A, Kai M, Kubo K, Oki H,
527 Tsubone H, Sugano S. Assessment of autonomic nervous function by power spectral
528 analysis of heart rate variability in the horse. J Auton Nerv Syst 1996;60:43–8.

- 529 [16] Batchinsky AI, Cooke WH, Kuusela TA, Jordan BS, Wang JJ, Cancio LC. Sympathetic
530 nerve activity and heart rate variability during severe hemorrhagic shock in sheep.
531 *Auton Neurosci* 2007;136:43–51.
- 532 [17] Porges SW. The polyvagal theory: phylogenetic contributions to social behavior. *Physiol*
533 *Behav* 2003;79:503–13.
- 534 [18] Borell von E, Langbein J, Després G, Hansen S, Leterrier C, Marchant-Forde J,
535 Marchant-Forde R, Minero M, Mohr E, Prunier A, Valance D, Veissier I. Heart rate
536 variability as a measure of autonomic regulation of cardiac activity for assessing stress
537 and welfare in farm animals: a review. *Physiol Behav* 2007;92:293–316.
- 538 [19] Kovács L, Jurkovich V, Bakony M, Póti P, Szenci O, Tőzsér J. Welfare assessment in
539 dairy cattle by heart rate and heart rate variability – Literature review and implications
540 for future research. *Animal* 2014;8:316–30.
- 541 [20] Massin MM, Maeyns K, Withofs N, Ravet F, Gerard P. Circadian rhythm of heart rate
542 and heart rate variability. *Arch Dis Child* 2000;83:179–82.
- 543 [21] Bilan A, Witczak A, Palusiński R, Myśliński W, Hanzlik J. Circadian rhythm of spectral
544 indices of heart rate variability in healthy subjects. *J Electrocardiol* 2005;38:239–43.
- 545 [22] Yoshizaki T, Tada Y, Hida A, Sunami A, Yokoyama Y, Togo F, Kawano Y. Influence of
546 dietary behavior on the circadian rhythm of the autonomic nervous system as assessed
547 by heart rate variability. *Physiol Behav* 2013;118:122–8.
- 548 [23] Kuwahara M, Suzuki A, Tsutsumi H, Tanigawa M, Tsubone H, Sugano S. 1999. Power
549 spectral analysis of heart rate variability for assessment of diurnal variation of
550 autonomic nervous activity in miniature swine. *Lab Anim Sci.* 49:202–8.
- 551 [24] Akita M, Ishii K, Kuwahara M, Tsubone H. Power spectral analysis of heart rate
552 variability for assessment of diurnal variation of autonomic nervous activity in guinea
553 pigs. *Exp Anim* 2002;51:1–7.

554 [25] Albarwani S, Al-Siyabi S, Tanira MO. Lisinopril indifferently improves heart rate
555 variability during day and night periods in spontaneously hypertensive rats. *Physiol*
556 *Res* 2013;62:237–45.

557 [26] Thompson RS, Christianson JP, Maslanik TM, Maier SF, Greenwood BN, Fleshner M.
558 Effects of stressor controllability on diurnal physiological rhythms. *Physiol Behav*
559 2013;112:32–9.

560 [27] Piccione G, Grasso F, Giudice E. Circadian rhythm in the cardiovascular system of
561 domestic animals. *Res Vet Sci* 2005;9:155–60.

562 [28] Kuwahara M, Hiraga A, Kai M, Tsubone H, Sugano S. Influence of training on
563 autonomic nervous function in horses: evaluation by power spectral analysis of heart
564 rate variability. *Equine Vet J* 1999;30:178–80.

565 [29] Carvalho de TD, Pastre CT, Rossi RC, Abreu de LC, Valenti VE, Vanderlei LCM.
566 Geometric index of heart rate variability in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.
567 *Rev Port Pneumol* 2011;17:260–5.

568 [30] Stadnitsuki T. Some critical aspects of fractality research. *Non-linear Dynam Psychol*
569 2012;16:137–58.

570 [31] Meeus M, Goubert D, De Backer F, Struyf F, Hermans J, Coppieters I, De Wandele I, Da
571 Silva H, Calders P. Heart rate variability in patients with fibromyalgia and patients
572 with chronic fatigue syndrome: a systematic review. *Semin Arthritis Rheum*
573 2013;43:279–87.

574 [32] Vanderlei LC, Pastre CM, Frietas Jr IF, Godoy MF. Geometric indexes of heart rate
575 variability in obese and eutrophic children. *Arq Bras Cardiol* 2010;95:35–40.

576 [33] Voss A, Schulz S, Schroeder R, Baumert M, Caminal P. Methods derived from non-
577 linear dynamics for analysing heart rate variability. *Philos Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci*
578 2009;367:277–96.

579 [34] Melillo P, Bracale M, Pecchia L. Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability features for real-life
580 stress detection. Case study: students under stress due to university examination.
581 Biomed Eng Online 2011;10:96.

582 [35] Mohr E, Langbein J, Nürnberg G. Heart rate variability: A noninvasive approach to
583 measure stress in calves and cows. *Physiol Behav* 2002;75:251–9.

584 [36] Hagen K, Langbein J, Schmied C, Lexer D, Waiblinger S. Heart rate variability in dairy
585 cows – influences of breed and milking system. *Physiol Behav* 2005;85:195–204.

586 [37] Kovács L, Kézér FL, Jurkovich V, Kulcsár-Huszenicza M, Tőzsér J. Heart Rate
587 Variability as an Indicator of Chronic Stress Caused by Lameness in Dairy Cows. *Plos*
588 *One* 2015;10(8):e0134792. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0134792.

589 [38] Frondelius L, Järvenranta K, Koponen T, Mononen J. The effects of body posture and
590 temperament on heart rate variability in dairy cows. *Physiol Behav* 2005;139:437–41.

591 [39] Kovács L, Kézér FL, Tőzsér J, Szenci O, Póti P, Pajor F. Heart Rate and Heart Rate
592 Variability in Dairy Cows with Different Temperament and Behavioural Reactivity to
593 Humans. *Plos One* 2015;10(8):e0136294. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136294.

594 [40] Kovács L, Tőzsér J, Kézér FL, Ruff F, Aubin-Wodala M, Albert E, Choukeir A,
595 Szelényi Z, Szenci O. Heart rate and heart rate variability in multiparous dairy cows
596 with unassisted calvings in the periparturient period. *Physiol Behav* 2015;139:281–9.

597 [41] Tarvainen MP, Niskanen J-P, Lipponen JA, Ranta-aho PO, Karjalainen PA. Kubios HRV
598 – Heart rate variability analysis software. *Comput Meth Programs Biomed*
599 2014;113:210–20.

600 [42] Kamath MV, Fallen EL. Correction of the heart rate variability signal for ectopics and
601 missing beats. In: Malik M, Camm, AJ, editors. *Heart rate variability*. New York:
602 Futura Publishing; 1995. p. 75–85.

603 [43] Stein PK, Domitrovich PP, Huikuri HV, Kleiger RE. Traditional and nonlinear heart rate
604 variability are each independently associated with mortality after myocardial
605 infarction. *J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol* 2005;16:13–20.

606 [44] Marchant-Forde RM, Marlin DJ, Marchant-Forde JN. Validation of a cardiac monitor for
607 measuring heart rate variability in adult female pigs: accuracy, artefacts and editing.
608 *Physiol Behav* 2004;80:449–58.

609 [45] Zbilut JP, Thomasson N, Webber CL. Recurrence quantification analysis as a tool for
610 non-linear exploration of nonstationary cardiac signals. *Med Eng Phys* 2002;24:53–60.

611 [46] Tarvainen MP, Niskanen J-P. Kubios HRV Version 2.0 User's Guide. Department of
612 Physics, University of Kuopio. Finland: Kupio; 2008.

613 [47] Yi G, Malik M. Heart rate variability analysis in general medicine. *Indian Pacing*
614 *Electrophysiol J* 2003;3:34–40.

615 [48] Malik M, Farrell T, Cripps T, Camm AJ. Heart rate variability in relation to prognosis
616 after myocardial infarction: selection of optimal processing techniques. *Eur Heart J*
617 1989;10:1060–74.

618 [49] R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
619 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; 2014. URL <http://www.R-project.org/>.

620 [50] Watamura SE, Donzella B, Kertes DA, Gunnar MR. Developmental changes in baseline
621 cortisol activity in early childhood: relations with napping and effortful control. *Dev*
622 *Psychobiol* 2004;45:125–33.

623 [51] Yeh ST. Using trapezoidal rule for the area under a curve calculation. In: Proceedings of
624 the 27th Annual SAS User Group International Conference. USA: Cary, North
625 Carolina; 2002. p. 229–27.

626 [52] Wollink F. Physiology and regulation of biological rhythms in laboratory animals: an
627 overview. *Lab Anim* 1989;23:107–25.

628 [53] Folk GE, Thrift DL, Zimmerman MB, Reimann P. Mammalian activity – rest rhythms in
629 Arctic continuous daylight. *Biol Rhythm Res* 2006;37:455–69.

630 [54] Dauchy RT, Dauchy EM, Tirrell RP, Hill CR, Davidson LK, Greene MW, Tirrell PC,
631 Wu J, Sauer LA, Blask DE. Dark-Phase Light Contamination Disrupts Circadian
632 Rhythms in Plasma Measures of Endocrine Physiology and Metabolism in Rats. *Comp*
633 *Med* 2010;60:348–56.

634 [55] Sheward WJ, Naylor E, Knowles-Barley S, Armstrong JD, Brooker GA, Seckl JR, Turek
635 FW, Holmes MC, Zee PC, Harmar AJ. Circadian Control of Mouse Heart Rate and
636 Blood Pressure by the Suprachiasmatic Nuclei: Behavioral Effects Are More
637 Significant than Direct Outputs. *Plos One* 2010;5(3):e9783.
638 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0009783.

639 [56] Mishina M, Watanabe T, Matsuoka S, Shibata K, Fujii K, Maeda H, Wakao Y. Diurnal
640 variations of blood pressure in dogs. *J Vet Med Sci* 1999;61:643–7.

641 [57] Piccione G, Assenza A, Attanzio G, Fazio F, Caola G. Chronophysiology of arterial
642 blood pressure and heart rate in athletic horses. *Slov Vet Res* 2001;38:243–8.

643 [58] Fazio F, Grasso F, Nicosia S, Percipalle M. Blood pressure rhythmic pattern in the cattle.
644 In: *Proceedings of Biological Rhythms in Livestock*. Italy: Messina; 2002. p. 100–8.

645 [59] Piccione G, Caola G, Refinetti R. Daily rhythms of blood pressure, heart rate, and body
646 temperature in fed and fasted male dogs. *J Vet Med Ser A* 2005;52:377–81.

647 [60] Schneider PL, Beede DK, Wilcox CJ. Nycterohemeral patterns of acid-base status,
648 mineral concentrations and digestive function of lactating cows in natural or chamber
649 stress environments. *J Anim Sci* 1988;66:112–25.

650 [61] Takezawa H, Hayashi H, Sano H, Saito H, Ebihara S. Circadian and estrous cycle-
651 dependent variations in blood pressure and heart rate in female rats. *Am J Physiol*
652 *Regul Integr Comp Physiol* 1994;267:R1250–R1256.

653 [62] Van den Buuse M. Circadian rhythms of blood pressure, heart rate, and locomotor
654 activity in spontaneously hypertensive rats as measured with radio-telemetry. *Physiol*
655 *Behav* 1994;55:783–87.

656 [63] van den Buuse M. Circadian rhythms of blood pressure and heart rate in conscious rats:
657 Effects of light cycle shift and timed feeding. *Phys Behav* 1999;68:1–15.

658 [64] Brosh A, Aharoni Y, Shargal E, Choshniak I, Sharir B, Gutman M. Measurements of
659 energy balance of grazing beef cows in Mediterranean pasture, the effects of stocking
660 rate and season: 2. Energy expenditure estimation from heart rate and oxygen
661 consumption, and the energy balance. *Livest Prod Sci* 2004;90:101–15.

662 [65] Brosh, A. Heart rate measurements as an index of energy expenditure and energy balance
663 in ruminants: A review. *Anim Sci* 2007;85:1213–27.

664 [66] Young BA 1981. Cold stress as it affects animal production. *J Anim Sci*;52:154–63.

665 [67] Bolocan E. Effects of heat stress on sexual behavior in heifers *Zootehnie și Biotehnologii*
666 2009;42:141–48.

667 [68] Hajduczuk G, Hade JS, Mark AL, Williams JL, Felder RB. Central command increases
668 sympathetic nerve activity during spontaneous locomotion in cats. *Circ Res*
669 1991;69:66–75.

670 [69] Malpas SC, Purdie GL. Circadian variation of heart rate variability. *Cardiovasc Res*
671 1990;24:210–3.

672 [70] Huikuri HV, Niemelä MJ, Ojala S, Rantala A, Ikäheimo MJ, Airaksinen KEJ. Circadian
673 rhythms of frequency domain measures of heart rate variability in healthy subjects and
674 patients with coronary artery disease. *Circulation* 1994;90:121–26.

675 [71] Korpelainen JT, Sotaniemi KA, Huikuri HV, Myllylä VV. Circadian rhythm of heart rate
676 variability is reversibly abolished in ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 1997;28:2150–4.

677 [72] Ramaekers D, Ector H, Demyttenaere K, Rubens A, Van de Wefr F. Association between

678 cardiac autonomic function and coping style in healthy subjects. *Pacing Clin*
679 *Electrophysiol* 1998;21:1546–52.

680 [73] Witte K, Lemmer B. Free-running rhythms in blood pressure and heart rate in
681 normotensive and transgenic hypertensive rats. *Chronobiol Int* 1995;12:237–47.

682 [74] Appenzeller O. Circadian rhythms. In: Appenzeller O, editor. *The Autonomic Nervous*
683 *System*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science Publishers; 1990. p. 393–402.

684 [75] Depres-Brummer P, Levi F, Metger G, Touitou Y. Light-induced suppression of the rat
685 circadian system. *Am J Physiol* 1995;268:R1111–R1116.

686 [76] Kadzere CT, Murphy MR, Silanikove N, Maltz E. Heat stress in lactating dairy cows: a
687 review. *Livest Prod Sci* 2002;77:59–91.

688 [77] Zahner M, Schrader L, Hauser R, Keck M, Langhans W, Wechsler B. The influence of
689 climatic conditions on physiological and behavioural parameters in dairy cows kept in
690 open stables. *J Anim Sci* 2004;78:139–48.

691 [78] Beckers F, Verheyden B, Aubert AE. Aging and nonlinear heart rate control in a healthy
692 population. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2006;290:2560–70.

693 [79] Hejmel L, Gál I. Heart rate variability analysis. *Acta Physiol Hung* 2001;88:219–30.

694 [80] Li L, Li K, Cheng S, Liu C, Liu C. Five-class density histogram and its application in
695 short-term heart rate variability. *J Med Biol Eng* 2012;32:287–91.

696 [81] Brzozowska A, Marek Łukaszewicz, Grazyna Sender, Dorota Kolasi Jolanta Oprzadek.
697 Locomotor activity of dairy cows in relation to season and lactation. *Appl Anim*
698 *Behav Sci* 2014;156:6–11.

699 [82] Prendergast BJ, Nelson RJ, Zucker I. Mammalian Seasonal Rhythms: Behavior and
700 Neuroendocrine Substrates. *Horm Brain Behav* 2002;2:93–156.

- 701 [83] Makino M, Hayashi H, Takezawa H, Hirai M, Saito H, Ebihara S. Circadian Rhythms of
702 Cardiovascular Functions Are Modulated by the Baroreflex and the Autonomic
703 Nervous System in the Rat. *Circulation* 1997;96:1667–74.
- 704 [84] Warren WS, Champney TH, Cassone VM. The suprachiasmatic nucleus controls the
705 circadian rhythm of heart rate via the sympathetic nervous system. *Physiol Behav*
706 1994;55:1091–99.