Synthesis and structural characterizations of *ansa*-vanadabis(tricarbadeccaboranyl) sandwich complexes

Ariane Perez-Gavilan,^{†,*} Patrick J. Carroll^{††} and Larry G. Sneddon^{††,*}

[†]Maastricht Science Programme, Maastricht University, Maastricht 6211KW, The Netherlands
and the ^{††}Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104-6323

* Corresponding authors.

E-mail addresses:

ariane.perez-gavilan@maastrichtuniversity.nl

lsneddon@sas.upenn.edu

Dedicated to our friends Nancy and Russ Grimes on the occasion of Russ's 80th birthday

Abstract

A new linked bis(tricarbadeccaboranyl) dianion has been used to form the first examples of *ansa*-vanadabis(tricarbadeccaboranyl) complexes. The $\text{Li}_2^+[\text{6,6}-(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{-nido}-(5,6,9\text{-C}_3\text{B}_7\text{H}_9)_2]^{2-}$ (**1**) dianion was produced by a carbon-insertion route involving the reaction of two equivalents of *arachno*-4,6- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_7\text{H}_{12}^-$ with adiponitrile. The reaction of **1** with $\text{VCl}_3 \cdot \text{THF}$ produced two isomeric *ansa*-vanadabis(tricarbadeccaboranyl) complexes, *ansa*-

(2',4-(CH₂)₄)-*commo*-V-(1'-V-2',3',5'-C₃B₇H₉)(1-V-2,3,4-C₃B₇H₉) (**2**) and *ansa*-(2',4-(CH₂)₄)-*commo*-V-(1'-V-2',3',4'-C₃B₇H₉)(1-V-2,3,4-C₃B₇H₉) (**3**). Crystallographic determinations showed that in both complexes a formal V²⁺ ion is sandwiched between two tricarbadeccaboranyl cages that are linked by the *ansa*-(CH₂)₄- group, but that the points of linker-attachment on the two cages are different. One cage of each complex has the linkage attached to the C2 cage-carbon, but in the other cage, a cage-atom rearrangement moved the C2 cage-carbon along with its attached -(CH₂)₄- linker to an adjacent 4-position. This rearrangement along with the long flexible -(CH₂)₄- linker enables the two cages in each complex to rotate into a perpendicular interlocking configuration that maximizes the bonding interaction with the metal, reduces unfavorable steric interactions between the two linked cages and encapsulates the vanadium inhibiting its interactions with other potential ligands. The isomeric structures of **2** and **3** differ as a result of their being formed from different combinations of the enantiomeric forms of the -(CH₂)₄-C₃B₇H₉ cages, with the C4 and C5' carbons on opposite sides of the tether in **2**, whereas in **3** the C4 and C4' carbons are on the same side.

Keywords: *ansa*-complex, *ansa*-vanadabis(tricarbadeccaborane), *ansa*-metallocene, tricarbaborane, metallatricarbaborane, *ansa*-carborane

Introduction

Although the first tricarbaboranes [1], $\text{Me-C}_3\text{B}_3\text{H}_6$ and $\text{Me}_2\text{-C}_3\text{B}_3\text{H}_5$, and metallatricarbaborane complex [2], $(\text{Me-C}_3\text{B}_3\text{H}_6)\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_3$, were reported in seminal work by Grimes in 1966 and 1969, it took over 20 more years to develop the efficient methods for the syntheses of the larger cage C_3B_7 [3] and C_3B_8 tricarbaboranes [4] that have now enabled the extensive and growing investigations of metallatricarbaborane chemistry [5]. Our work in this area has demonstrated that the 6-R-5,6,9-*nido*- $\text{C}_3\text{B}_7\text{H}_9^-$ ($\text{R} = \text{Me}$ or Ph) [3, 6] tricarbadeboranyl anions can function as cyclopentadienyl analogs with the tricarbadeboranyl sandwich complexes exhibiting properties that are complimentary to their metallocene cousins [7]. For example, we synthesized [8] a range of different $\text{V}(\text{Me-C}_3\text{B}_7\text{H}_9)_2$ vanadabis(tricarbadeboranyl) sandwich complexes and demonstrated that, unlike the Cp_2V [9] and Cp^*_2V [10] vanadocenes, the vanadabis(tricarbadeboranes) are both air and water stable, as well as unreactive toward coordination with additional ligands. In light of the unique properties imparted by tricarbadeboranyl ligands, we have continued to explore the syntheses and properties of the tricarbadeboranyl equivalents of other important classes of metallocene structure types.

Ansa-ligated metallocene [11] and metallacarboranyl complexes [12] are new and growing classes of stabilized sandwich complexes with constrained geometries that have found increasing uses as, for example, stereo-selective catalysts, chelating agents for metal separations and biomedical reagents. We recently reported [13] the synthesis of both the first linked cyclopentadienyl-tricarbadeboranyl and bis(tricarbadeboranyl) dianions and their use to form the first examples of *ansa*-ferratricarbadeboranyl complexes. The short length of the $(\text{CH}_2)_2$ - linker used in the *ansa*-ferrabis(tricarbadeboranyl) complexes inhibited coordination of their two linked-cages in the most favorable interlocking configuration. In this paper, we

report the synthesis of a new linked bis(tricarbadecaboranyl) dianion with a longer, four-carbon tether that facilitates optimal metal-cage bonding interactions, as illustrated by the use of this dianion to form the first *ansa*-vanadabis(tricarbadecaboranyl) complexes.

Results and Discussion

We earlier demonstrated that the carbon insertion method originally developed by Kang [3, 8], which employs the reaction of the *arachno*-4,6- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_7\text{H}_{12}^-$ anion with nitriles, could be used with succinonitrile to make the linked-cage $[6,6-(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{-nido-(5,6,9-}\text{C}_3\text{B}_7\text{H}_9)_2]^{2-}$ dianion [13]. In a similar fashion, the reaction of two equivalents of *arachno*-4,6- $\text{C}_2\text{B}_7\text{H}_{12}^-$ with adiponitrile produced a solution of the $\text{Li}_2^+[6,6-(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{-nido-(5,6,9-}\text{C}_3\text{B}_7\text{H}_9)_2]^{2-}$ (**1**) salt containing the longer 4-carbon linkage. The ^{11}B NMR spectrum of the reaction solution at completion exhibited the seven resonance pattern that is highly characteristic of the 6-R-*nido*-5,6,9- $\text{C}_3\text{B}_7\text{H}_9^-$ anion and was similar to that observed for the previous $[6,6-(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{-nido-(5,6,9-}\text{C}_3\text{B}_7\text{H}_9)_2]^{2-}$ dianion. Because the tricarbadecaborane cage is enantiomeric, **1** is produced as a mixture of the two diastereomeric forms shown in **Scheme 1** resulting from the R+S and R+R (or S+S) combinations of the 6-R-*nido*-5,6,9- $\text{C}_3\text{B}_7\text{H}_9^-$ enantiomers. **1** was not isolated at this point, but was instead stored as a stock solution under N_2 until its further reaction.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of $\text{Li}_2^+[6,6-(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{-nido-(5,6,9-}\text{C}_3\text{B}_7\text{H}_9)_2]^{2-}$ (**1**)

As depicted in **Scheme 2**, the 1:1 reaction of **1** with $\text{VCl}_3 \cdot \text{THF}$ in THF solution yielded, following purification by preparative TLC using a CH_2Cl_2 eluent, approximately equal amounts of two dark green crystalline solids.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of *ansa*-complexes **2** and **3**.

As observed in the reactions to form the $\text{V}(\text{Me-C}_3\text{B}_7\text{H}_9)_2$ complexes, during the reaction to form **2** and **3**, reduction to V(II) occurred with the lower oxidation state stabilized by the highly electron-withdrawing tricarbadecaboranyl ligands [7, 8, 14]. Like the V(II) vanadocene complexes, Cp_2V [9] and Cp^*_2V [10], **2** and **3** are paramagnetic with a 15 electron count at the vanadium. However, unlike Cp_2V and Cp^*_2V , which are high spin complexes with three unpaired electrons, Evan's method measurements indicated, as was observed for the untethered $\text{V}(\text{Me-C}_3\text{B}_7\text{H}_9)_2$ complexes [8], that **2** ($\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.77$) and **3** ($\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.73$) had only one unpaired electron. This difference in magnetism is again consistent with both the lower symmetry and stronger bonding properties of the tricarbadecaboranyl versus the Cp/Cp* ligands.

Owing to their paramagnetism, **2** and **3** could not be characterized with the aid of NMR; however, crystallographic determinations confirmed the *ansa*-structures shown in **Figures 1** and **2**.

Figure 1. Crystallographically determined structure of **2**. Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): V1–C2, 2.002(4); V1–C3, 2.105(8); V1–C4, 2.381(4); V1–B5, 2.364(8); V1–B6, 2.424(5); V1–B7, 2.439(6); V1–(C4–B5–B6–B7)_{centroid}, 1.811(3); V1–(B4'–C5'–B6'–B7')_{centroid}, 1.755(3); C2–B5, 1.601(8); B5–B6, 1.832(8); C3–B6, 1.571(12); C3–B7, 1.586(11); C4–B7, 1.736(6); C2–C4, 1.515(6); C4–C12, 1.527(5); V1–C2', 2.058(4); V1–C3', 1.999(4); V1–C5', 2.363(4); V1–B4', 2.355(6); V1–B6', 2.339(9); V1–B7', 2.362(9); C2'–B4', 1.594(6); B4'–B7', 1.856(14); C3'–B6', 1.589(8); C3'–B7', 1.576(12); C5'–B6', 1.724(7); C2'–C5', 1.516(5); C12'–C2', 1.532(5); C3–V1–C2, 102.0(2); C3'–V1–C2', 105.31(15); V1–C4–C12, 129.0(2).

Figure 2. Crystallographically determined structure of **3**. Selected distances (Å) and angles (deg): V1–C2, 2.0478(9); V1–C3, 2.0425(9); V1–C4, 2.3784(9); V1–B5, 2.4180(11); V1–B6, 2.4207(11); V1–B7, 2.3696(10); V1–(C4–B5–B6–B7)_{centroid}, 1.8006(5); V1–(C4'–B5'–B6'–B7')_{centroid}, 1.7713(5); C2–B5, 1.5834(14); B5–B6, 1.8495(16); C3–B6, 1.5874(14); C3–B7, 1.5923(14); C4–B7, 1.7386(14); C2–C4, 1.5191(12); C4–C12, 1.5320(13); V1–C2', 2.0585(9); V1–C3', 2.0284(10); V1–C4', 2.3431(9); V1–B5', 2.3886(11); V1–B6', 2.3778(11); V1–B7', 2.3660(11); C2'–B5', 1.5948(15); B5'–B6', 1.8462(16); C3'–B6', 1.5923(14); C3'–B7', 1.5996(14); C4'–B7', 1.7282(14); C2'–C4', 1.5320(13); C15–C2', 1.5303(13); C3–V1–C2, 102.82(4); C3'–V1–C2', 105.24(4); V1–C4–C12, 127.56(6).

In both complexes, a formal V^{2+} ion is sandwiched between two tricarbadeboranyl ligands that are linked by the $-(CH_2)_4-$ chain. The **2** and **3** structures differ only in the handedness of the cages. Thus, as can be seen by comparing **Figures 1** and **2**, in compound **2** the C4 and C5' cage-carbons are on opposite sides of the tether, whereas in **3** the C4 and C4' cage-carbons are on the same side. The vanadium in each complex is centered over the six-membered, puckered open faces of the two cages, with the C4–B5–B6–B7 and C4'(5')–B5'(4')–B6'–B7' planes being nearly parallel, but slightly tilted away from the tether side (**2**: $5(2)^\circ$, **3**: $5.3(3)^\circ$). The shortest vanadium-cage distances are to the C2(2') and C3(3') carbons that are puckered toward the vanadium and these distances, as well as the $V1-(C4-B5-B6-B7)_{centroid}$ and $V1-(C4'(5')-B5'(4')-B6'-B7')_{centroid}$ distances, are similar to those of the untethered $V(Me-C_3B_7H_9)_2$ complexes [8]. These centroid distances are significantly shorter than the $V-Cp_{centroid}$ distance (1.923 Å) in vanadocene [15].

Even though each of the VC_3B_7 cluster fragments in **2** and **3** have only 21 skeletal electrons, their cage structures appear identical to that of the 11-vertex *closo*-octadecahedral geometry framework expected for a 24 skeletal electron system, such as $(1-\eta^5-C_5H_5)-closo-(2-Me-2,3,4-C_3B_7H_9)Fe$ [7a]. The absence of any cage distortions in **2** and **3** is consistent with the observation by Wade [16] that, as a result of their HOMO and LUMO orbitals not having degenerate levels, 11-vertex clusters have a unique ability to accommodate various electron counts in an octadecahedral framework.

In both **2** and **3**, the linker attachment points on their two cages are different. One cage of each complex has the linkage attached to the C2 cage-carbon, but in the other cage, a cage-atom rearrangement moved the C2 cage-carbon along with its attached $-(CH_2)_4-$ linker to the adjacent 4-position. Such cage-carbon migrations have frequently been observed in many alkyl-

substituted metallatricarbadecaboranyl complexes, including the untethered $V(Me-C_3B_7H_9)_2$ complexes [8]. We have previously demonstrated [17] via ^{13}C labeling studies of the isomerization of $(1-\eta^5-C_5H_5)-closo-(2-Me-2-^{13}C-2,3,4-C_3B_7H_9)Fe$ to its $(1-\eta^5-C_5H_5)-closo-4-Me-4-^{13}C-2,3,4-C_3B_7H_9)Fe$ isomer that these isomerizations are consistent with a simple cage belt-rotation mechanism where the C2 cage-carbon moves to the adjacent 4 (or 5) position while retaining its exopolyhedral-substituent. Such a process could also readily account for the formation of **2** and **3**. Thus, as shown in **Scheme 3**, initial formation of the two isomeric *ansa*-(2',2-(CH₂)₄)-*commo*-V-(1'-V-2',3',5'-C₃B₇H₉)(1-V-2,3,5-C₃B₇H₉) (top, left) and *ansa*-(2',2-(CH₂)₄)-*commo*-V-(1'-V-2',3',4'-C₃B₇H₉)(1-V-2,3,5-C₃B₇H₉) (bottom right) complexes would result from reactions of VCl₃ with the R+S and R+R/S+S forms of **1** shown in **Scheme 1**, respectively. As shown in the **Scheme 3**, the structure observed for **2** can then be derived from the *ansa*-(2',2-(CH₂)₄)-*commo*-V-(1'-V-2',3',5'-C₃B₇H₉)(1-V-2,3,5-C₃B₇H₉) isomer by a simple rotation of its C5–C2–B4–B10–B11 belt, with C5 moving to the 2-position and the C2 carbon moving to the 4-position with its tether connection staying intact. In the same way, the structure of **3** can be generated from the *ansa*-(2',2-(CH₂)₄)-*commo*-V-(1'-V-2',3',4'-C₃B₇H₉)(1-V-2,3,5-C₃B₇H₉) isomer by the rotation that moves the C2-substituted carbon to the 4-position. Further supporting the sequences outlined in **Scheme 3** is our previous observation that the (2',2-(CH₂)₂)-*commo*-Fe-(1'-Fe-2',3',4'-C₃B₇H₉)(1-Fe-2,3,4-C₃B₇H₉) complex can likewise be converted to its *ansa*-(2',4-(CH₂)₂)-*commo*-Fe-(1'-Fe-2',3',5'-C₃B₇H₉)(1-Fe-2,3,4-C₃B₇H₉) isomer [13].

The cage-carbon migration in combination with the longer -(CH₂)₄- linker allows the two cages in **2** and **3** to rotate to a perpendicular interlocking position, as measured by the dihedral angles between the C2–V1–C3 and C2'–V1–C3' planes in **2** (85.0(1)°) and **3** (89.16(2)°). This orientation maximizes the bonding interaction with the metal and prevents unfavorable steric

interactions between the two linked cages. This was the favored cage conformation observed for the untethered $(\text{Me-C}_3\text{B}_7\text{H}_9)_2\text{V}$ isomers and results in encapsulation of the metal center thus inhibiting its interactions with other potential reactants [8]. This orientation contrasts with those observed for the *ansa*-ferrabis(tricarbadecaboranyl) complexes *ansa*-(2',4-(CH₂)₂-)-*commo*-Fe-(1'-Fe-2',3',5'-C₃B₇H₉)(1-Fe-2,3,4-C₃B₇H₉) and *ansa*-(2',4-(CH₂)₂-)-*commo*-Fe-(1'-Fe-2',3',5'-C₃B₇H₉)(1-Fe-2,3,4-C₃B₇H₉) containing the 2-carbon linker where their shorter tethers force the cages into more eclipsed conformations with dihedral angles between their C2–Fe–C3 and C2'–Fe–C3' planes of only 64.04(5)° and 56.36(4)° [13].

Scheme 3. Cage-carbon migration reactions by a belt-rotation mechanism leading to the formation of **2** and **3**.

In summary, the new linked-cage $[6,6-(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{-nido-(5,6,9-C}_3\text{B}_7\text{H}_9)_2]^{2-}$ dianion has been used to form the first examples of *ansa*-vanadabis(tricarbadecaboranyl) complexes. A cage-carbon rearrangement along with the long flexible $-(\text{CH}_2)_4$ - linker enables the two cages in these complexes to rotate into a perpendicular interlocking configuration that maximizes cage-metal bonding, reduces unfavorable steric interactions between the two linked cages and encapsulates the vanadium inhibiting its interactions with other potential reactants. These types of bis(tricarbadecaboranyl) dianions thus hold great promise for the design and syntheses of new families of highly stabilized *ansa*-sandwich compounds.

Acknowledgments

The National Science Foundation is gratefully acknowledged for both the support of this research (CHE-1011748) and for an instrumentation grant (CHE-0840438) that was used for the purchase of the X-ray diffractometer employed in these studies. The Maastricht Science Programme is gratefully acknowledged for the release time needed to conduct this research.

Appendix A. CCDC 1052020 (**2**) and CCDC 1052021 (**3**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for the reported structures. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Experimental

General Procedures and Materials:

Unless otherwise noted, all reactions and manipulations were performed in dry glassware under nitrogen atmospheres using the high-vacuum or inert-atmosphere techniques described by Shriver [18].

The *arachno*-4,6-C₂B₇H₁₃ was prepared as previously reported [19]. VCl₃·THF (Strem), lithium hydride, and adiponitrile (Aldrich) were used as received. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) (Fisher) was freshly distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Dichloromethane and diethyl ether (Fisher) were used as received.

¹¹B NMR at 128.4 MHz was obtained on a Bruker DMX 400 spectrometer. The ¹¹B NMR chemical shifts are referenced to external BF₃·OEt₂ (0.00 ppm) with a negative sign indicating an upfield shift. The effective magnetic moments of the complexes were measured by using the Evans method [20]. High-resolution mass spectra using negative chemical ionization (NCI) were recorded on a Micromass Autospec Spectrometer. Infrared spectra were recorded on an ASI ReactIR 1000 FT-IR spectrometer. Preparative silica gel plates (1000 μm, Whatman) were used for chromatography. Melting points were obtained on a standard melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.

*Synthesis of Li₂⁺[6,6-(CH₂)₄-nido-(5,6,9-C₃B₇H₉)₂]²⁻ (**1**):*

LiH (20 mg, 2.6 mmol) and *arachno*-4,6-C₂B₇H₁₃ (300 mg, 2.6 mmol) were weighed into a Schlenk flask under N₂. Previously dried and distilled THF (10 mL) was added by syringe and the reaction was stirred at room temperature. The solution was monitored by NMR until ~97% complete. At this point, the lithium hydride was filtered off and adiponitrile (0.13 mL, 1.3

mmol) was added by syringe. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 4 h until completion was observed via ^{11}B NMR. The solution was then stored as a stock solution in the fridge until use. The approximate concentration of the stock solution and the yield (~92%, ~0.15 M) were determined by integrating the resonances in the ^{11}B NMR spectrum of a $\text{B}_{10}\text{H}_{14}$ sample of known concentration and then comparing that value with the integrated value of the resonances of the stock solution.

1: ^{11}B NMR (128.4 MHz, CD_2Cl_2 , ppm, $J = \text{Hz}$, assgnt³) 6.6 (d, 124, B8), 3.9 (d, 124, B7), -5.8 (d, 124, B1), -10.9 (d, 151, B3), -13.3 (d, 124, B10), -24.7 (d, 151, B2), -31.9 (d, 138, B4).

Synthesis of ansa-(2',4-(CH₂)₄)-commo-V-(1'-V-2',3',5'-C₃B₇H₉)(1-V-2,3,4-C₃B₇H₉) (2) and ansa-(2',4-(CH₂)₄)-commo-V-(1'-V-2',3',4'-C₃B₇H₉)(1-V-2,3,4-C₃B₇H₉) (3):

A THF solution of **1** (1.6 mL of a ~0.15 M solution, 0.24 mmol) was added to a Schlenk flask containing $\text{VCl}_3 \cdot \text{THF}$ (187 mg, 0.5 mmol) under N_2 . After stirring for 36 h at reflux, the reaction mixture was exposed to air and filtered through a short silica gel plug using CH_2Cl_2 and diethyl ether as eluents. The solvent was vacuum evaporated and the oily blue residue was redissolved in CH_2Cl_2 and chromatographed on a silica gel plate using a pure CH_2Cl_2 eluent to give two green bands, which were then extracted with CH_2Cl_2 to yield the two isomers:

2: ($R_f = 0.9$); 15.4% yield (13 mg, 0.037 mmol); green; mp 193-196 °C. NCI HRMS m/z for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{26}\text{B}_{14}\text{V}$: calcd. 351.3545, fd. 351.3558; $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.77$; IR: 2560 cm^{-1} (br) B-H.

3: ($R_f = 0.5$), 14.2% yield (12 mg, 0.034 mmol); green; mp 171-174 °C. NCI HRMS m/z for $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{26}\text{B}_{14}\text{V}$: calcd. 351.3545, fd. 351.3485; $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.73$; IR: 2580 cm^{-1} (br) B-H.

Crystallographic Procedures:

Single crystals were grown through slow solvent evaporation from dichloromethane solutions. X-ray intensity data were collected on a Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer employing graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$). The structures were solved by direct methods (SIR97) [21]. Refinement was by full-matrix least squares based on F^2 using SHELXL-97 [22]. All reflections were used during refinement (values of F^2 that were experimentally negative were replaced with $F^2 = 0$). Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically, cage hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, and all other hydrogen atoms were refined using a riding model. In **2**, the vanadium atom lies on a crystallographic 2-fold axis (at $\frac{1}{2}$, y , $\frac{1}{4}$) but since there is no molecular 2-fold symmetry, the rotation axis serves to rotationally disorder both cages. The cage atoms were refined with fractional occupancies of 0.500 using the SHELXL “PART -1” command. Crystal and refinement data are given in **Table 1**. Selected bond distances and angles are given in the figure captions.

Table 1. Crystallographic Data Collection and Structure Refinement Information.

	2	3
Empirical Formula	C ₁₀ B ₁₄ H ₂₆ V	C ₁₀ B ₁₄ H ₂₆ V
Formula weight	348.59	348.59
Crystal class	orthorhombic	monoclinic
Space group	Pbcn	P2 ₁ /n
Z	4	4
<i>a</i> , Å	13.2482(7)	9.7439(3)
<i>b</i> , Å	13.0650(6)	12.7064(4)
<i>c</i> , Å	10.5990(6)	15.0961(4)
β , deg		98.9700(10)
<i>V</i> , Å ³	1834.56(17)	1846.19(9)
<i>D</i> _{calc} , g/cm ³	1.262	1.254
μ , mm ⁻¹	0.528	0.525
λ , Å (Mo-K α)	0.71073	0.71073
Crystal size, mm	0.20 x 0.14 x 0.03	0.45 x 0.32 x 0.25
F(000)	716	716
2 θ angle, deg	4.38–55.08	4.22–55.04
Temperature, K	100(1)	100(1)
<i>hkl</i> collected	-17 $\leq$ <i>h</i> $\leq$ 17 -16 $\leq$ <i>k</i> $\leq$ 17 -13 $\leq$ <i>l</i> $\leq$ 13	-12 $\leq$ <i>h</i> $\leq$ 12 -16 $\leq$ <i>k</i> $\leq$ 16 -19 $\leq$ <i>l</i> $\leq$ 19
No. meas reflns	41816	49199

No. unique reflns	2115 [$R_{int} = 0.0460$]	4255 [$R_{int} = 0.0176$]
No. parameters	223	331
R^a indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0420$, $wR_2 = 0.0798$	$R_1 = 0.0237$, $wR_2 = 0.0635$
R^a indices ($F > 2\sigma$)	$R_1 = 0.0294$, $wR_2 = 0.0749$	$R_1 = 0.0223$, $wR_2 = 0.0616$
GOF ^b	1.088	0.921
Final difference peaks, e/ Å ³	0.159	0.365

^a $R_1 = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_o|$; $wR_2 = \{\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \sum w(F_o^2)^2\}^{1/2}$ ^bGOF = $\{\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2 / (n - p)\}^{1/2}$

References

- [1] C.L. Bramlett, R.N. Grimes, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 88 (1966) 4269–4270.
- [2] J.W. Howard, R.N. Grimes, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91 (1969) 6499–6500.
- [3] S.O. Kang, G.T. Furst, L.G. Sneddon, Inorg. Chem. 28 (1989) 2339–2347.
- [4] B. Štíbr, J. Holub, F. Teixidor, C. Viñas, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. (1995) 795–796.
- [5] For a review see: R.N. Grimes, Carboranes 2nd Edition, Academic Press, New York 2011.
- [6] B.M. Ramachandran, P.J. Carroll, L.G. Sneddon, Inorg. Chem. 43 (2004) 3467–3474.
- [7] See for example: (a) C.A. Plumb, P.J. Carroll, L.G. Sneddon, Organometallics 11 (1992) 1665–1671. (b) C.A. Plumb, P.J. Carroll, L.G. Sneddon, Organometallics 11 (1992) 1672–1680. (c) B.A. Barnum, P.J. Carroll, L.G. Sneddon, Inorg. Chem. 36 (1997) 1327–1337. (d) B.M. Ramachandran, S.M. Trupia, W.E. Geiger, P.J. Carroll, L.G. Sneddon, Organometallics 21 (2002) 5078–5090. (e) B.M. Ramachandran, Y. Wang, S.O. Kang, P.J. Carroll, L.G. Sneddon, Organometallics 23 (2004) 2989–2994.

- [8] M.D. Wasczak, Y. Wang, A. Garg, W.E. Geiger, S.O. Kang, P.J. Carroll, L.G. Sneddon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 123 (2001) 2783–2790.
- [9] (a) P. Royo, E. Ryan, in *Metallocenes: Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications*; A. Togni, R.L. Halterman, Eds.; Wiley-VCH: New York (1998) Vol. 1, pp 321-414. (b) T.A. Jackson, J. Krzystek, A. Ozarowski, G.B. Wijeratne, B.F. Wicker, D.J. Mindiola, J. Telser, *Organometallics* 31 (2012) 8265–8274.
- [10] J.L. Robbins, N. Edelstein, B. Spencer, J.C. Smart, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 104 (1982) 1882–1893.
- [11] For some general references on the properties of *ansa*-Cp complexes, see: (a) P.J. Shapiro, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 231 (2002) 67–81. (b) S. Prashara, A.A. Nolo, A. Otero, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 250 (2006) 133–154. (c) B. Wang, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 250 (2006) 242–258. (d) G. Erker, *Macromol. Symp.* 236, (2006) 1–13.
- [12] For some examples of *ansa*-metalladiboraboranyl complexes see: (a) Y. Wang, H. Wang, H-W. Li, Z. Xie, *Organometallics* 21 (2002) 3311–3313. (b) Z. Xie, *Accts. Chem. Res.* 36 (2003) 1–9. (c) Y. Wang, D. Liu, H-S. Chan, Z. Xie, *Organometallics* 27 (2008) 2825–2832. (d) D. Liu, Y. Wang, H-S. Chan, Y. Tang, Z. Xie, *Organometallics* 27 (2008) 5295–5302. (e) M-M. Sit, H-S. Chan, Z. Xie, *Organometallics* 28, (2009) 5998–6002.
- [13] B. Gleeson, P.J. Carroll, L.G. Sneddon, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 135 (2013) 12407–12413.
- [14] (a) A. Nafady, R. Butterick III, M.J. Calhorda, P.J. Carroll, D. Chong, W.E. Geiger, L.G. Sneddon, *Organometallics* 26 (2007) 4471–4482. (b) M. Stewart, R. Butterick III, L.G. Sneddon, Y. Matsuo, G.E. Geiger, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 364 (2010) 251–254.
- [15] (a) M.Y. Antipin, K.A. Lyssenko, R. Boese, J. *Organomet. Chem.* 508 (1996) 259–262. (b) R.D. Rogers, J.L. Atwood, D. Foust, M.D. Rausch, J. *Cryst. Mol. Struc.* 11 (1981) 183–188.

- [16] (a) M.E. O'Neill, K.J. Wade, *Mol. Struct.* 103 (1983) 259–268. (b) M.E. O'Neill, K.J. Wade, *Polyhedron* 2 (1984) 199–212. (c) R.E. Mulvey, M.E. O'Neill, K.J. Wade, R. Snaith, *Polyhedron* 5 (1986) 1437–1447.
- [17] C.A. Plumb, P.J. Carroll, L.G. Sneddon, *Organometallics* 11 (1992) 1681–1685.
- [18] D.F. Shriver, M.A. Drezdson, *The Manipulation of Air-Sensitive Compounds*, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, (1986)
- [19] (a) M.F. Hawthorne, D.C. Young, P.M. Garrett, D.A. Owen, S.G. Schwerin, F.N. Tebbe, P.A. Wegner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 90 (1968) 862–868. (b) P.M. Garrett, T.A. George, M.F. Hawthorne, *Inorg. Chem.* 8 (1969) 2008–2009.
- [20] (a) D.F. Evans, *J. Chem. Soc.* (1959) 2003–2005. (b) J. Loliger, R.J. Scheffold, *J. Chem. Educ.* 49 (1972) 646–647. (c) C.J. Piguet, *Chem. Educ.* 74 (1997) 815–816.
- [21] *SIR97*: A. Altomare, M.C. Burla, M. Camalli, M. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, A. Guagliardi, A. Moliterni, G.J. Polidori, R.J. Spagna, *Appl. Cryst.* 32 (1999) 115–119.
- [22] SHELXTL version 6.14: Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, USA.

Graphic for Table of Contents

Graphical Abstract Synopsis

The synthesis and structural characterizations of new *ansa*-vanadabis(tricarbadeboranyl) complexes have been achieved, with the flexible 4-carbon cage-linker in these complexes facilitating a cage-carbon migration that allows the cages to adopt a favorable interlocking position encapsulating the vanadium.