**Arginine vasotocin induces calling behavior with a female social stimulus and interacts
with gonadotropins to affect sexual behaviors in male *Xenopus tropicalis***

Robert A. Miranda*, Brian T. Searcy, Catherine R. Propper

Affiliation Address:
Department of Biological Sciences
Northern Arizona University
617 South Beaver St.
PO Box 5640
Flagstaff, Arizona, 86011-5640 USA

*Corresponding Author Present Address:
Department of Biology
University of the Incarnate Word
4301 Broadway
CPO 311
San Antonio, TX 78209 USA
Email address: rmirand1@uiwtx.edu
Phone: + 1 210-283-5047

Abstract

Arginine vasotocin (AVT) and the mammalian homologue, arginine vasopressin (AVP), **modulate** vertebrate social behaviors, including vocalizations in male anurans. To study the impact of AVT and social stimuli on calling in male *Xenopus tropicalis*, we injected males with vehicle, 1µg, or 10µg AVT and recorded vocalizations under four social contexts (no stimulus, with male call playback, with a female, and with call playback and a female). More males called when injected with 10µg AVT. Furthermore, calling males called only when paired with a female. We identified four call types: long fast trill; short fast trill; slow trill; or click. Next, we injected males with vehicle, 10µg, or 20µg AVT and recorded vocalizations with or without a female. **AVT treatment did not affect calling in this experiment, but we confirmed that more males, regardless of AVT treatment, called when a female was present.** Then we evaluated the effect of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) on male sexual behavior. 20 IU hCG elevated behavior compared to controls while the 10 IU hCG treatment group was not different from either treatment. Last, we examined the effect of AVT on hCG-induced reproductive behavior. Males were injected with 10 IU hCG or with 10 IU hCG and 20µg AVT. Males receiving hCG and AVT clasped and called significantly more than males receiving hCG only. Our results suggest AVT and a female stimulus induce vocalizations in a male pipid anuran, *X. tropicalis*, and the interaction between gonadotropins and neurohormones influence reproductive behaviors in this anuran amphibian.

1 **Keywords**

2 arginine vasotocin; arginine vasopressin; gonadotropin; *Xenopus tropicalis*; reproduction;

3 communication

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1. Introduction

Arginine vasotocin (AVT), a peptide hormone in non-mammalian vertebrates, and arginine vasopressin (AVP), the mammalian homologue, **modulate** several social behaviors and many of the endocrine and neuroendocrine activities of AVT/AVP on behavior have been conserved throughout vertebrate evolution (reviewed by Albers, 2015; Goodson, 2013; Goodson and Bass, 2001; Rose and Moore, 2002). For example, AVT/AVP affects reproductive and aggressive behaviors in fishes (Godwin and Thompson, 2012), **reptiles (Dunham and Wilczynski, 2014)**, birds (Goodson et al., 2009), and mammals (Bosch and Neumann, 2012; Minerbo et al., 1994). This neuropeptide system also influences bird flocking behavior (Goodson et al., 2012) as well as mammalian pair-bonding (Nair and Young, 2006) and parental care behavior (Bosch and Neumann, 2012). Collectively, these studies demonstrate that the AVT/AVP system affects a variety of social behaviors across vertebrate clades.

In amphibians AVT treatment facilitates several mating behaviors. The first study investigating the effect of this neuroendocrine pathway on behavior found AVT inhibits the release call in female leopard frogs, *Rana pipiens* (Diakow, 1978). Other studies in female anuran amphibians demonstrate that AVT treatment increases call phonotaxis in American bullfrogs, *Rana catesbeiana*, (Boyd 1994) and American toads, *Bufo americanus* (Schmidt, 1984). In male urodele amphibians, AVT affects spermatophore discharge, pheromone release, and androgen-induced courtship tail vibration behavior in Japanese red-bellied newts, *Cynops pyrrhogaster*, (Toyoda et al., 2003) and AVT enhances amplexic clasping behavior in male roughskin newts, *Taricha granulosa* (Moore and Miller, 1983; Moore and Zoeller, 1979), while an antagonist to AVP or an anti-AVT immune serum inhibits this sexual behavior in this species

(Moore and Miller, 1983). These studies demonstrate that AVT affects various reproductive behaviors in male and female amphibians.

The most broadly established behavioral role in vertebrates, including anuran amphibians, for the AVT/AVP system is vocal modulation (Goodson and Bass, 2001; Wilczynski et al., 2005). In anurans AVT administration facilitates the display of male calling behavior in several species including *R. catesbeiana* (Boyd, 1994); green treefrog, *Hyla cinerea*, (Penna et al., 1992); gray treefrog, *Hyla versicolor* (Semsar et al., 1998); cricket frog, *Acris crepitans* (Marler et al., 1995); American toad, *Bufo americanus* (Schmidt and Kemnitz, 1989); Great Plains toad, *Bufo cognatus* (Propper and Dixon, 1997); túngara frog, *Physalaemus pustulosus* (Kime et al., 2007); and the Puerto Rican coquí frog, *Eleutherodactylus coqui* (Ten Eyck, 2005). Collectively, these studies demonstrate that AVT is an important **modulator** of calling behavior in male anurans.

In addition to AVT, social conditions are also important in influencing anuran vocalizations. Natural or simulated call recordings are commonly used to stimulate calling behavior in AVT-treated males (Penna et al., 1992; Boyd, 1994; Chu et al., 1998; Burmeister et al., 2001). Boyd (1994) did not observe spontaneous calling in AVT-treated male bullfrogs, but they called in response to a recording of calls from a male conspecific, and male cricket frogs treated with AVT called sooner and more vigorously during and after a simulated acoustic agonistic encounter (Chu et al., 1998). In field experiments with Puerto Rican coquí frogs, satellite males began calling after an AVT injection and were also more likely to move into a new territory than AVT-injected territorial males (Ten Eyck, 2005). Finally, in field experiments with gray treefrogs intruding males were more likely to call and take over a resident's calling site when treated with AVT (Semsar et al., 1998) and proximity to another calling male influenced

calling behavior in AVT-treated males (Trainor et al., 2003). Taken together, these studies suggest that AVT and social stimuli interact to affect vocalizations in male anurans.

***X. tropicalis* has recently become a popular model organism. Male vocal behavior induced using gonadotropin has been reported previously (Tobias et al, 2011; Vigny, 1979). Vigny (1979) described male *X. tropicalis* advertisement calls as “very deep trills” varying from 1.5 sec to 10 sec in duration, and Tobias et al. (2011) described these calls as having a single low frequency peak.** However, the effect of AVT administration on calling in this species has not been studied, and to the best of our knowledge, there is no evidence that AVT induces calling behavior in the family Pipidae. Thus, the purpose of this study was to investigate whether exogenous AVT induces calling behavior in male *X. tropicalis* and whether different social stimuli influence male vocalizations. We evaluated how AVT treatment and different social stimuli, including exposure to a female and male call playback recording, influenced male vocalizations. We predicted exogenous AVT would increase the probability for a male to call and this probability would also increase with increasing AVT dose. Next, we examined how AVT treatment and the presence or absence of females influenced male calling. Androgens modulate anuran brain AVT signaling and calling behavior (Boyd, 1997; Penna et al., 1992), and these androgenic effects are influenced by social context (Burmeister and Wilczynski, 2001). Therefore, we then investigated whether hCG treatment, which increases circulating androgens and calling behavior in *X. laevis* (Wetzel and Kelley, 1983), facilitates sexual behavior in *X. tropicalis*. Finally, we examined the effect of AVT treatment on hCG-induced calling and clasping behavior to determine whether AVT interacts with gonadal activation of steroid production to influence reproductive behavior.

2. Methods

2.1 Animal Husbandry

X. tropicalis were obtained from Xenopus Express (Brooksville, FL), Nasco (Modesto, CA), the Grainger laboratory (Robert Grainger, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA), or bred in the Propper laboratory (Catherine Propper, Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, AZ) and housed at the Northern Arizona University Biological Sciences Annex. Frogs were housed in 40 L aquaria containing 6 L of salt-conditioned (0.3 g/L R/O Right, KENT Marine, Franklin, WI) reverse osmosis (RO) water. Aquaria were kept in a temperature controlled room maintained at 25 °C with a 12-hour light:dark photoperiod (0000 h:1200 h), and each aquarium housed one to six frogs of the same sex and source. Frog health was assessed daily. Ninety percent of the water was changed three times per week after which each frog was fed three pellets of Frog Brittle (Nasco). Northern Arizona IACUC (Protocol Number 09-006) approved all husbandry and experimental procedures.

2.2 General Behavior Trial Methods

For all experiments, the following general methods were used. At 1100 h on the day of the experiment, each male was weighed and then placed in a clean aquarium with 6 L of salt-conditioned RO water. After weighing, hormone treatments were injected using 50 µL amphibian Ringer's solution (diluted 10-fold from stock solution: 114.99 mM NaCl, 2.52 mM KHCO₃, 0.973 mM CaCl₂) as a vehicle. Following the injection, frogs were returned to the experimental tank and allowed to acclimate. Tanks were separated with acoustic sound foam to help insulate individual males from calls of nearby males. All females used in behavior trials

1 were uninjected sexually mature adults. In all behavior trials throughout this study, clasped
2 females extended their hind limbs and swam until the male was dislodged indicating they were
3 sexually unreceptive (Kelley, 1982; Wu et al., 2001). This condition is known to induce
4 advertisement calling in male *X. laevis* (Kelley and Pfaff, 1976; Wetzel and Kelley, 1983; Yang
5 et al., 2007). Behavior trials started at lights-out (1200 h) and frog behavior was observed under
6 red light. Vocalizations from each male frog were recorded using an H2 hydrophone (Aquarian
7 Audio Products, Anacortes, WA) submerged in the center of the aquarium that was attached to a
8 Sony ICD-P Series digital recorder (Sony Corporation, New York, NY). Recordings were later
9 analyzed using Raven Pro (Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Ithaca, NY) for the display of calling
10 behavior. Each call bout was analyzed for duration and click rate (clicks/second), and the total
11 time spent calling was determined.

13 *2.3 Experiment 1: Effect of AVT and Social Stimuli on Calling and Clasping Behavior*

14 Ten males (eight *Xenopus* Express, two Nasco) with a mean weight of 11.2 g (range 7.3-
15 15 g) were used to evaluate the effect of AVT and different social contexts on calling behavior in
16 male *X. tropicalis*. Experiment 1 was conducted from late June to early July in 2008. Each frog
17 was injected intraperitoneally (ip) with three different treatment dosages of AVT (Sigma-Aldrich
18 cat # V0130, St. Louis, MO, USA). Frogs were injected with vehicle control, 1 µg AVT, and 10
19 µg AVT which are within the range of effective ip dosages previously used to induce
20 vocalizations in other anuran species (Boyd, 1994; Propper and Dixon, 1997; Klomberg &
21 Marler, 2000). Each treatment injection was given on a different day in randomized order with
22 four days in between treatment injections. On the day of each injection male vocalizations were
23 recorded under four different social contexts which were presented in the following order: 1)

male alone; 2) male exposed to call playback of conspecific male; 3) male paired with an untreated adult female; 4) male paired with the female and exposed to call playback. Each context lasted 90 minutes. The order of social contexts presented remained the same for all frogs for the three behavior trial days. The playback recording consisted of previously recorded calls from an individual conspecific male that was played on a loop over a loudspeaker in the room. Each male was focally recorded for 15 min out of the 90 min for each social context (Lehner, 1992). The proportion of frogs calling in each AVT treatment and in each social context was determined. Each male was also focally observed under red light for five min for clasping behavior during social contexts when the female was present (contexts 3 and 4).

2.4 Experiment 2: Effect of AVT and Female Stimulus on Calling and Clasping Behavior

To determine whether male calling behavior in Experiment 1 was induced by the female social stimulus rather than the time after AVT injection, we used male *X. tropicalis* (Grainger lab) with a mean weight of 8.5 g (range 7-11 g) to evaluate the effect of a female stimulus on calling behavior in AVT-treated males. Experiment 2 was conducted during October of 2008. Each male was randomly assigned to one of three AVT treatment groups (n=12). The ip AVT dosages 10 µg, 20 µg or vehicle were used to determine if there was a dose effect of AVT on male calling behavior. In order to determine whether females induced vocalizations in males, calling behavior was recorded under the sequentially introduced social contexts: 1) male alone; 2) male paired with a female; 3) female removed; 4) female reintroduced. Each male was focally recorded for 30 min out of the 75 min for each social context. The amount of time each call type (described from Experiment 1; Table 1) was displayed, total time calling (excluding clicks), and

the proportion of frogs calling in each AVT treatment and for each social stimulus were determined. Each male was also focally observed for clasping behavior as in Experiment 1.

2.5 Experiment 3: Effect of hCG on Calling and Clasping Behavior

Other studies have used hCG treatment to induce sexual behavior in male *X. tropicalis* (Vigny, 1979) and *X. laevis* (Kelley and Pfaff, 1976; Tobias et al., 2004; Wetzel and Kelley, 1983; Yang et al., 2007). Experiment 3 was conducted in late May of 2010 using male *X. tropicalis* (Propper lab) with a mean weight of 10.8 g (range 7.5 g-14.5 g) to determine a dose-response effect of hCG on sexual behavior. Each male was randomly assigned to one of four hCG treatment groups (n=6). Frogs were injected in the dorsal lymph sac with one of the following: vehicle control, 5, 10, or 20 International Units (IU) of hCG (Sigma-Aldrich cat # CG10). A female was paired with the male at lights out and left in the tank for the duration of the experiment. Calling behavior was recorded for five hours, and male clasping behavior was focally observed under red light for five min rotating increments totaling 20 min per hour over the course of 5 hours (100 min total). The number of clasp attempts, amount of time each call type (Table 1) was displayed, and total time calling (excluding clicks) was determined.

2.6 Experiment 4: Effect of AVT and hCG on Calling and Clasping Behavior

Experiment 4 was designed to determine whether the effect of AVT on male sexual behavior in *X. tropicalis* is influenced by co-treatment with gonadotropin. Experiment 4 was conducted from late June to early July of 2010 using male *X. tropicalis* (Grainger lab; mean weight = 9.2 g, range 6.5 g-10.9 g). Based on results from Experiment 3, all males in this experiment received 10 IU hCG to induce a baseline sexually active state. Males received one of

two treatment injections in the dorsal lymph sac (n=12): 10 IU hCG (control treatment) or 10 IU hCG and 20 µg AVT. At lights out, a female was paired with the male and left in the tank for the duration of the experiment. Calling and clasping behaviors were evaluated as described in Experiment 3.

2.7 Statistics

For Experiments 1 and 2 a G-test was used to test for differences in the proportion of males observed calling and the proportion observed clasping among AVT treatments. A G-test was also used to test for differences in the proportion of calling males, regardless of AVT treatment, observed calling among social stimuli. For post-hoc analysis, a Fisher's exact test was used to test for individual differences in the proportion of males calling between hormone treatments as well as between social stimuli. Data for time spent calling and clasp attempts in Experiments 2, 3 and 4 were not normally distributed. Therefore, we used a nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis test (Mann-Whitney U test for Experiment 4) followed by a post-hoc Mann-Whitney U test to compare the frequency of clasp attempts, total time calling, and time of individual call types (excluding clicks) among hormone treatment groups. In Experiment 4 a Fisher's exact test was also used to test for differences between treatment groups in the proportion of males observed calling more than 100 sec. All statistical analyses were conducted using JMP Version 9 (SAS, Cary, NC).

3. Results

3.1 Calling Behavior in *X. tropicalis*

From Experiment 1 we identified and described four different types of calls displayed by *X. tropicalis* which were regularly displayed over the course of our study. Call types are based on call parameters including the call click rate and/or the length of the call bout. These call types include: 1) long fast trills; 2) short fast trills; 3) slow trills; and 4) clicks (Table 1; Figure 1). A long fast trill is a call bout lasting at least one second and with a click rate of at least 20 clicks/sec. A short fast trill is a call bout less than one second in length and a click rate of at least 20 clicks/sec. A slow trill is a call bout with a click rate between 5 and 20 clicks/sec. A trill (fast or slow) consists of at least 5 clicks in a call bout. Any call bout consisting of less than 5 clicks or with a click rate of less than 5 clicks/sec was identified as a click. **All four calls we observed had a dominant frequency of approximately 600 Hz. The fast long trill we observed is similar spectrally to the male *X. tropicalis* advertisement call previously described (Tobias et al., 2011; Vigny, 1979) was the call most frequently displayed by males and was commonly produced before and during the time males also displayed clasping behavior (personal observation).**

Call Name	Clicks/sec	Call bout length
Fast long trill	> 20	≥ 1 sec
Fast short trill	> 20	< 1 sec
Slow trill	5-20	≥ 5 clicks
click	< 5	< 5 clicks

Table 1. Definition of calls displayed by *X. tropicalis* based on call parameters. Call spectrograms and waveforms are illustrated in Figure 1.

Figure 1. *X. tropicalis* call types observed and described in this study: **an individual long fast trill** (upper left); **a series of short fast trills** (lower left); **an individual slow trill** (upper right); and **a series of clicks** (lower right). All calls have a dominant frequency of approximately 600 Hz. For each call type a spectrogram (frequency vs. time) is depicted in the top trace and a waveform (amplitude vs. time) is depicted in the bottom trace. See Table 1 for call type definitions.

3.2 Experiment 1: Effect of AVT and Social Stimuli on Calling and Clasping Behavior

In Experiment 1 AVT significantly increased the proportion of males calling compared to controls ($G^2 = 6.01$, $P = 0.0495$; Figure 2A). Post-hoc analysis demonstrated that 10 ug AVT induced calling compared to control animals (Fisher's exact, $P = 0.029$). All other comparisons did not differ ($P > 0.05$). Only one male in the control treatment was observed calling. Thus, we were unable to statistically analyze the data for time spent calling and time displaying individual call types. There was a significant difference in the proportion of frogs calling among social

stimuli irrespective of AVT treatment ($G^2 = 31.43$, $P < 0.0001$; Figure 2B). All calling males across all treatment groups called only during social contexts when the female was present in the tank. The proportion of calling males that called when paired with a female only was significantly different compared to when males were alone and compared to when males were exposed to the playback recording only (Fisher's exact, $P < 0.0001$ for each comparison). The proportion of males that called when paired with a female while also exposed to the playback recording was significantly different compared to when males were alone and compared to when males were exposed to the playback recording only (Fisher's exact, $P = 0.0325$ for each comparison). **When males called, the duration (sec) for each call type (mean $\pm$ SE, # callers) when paired with females (no playback recording) was: 10.4 ± 2.8 , 6 for long fast trills, 4.5 ± 0.8 , 4 for short fast trills, 7.1 ± 1.3 , 2 for slow trills and when paired with a female plus playback record was: 10.4 ± 9.4 , 5 for long fast trills, 3.2 ± 1.2 , 2 for short fast trills, 46.8 , 1 for slow trills.** One male (1 μ g AVT) was observed clasping among all treatment groups.

Figure 2. Arginine vasotocin and social stimuli affect male calling behavior. (A) The proportion of males observed calling among AVT treatments in Experiment 1 was significantly different. Letters indicate significant differences from Fisher's exact test. (B) The proportion of calling males across all AVT treatments (10 total) in Experiment 1 was significantly different according to social context. Letters indicate significant differences from Fisher's exact test.

3.3 Experiment 2: Effect of AVT and Female Stimulus on Calling and Clasping Behavior

Discontinuous individual clicks were regularly recorded when males were alone and in the presence of a female; however, we could not conclusively rule out the possibility that females also produced clicks as a release call. Thus, frogs were considered to be calling only when producing trill-type calls. There were an equal proportion of frogs observed calling in all AVT treatment groups (Figure 3A). For males that did call, there was no difference among treatment groups in the amount of time spent calling (Kruskal-Wallis, $P = 0.18$; Figure 3B) or in the amount of time displaying the individual call types (Kruskal-Wallis, $P > 0.05$ for each call type; data not shown). However, the proportion of males that called according to social stimulus was significantly different among all calling frogs, regardless of AVT treatment ($G^2 = 24.59$, $P < 0.0001$; Figure 3C). Specifically, more males called when females were available. There was a significant difference in the proportion of frogs that called when the male was alone compared to when the female was introduced (Fisher's exact, $P < 0.001$), when the female was introduced compared to when the female was removed (Fisher's exact, $P = 0.0045$) and when the female was removed compared to when the female was reintroduced (Fisher's exact, $P = 0.0045$). **When males called, the duration (sec) for each call type (mean $\pm$ SE, # callers) was 21.1 $\pm$ 12.2, 18 for long fast trills, 1.7 $\pm$ 0.7, 12 for short fast trills when paired with females and 6.0 $\pm$ 1.9, 2 for long fast trills, 1.1 $\pm$ 0.2, 2 for short fast trills when alone.** The proportion of males observed clasping did not differ according to AVT treatment ($G^2 = 2.96$, $P = 0.23$). One male was observed clasping when injected with 10 μ g AVT, and two males were observed clasping when injected with 20 μ g AVT. Males injected with the vehicle did not clasp.

Figure 3. Effect of arginine vasotocin and female stimulus on male calling behavior. (A) In Experiment 2 an equal proportion of males called in each AVT treatment. (B) The amount of time calling males called did not differ among AVT treatments. Box plot indicates median $\pm$ first and third quartiles while whiskers show minimum and maximum values. (C) Among calling males across AVT treatments in Experiment 2, the proportion of males that displayed calling behavior increased after a female was introduced. This proportion decreased when the female was removed then increased when the female was reintroduced. Letters indicate significant differences from post-hoc Fisher's exact test.

3.4 Experiment 3: Effect of hCG on Calling and Clasping Behavior

In Experiment 3 there was a significant difference among treatments in clasp attempts (Kruskal-Wallis, $P = 0.023$; Figure 4A) and total time calling (Kruskal-Wallis, $P = 0.025$; Figure 4B). Males receiving 10 IU hCG clasped significantly more than males receiving 5 IU hCG (Mann-Whitney U, $P = 0.028$). Males receiving 20 IU hCG clasped and called significantly more than males receiving vehicle and 5 IU hCG (Mann-Whitney U, $P < 0.01$ for each comparison). All other comparisons for total time calling and clasp attempts between treatments were not different. Additionally, there was a difference among treatments in time males displayed long fast trills (Kruskal-Wallis, $P < 0.01$; Figure 4C) and slow trills (Kruskal-Wallis, $P < 0.01$; Figure 4D). Males receiving 20 IU hCG displayed long fast trills significantly more compared to control and 5 IU treated males (Mann-Whitney U, $P < 0.01$). Males receiving 20 IU hCG displayed slow trills significantly more compared to control males (Mann-Whitney U, $P = 0.01$). Males receiving 5 IU hCG displayed slow trills for less time than male injected with 10 IU and 20 IU hCG (Mann-Whitney U, $P = 0.028$ and $P < 0.01$, respectively). All other comparisons between treatments for the display of call types did not differ.

Figure 4. Human chorionic gonadotropin affects male sexual behaviors. (A) There was a significant difference among treatment groups in the number of clasp attempts and (B) in the amount of time males called for Experiment 3. (C) There was a difference among treatment groups in the display of long fast trills and (D) in the display of slow trills among treatments. Details regarding box plots are described in Figure 3B. Letters indicate significant differences from post-hoc analyses.

3.5 Experiment 4: Effect of AVT and hCG on Calling and Clasp Behavior

There was a significant difference between treatments in clasp attempts (Mann-Whitney U, $P < 0.01$; Figure 5A) and total time calling (Mann-Whitney U, $P = 0.012$; Figure 5B) in Experiment 4 as males receiving hCG/AVT clasped and called significantly more than males receiving hCG only. Additionally, males receiving hCG/AVT displayed significantly more long fast trills compared to males treated with hCG alone (Mann-Whitney U, $P = 0.01$; Figure 5C). Males receiving hCG/AVT also showed significantly more calling behavior (frogs calling >100

sec) than those treated with hCG only (Fisher's exact, $P < 0.01$; Figure 5D). Comparisons between treatments for the display of all other call types were not significantly different (data not shown).

Figure 5. Arginine vasotocin with human chorionic gonadotropin increases sexual behavior. (A) There was a significant difference between treatment groups in the number of clasp attempts and (B) in the amount of time males called for Experiment 4. (C) There was a difference between treatment groups in the display of long fast trills. (D) There was also a significant difference in the proportion of males calling >100 sec. Details regarding box plots are described in Figure 3B. Asterisks indicate significant a difference between treatments.

4. Discussion

Here, we show that AVT, as in other vocalizing vertebrates, has a stimulatory effect on calling behavior in male *X. tropicalis* when the appropriate social stimulus is present, specifically the presence of a female conspecific. Additionally, because we demonstrated that AVT increases both calling and clasping behavior in hCG-treated males, AVT may interact with gonadotropins and/or sex steroids to influence male reproductive behavior. Furthermore, our results demonstrate that the effect of AVT on sexual behavior in this species is context-dependent and suggest that visual, tactile, **audio**, and/or pheromonal cues from females may be important **co-modulators** of AVT's influence on sexual behavior.

In our study we also describe four call types displayed by *X. tropicalis* based on call duration and click rate characteristics of call bouts we observed across all our experiments. Long fast trills were the most common calls observed in our experiments and are **most** similar to the advertisement calls identified in previous studies evaluating gonadotropin-induced calling in *X. tropicalis* (Tobias et al., 2011; Vigny, 1979). **Vigny (1979) observed calls that were 1.5 sec to 10 sec in length with longer calls having a slower click rate, and Tobias et al. (2011) described the call of *X. tropicalis* as having a single low frequency peak of approximately 500 Hz. In *X. laevis* male advertisement calls differ from female release calls (ticking) both in spectral frequency and click rate (Tobias et al., 2004). The fast trills, slow trills and clicks we recorded in our study all had a single dominant frequency of approximately 600 Hz. Although rare, trills and clicks were also recorded in Experiment 2 when males were alone, and we observed single call bouts in which the click rate of a fast trill decreased to a slow trill or the click rate of a slow trill increased to a fast trill. Taken together, these results suggest that only the male vocalized when paired with a female; however, we**

1 **excluded clicks from our analyses because male ticking cannot be reliable distinguished**
2 **from female ticking in *X. laevis* (Tobias et al., 2004).** While the fast trills we observed are
3 likely male advertisement calls, the **behavioral responses elicited by** the other call types
4 described here is unclear and it is possible the clicks we observed were actually produced by
5 females. In fact, the clicks we describe here are similar to clicks that are produced as a release
6 call by unreceptive female *X. laevis* (Tobias et al., 1998; Tobias et al., 2004). Further calling
7 behavior studies and determining which sex produces which call may help us better understand
8 vocal communication in this species.

9 In anurans, AVT treatment induces calling behavior in several frog species (see
10 Introduction) but has not been previously shown to induce calling in any species from the family
11 Pipidae. We provide evidence that AVT **modulates** vocal behavior in a new family of anuran
12 amphibians. The effect of exogenous AVT on vocal behavior observed in our study is consistent
13 with the results of other studies using other frog species as well as other vertebrate taxa. Vocal
14 modulation by AVT and AVP is well-established in vertebrates (Goodson and Bass, 2001) and
15 has been demonstrated in fishes (Bastian et al., 2001; Goodson and Bass, 2000), birds (Goodson,
16 1998; Maney et al., 1997) and mammals (Winslow and Insel, 1991; Winslow and Insel, 1993).
17 For example, AVT administration induces vocalizations in the plainfin midshipman fish
18 (Goodson and Bass, 2000) and in white-crowned sparrows (Maney et al., 1997) while ultrasonic
19 vocalizations are impaired in AVP 1b knockout mice (Scattoni et al., 2008). AVP administration
20 even affects social communication (Thompson et al., 2006) and speech (Tsikunov and
21 Belokoskova, 2007) in humans. Our results provide further evidence for the evolutionarily
22 conserved role of the AVT/AVP neuropeptide system in modulating vocal behavior.

1 The absence of an AVT effect on calling behavior in Experiment 2 may have been caused
2 by the natural endocrine state of all males used in this experiment. Androgen concentrations
3 correlate with reproductive behaviors during the breeding season in many amphibian species.
4 Testosterone (T) and/or dihydrotestosterone (DHT) levels are highest during the breeding season
5 in several male anurans (Harvey and Propper, 1997; Itoh and Ishii, 1990; Mendonca et al., 1985)
6 and urodeles (Deviche et al., 1990; Tanaka and Takikawa, 1983). Additionally, plasma T levels
7 correlate with calling behavior in anurans (Harvey and Propper, 1997; Marler and Ryan, 1996;
8 O'Bryant and Wilczynski, 2010; Solis and Penna, 1997; Townsend and Moger, 1987). O'Bryant
9 and Wilczynski (2010) also detected changes in both plasma T levels and brain AVT cell number
10 in male green treefrogs during the breeding season. Furthermore, T and DHT treatment can
11 restore reproductive behavior in castrated urodeles (Andreoletti et al., 1983; Deviche and Moore,
12 1988) and anurans (Burmeister and Wilczynski, 2001) including calling in *X. laevis* (Wetzel and
13 Kelley, 1983). Taken together, our results in Experiment 2 may be due to the animals already
14 being primed with endogenous steroids prior to AVT injection.

15 hCG, a surrogate for luteinizing hormone, regulates testicular steroidogenesis in anurans
16 (Canosa and Ceballos, 2002; Pozzi et al., 2006). Moreover, exogenous gonadotropin stimulates
17 calling (Tobias et al., 2004; Vigny, 1979; Wetzel and Kelley, 1983) and clasping (Kelley and
18 Pfaff, 1976; Tobias et al., 2004) behaviors in *Xenopus*. Therefore, we evaluated the effect of
19 hCG treatment on male reproductive behaviors in Experiment 3 to identify a dose of hCG that
20 would induce a consistent baseline level of observable male sexual behavior in *X. tropicalis* for
21 future AVT experiments. hCG induced a dose dependent elevation of clasping and calling
22 behavior. Specifically, 20 IU hCG significantly elevated male sexual behavior compared to
23 controls while the 10 IU dose did not differ from either treatment. Therefore, we determined that

1 10 IU hCG was adequate to prime male sexual behavior without over stimulating them and
2 potentially concealing observable AVT effects on behavior. In Experiment 4, AVT co-treatment
3 increased calling and clasping behavior compared to males treated with hCG only which
4 provides further support for a role of AVT in reproductive behaviors in *X. tropicalis*. hCG
5 increases circulating androgens, which are necessary for calling (Wetzel and Kelley, 1983), and
6 sex steroid treatment restores brain AVT concentrations and calling in castrated male anurans
7 (Boyd, 1997; Penna et al., 1992). In addition, do-Rego et al. (2006) reported that AVT stimulates
8 neurosteroid synthesis in the frog brain. However, Yang et al. (2007) recently reported that hCG
9 treatment directly activates luteinizing hormone receptors in brain areas involved in call
10 production in **androgen-primed male *X. laevis***. Thus, our results suggest that AVT may be
11 interacting with hCG directly or indirectly (via androgen synthesis) to impact *X. tropicalis*
12 reproductive behavior. Use of this novel combined hormone treatment and its effect on sex
13 steroid levels and the expression of neurohormone signaling systems may be important in
14 subsequent behavior studies aimed to elucidate the mechanisms of complex signaling
15 interactions between sex steroids and neuroendocrine systems for regulating social behaviors.

16 Social context is also important for inducing and influencing vocalizations in male
17 anurans. In Experiment 1 and 2 male frogs, regardless of AVT treatment, displayed calling
18 behavior significantly more when paired with a female than without a female suggesting female
19 social cues are an important driver of male *X. tropicalis* vocalizations. Previous studies have
20 used females as a stimulus when examining male vocal behavior in *Xenopus* species (Kelley and
21 Pfaff, 1976; Tobias et al., 2011; Vigny, 1979; Wetzel and Kelley, 1983; Yang et al., 2007).

22 **Although we cannot determine from this study if olfactory, visual, audio and/or tactile cues**
23 **from females induce male behaviors, a pilot study in our lab suggests that male *X. tropicalis***

1 **prefer water that females had previously been housed in compared to clean water**
2 **(unpublished data), and in *X. laevis* calls from receptive females stimulate male clasping**
3 **and calling behavior while calls from unreceptive females suppress these behaviors (Tobias**
4 **et al., 1998).** Thompson and Moore (2000) also demonstrated that visual and pheromonal stimuli
5 trigger courtship behavior in AVT-treated roughskin newts; however, AVT also can activate
6 courtship behavior in male *Hynobius leechii* salamanders without exposure to any external
7 stimuli (Kim et al., 2013).

8 Moreover, male behaviors in *X. tropicalis* could potentially be activated by stimuli from
9 male conspecifics as Tobias et al. (2004) found that hCG-treated male *X. laevis* call in the
10 presence of other males and the amount of calling and types of calls produced are affected by the
11 presence of the other male. In this study we did not evaluate calling behavior when males were
12 paired together, but we used a call playback recording to simulate male-male interactions. Call
13 playback experiments are commonly used to study anuran calling behavior (Boyd, 1994; Chu et
14 al., 1998; Kime et al., 2010), and Tobias et al. (2010) found that playback of advertisement calls
15 underwater affect calling in male *X. laevis*. Specifically, playback intensities that are equivalent
16 to a nearby advertising male suppress vocal behavior while low-intensity playbacks are
17 ineffective or can stimulate calling in the focal male (Tobias et al., 2010). We attempted to
18 simulate a male conspecific using calls played over a loudspeaker; however, calling behavior
19 was unaffected when males were exposed to a single low-intensity playback recording.
20 Therefore, it remains unclear if the presence of a female or the presence of another frog,
21 regardless of sex, affects calling behavior in male *X. tropicalis*. A future study evaluating the
22 effect of specific sensory cues, male-male pairings or the alteration of call playback intensity
23 would help clarify whether females or both sexes influence male *X. tropicalis* vocalizations.

Throughout the course of this study, males frequently called before and during clasping which has been previously documented in hCG-treated *X. laevis* (Tobias et al., 2004). AVT induces amplexic clasping in the roughskin newt (Moore and Miller, 1983), although it does not affect clasping in the Great Plains toad while GnRH affects this behavior in this species (Propper and Dixon, 1997). To our knowledge, we provide evidence for the first time that AVT induces clasping in an anuran and that it also affects both calling and clasping behavior in the same species. Together, these results suggest that in different amphibian species similar behaviors are **modulated** by different neuropeptides.

In Experiment 4 AVT treatment increased the amount of time sexually active (hCG-treated) males produced long fast trills. These results suggest AVT may influence call characteristics in *X. tropicalis*. In fact, AVT administration changed call characteristics that influence social interactions in grey treefrogs (Klomberg and Marler, 2000), altered mating calls in playback experiments with male túngura frogs (Kime et al., 2010), increased calling behavior during and after an agonistic encounter in male cricket frogs (Chu et al., 1998), and caused cricket frogs to produce calls characteristic of less aggressive males (Marler et al, 1995). Together these results further suggest that AVT interacts with social cues to influence calling behavior in male anurans.

In conclusion, this study demonstrates that AVT induces reproductive behaviors in male *X. tropicalis*. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first evidence that AVT induces male calling and clasping behavior in a pipid anuran. AVT also interacts with hCG through signaling mechanisms still to be determined to influence these reproductive behaviors. Additionally, female social stimuli appear to be important for the expression of male reproductive behavior. Overall, our results suggest that *X. tropicalis* could be a useful neuroendocrine and

neuroethological model to help further understand how hormone systems work to **modulate** vocal and reproductive behaviors in amphibians and other vertebrates.

Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Angelina Krueger, Linda Natkin and Atilade Owolabi for assistance with data collection and to Dr. Jenna Monroy for audio analysis training. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) under Grant # DGE-0549505 as well as NSF Grant # IOS-0723370 to CRP. This manuscript was also developed under STAR Fellowship Assistance Agreement no. FP-91714301 awarded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). It has not been formally reviewed by EPA. The views expressed in this manuscript are solely those of Robert Miranda, and EPA does not endorse any products or commercial services mentioned in this manuscript.

References

- Albers, H.E., 2015. Species, sex and individual differences in the vasotocin/vasopressin system: Relationship to neurochemical signaling in the social behavior neural network. *Front Neuroendocrinol.* 35, 49-71.
- Andreoletti, G.E., Malacarne, G., Vellano, C., 1983. Androgen control of male sex behavior in the crested newt (*Triturus cristatus carnifex* Laur.): Castration and sex steroid administration. *Horm. Behav.* 17, 103-110.
- Bastian, J., Schniederjan, S., Nguyenkim, J., 2001. Arginine vasotocin modulates a sexually dimorphic communication behavior in the weakly electric fish *Apteronotus leptorhynchus*. *J. Exp. Biol.* 204, 1909-1923.
- Bosch, O.J., Neumann, I.D., 2012. Both oxytocin and vasopressin are mediators of maternal care and aggression in rodents: From central release to sites of action. *Horm. Behav.* 61, 293-303.
- Boyd, S.K., 1994. Arginine vasotocin facilitation of advertisement calling and call phonotaxis in bullfrogs. *Horm. Behav.* 28, 232-240.

- 1 Boyd, S.K., 1997. Brain vasotocin pathways and the control of sexual behaviors in the bullfrog.
2 Brain Res. Bull. 44, 345-350.
- 3
- 4 Burmeister, S.S., Wilczynski, W., 2001. Social context influences androgenic effects on calling
5 in the green treefrog (*Hyla cinerea*). Horm. Behav. 40, 550-558.
- 6
- 7 Burmeister, S., Somes, C., Wilczynski, W., 2001. Behavioral and hormonal effects of exogenous
8 vasotocin and corticosterone in the green treefrog. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 122, 189-197.
- 9
- 10 Canosa, L.F., Ceballos, N.R., 2002. In vitro hCG and human recombinant FSH actions on
11 testicular steroidogenesis in the toad *Bufo arenarum*. Gen. Comp. Endocrinol. 126, 318-324.
- 12
- 13 Chu, J., Marler, C.A., Wilczynski, W., 1998. The effects of arginine vasotocin on the calling
14 behavior of male cricket frogs in changing social contexts. Horm. Behav. 34, 248-261.
- 15
- 16 Deviche, P., Moore, F.L., 1988. Steroidal control of sexual behavior in the rough-skinned newt
17 (*Taricha granulosa*): Effects of testosterone, estradiol, and dihydrotestosterone. Horm.
18 Behav. 22, 26-34.
- 19
- 20 Deviche, P., Propper, C.R., Moore, F.L., 1990. Neuroendocrine, behavioral, and morphological
21 changes associated with the termination of the reproductive period in a natural population of
22 male rough-skinned newts (*Taricha granulosa*). Horm. Behav. 24, 284-300.
- 23
- 24 Diakow, C., 1978. Hormonal basis for breeding behavior in female frogs: Vasotocin inhibits the
25 release call of *Rana pipiens*. Science 199, 1456-1457.
- 26
- 27 do-Rego, J.L., Acharjee, S., Seong, J.Y., Galas, L., Alexandre, D., Bizet, P., Burlet, A., Kwon,
28 H.B., Luu-The, V., Pelletier, G., Vaudry, H., 2006. Vasotocin and mesotocin stimulate
29 biosynthesis of neurosteroids in the frog brain. J. Neurosci. 26, 6749-6760.
- 30
- 31 Dunham, L.A., Wilczynski, W., 2014. Arginine vasotocin, steroid hormones and social behavior
32 in the green anole lizard (*Anolis carolinensis*). J. Exp. Biol. 217, 3670-3676.
- 33
- 34 Godwin, J., Thompson, R., 2012. Nonapeptides and social behavior in fishes. Horm. Behav. 61,
35 230-238.
- 36
- 37 Goodson, J.L., 1998. Territorial aggression and dawn song are modulated by septal vasotocin
38 and vasoactive intestinal polypeptide in male field sparrows (*Spizella pusilla*). Horm.
39 Behav. 34, 67-77.
- 40
- 41 Goodson, J.L., 2013. Deconstructing sociality, social evolution and relevant nonapeptide
42 functions. Psychoneuroendocrinology 38, 465-478.
- 43
- 44 Goodson, J.L., Bass, A.H., 2000. Rhythmic midbrain-evoked vocalization is inhibited by
45 vasoactive intestinal polypeptide in the teleost *Porichthys notatus*. Brain Res. 865, 107-111.
- 46

- 1 Goodson, J.L., Bass, A.H., 2001. Social behavior functions and related anatomical characteristics
2 of vasotocin/vasopressin systems in vertebrates. *Brain Res. Rev.* 35, 246-265.
3
- 4 Goodson, J.L., Kabelik, D., Schrock, S.E., 2009. Dynamic neuromodulation of aggression by
5 vasotocin: Influence of social context and social phenotype in territorial songbirds. *Biol.*
6 *Lett.* 5, 554-556.
7
- 8 Goodson, J.L., Kelly, A.M., Kingsbury, M.A., 2012. Evolving nonapeptide mechanisms of
9 gregariousness and social diversity in birds. *Horm. Behav.* 61, 239-250.
10
- 11 Harvey, L.A., Propper, C.R., 1997. Effects of androgens on male sexual behavior and secondary
12 sex characters in the explosively breeding spadefoot toad, *Scaphiopus couchii*. *Horm.*
13 *Behav.* 31, 89-96.
14
- 15 Itoh, M., Ishii, S., 1990. Changes in plasma levels of gonadotropins and sex steroids in the toad,
16 *Bufo japonicus*, in association with behavior during the breeding season. *Gen. Comp.*
17 *Endocrinol.* 80, 451-464.
18
- 19 Kelley, D.B., 1982. Female sex behaviors in the South African clawed frog, *Xenopus laevis*:
20 gonadotropin-releasing, gonadotropic, and steroid hormones. *Horm. Behav.* 16, 158-174.
21
- 22 Kelley, D.B., Pfaff, D.W., 1976. Hormone effects on male sex behavior in adult South African
23 clawed frogs, *Xenopus laevis*. *Horm. Behav.* 7, 159-182.
24
- 25 Kim, J.K., Kim, I.H., Heo, J.H., Lee, J.H., Ra, N.Y., Eom, J., Jeong, S.M., Lee, H.J., Park, D.,
26 2013. Arginine vasotocin (AVT) triggers courtship behavior without exposure to external
27 stimuli and modulates the olfactory response of male *Hynobius leechii* salamanders. *Zoolog.*
28 *Sci.* 30, 929-937.
29
- 30 Kime, N.M., Whitney, T.K., Davis, E.S., Marler, C.A., 2007. Arginine vasotocin promotes
31 calling behavior and call changes in male túngara frogs. *Brain Behav. Evol.* 69, 254-265.
32
- 33 Kime, N.M., Whitney, T.K., Ryan, M.J., Rand, A.S., Marler, C.A., 2010. Treatment with
34 arginine vasotocin alters mating calls and decreases call attractiveness in male túngara frogs.
35 *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 165, 221-228.
36
- 37 Klomberg, K.F., Marler, C.A., 2000. The neuropeptide arginine vasotocin alters male call
38 characteristics involved in social interactions in the grey treefrog, *Hyla versicolor*. *Anim.*
39 *Behav.* 59, 807-812.
40
- 41 Lehner, P.N., 1992. Sampling methods in behavior research. *Poult. Sci.* 71, 643-649.
42
- 43 Maney, D.L., Goode, C.T., Wingfield, J.C., 1997. Intraventricular infusion of arginine vasotocin
44 induces singing in a female songbird. *J. Neuroendocrinol.* 9, 487-491.
45

- 1 Marler, C.A., Boyd, S.K., Wilczynski, W., 1999. Forebrain arginine vasotocin correlates of
2 alternative mating strategies in cricket frogs. *Horm. Behav.* 36, 53-61.
- 3
- 4 Marler, C.A., Chu, J., Wilczynski, W., 1995. Arginine vasotocin injection increases probability
5 of calling in cricket frogs, but causes call changes characteristic of less aggressive males.
6 *Horm. Behav.* 29, 554-570.
- 7
- 8 Marler, C.A., Ryan, M.J., 1996. Energetic constraints and steroid hormone correlates of male
9 calling behaviour in the tungara frog. *J. Zool.* 240, 397-409.
- 10
- 11 Mendonça, M.T., Licht, P., Ryan, M.J., Barnes, R., 1985. Changes in hormone levels in relation
12 to breeding behavior in male bullfrogs (*Rana catesbeiana*) at the individual and population
13 levels. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 58, 270-279.
- 14
- 15 Minerbo, G., Albeck, D., Goldberg, T., Lindberg, M., Nakari, M., Martinez, C., Garritano, J.,
16 Smock, T., 1994. Activity of peptidergic neurons in the amygdala during sexual behavior in
17 the male rat. *Exp. Brain Res.* 97, 444-450.
- 18
- 19 Moore, F.L., Miller, L.J., 1983. Arginine vasotocin induces sexual behavior of newts by acting
20 on cells in the brain. *Peptides* 4, 97-102.
- 21
- 22 Moore, F.L., Zoeller, R.T., 1979. Endocrine control of amphibian sexual behavior: Evidence for
23 a neurohormone-androgen interaction. *Horm. Behav.* 13, 207-213.
- 24 Nair, H.P., Young, L.J., 2006. Vasopressin in pair-bond formation: Genes to brain to behavior.
25 *Physiology* 21, 146-152.
- 26
- 27 O'Bryant, E.L., Wilczynski, W., 2010. Changes in plasma testosterone levels and brain AVT cell
28 number during the breeding season in the green treefrog. *Brain Behav. Evol.* 75, 271-281.
- 29
- 30 Penna, M., Capranica R.R., Somers, J., 1992. Hormone-induced vocal behavior and midbrain
31 auditory sensitivity in the green treefrog, *Hyla cinerea*. *J. Comp. Physiol. A.* 170, 73-82.
- 32
- 33 Pozzi, A.G., Rosemblyt, C., Ceballos, N.R., 2006. Effect of human gonadotropins on spermiation
34 and androgen biosynthesis in the testis of the toad *Bufo arenarum* (Amphibia, Anura). *J.*
35 *Exp. Zool. A Comp. Exp. Biol.* 305, 96-102.
- 36
- 37 Propper, C.R., Dixon, T.B., 1997. Differential effects of arginine vasotocin and gonadotropin-
38 releasing hormone on sexual behaviors in an anuran amphibian. *Horm. Behav.* 32, 99-104.
- 39
- 40 Rose, J.D., Moore, F.L., 2002. Behavioral neuroendocrinology of vasotocin and vasopressin and
41 the sensorimotor processing hypothesis. *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology*, 23, 317-341.
- 42
- 43 Scattoni, M.L., McFarlane, H.G., Zhodzishsky, V., Caldwell, H.K., Young, W.S., Ricceri, L.,
44 Crawley, J.N., 2008. Reduced ultrasonic vocalizations in vasopressin 1b knockout mice.
45 *Behav. Brain Res.* 187, 371-378.
- 46

- 1 Schmidt, R.S., 1984. Mating call phonotaxis in the female American toad: Induction by
2 hormones. *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 55, 150-156.
3
- 4 Schmidt, R.S., Kemnitz, C.P., 1989. Anuran mating calling circuits: Inhibition by prostaglandin.
5 *Horm. Behav.* 23, 361-367.
6
- 7 Semsar, K., Klomberg, K.F., Marler, C., 1998. Arginine vasotocin increases calling-site
8 acquisition by nonresident male grey treefrogs. *Anim. Behav.* 56, 983-987.
9
- 10 Solís, R., Penna, M., 1997. Testosterone levels and evoked vocal responses in a natural
11 population of the frog *Batrachyla taeniata*. *Horm. Behav.* 31, 101-109.
12
- 13 Tanaka, S., Takikawa, H., 1983. Seasonal changes in plasma testosterone and 5 alpha-
14 dihydrotestosterone levels in the adult male newt, *Cynops pyrrhogaster pyrrhogaster*.
15 *Endocrinol. Jpn.* 30, 1-6.
16
- 17 Ten Eyck, G.R., 2005. Arginine vasotocin activates advertisement calling and movement in the
18 territorial Puerto Rican frog, *Eleutherodactylus coqui*. *Horm. Behav.* 47, 223-229.
19
- 20 Thompson, R.R., George, K., Walton, J.C., Orr, S.P., Benson, J., 2006. Sex-specific influences
21 of vasopressin on human social communication. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci USA* 103, 7889-7894.
22
- 23 Thompson, R.R., Moore, F.L., 2000. Vasotocin stimulates appetitive responses to the visual and
24 pheromonal stimuli used in roughskin newts during courtship. *Horm. Behav.* 38, 75-85.
25
- 26 Tobias, M.L., Barnard, C., O'Hagan, R., Horng, S.H., Rand, M., Kelley, D.B., 2004. Vocal
27 communication between male *Xenopus laevis*. *Anim. Behav.* 67, 353-365.
28
- 29 Tobias, M.L., Corke, A., Korsh, J., Yin, D., Kelley, D.B., 2010. Vocal competition in male
30 *Xenopus laevis* frogs. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 64, 1791-1803.
31
- 32 Tobias, M.L., Evans, B.J., Kelley, D.B., 2011. Evolution of advertisement calls in African
33 clawed frogs. *Behaviour* 148, 519-549.
34
- 35 Tobias, M.L., Viswanathan, S., Kelley, D.B., 1998. Rapping, a female receptive call, initiates
36 male/female duets in the South African clawed frog, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 95, 1870-1875.
37 Townsend, D.S., Moger, W.H., 1987. Plasma androgen levels during male parental care in a
38 tropical frog (*Eleutherodactylus*). *Horm. Behav.* 21, 93-99.
39
- 40 Toyoda, F., Yamamoto, K., Ito, Y., Tanaka, S., Yamashita, M., Kikuyama, S., 2003.
41 Involvement of arginine vasotocin in reproductive events in the male newt *Cynops*
42 *pyrrhogaster*. *Horm. Behav.* 44, 346-353.
43
- 44 Trainor, B.C., Rouse, K.L., Marler, C.A., 2003. Arginine vasotocin interacts with the social
45 environment to regulate advertisement calling in the gray treefrog (*Hyla versicolor*). *Brain*
46 *Behav. Evol.* 61, 165-171.

- 1
2 Tsikunov, S.G., Belokoskova, S.G., 2007. Psychophysiological analysis of the influence of
3 vasopressin on speech in patients with post-stroke aphasia. *Span. J. Psychol.* 10, 178-188.
4
- 5 Vigny, C., 1979. The mating calls of 12 species and sub-species of the genus *Xenopus*
6 (Amphibia: Anura). *J.Zool.*, 188(1), 103-122.
7
- 8 Wetzel, D.M., Kelley, D.B., 1983. Androgen and gonadotropin effects on male mate calls in
9 South African clawed frogs, *Xenopus laevis*. *Horm. Behav.* 17, 388-404.
10
- 11 Wilczynski, W., Lynch, K.S., O'Bryant, E.L., 2005. Current research in amphibians: Studies
12 integrating endocrinology, behavior, and neurobiology. *Horm. Behav.* 48, 440-450.
13
- 14 Winslow, J.T., Insel, T.R., 1991. Vasopressin modulates male squirrel monkeys' behavior during
15 social separation. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 200, 95-101.
16
- 17 Winslow, J.T., Insel, T.R., 1993. Effects of central vasopressin administration to infant rats. *Eur.*
18 *J. Pharmacol.* 233, 101-107.
19
- 20 Wu, K.H., Tobias, M.L., Kelley, D.B., 2001. Estrogen and laryngeal synaptic strength in
21 *Xenopus laevis*: opposite effects of acute and chronic exposure. *Neuroendocrinology* 74, 22-
22 32.
23
- 24 Yager, D.D., 1992. A unique sound production mechanism in the pipid anuran *Xenopus borealis*.
25 *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 104, 351-375.
26
- 27 Yang, E.-J., Nasipak, B.T., Kelley, D.B., 2007. Direct action of gonadotropin in brain integrates
28 behavioral and reproductive functions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104, 2477-2482.