Multicolor Upconversion Emission of Lanthanide-doped Single LiYF₄ and LiLuF₄ Microcrystal

Wei Gao,^a Jun Dong,^a Zhaojin Wang,^b Zhenglong Zhang,^b Hairong Zheng^{b,*}

^a*School of Electronic Engineering, Xi'an University of Post & Telecommunications, Xi'an, 710121, P.R. China.*

^b*School of Physics and Information Technology, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710062, P.R. China.*

*Corresponding author: Prof. Hairong Zheng

E-mail: hrzheng@snnu.edu.cn

Abstract

Yb³⁺/Ln³⁺ co-doped LiYF₄ and LiLuF₄ microcrystals (MCs) are synthesized by a facile hydrothermal method. The upconversion (UC) luminescence properties of single LiYF₄ and LiLuF₄:Yb³⁺/Ln³⁺ MC are studied by a confocal microscopy setup under NIR 980 nm laser excitation. The single LiLuF₄ MC displays stronger UC emission intensity than that of single LiYF₄ MC, which is due to the varied site symmetry of the crystal field. The possible UC mechanism, the influence of surface properties and excitation positions on the UC luminescence emission are also investigated. Investigating the luminescence properties of a single particle can effectively avoid environmental influence, achieve selective targeting excitation, and provide precise information for studying the luminescence mechanism of Ln³⁺ ions.

Keywords: single microparticle; selective excitation; optical materials;

optical properties; luminescence

1. Introduction

Given their superior optical properties, lanthanide (Ln^{3+})-doped luminescence materials, such as oxides [1–2], fluorides [3–4], phosphates [5], and vanadates [6], have been used as phosphors in lighting and used in the development of new laser crystals, optical storage and amplifiers, and fluorescent imaging of cancer cells and solar c

2. Experimental cells [7–11]. Among diverse host materials, rare-earth (RE) fluoride compounds, AERF_4 (A = alkali metal) usually exhibit strong UC emission because of low phonon energy, which can effectively decrease nonradiative relaxation rates [12–13]. Up to now, the hexagonal phase NaYF_4 crystal is considered to be the most efficient UC host material [14–15]. Many reports exist about RE-doped NaYF_4 nano- or microcrystals in the past few years [16–19]. However, as another important fluoride UC host material, NaLuF_4 host also displays excellent UC emission behavior due to structure similarity to NaYF_4 and advantageous ionic distance. The Lu^{3+} (0.085 nm) ionic radius is much closer to that of the Yb^{3+} (0.086 nm) ions than the Y^{3+} (0.089 nm) ions [20]. Therefore, Lu-based fluorides should be more stable for doping of Yb^{3+} ions with a high concentration and achieve efficient energy transfer between the ions. Recently, Qin's and Li's groups have confirmed that the UC emission intensities of RE-doped NaLuF_4 crystals are stronger than that of NaYF_4 crystals [21–22]. The same as NaYF_4 , the LiYF_4 crystals with tetragonal scheelite structure are also considered to be one of the excellent host materials for RE ion-doped UC luminescence [23–25]. Recently, many different synthesis methods have been

employed to obtain the LiYF_4 crystal, including hydrothermal method, thermal decomposition, and surfactant-free molten salt method [26–28]. The total UC quantum yield of $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Er}^{3+}$ nanocrystals is almost four times higher than that of $\text{NaYF}_4\text{:Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ nanocrystals under 1,490 and 980 nm excitation, respectively [29]. Liu's group has reported that $\text{Yb}^{3+}/(\text{Er}^{3+}, \text{Tm}^{3+}, \text{Ho}^{3+})$ -doped LiYF_4 nanocrystals show superior UC fluorescence [30]. Meanwhile, our group has also synthesized $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Yb}^{3+}/(\text{Er}^{3+}, \text{Pr}^{3+})$ microcrystals (MCs) and obtained strong UC luminescence [31–33]. Similar to NaLuF_4 crystal, the LiLuF_4 crystal would become a potential UC emission host material. However, to the best of our knowledge, the study of optical properties of LiLuF_4 MCs has been barely reported before, especially for single LiLuF_4 MC [34].

In this work, $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Yb}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{3+}$ MCs are synthesized via a facile hydrothermal method with EDTA as a chelating agent. The $\text{LiLuF}_4\text{:Yb}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{3+}$ MCs have been attempted to be prepared through the same method with LiYF_4 MCs. The UC luminescence properties of single LiYF_4 and LiLuF_4 MC are carefully studied by a confocal microscopy setup under 980 nm laser excitation. In addition, the UC emission intensity of the different parts of single LiYF_4 MCs is discussed in detail. The UC emission mechanisms are investigated based on the emission spectra.

2.1 Materials

All chemicals used in the current study are graded and are analytical used without further purification. $\text{Y}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, $\text{Lu}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, $\text{Yb}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, $\text{Er}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, $\text{Tm}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, $\text{Ho}(\text{NO}_3)_3$

and $\text{Eu}(\text{NO}_3)_3$ are obtained by dissolving Y_2O_3 , Lu_2O_3 , Yb_2O_3 , Er_2O_3 , Tm_2O_3 , Ho_2O_3 and Eu_2O_3 (99.99%, Sigma-Aldrich) with nitric acid, respectively. NH_4F (98.0%), LiF (98.0%), and EDTA (ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid, 99.0%) with analytical grade are supplied by the Sinopharm Chemical reagent Co., Ltd.

2.2. Synthesis of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{3+}$ and $\text{LiLuF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{3+}$ MCs

$\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{3+}$ co-doped LiYF_4 and LiLuF_4 MCs are synthesized by hydrothermal method; the detailed process was via our previously reported procedure [32-34]. The preparation process is presented as follows if the synthesization of $\text{LiYF}_4:20\%\text{Yb}^{3+}/2\%\text{Er}^{3+}$ MCs is taken as an example. First, 20.0 ml deionized water, 0.78 ml (0.5 M) $\text{Y}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, 0.20 ml (0.5 M) $\text{Yb}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, 0.02 ml (0.5 M) $\text{Er}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, and 0.93 g EDTA are mixed to form a chelate complex solution under vigorous stirring for 30 min. Second, 0.48 g LiF and 0.17 g NH_4F are added into the chelate complex solution. Then, stirring continued for about 20 min until it completely becomes a white liquid. Finally, the white liquid is slowly transferred into a 40 ml Teflon-lined autoclave and is heated at 220 °C for 48 h to obtain $\text{LiYF}_4:20\%\text{Yb}^{3+}/2\%\text{Er}^{3+}$ MCs. The MCs are obtained by centrifuging and washing with deionized water and ethanol for several times. The collected samples are finally dried at 60 °C for 12 h. $\text{LiLuF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{3+}$ MCs were prepared the same as the $\text{Lu}(\text{NO}_3)_3$, i.e., by replacing $\text{Y}(\text{NO}_3)_3$ into the precursor mixture under the same reactive conditions.

2.3 Sample characterization and spectral measurement

The crystal structure, morphology, size and composition of samples are characterized by a D/Max2550VB+/PC x-ray diffraction (XRD) meter and scanning electron

microscope (SEM). Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) is measured with a Bruker EQUINOX55 spectrometer using KBr pellets. For spectroscopic measurements, the semiconductor laser (MGL-III-532) and Ti sapphire laser (Mira-900) are employed as excitation sources. The spectrometer (SP2750i) with a spectral resolution of 0.008 nm is used for luminescence collection and detection. The optical microscope (OLYMPUS-BX51) is used for the confocal setup, and the corresponding magnifications are 100, 500 and 1000. Proper notch filters are placed in front of the entrance of the monochromator to block the scattering light. All of the spectroscopic measurements are carried out at room temperature.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Crystal structure and morphology of LiYF_4 and $\text{LiLuF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{3+}$ MCs

The typical XRD patterns of $\text{LiYF}_4:20\%\text{Yb}^{3+}/2\%\text{Er}^{3+}$ MCs and $\text{LiLuF}_4:20\%\text{Yb}^{3+}/2\%\text{Er}^{3+}$ MCs are shown in Fig. 1(a). The strong and sharp diffraction peaks of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MCs and $\text{LiLuF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MCs can be clearly found, and the pure tetragonal phase (space group $I4_1/a$) with high crystallinity is confirmed, which are well-consistent with the standard JCPDS 81-2254 and JCPDS 27-1251 cards, respectively. The corresponding calculated lattice parameters for $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MCs are $a = 5.167 \text{ \AA}$ and $c = 10.721 \text{ \AA}$; on the other hand, the calculated lattice parameters for $\text{LiLuF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MCs are $a = 5.124 \text{ \AA}$ and $c = 10.537 \text{ \AA}$, respectively. Owing to the likeness of ion radii among Y^{3+} , Lu^{3+} , Yb^{3+} , and Er^{3+} ions, the doping Yb^{3+} and Er^{3+} evidently have an effect on the LiYF_4 and LiLuF_4 structures. No other impurity peaks are detected. Additionally, all the diffraction peaks of LiLuF_4 MCs

slightly shifted to larger diffraction angles, suggesting that the crystal unit cell shrinks after Y^{3+} ions were substituted by Lu^{3+} ($r_{\text{Lu}^{3+}} = 0.085 \text{ nm} < r_{\text{Y}^{3+}} = 0.089 \text{ nm}$) ions [20]. Fig. 1(b) shows the schematic crystal structure of LiYF_4 . The Y^{3+} ion is coordinated by eight F^- ions and Li^+ is coordinated by four F^- ions; the F-Y bond lengths are 2.244 Å for four of the bonds and 2.297 Å for the other four Li-F. The unit cell contains 24 atoms. The valency, ionic radius, and charge compensation of Lu^{3+} are expected to substitute for the Y^{3+} ion site in LiYF_4 MCs. Thus, the structure of LiLuF_4 MCs is the same as that of LiYF_4 MCs.

Fig. 2 shows the SEM images of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ and $\text{LiLuF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MCs. It can be observed that the $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ and $\text{LiLuF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MCs are all octahedral in shape; the average size is around 10 μm. Meanwhile, we are also able to find that $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ and $\text{LiLuF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MCs are highly uniform and regular with a smooth surface. The size and morphology of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MCs have no evident change when the Lu^{3+} ions completely replaced the Y^{3+} ions, owing to their similar ionic radius. The ionic radius of Tm^{3+} (0.087 nm) and Ho^{3+} (0.089 nm) are close to the Er^{3+} (0.088 nm) ionic radius [20]. Consequently, the XRD results and SEM images of LiYF_4 and $\text{LiLuF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Tm}^{3+}(\text{Ho}^{3+})$ are similar to the $\text{LiY/LuF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ in the supporting information, as shown in Fig. S(1–3). The EDX spectra of $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ and $\text{LiLuF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MCs are shown in Fig. 2(c–d). The result indicates that the main elemental components of the samples are Y, Lu, Yb, F, and Er.

3.2 UC emission of $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{3+}$ co-doped single LiYF_4 and LiLuF_4 MC

Fig. 3 displays the UC emission spectra; the peak area for the blue, green, and red

emissions; and the corresponding CIE chromaticity diagram and luminescent photographs of $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Ln}^{3+}$ co-doped single LiYF_4 and LiLuF_4 MC by a confocal microscopy setup under 980 nm excitation. The schematic diagram of the luminescence spectroscopy test system is shown in Fig. S4. As shown in Fig. 3(a), an intense green (524 nm and 545 nm) emission is observed in the single LiYF_4 :20% $\text{Yb}^{3+}/2\%\text{Er}^{3+}$ and LiLuF_4 :20% $\text{Yb}^{3+}/2\%\text{Er}^{3+}$ MC, which is assigned to the $^2\text{H}_{11/2}/^4\text{S}_{3/2} \rightarrow ^4\text{H}_{15/2}$ and $^2\text{H}_{9/2} \rightarrow ^4\text{I}_{13/2}$ transitions of Er^{3+} ions; the weak red (667 nm) emission is assigned to the $^4\text{F}_{9/2} \rightarrow ^4\text{I}_{15/2}$ transition [35]. Fig. 3(b) displays the characteristic emission (green and red) peaks that are assigned to $^5\text{S}_2/^5\text{F}_4 \rightarrow ^5\text{I}_8$ (540 nm) and $^5\text{F}_5 \rightarrow ^5\text{I}_8$ (650 nm) transitions of Ho^{3+} ions in the single LiYF_4 :20% $\text{Yb}^{3+}/2\%\text{Ho}^{3+}$ and LiLuF_4 :20% $\text{Yb}^{3+}/2\%\text{Ho}^{3+}$ MC, respectively[36]. Some weak blue emission (484 nm) and NIR emission (750 nm) peaks can be also observed in Fig. 3(b), which are associated with the transition of $^5\text{F}_3 \rightarrow ^5\text{I}_8$ and $^5\text{S}_2/^5\text{F}_4 \rightarrow ^5\text{I}_7$ of Ho^{3+} ions, respectively. For the single LiYF_4 :20% $\text{Yb}^{3+}/2\%\text{Tm}^{3+}$ and single LiLuF_4 :20% $\text{Yb}^{3+}/2\%\text{Tm}^{3+}$ MC, the four obvious emission peaks at 453, 478, 650, and 800 nm can be attributed to $^1\text{D}_2 \rightarrow ^3\text{F}_4$, $^1\text{G}_4 \rightarrow ^3\text{H}_6$, $^3\text{H}_4 \rightarrow ^3\text{H}_6$, and $^1\text{G}_4 \rightarrow ^3\text{F}_4$ transitions of Tm^{3+} ions, respectively, as shown in Fig. 3(c) [37]. The UC emissions of the $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Tm}^{3+}/\text{Ho}^{3+}$ co-doped single LiYF_4 and LiLuF_4 MC are shown in Fig. 3(d). The blue (453 and 478 nm), green (540 nm), and red (650 nm) emissions can be observed. Compared with the UC emission of $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Ho}^{3+}$ and $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Tm}^{3+}$ co-doped single LiYF_4 and LiLuF_4 MC in Figs. 3(b) and (c), the origin of the observed emission peaks can be easily assigned, as shown in Fig. 3(d). The blue emission centered at 453 and 478 nm is generated

from the $^1G_4 \rightarrow ^3H_6$ and $^1D_2 \rightarrow ^3F_4$ transitions of Tm^{3+} ions. The green and red emissions originate from $^5S_2/^5F_4 \rightarrow ^5I_8$ (540 nm) and $^5F_5 \rightarrow ^5I_8$ (650 nm) of Ho^{3+} ions. A very weak red emission (650 nm) can also be generated from $^1G_4 \rightarrow ^3F_4$ transition of Tm^{3+} ions, which may be covered by the red emission of Er^{3+} ions. From the corresponding luminescent photographs in the inset of Fig. 3(a–d), it is found that 20% $Yb^{3+}/2\%Er^{3+}$, 20% $Yb^{3+}/2\%Tm^{3+}$, 20% $Yb^{3+}/2\%Ho^{3+}$, and 20% $Yb^{3+}/2\%Tm^{3+}/2\%Ho^{3+}$ co-doped single $LiYF_4$ and $LiLuF_4$ MC all exhibit bright green, blue, green, and white emissions, respectively. Moreover, the relative peak area for blue, green, and red of Tm^{3+} and Ho^{3+} co-doped single $LiYF_4$ and $LiLuF_4$ MC are calculated as shown in Fig. 3(e). Obviously, the intensity of the three emission peaks is different, and the much stronger blue emission can be observed in the single $LiLuF_4$ MC. This finding indicated that the $LiLuF_4$ MC shows higher UC emission efficiency than that of the single $LiYF_4$. Fig. 3(f) shows the corresponding CIE chromaticity diagram; the CIE chromaticity coordinates of 20% $Yb^{3+}/2\%Tm^{3+}/2\%Ho^{3+}$ co-doped single $LiYF_4$ (point a) and $LiLuF_4$ (point b) MC are determined to be $x = 0.31$, $y = 0.32$ and $x = 0.29$, $y = 0.32$, respectively. Thus, the output color of the single $LiYF_4$ MC is very close to the standard equal energy white illuminating light ($x = 0.33$, $y = 0.33$). Furthermore, the UC emission intensities of Yb^{3+}/Ln^{3+} -doped single $LiLuF_4$ MC are all stronger than that of the single $LiYF_4$ MC. And single $LiYF_4$: $Yb^{3+}/(Er^{3+}, Ho^{3+})$ MC displays more high red-to-green (R/G) ratio.

The proposed UC mechanisms and energy level diagrams of Er^{3+} , Tm^{3+} , Ho^{3+} , and Yb^{3+} co-doped $LiYF_4$ and $LiLuF_4$ MCs are shown in Fig. 4(a–b) and briefly described

as follows [35-39]: first, the electron of Yb^{3+} is excited from levels $^2\text{F}_{7/2}$ to $^2\text{F}_{5/2}$ under the 980 nm excitation. Then, the energy of the excited electron is transferred to Er^{3+} , Tm^{3+} , and Ho^{3+} . Through a two- or three-photon UC process, the ground-state electrons of Er^{3+} , Tm^{3+} , and Ho^{3+} are excited to $^2\text{H}_{9/2}$, $^2\text{H}_{11/2}$, $^4\text{F}_{9/2}$, $^1\text{D}_2$, $^1\text{G}_4$, $^5\text{S}_2/{}^5\text{F}_4$, and $^5\text{F}_3$ states. In the $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ co-doped system, when the $^2\text{H}_{11/2}/{}^4\text{S}_{3/2}$ and $^4\text{F}_{9/2}$ states decay to ground $^5\text{I}_8$ state by radiative relaxation, the green and red UC emissions can be generated. In $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Tm}^{3+}$ co-doped system, the excited electrons of the $^1\text{D}_2$, $^1\text{G}_4$, and $^3\text{H}_4$ states decay to the levels of $^3\text{F}_4$ and $^3\text{H}_6$, resulting in blue (453 and 478 nm), red (650 nm), and NIR (800 nm) emission. In $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Ho}^{3+}$ co-doped LiYF_4 MCs, the strong green and weak red emission can be generated at the $^5\text{S}_2/{}^5\text{F}_4$ and $^5\text{F}_3$ state by radiative decays to ground $^5\text{I}_8$ state.

As can be seen from Fig. 3, the UC emission intensity of the single LiLuF_4 MC is stronger than the single LiYF_4 MC. To explore the main reason for the stronger UC emission in single LiLuF_4 MC, the site symmetry of the crystal field of LiLuF_4 and LiYF_4 MCs is first discussed. The Eu^{3+} ion is regarded as a good probe for detecting site symmetry, which is because of the fact that the $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_1$ (591 nm) transition of Eu^{3+} is a magnetic nature dipole that is insensitive to site symmetry, whereas the $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_2$ (615 nm) transition of Eu^{3+} is an electric nature dipole that is very sensitive to site symmetry. Therefore, the ratio (η) of the emission intensity of $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_2$ and $^5\text{D}_0 \rightarrow {}^7\text{F}_1$ of Eu^{3+} is often used as a fingerprint of the local symmetry for luminescent centers [40-41]. The downconversion emission spectra of $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Eu}^{3+}$ co-doped single LiYF_4 and LiLuF_4 MC are shown in Fig. 5. The calculated η value increases from

1.27 to 1.39 when the host changes from LiYF₄ to LiLuF₄ MC. The result indicates the lower local symmetry around luminescence ions in LiLuF₄ MCs. According to J-O theory, the local symmetry is closely related to the Ω_2 intensity parameter; the lower local symmetry corresponds to the larger Ω_2 [42–44]. The larger Ω_2 leads to higher radiative transition probabilities of hypersensitive transitions for the luminescence ions, resulting in stronger UC emission. In addition, the ionic radius of Lu³⁺ (0.085 nm) is much closer to that of Yb³⁺ (0.086 nm) than Y³⁺ (0.089 nm) ions. Therefore, the Lu-based fluorides should be more stable for doping of Yb³⁺ with high concentration, which can effectively increase energy transfer rates from Yb³⁺ to luminescence ions.

In the LiYF₄ and LiLuF₄ MC synthesis process, the EDTA is employed as a chelator to control particle size and morphology. Some organic molecules may remain on the surface of the samples, which provide some extra pathways for the nonradiative relaxation of the UC states. Thus, the intensity difference for UC emission is possible due to the existence of EDTA on the surface of microparticles. Fourier-transform-infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy can help us to identify the existence of EDTA at the surface of microparticles. The observed LiYF₄ and LiLuF₄ FTIR spectra with and without EDTA are presented in Fig. 6 (A). The –CH₂– (2,850 cm⁻¹), –CH₂– (1,400 cm⁻¹), C–O (1,091 cm⁻¹), and –COO– (1,640 cm⁻¹) stretching vibrations in the spectrum have been observed, and strong bands around 3,400 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the –OH– stretching vibrations of water and EDTA in Fig. 6(A(a)and(b)) [33]. These results demonstrate that numerous EDTA exist on the

surface of the LiYF₄ and LiLuF₄ MCs. Meanwhile, it can be found that the FTIR spectra intensity of the LiYF₄ MC is a little stronger than that of the LiLuF₄ MC. This result indicates that the more organic molecules derived from the EDTA on the surface of LiYF₄ MCs, resulting in nonradiative relaxation rate enhancement. Thus, the quenching of LiYF₄ MC UC emission intensity becomes more apparent than that of LiYF₄ MC ones. The high-energy vibrations from the –OH– groups would strongly quench the excited states of Er³⁺, Ho³⁺ ions and accelerate the multiphonon nonradiative relaxation processes of ⁴I_{11/2}→⁴I_{13/2} and ⁴S_{3/2}→⁴F_{9/2} of Er³⁺, and ⁵F₄/⁵S₂→⁵F₅ and ⁵I₆→⁵I₇ of Ho³⁺, resulting in red emissions enhanced and green emission reduced, respectively [33, 45-46]. Compared with the result of Fig. 6(A(a)), these obvious stretching vibrations cannot be found in the LiYF₄ MCs without no EDTA. The small –OH– stretching vibration would arise from water. Fig. 6(B) displays the morphology of the LiYF₄ MCs with the synthesis process without EDTA. Some holes and defects are noticeable on the MC's surface. Obviously, several EDTA organic ligands exist on the MC surface, especially for face, leading to the higher R/G ratio of the Er³⁺ and Ho³⁺ ions in the single LiYF₄ MC.

3. 3 UC emission of single LiYF₄ MC with different excitation positions

In order to further study the UC emission properties of single MC. We attempt to take a single LiYF₄: Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ MC as an example. Fig. 7 shows the UC emission spectra and R/G ratio of different positions, including the apex, arris, and face of the single LiYF₄:Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ MC under 980 nm excitation with a confocal microscopy setup. The UC emission intensity and the R/G ratio are different when the excitation

position is changed in the single $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MC. The UC emission intensity of the apex is stronger than the other positions in the single LiYF_4 MC; the R/G ratio of the face is highest. This result indicates that the UC emission intensity and R/G ratio can be tuned by changing the excitation position in the single LiYF_4 MC, which further extends their application in color display and micro-optoelectronic devices.

Although the concentrations of Y^{3+} , Yb^{3+} , and Er^{3+} in the solution are kept the same before each synthesis, the content of ions may be different in varying crystal positions. Hence, the element compositions and element ratios of the samples are confirmed by the EDX spectra in Fig. 8(a–d). The Y, Yb, and Er elemental contents of face are smallest, and the apex is highest in the single $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MC. Thus, the UC emission intensity of the apex of the single $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MC is strongest because more Yb^{3+} and Er^{3+} ions are included. In addition, the site symmetry of different excitation positions from the single $\text{LiYF}_4\text{:Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MC is investigated by introducing Eu^{3+} as a structure probe. The emission spectra of the different excitation positions of $\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Eu}^{3+}$ co-doped single LiYF_4 MC is shown in Fig. 8(e). The calculated η values of the different excitation positions are 1.27 (apex), 1.21 (apex), 1.14 (arris), and 1.12 (face). Therefore, the effect of the excitation position on the UC emission of the single MC not only attributes to element compositions and element ratios but also originates from the site symmetry of luminescent ions. The different R/G ratio of the different excitation positions in single LiYF_4 MC is most probably ascribed to different amounts of organic ligands on the MC surface according to the result of Fig. 6(B).

4. Conclusions

Tetragonal octahedral LiYF₄ and LiLuF₄ MCs have been synthesized by a facile hydrothermal method. The strong blue, green, and red UC emissions of a single LiYF₄ and LiLuF₄ MC are observed by a confocal microscopy setup. The UC emission intensity of the single LiLuF₄ MC is stronger than that of the LiYF₄ MC. And the higher R/G ratio of Er³⁺ and Ho³⁺ can be obtained in single LiYF₄ MC. Meanwhile, when the excitation positions of single LiYF₄ was changed, the different emission intensities and R/G ratio can also be observed. The results indicated that the different UC emission intensity of single MC or different excitation position which is mainly due to different local site symmetry of crystal field. And the different R/G ratio is due to EDTA organic ligands on the MC surface. The current study suggests that the strong UC emission of single LiYF₄ and LiLuF₄ MC has great potential applications in multicolor display, micro-optoelectronic device.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11604262, 11574190 and 11304247), Shaanxi Provincial Education Department (Program No.16JK1707), Shaanxi Provincial Research Plan for Young Scientific and Technological New Stars (Program No.2015KJXX-40), the New Star Team of Xi'an University of Posts & Telecommunications.

References

[1] F. He, P. P. Yang, D. Wang, C. X. Li, N. Niu, S. L. Gai, M. L. Zhang, Angmuir.

27(2011)5616.

[2] Y. F. Bai, K. Yang, Y.X. Wang, X.R. Zhang, Y.L. Song, Opt. Commun. 281 (2008)2930.

[3] X. Liang, X. Wang, J. Zhuang, Q. Peng, Y. D. Li, Adv. Funct. Mater. 17 (2007) 2757.

[4] J.C. Boyer, F. Vetrone, L.A. Cuccia, J.A. Capobianco, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 128 (2006) 7444.

[5] K. Kömpe, H. Borchert, J. Storz, A. Lobo, S. Adam, T. Möller, M. Haase, Angew.Chem. Int. Ed. 42 (2003) 5513.

[6] K. Riwotzki, M. Haase, J. Phys. Chem. B. 102 (1998) 10129.

[7] Wang, R. Yan, Z. Huo, L. Wang, J. Zeng, J. Bao, X. Wang, Q. Peng and Y. Li, Angew. Chem, Int. Ed. 44 (2005) 6054.

[8]A. Shalav, B.S. Richards, T. Trupke, K.W. Krämer, I.H.U. Güde, Appl. Phys. Lett. 86(2005) 013505.

[9] Q. Liu, Y. Sun, T.S. Yang, W. Feng, C.G. Li, F.Y. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 133 (2011) 17122.

[10] F. Zhang, Q.H. Shi, Y.C. Zhang, Y.F. Shi, K.L. Ding, D.Y. Zhao, G.D. Stucky, Adv. Mater.23 (2011) 3775.

[11] X. Y. Huang, S.Y. Han, W. Huang, X. G. Liu, Chem. Soc. Rev. 42(2013)173.

[12] D. L.Gao, X.Y. Zhang, H. R. Zheng, W Gao, E. J. He, J. Alloys. Compd. 554 (2013) 395.

[13] X. J. Pei, Y.B. Hou, S.L. Zhao, Z. Xu, F. Teng, Mater. Chem and Phys. 90 (2005)

270.

- [14] S. Heer, K. Kompe, H. U. Gudel, M. Haase, *Adv. Mater.* 16 (2004) 2102.
- [15] H. X. Mai, Y. W. Zhang, L. D. Sun, C. H. Yan, *J. Phys. Chem. C.* 111 (2007) 13721.
- [16] M.Y. Ding, C. H. Lu, L. H. Cao, Y.R.Ni, Z. Z.Xu, *CrystEngComm.* 15(2013) 8366.
- [17] L. M. Wang, X.Y. Li, Z. Q. Li, W. S. Chu, R.F. Li , K. Lin, H. S. Qian,Y. Wang, C. F. Wu, J. Li, D. T. Tu, Q. Zhang, L. Song, J. Jiang, *Adv. Mater.* 27 (2015) 5528.
- [18] P. Villanueva-Delgado, K.l W. Krämer,R. Valiente, M. de Jong and A. Meijerink, *Phys.Chem.Chem.Phys*, 18(2016) 27396
- [19] L. Lei, R. Wu, J. Zhou, S. J. Zhang, Z. Xiao, J. J Zhang, S.Q. Xu, *Mater. Lett.* 189 (2017) 35.
- [20] Bond, G. M.; Browning, J. F.; Snow, C. S. *J. Appl. Phys.* 107(2010) 3514.
- [21] F. Shi, J. S. Wang, X. S. Zhai, D. Zhao, W. P. Qin, *CrystEngComm.* 13(2011) 3782.
- [22] J. Zhou, X. J. Zhu, M. Chen, Y. Sun and F. Y. Li, *Biomaterials.* 33(2012) 6201.
- [23] K. Ogasawara, S. Watanabe, H. Toyoshima, T.M.G. Ishii, B.H. Ikeno, I. J. Tanaka, *Solid. State.Chem.* 178(2005) 412.
- [24] V. Mahalingam, F. Vetrone, R. Naccache, A. Speghini, J.A. Capobianco, *Adv. Mater.* 21 (2009)4025.

- [25] P. A. Rojas-Gutierrez, C. DeWolf, J.A. Capobianco, Part. Part. Syst. Charact. 12(2016) 859.
- [26] X.Y. Zhang, M.Q.Wang, J. J. Ding, J. P. Deng, C. X. Ran, Z.Yang. Dalton Trans. 43(2014) 5453.
- [27] J. Wang, F. Wang, J. Xu, Y. Wang, Y.S. Liu, X.Y. Chen, H.Y.Chen, X.G. Liu, C. R. Chimie 13 (2010) 731.
- [28] M.Y. Ding, C. H. Lu, L. H. Cao, W. J. Huang, Y.R. Ni, Z. Z.Xu, CrystEngComm. 15 (2013) 6015.
- [29]G. Y. Chen, T. Y. Ohulchanskyy, A. Kachynski, H. Agren, P. N. Prasad, ACS Nano. 5 (2011) 4981.
- [30] J. Wang, F. Wang, J. Xu, Y. Wang, Y.S. Liu, X.Y. Chen, H.Y. Chen, X.G. Liu. C. R. Chimie. 13 (2010) 731.
- [31] J. Li, H. R. Zheng, W. Gao, E. J. He, D. L. Gao, Y. Tian, CHINESE SCI BULL. 57(2012) 2366.
- [32] W.Gao, H. R. Zheng, J. Li, D.L. Gao, E.J. He, Y.X. Tu, SCIENTIA SINICA Physica, Mechanica & Astronomica. 10 (2012) 1.
- [33] W. Gao, H.R. Zheng, E.J. He, Y. Lu, F.Q. Gao, J. Lumin. 152 (2014) 44.
- [34] P. Huang, W. Zheng, S.Y. Zhou, D.T. Tu, Z. Chen, H. M. Zhu, R.F. Li, E. Ma, M. D. Huang, X.Y. Chen. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 53 (2014) 1252.
- [35] D. L.Gao, X.Y. Zhang,W. Gao, J. Appl. Phys. 111 (2012) 033505.
- [36] W. Gao, H. R. Zheng, Q.Y. Han, E. J. He, F.Q. Gao, R. B. Wang, J. Mater Chem. C. 2 (2014) 5327.

- [37] J. J. Zhou, G. X. Chen, E. Wu, G. Bi, B.T. Wu, Y. Teng, S. F. Zhou, J. R. Qiu, Nano Lett. 13 (2013) 2241.
- [38] Y. Y. Sun, W. Z. Wang, S.M. Sun, L. Zhang, Mater. Res. Bulle. 52 (2014) 50.
- [39] E.J.He, H.R. Zheng, W. Gao, Y. X. Tu, Y. Lu, G.A. Li, Mater. Res. Bulle. 48 (2013) 3505.
- [40] Q. Ju, Y. S. Liu, R.F Li, L.Q. Liu, W. Q. Luo, X. Y. Chen, J. Phys. Chem. 113 (2009) 2309.
- [41] D. L. Gao, H. R. Zheng, X. Y. Zhang, Z. X. Fu, Z. L. Zhang, Y. Tian, M. Cui, Appl. Phys. Lett. 98(2011)011907.
- [42] B.R. Judd, Phys. Rev. 127 (1962) 750.
- [43] G.S. Ofelt, J. Chem. Phys. 37 (1962) 511.
- [44] R. Balda, J. Ferná ndez, M. Sanz, A. de Pablos, J. M. Fdez-Navarro, J. Mugnier, Phys. Rev. B. 61 (2000) 3384.
- [45] S. Wu, Y. Ning, J. Chang, W. Niu, S. Zhang, CrystEngComm. 15 (2013) 3919.
- [46] W. Gao, H. R. Zheng, Q. Y. Han, E. J. He, R. B. Wang, CrystEngComm, 29 (2014) 6697.

Graphical Abstract

Figure

Fig. 1. (a) XRD patterns of the LiYF₄: 20% Yb³⁺/2% Er³⁺ and LiLuF₄:

20% Yb³⁺/2% Er³⁺ MCs. (b) Schematic illustrations of crystal structure of pure LiYF₄

MCs.

Fig. 2. SEM images and EDX spectra of (a) LiYF₄: Yb³⁺/Er³⁺ and (b) LiLuF₄: Yb³⁺/

Er³⁺ MCs.

Fig. 3. (a-d) The UC emission spectra of single LiY/LuF₄: 20% Yb³⁺/2% Ln³⁺. (e) The relative peak area for the blue, green and red of single LiYF₄ and single LiLuF₄ MC. (f) The CIE chromaticity diagram of the single LiYF₄ and LiLuF₄ MC.

Fig. 4. Energy level diagrams with proposed UC mechanism for Er³⁺, Tm³⁺, Ho³⁺ and Yb³⁺ co-doped LiYF₄ MCs.

Fig. 5. Emission spectra of Eu^{3+} doped single $\text{LiLuF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Eu}^{3+}$ MC (a) and $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Eu}^{3+}$ MC (b) under 532 nm excitation.

Fig. 6. (A) FTIR spectra of (a) LiLuF_4 and (b) LiYF_4 MCs in the presence of EDTA, and (c) LiYF_4 MCs in the absence of EDTA. (B) SEM images of LiYF_4 MCs in the absence of EDTA.

Fig. 7. (A) the UC emission spectra and (B) R/G ratio of single $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MC with different excitation positions.

Fig. 8. (a-d) The EDX spectra of single $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ with different position. (e)

The emission spectra of single $\text{LiYF}_4:\text{Yb}^{3+}/\text{Er}^{3+}$ MC with different position under 532 nm excitation.