	Toxin β -hairpin	<u>kd for Immunity</u>
EC869	AAAKFKEYALSGRELTSSMISN	18 nM
Nlact	AAAKFKTYSLSGVELTSSMISN	175 nM
Ykris	AAAKFHTHTLSGEQLTSSMISN	4600 nM
YP111	VTAKFEKASLSGVTVNSSMITS	1300 nM
EC869	AAAKF-----SSMISN	No binding

Diversification of β -augmentation interactions between CDI toxin/immunity proteins

Robert P. Morse¹, Julia L. E. Willett², Parker M. Johnson¹, Mandy Zheng³, Alfredo Credali¹, Angelina Iniguez¹, James S. Nowick³, Christopher S. Hayes^{2,4} and Celia W. Goulding^{1,5}

¹Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry

³Department of Chemistry

⁵Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences

University of California, Irvine

Irvine, CA 92697

²Department of Molecular, Cellular and Developmental Biology

⁴Biomolecular Science and Engineering Program

University of California, Santa Barbara

Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9625

*Corresponding author: Celia Goulding, E-mail: celia.goulding@uci.edu, Phone: (949) 824-0337, Fax: (949) 824-8551

Keywords: bacterial competition; interspecies growth inhibition; DNase; toxin/immunity proteins

Abstract

Contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) is a wide-spread mechanism of inter-bacterial competition mediated by the CdiB/CdiA family of two-partner secretion proteins. CdiA effectors carry diverse C-terminal toxin domains (CdiA-CT), which are delivered into neighboring target cells to inhibit growth. CDI⁺ bacteria also produce CdiI immunity proteins that bind specifically to cognate CdiA-CT toxins and protect the cell from auto-inhibition. Here, we compare the structures of homologous CdiA-CT/CdiI complexes from *Escherichia coli* EC869 and *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* YPIII to explore the evolution of CDI toxin/immunity protein interactions. Both complexes share an unusual β -augmentation interaction, in which the toxin domain extends a β -hairpin into the immunity protein to complete a six-stranded anti-parallel sheet. However, the specific contacts differ substantially between the two complexes. The EC869 β -hairpin interacts mainly through direct H-bond and ion-pair interactions, whereas the YPIII β -hairpin pocket contains more hydrophobic contacts and a network of bridging water molecules. In accord with these differences, we find that each CdiI protein only protects target bacteria from its cognate CdiA-CT toxin. The compact β -hairpin-binding pocket within the immunity protein represents a tractable system for the rationale design of small molecules to block CdiA-CT/CdiI complex formation. We synthesized a macrocyclic peptide mimic of the β -hairpin from EC869 toxin and solved its structure in complex with cognate immunity protein. These latter studies suggest that small molecules could potentially be used to disrupt CDI toxin/immunity complexes.

Abbreviations

Contact-dependent growth inhibition, CDI; C-terminal toxin of CdiA, CdiA-CT; immunity protein, CdiI; *Escherichia coli* EC869, EC869; *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* YPIII, YP III; *Enterobacter cloacae* ATCC 13047, ECL; *Burkholderia pseudomallei* 1026b, Bp1026b; *Yersinia kristensenii* ATCC 33638, Ykris; *Neisseria lactamica* ATCC 23970, Nlact; circular dichroism, CD; macrocyclic, MAC.

Introduction

Bacteria possess many strategies to compete and cooperate with other microorganisms in the environment. Contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) is one competitive mechanism used by some Gram-negative species to inhibit the growth of neighboring bacteria^{1;2}. CDI⁺ cells express CdiB/CdiA two-partner secretion systems, which deliver protein toxins into target bacteria through a receptor-mediated process. CdiB is an Omp85 outer-membrane protein that exports and assembles toxic CdiA effectors onto the surface of CDI⁺ cells. CdiA proteins range from 180 – 630 kDa depending on bacterial species and form β -helical filaments that are predicted to extend several hundred Å from the inhibitor-cell surface. CdiA binds to specific receptors on susceptible bacteria and subsequently delivers a toxin domain derived from its C-terminus (CdiA-CT) into the target cell^{3;4;5}. CDI⁺ bacteria deploy a variety of CdiA-CT toxins with distinct activities. The CdiA-CT^{EC93} from *Escherichia coli* EC93 dissipates ion gradients by forming membrane pores⁶, but most other characterized CDI toxins have specific nuclease activities. CDI toxins from *E. coli* EC869 and *Dickeya dadantii* 3937 are potent DNases capable of degrading target-cell chromosomes^{5;7}, and CdiA-CT^{ECL} from *Enterobacter cloacae* ATCC 13047 cleaves 16S rRNA to block protein synthesis⁸. CDI⁺ bacteria protect themselves from auto-inhibition by producing small CdiI immunity proteins that bind to the CdiA-CT and block its toxin activity. Because CDI toxins are diverse, CdiA-CT/CdiI protein interactions are necessarily specific between cognate pairs. Therefore, CdiI immunity proteins neutralize their cognate CdiA-CT, but provide no protection against the toxins deployed by other bacteria^{7;9}. This diverse network of toxin/immunity pairs suggests that CDI plays an important role in inter-cellular competition and self/non-self recognition.

We recently surveyed the Uniprot database and identified at least 120 distinct CdiA-CT toxin families. Only 26 of these toxins have Pfam designations¹⁰ and the remaining domains are uncharacterized. We initiated structural studies of these protein pairs to discover new toxin activities and toxin/immunity binding interactions. The first CDI toxin/immunity protein complex structures to be determined were from *Burkholderia pseudomallei* 1026b and enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* strain EC869⁷. The CdiA-CT toxin sequences from these bacteria are not related, yet the three-dimensional structures

of the domains superimpose with an rmsd of 3.9 Å. Structural homology searches revealed significant similarity to type IIS restriction endonucleases, suggesting that both toxins are DNases. Indeed, the C-terminal domain of CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} has potent Zn²⁺-dependent DNase activity *in vitro* and *in vivo* ⁷. However, CdiA-CT_{II}^{Bp1026b} has no detectable activity on DNA, and instead this toxin preferentially cleaves near the 3'-end of tRNA^{Ala} molecules ¹¹. Thus, the same toxin fold is used to target different nucleic acid substrates. Though CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} and CdiA-CT_{II}^{Bp1026b} are similar in structure, other CDI toxins do not share the type IIS restriction endonuclease fold. The crystal structure of CdiA-CT^{ECL} from *E. cloacae* ATCC 13047 reveals similarity to the C-terminal nuclease domain of colicin E3 ^{8; 12; 13}, and sequence homology and activity studies strongly suggest that CdiA-CT^{K96243} from *B. pseudomallei* K96243 is related to the C-terminal nuclease domain of colicin E5 ^{2; 11}. Moreover, Aravind and colleagues have predicted that CDI systems deploy two classes of RNA deaminase (Pfam: PF14424 and PF14437), as well as homologues of the EndoU poly(U)-specific endonuclease that processes eukaryotic snoRNAs (Pfam: PF14436) ^{10; 14; 15}. Thus, CDI represents a versatile platform to deliver structurally diverse toxins into Gram-negative bacteria.

Although toxin/immunity pairs within a given family are homologous, there is often considerable sequence diversity between members, suggesting that families continue to diverge and evolve. When viewed in the context of available crystal structures, it is apparent that residues at the interface of the toxin/immunity protein complexes are diversifying most rapidly. This phenomenon is exemplified by toxin/immunity proteins that are homologous to the orphan-11 (o11) CdiA-CT/CdiI pair from *E. coli* EC869 ^{7; 9}. CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} interacts with CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} through β -augmentation, in which the toxin domain extends a β -hairpin to complete a six-stranded anti-parallel β -sheet within the immunity protein (**Fig. 1A**) ⁷. The sequences encoding the β -hairpin (corresponding to β 4 and β 5) are the most variable between members of the CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} nuclease family (**Fig. 1B**). Moreover, CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} residues that interact with the toxin are not conserved between related immunity proteins (**Fig. 1C**), suggesting that each immunity protein is specific for its cognate toxin. Here, we use structure-function analyses to examine the β -augmentation interactions of two homologous CdiA-CT/CdiI complexes to study the diversification of CDI toxin/immunity protein interfaces. We find that the CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} complex from

Yersinia pseudotuberculosis YPIII also features a β -augmentation interaction, however the precise intermolecular contacts differ substantially between the complexes. In accord with these differences, each CdiI immunity protein only protects against its cognate toxin, demonstrating that each pair is a distinct cognate toxin/immunity pair. Finally, we synthesized a macrocyclic peptide mimic of the β -hairpin from CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} and solved its crystal structure in complex with the CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} immunity protein. This structure forms the basis to refine the β -hairpin mimic to increase affinity with the goal of producing compounds that activate DNase toxins through sequestration of immunity proteins.

Results

Structure of the CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} complex reveals conservation of the β -augmentation interaction

Alignment of CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} toxin homologues indicates that most secondary-structure elements have high sequence conservation with the exception of strands β 4 and β 5, which mediate the β -augmentation interaction with CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} (**Figs. 1A & 1B**). To determine whether β -augmentation occurs in other homologous toxin/immunity pairs, we performed structural and functional analyses of the CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} complex encoded by the YPK_0575/YPK_0576 genes of *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* YPIII. The C-terminal nuclease domain of CdiA-CT^{YPIII} is 70.4% identical to CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} and shares all of the predicted active-site residues (**Fig. 1B**). Similarly, the CdiI^{YPIII} and CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} immunity proteins share 49.1% identity, though CdiI^{YPIII} contains a 10-residue insertion between α 1 and α 2 that is predicted to produce an elongated loop (**Fig. 1C**). We solved the crystal structure of the CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} complex to 2.1 Å resolution by molecular replacement using the structure of the CdiA-CT/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} complex (PDB code: 4G6U) as a search model (**Fig. 2A**). As with other CdiA-CTs^{7,8}, the N-terminal region (residues Val1 – Gly173) was not resolved in the structure. The final model included CdiA-CT^{YPIII} residues 174-298 and 148 water molecules resulting in an R_{work}/R_{free} (%) of 20.5/25.6 (**Table 1**). The CdiA-CT/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} and CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} complexes have very similar structures. The toxin domains superimpose with rmsd of 0.84 Å over 101 of 123 α -carbons, and the immunity proteins with rmsd of 1.01 Å over 133 of 173 α -carbons (**Fig. 2B**). The CdiA-

CT/CdiI^{YPIII} complex also contains a β -augmentation interaction in which the toxin extends its β 4/ β 5-hairpin into binding pocket within the immunity protein (**Figs. 2A & 2B**). However, in contrast to CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869}, which contains an ordered Zn²⁺ ion in the active site ⁷, no zinc was detected by metal K-edge absorption analysis of multiple CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} crystals and the electron density spheres within the active site vicinity of CdiA-CT^{YPIII} where not within zinc coordinating distances with the catalytic residues or would form a zinc tetra- or hexa-coordination sphere, and thus were modeled as water molecules (**Fig. S2**).

CdiA-CT^{YPIII} and CdiI^{YPIII} bind one another through a network of 14 direct H-bonds and ion-pairs (**Table S1**) combined with several hydrophobic interactions. Only two CdiA-CT^{YPIII} β -hairpin side-chains (Glu242 and Lys243) interact directly with CdiI^{YPIII}, compared to the six direct side-chain interactions in the CdiA-CT/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} complex (**Table S1 & Fig. 3**). The CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} β -hairpin pocket also contains a network of bridging water molecules and several more hydrophobic interactions than the CdiA-CT/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} complex (**Fig. 3**). In addition, extensive interactions outside of the β -augmentation region contribute to CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} complex stability. Loop L1 of CdiA-CT^{YPIII} forms several hydrophobic contacts with the elongated loop of CdiI^{YPIII}. Residue Lys195 within L1 forms a salt-bridge with CdiI^{YPIII} residue Glu137 within β 6. The loop connecting strands β 2 and β 3 also has several H-bond interactions and Asp201 forms a prominent salt-bridge with Arg69 in the elongated loop of CdiI^{YPIII} (**Table S1 & Fig. 2B**). In contrast, the CdiA-CT/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} complex has a less extensive interaction network outside of the β -augmentation region. Loop L1 of CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} has fewer hydrophobic contacts and one ionic interaction between Asp183 and Arg71 of CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} (**Table S1**). Together, these structures reveal overall conservation between the toxin/immunity protein pairs, but reveal important differences in the network of bonds that stabilize each complex (**Fig. S3**).

CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} and CdiI^{YPIII} immunity proteins are specific for their cognate toxins

The conservation of nuclease active-site residues strongly suggests that CdiA-CT^{YPIII} has DNase activity similar to that described for CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} ⁷. We isolated the CdiA-CT^{YPIII} domain from its immunity protein and tested for DNase activity *in vitro* using supercoiled plasmid as a substrate.

CdiA-CT^{YPIII} had no detectable nuclease activity in the presence of Mg²⁺ ions, but converted the supercoiled plasmid into open-circular form when the reactions were supplemented with Zn²⁺ (**Fig. 4**). CdiA-CT^{YPIII} appears to be less active than the CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} toxin, which had detectable DNase activity with Mg²⁺ and completely degraded the plasmid in the presence of Zn²⁺ ions (**Fig. 4**). We next tested the CdiI^{YPIII} and CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} immunity proteins for the ability to neutralize DNase activity *in vitro*. Each immunity protein was able to partially block the activity of its cognate toxin, but had no effect on non-cognate toxin activity (**Fig. 4**). These results strongly suggest that each immunity protein only binds to its cognate toxin. We measured the dissociation constants (K_d) for cognate and non-cognate complexes using biolayer interferometry. CdiA-CT^{YPIII} and CdiI^{YPIII} form a high-affinity complex with $K_d = 16 \pm 1$ nM, which is similar to the value (18 ± 7 nM) previously reported for the CdiA-CT/CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} complex⁷. In contrast, CdiI^{YPIII} has ~1,000-fold lower affinity for non-cognate CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} with a K_d of 13 ± 2 μ M. This highly reduced affinity between CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} and CdiI^{YPIII} compared to cognate protein pairs is perhaps due, in part, to the shape and electrostatic incompatibility of the CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} β -hairpin with the binding pocket of CdiI^{YPIII} immunity protein (**Fig. S4**).

Immunity proteins only provide protection against their cognate toxins during CDI

The CdiA-CT^{YPIII} toxin is encoded within a defective *cdi* locus that has been inactivated by complex gene rearrangements and deletions. To ascertain whether the toxin is functional in cell-mediated CDI, we fused the CdiA-CT^{YPIII} nuclease domain to the C-terminus of CdiA^{EC93} and tested the resulting chimera for inhibition activity against *E. coli* target cells. Inhibitor cells that express the CdiA^{EC93}-CT^{YPIII} chimera reduced viable target-cell counts more than 500-fold after four hours of co-culture, but target cells that express the cognate CdiI^{YPIII} immunity protein were completely protected from inhibition (**Fig. 5A**). In contrast, target cells that express CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} immunity protein were inhibited to the same extent as cells that lack any immunity gene (**Fig. 5A**). Similarly, the CdiI^{YPIII} immunity protein was unable to protect target cells from inhibitor cells that deploy the CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} toxin (**Fig. 5A**). We also examined competition co-cultures by fluorescence microscopy to detect DNase activity in target bacteria. We labeled inhibitor cells with YFP and target cells with mKate2 to

differentiate the two populations and also stained the cells with DAPI to visualize nucleoids. Immediately after cell mixing, both inhibitor and target cell populations had similar morphologies and nucleoid staining was uniform (**Fig. 5B**). After four hours of co-culture, target cells that lack the appropriate immunity protein became filamentous and lost DAPI staining (**Fig. 5B & Fig. S5**), indicating significant damage to the chromosome. In contrast, target cells that express cognate CdiI immunity proteins retained normal morphology and DAPI staining (**Fig. 5B & Fig. S5**). Together, these data demonstrate that these two toxin/immunity systems have diverged into distinct non-overlapping immunity groups.

β-augmentation is required for toxin/immunity protein complex formation

The β-augmentation interactions observed in the two toxin/immunity protein complexes suggest that the proteins bind using a lock-and-key mechanism. Therefore, we sought to crystallize and solve the structures of isolated toxins and immunity proteins to determine if the proteins undergo any conformational changes upon complex formation. Neither of the isolated toxin domains formed crystals, but we were able to crystallize and solve the 1.8 Å structure of an isolated immunity protein (CdiI^{Ykris}) encoded by the Ykris_10740 locus of *Yersinia kristensenii* ATCC 33638 (**Table 1**). CdiI^{Ykris} shares 68.9% and 51.5% sequence identity with CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} and CdiI^{YPIII} (respectively) (**Fig. 1C**), and its structure superimposes onto CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} and CdiI^{YPIII} with rmsd of 0.626 and 0.984 Å over all α-carbons (respectively) (**Fig. S6**). In addition, structural homology searches identified yet another immunity protein homolog (CdiI^{NMB}) encoded by the NMB0488 locus in *Neisseria meningitidis* MC58 (PDB: 2GKP). CdiI^{NMB} superimposes onto each of the other immunity proteins with rmsd values <0.7 Å over all α-carbons (**Fig. S6**). Collectively, these structures indicate that CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} homologues retain the same β-hairpin binding pocket architecture in the absence of bound toxin. This finding suggests that β-hairpins are modular, raising the possibility that interaction specificity could be altered by exchanging β4/β5 (β-hairpin) sequences between toxins.

To test whether β-augmentation is required for stable complex formation, we replaced the CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} β-hairpin (residues Lys242 – Thr252) with a Gly-Ser-Gly peptide linker to generate CdiA-

CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Δβ4β5} (**Fig. 6A**). Wild-type CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} binds to its cognate immunity protein with nanomolar affinity and co-purifies with His₆-tagged CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} during Ni²⁺-affinity chromatography (**Fig. 6B**). In contrast, CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Δβ4β5} did not co-purify with His₆-tagged CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} (**Fig. 6B**), suggesting the mutant domain has lower affinity for immunity protein. We purified CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Δβ4β5} to homogeneity by anion-exchange chromatography and measured its affinity for CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869}-His₆ using biolayer interferometry. However, no binding interaction was detected, indicating that the dissociation constant is >300 μM. To test whether deletion of the β-hairpin disrupts toxin structure, we examined the CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Δβ4β5} domain using circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy. This analysis revealed that CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Δβ4β5} has essentially the same secondary structure content as the wild-type domain (**Fig. 6C**). Taken together, these results demonstrate that the CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} β-hairpin is critical for complex formation. Moreover, despite the very low affinity of CdiI to CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Δβ4β5}, a high level of expression of CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Δβ4β5} was observed (**Fig. 6B**) together with healthy cell growth, suggesting that the β-hairpin is also required for toxic DNase activity. This was confirmed by testing *in vitro* DNase activity in the presence of supercoiled plasmid DNA and Zn²⁺, which showed that the CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Δβ4β5} domain had no observable DNase activity (data not shown).

We next asked whether CdiI binding specificity can be altered by grafting heterologous β-hairpins onto the CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} toxin. Using a catalytically inactive version of CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} that contains the Asp198Ala mutation, we replaced residues Lys242 – Glu250 with the corresponding sequences from homologous toxins from *Y. kristensenii* ATCC 33638 (CdiA-CT^{Ykris} encoded by ykris0001_10730) and *Neisseria lactamica* ATCC 23970 (CdiA-CT^{Nlact} encoded by NEILACOT_05635) (**Fig. 7A**). We co-expressed CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Nlact} and CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Ykris} together with His₆-tagged CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} and purified the tagged immunity protein by Ni²⁺-affinity chromatography. Chimeric CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Nlact} toxin co-purified with His₆-tagged CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869}, but CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Ykris} eluted in the void volume of the column (**Fig. 7B**). These results suggest that CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Nlact} binds with relatively high affinity to CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869}, whereas the CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Ykris} toxin does not. We first confirmed that each chimeric toxin was folded properly using CD spectroscopy (**Fig. 7C**), then measured binding affinities for CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} using biolayer interferometry. CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Nlact} and CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Ykris} bound to

Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} with dissociation constants of 180 ± 100 nM and 46 ± 36 μ M, respectively (**Table 2**), consistent with the co-purification data. The difference in affinities of the *N. lactamica* and *Y. kristensenii* chimeric toxins for the Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} immunity protein is in part due to the differences in electrostatic and shape complementarity (**Fig. S7**). The *N. lactamica* and EC869 β -hairpins share similar electrostatics and shape (**Figs. S7A & S7B**), allowing CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Nlact} to retain nanomolar affinity for Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} (**Table 2**). In contrast, the *Y. kristensenii* β -hairpin has a different shape and altered electrostatics compared with CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869}, which results in low micromolar affinity of chimeric CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Ykris} toxin for Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} (**Figs. S7A & S7C**). We then tested whether the grafted β -hairpins confer higher affinity for Cdil^{Nlact} and Cdil^{Ykris} immunity proteins. The CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Ykris} chimera bound to Cdil^{Ykris} with about the same affinity as Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869}, but somewhat surprisingly this domain bound to Cdil^{Nlact} with ~10-fold higher affinity (**Table 2**). The CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Nlact} domain bound to Cdil^{Nlact} with essentially the same affinity as for Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} and interacted with Cdil^{Ykris} with approximately 10-fold lower affinity (**Table 2**).

Structure of the MAC/Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} complex

In principle, molecules that disrupt CdiA-CT/Cdil complexes should liberate the toxin domain and induce CDI⁺ bacteria to undergo auto-inhibition. Nowick and coworkers have previously developed macrocyclic peptides containing δ -linked ornithine turn units that adopt a β -hairpin conformation and should be suitable to disrupting the CdiA-CT/Cdil complex^{16; 17}. To test the feasibility of this strategy, we designed a macrocyclic peptide (MAC) that mimics the β -hairpin of CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869}. The MAC peptide contains residues corresponding to Lys242 – Ser253 of CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869}, which were connected through a δ -linked ornithine residue (**Fig. S1**). Biolayer interferometry experiments failed to detect a binding interaction between MAC and Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869}; and the MAC peptide was unable to promote DNase activity when added in $\sim 10^3$ -fold molar excess to the CdiA-CT/Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} complex *in vitro* (data not shown). Despite its low affinity for Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869}, we were able to crystallize the MAC peptide in complex with the immunity protein and solve the structure to 2.0 Å resolution by molecular replacement (**Fig. 8A**). As anticipated, the MAC peptide forms a two-stranded β -sheet, though there are only four cross-

strand H-bonds compared to the five in the CdiA-CT/Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} complex. The ornithine turn creates a bulge that prevents formation of the fifth H-bond (**Fig. 8B**). The MAC/Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} structure superimposes well with Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} (rmsd of 0.437 Å over all α-carbons), though helix α3* is displaced 3.0 Å to create a slightly altered β-hairpin binding pocket (**Fig. 8B**). Five MAC peptide side-chains form H-bonds or ion-pair interactions with the immunity protein, in contrast to the six direct interactions observed in the CdiA-CT/Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} complex. In the CdiA-CT/Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} complex, β-hairpin residue Ser247 interacts with the immunity protein. However, the corresponding Ser7 residue within the MAC peptide does not interact with Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} (**Fig. 8C**). Additionally, the side-chain conformation of MAC residue Arg10 is altered compared to that of Arg249 in the CdiA-CT/Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} structure, resulting in a H-bond interaction with the hydroxyl of Ser80 rather than the backbone carbonyl of Phe75 in the toxin/immunity structure. The MAC/Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} structure establishes that structure-based designed macrocyclic peptides can bind in the Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} β-hairpin binding pocket (**Fig. 8D**), forming contacts that mimic those found in the CdiA-CT/Cdil complexes.

Discussion

CDI toxin/immunity protein pairs are diverse and comprise more than 100 distinct families. Even within a given family, there is considerable sequence variability suggesting that toxin/immunity protein families continue to evolve. This phenomenon is well illustrated by homologues of the CdiA-CT/Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} toxin/immunity protein pair. Alignment of 26 closely related toxin domains from this family reveals that nearly all of the secondary-structure elements are highly conserved (**Fig. S3A**). The obvious exception is the β4/β5-hairpin, which mediates the β-augmentation interaction and is the least conserved element in the family. Loop L1 of the toxin domain is responsible for all other contacts with the immunity protein; but in contrast to the β-hairpin, this region is well conserved with five invariant residues (Arg189, Leu190, Pro19, Phe194 and Asp198). Although loop L1 is highly conserved, it interacts with immunity proteins using distinct contacts in the CdiA-CT/Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869} and CdiA-CT/Cdil^{YPIII} complexes. Loop L1 of CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} engages almost exclusively in hydrophobic and van der Waals interactions, whereas the C-terminal portion of the CdiA-CT^{YPIII} loop is dominated by direct H-bond and

ion-pair interactions involving residues Asp201, Ala203 and Thr204. The differences are striking because these three residues are also present in CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869}, yet do not form the same interactions. Similar phenomena are observed for the immunity proteins. CdiI strand $\beta 3^*$ anneals with the toxin's variable $\beta 5$ strand during β -augmentation; and accordingly $\beta 3^*$ varies between immunity proteins in the family (**Fig. S3B**). However, CdiI strands $\beta 7^*$, $\beta 8^*$, $\beta 9^*$ and the intervening loops are highly conserved, yet this region interacts with cognate toxins using distinct molecular contacts. In several instances, highly conserved residues engage in direct H-bonds in one complex, but fail to make any intermolecular contact in another closely related complex. Therefore, even conserved sequence elements can be exploited to discriminate against near-cognate partners. The idiosyncratic nature of these interactions most likely explains why immunity-binding specificity cannot be switched through a simple exchange of $\beta 4/\beta 5$ -hairpins between homologous toxins.

The divergence of toxin/immunity protein interactions was first recognized and characterized in a subset of E-class colicins. Colicins are diffusible protein toxins released by some strains of *E. coli* to kill other competing bacteria¹⁸. Though colicins and CdiA proteins are not related, there are several features common to both competition systems. One striking parallel is the variability of C-terminal toxin domains. The eight characterized E-class colicins share nearly identical N-terminal domains, but their C-terminal nuclease domains are distinct with either DNase (E2, E7, E8 and E9), ribosomal RNase (E3, E4 and E6) or tRNase (E5) activities¹⁸. Like CdiA proteins, colicins are always encoded in tandem with a specific immunity protein that binds the nuclease domain and blocks its activity. Colicins E2, E7, E8 and E9 carry homologous DNase domains, yet their respective immunity proteins do not protect against near-cognate toxins^{19; 20}. Structure-function analyses show that E-class immunity proteins bind to a contiguous stretch of ~30 residues that are highly variable between the different nuclease domains^{21; 22; 23}. Similarly, the interaction surfaces on the immunity proteins are also variable, but contain a conserved core interaction comprised of Tyr54 and Tyr55 (ImE9 numbering)^{21; 24}. This invariant core provides significant binding energy and near-cognate colicin toxin/immunity protein interactions often have dissociation constants of 10^{-8} M²⁵, which are similar in affinity to the cognate CdiA-CT/CdiI complexes studied here. An analogous core interaction centered at the tip of the β -hairpin is found in

the CdiA-CT/CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} toxin/immunity family. Leu246 of the toxin engages in a hydrophobic interaction with an aliphatic residue in the immunity protein (Ala131 in CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} and Val141 in CdiI^{YP111}). Similarly, toxin residue Ser247 interacts with a Tyr residue (Tyr84 in CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} and Tyr94 in CdiI^{YP111}) that is invariant in the immunity protein family (**Fig. S3B**). However, these core interactions do not provide significant binding affinity for near-cognate toxin/immunity pairs. A final important parallel between the colicin E-class and CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} DNases is that the immunity proteins both bind to exosites, leaving the nuclease active site exposed in the toxin/immunity complex^{7; 18}. The spatial segregation of substrate and immunity binding sites presumably provides the flexibility to evolve unique protein-protein interactions while retaining catalytic activity. The fact that two unrelated DNase toxin/immunity pairs appear to be diverging rapidly suggests that this is a general and perhaps universal feature of toxin/immunity systems.

Protein-protein interactions presumably evolve through mutational drift followed by reciprocal changes in the binding partner to maintain overall affinity while the underlying molecular contacts change. Riley and colleagues have proposed a diversification-selection model to explain the observed diversity in E-class colicin/immunity protein pairs. According to their model, some mutations expand immunity function and allow the newly evolved immunity protein to not only protect against its cognate toxin, but also against the colicins released by other strains^{26; 27}. Such mutations would appear to be rare, but have been identified and characterized experimentally^{28; 29}. One striking example that supports this model is the Asp33Leu mutation in ImE2 immunity protein, which increases affinity for non-cognate colicin E9 more than a 3,000-fold²⁹. The advantage conferred by the new immunity gene would provide the selective pressure to retain the allele and allow it to become fixed in the population. This in turn allows for subsequent mutations in the linked colicin gene. Further mutations in the colicin are predicted to produce "super-killer" toxins, to which the ancestral bacteria are not immune²⁷. Thus, the evolved colicin/immunity pair kills ancestral cells, thereby allowing fixation of the new pair in the population. Multiple iterations of this process are predicted to eventually produce a family of divergent toxin/immunity pairs. Of course, mutations that disrupt the toxin/immunity protein complex

should be lethal to the cell, so the pressure to retain high-affinity interactions is presumably a significant barrier to diversification. However, colicin/immunity protein complexes have some of the highest known binding affinities, with cognate pairs characterized by femtomolar dissociation constants^{22; 25; 29; 30}. Therefore, even if a mutation results in a 1000-fold decrease in affinity, the complex will still have a sub-nanomolar dissociation constant, which is sufficient to provide complete protection against toxicity^{25; 30}. Thus, the extraordinarily high affinity of cognate colicin/immunity protein complexes provides a buffer against the potentially lethal effects of mutations that disrupt the toxin/immunity protein interface. In contrast, the CDI toxin/immunity proteins studied here have much lower binding affinities with dissociation constants of about 20 nM for cognate pairs. Therefore, CDI toxin/immunity systems must exploit other biophysical mechanisms to avoid self-intoxication during evolution. One possible mechanism involves the over-expression of immunity proteins relative to the toxins. The majority (21 of 25) of CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} homologues presented in **Fig. S3A** are encoded by truncated *cdiA* gene fragments that lack the N-terminal coding sequences required for secretion. These pseudogene pairs are termed "orphan" modules, because they resemble *cdiA-CT/cdiI* coding sequences that have been displaced from full-length *cdiA* genes⁹. Orphan *cdiA-CT* reading frames usually lack translation initiation signals, whereas the linked orphan *cdiI* genes have canonical ribosome-binding sites upstream of the initiating Met codon. These observations suggest that the toxins are expressed at very low levels, but the immunity proteins are highly expressed. Under these conditions, the selective pressure to retain immunity function would be relieved and allow the immunity gene to undergo drift without lethal consequences. This hypothetical scenario is supported by the observation that non-cognate/mutated immunity proteins can fully protect cells when over-expressed^{25; 31}. Therefore, we propose that the organization of *cdiA-CT/cdiI* gene pairs into orphan modules serves to accelerate toxin/immunity evolution by attenuating toxin expression. We note that this could be a general strategy to generate diversity in inter-bacterial competition systems because similar clusters of orphan gene pairs are associated with *rhs* genes in type VI secretion systems^{9; 32} and the *mafB* genes of *Neisseria* species³³.

CDI systems are widespread throughout proteobacteria and are most commonly found in pathogenic species, such as *Yersinia pestis*, *Neisseria meningitidis* and *Burkholderia pseudomallei*^{2; 34}. Because CDI⁺ bacteria exchange CdiA-CT toxins with one another, it may be possible to induce bacterial suicide with small molecules that specifically disrupt CDI toxin/immunity protein binding interactions. The β -hairpin binding pocket within CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} and homologous immunity proteins is an attractive target to test this antimicrobial strategy. Small cyclic peptides that fold into β -hairpins have been used to study protein-protein and protein-DNA interactions and in some instances have been used to specifically disrupt protein complexes^{35, 36}. As illustrated by the MAC/CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} structure, cyclic β -hairpin mimics can be designed to bind CdiI immunity proteins. Our design could be improved to enhance binding affinity and possibly be utilized as a protein-protein complex inhibitor by increasing the number of residues or designing additional contacts. Although the current MAC contains pentapeptide strands, we have previously reported cyclic β -hairpin mimics containing heptapeptide and nonapeptide β -strands^{37; 38}. Homologous MACs containing larger β -hairpin mimics and designed to achieve more contacts may allow rational design of a higher affinity macrocyclic peptide that specifically may disrupt toxin/immunity complexes within bacterial pathogens, setting the stage for the development of a new class of antibacterials.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and plasmid constructs

All bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are presented in **Table S2**. All primers used in this study are presented in **Table S3**. Yellow fluorescent protein (YFP)-labeled *E. coli* EPI100 cells were generated by integrating the *yfp* coding sequence at the *gal* locus. First, a genomic integration construct was made by amplifying the kanamycin-resistance cassette from plasmid pKAN³⁹ with primers Kan-1/Kan-2, followed by blunt-end ligation to SmaI-digested plasmid pBluescript. One plasmid clone was identified with the kanamycin-resistance cassette in the opposite orientation as pKAN, and this plasmid was termed pNAK. A fragment of *galM* was then amplified using primers CH3789/CH3790, and the product ligated to SacI/BamHI-digested plasmid pNAK to produce pCH2500. A *yfp-galT*

fragment was amplified from *E. coli* DA28100 (a gift from Sanna Koskiniemi, Uppsala University) using primers CH3787/CH3788, digested with KpnI/EcoRI, then ligated into pCH2500 to yield plasmid pCH2503. The large KpnI/SacI fragment from pCH2503 was recombined into *E. coli* EPI100 cells that harbor plasmid pSIM6 as described^{40; 41}. mKate2-labeled target bacteria were generating by integrating the coding sequence of mKate2 at the phage HK022 *attP* site using plasmids pDE1013 and pAH69 as described previously⁴².

The coding sequence for CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} was amplified from *Y. pseudotuberculosis* YPIII genomic DNA with primers YPK0575-Kpn-for/YPK0576-Xho-rev. The resulting product was digested with KpnI/XhoI and ligated pET21S to generate plasmid pCH10413. The CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Δβ4β5} expression construct was generated by replacing the β4/β5-hairpin coding sequence with a Gly-Ser linker. The 5'-end of the construct was amplified with primers β-deletion-for1/β-deletion-rev1 and the 3'-end with primers β-deletion-for2/β-deletion-rev2. The two PCR fragments were ligated at the BamHI site, and the joined fragments re-amplified with β-deletion-for1/β-deletion-rev2. The resulting product was ligated to pET21d using NcoI and XhoI restriction sites to generate pCH10369. Catalytically inactive CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} domains carrying the Asp198Ala mutation and heterologous β-hairpin sequences were generated by PCR. Plasmid pCH10164 was amplified with primers EC869-CT-Nco/EC869-Nlact(beta)-rev and EC869-Nlact(beta)-for/EC869-cdiI-Spe, and the two products combined by overlap extension PCR (OE-PCR)⁴³ using primers EC869-CT-Nco/EC869-cdiI-Spe. The final product was digested with NcoI/SpeI and ligated to pET21S to generate plasmid pCH10365. The same procedure was used to introduce the *Y. kristensenii* β-hairpin by PCR with primers EC869-CT-Nco/EC869-Ykris(beta)-rev and EC869-Ykris (beta)-for/EC869-cdiI-Spe. The two products were combined by OE-PCR and ligated to pET21S to generate plasmid pCH10175. The coding sequences for CdiI^{Ykris} (ykris0001_10740) and CdiI^{Nlact} (NEILACOT_05636) were chemically synthesized (Genscript, Inc.) with flanking restriction sites and ligated to plasmid pUC57. The ykris0001_10740 sequence was sub-cloned into pTrc99KX to generate plasmid pCH10103, which was then used as a template for PCR with primers pTrc-seq2/Ykris-cdiI-Spe-rev. The resulting product was digested with KpnI/SpeI and ligated to pET21K to generate plasmid pCH10170. The NEILACOT_05636 sequence

was subcloned into pCH450 to generate plasmid pCH10101, which was then used as a template for PCR with primers pCH450-for/Nlact-cdiI-Spe-rev. The resulting product was digested with NcoI/SpeI and ligated to pET21S to generate plasmid pCH10172.

The chimeric CDI system that deploys CdiA-CT^{YPIII} toxin was generated by replacing the CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} DNase domain with the corresponding region of CdiA-CT^{YPIII}. Regions upstream and downstream of the *cdiA-CT/cdiI*₀₁₁^{EC869} sequence were amplified from plasmid pCH9305 using primers DL1527/EC869o11-G173-rev (upstream) and EC93-YPIII-down-for/DL2368 (downstream). The *cdiA-CT/cdiI*^{YPIII} sequence was amplified from *Y. pseudotuberculosis* YPIII genomic DNA using primers EC869o11-G173-for/EC93-YPIII-chim-rev. The three PCR products were combined by OE-PCR using primers DL1527/DL2368. The final product was electroporated together with plasmid pCH10163 into *E. coli* strain DY378 as described^{7,8}. Recombinants were selected on yeast extract/glucose-agar supplemented with 33 µg/mL chloramphenicol and 10 M D/L-*o*-chlorophenylalanine. All plasmid constructs were verified by DNA sequence analysis.

Protein purification

All proteins were over-produced from pET21-derived plasmid using either *E. coli* CH2016 or *E. coli* BL21-Gold(DE3). Cells were grown aerobically at 37 °C in LB medium containing 150 µg/mL ampicillin. CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} expression was induced by the addition of 1 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactoside at an OD₆₀₀ ~0.8 and grown for a further 3-4 h before harvesting. Cells were collected by centrifugation at 5,500 × *g* for 25 min and then washed with resuspension buffer [20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.0), 150 mM NaCl]. Cells were resuspended and disrupted by sonication on ice in resuspension buffer containing 10 mg/mL lysozyme and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation at 18,000 × *g* for 30 min followed by filtration through a 1.0 µm filter. Clarified lysates were loaded onto a Ni²⁺-charged HiTrap column (5 mL; GE Healthcare) or Ni²⁺-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni²⁺-NTA) agarose resin (MCLAB) and washed with resuspension buffer supplemented with 10 mM imidazole. Proteins were eluted with a linear gradient of imidazole (10 – 500 mM) in resuspension buffer. Fractions were collected, combined, and concentrated to a volume of ~500

μ L using a 10-kDa centrifugal concentrator (Centricon; Millipore). Proteins were further purified by gel filtration on a Superdex 200 column for the CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} complex or Superdex 75 for individual immunity proteins (GE Healthcare). Gel filtration columns were equilibrated with 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.0), 150 mM NaCl using an AKTA FPLC. Purification of CdiI^{Ykris} and CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} followed the same protocol, except all buffers contained 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4) instead of sodium phosphate. CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII}, CdiI^{Ykris}, and CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} were concentrated to 10, 12.5 and 7.5 mg/mL (respectively) for crystallization trials.

The individual His₆-tagged CdiI proteins were over-produced from plasmid pET21d constructs and purified as described above for CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869}. CdiA-CT proteins were isolated from co-expressed His₆-tagged CdiI proteins by two methods, depending on whether the two proteins co-eluted following Ni²⁺-affinity chromatography. CdiA-CT/CdiI-His₆ complexes were denatured overnight in 6 M urea and then subjected to Ni²⁺-affinity chromatography in buffers containing 6 M urea. Denatured CdiA-CT toxins were collected from the void volume, refolded by dialysis into 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 10 mM NaCl, then concentrated on a HiTrap Q anion-exchange column and eluted with a salt gradient, yielding 95% pure CdiA-CT protein. Purified toxins were then exchanged into 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl by gel filtration on a S75 column.

Crystallization and structure determination

Protein crystals were grown by hanging-drop vapor diffusion, with drops containing a 1:1 ratio (vol/vol) of protein solution to reservoir liquor. Crystals were mounted and collected under cryo-conditions with the addition of 40% glycerol as cryoprotectant to the reservoir solution. Datasets were collected at 70K at a wavelength of 1.0 Å and images were indexed, integrated and reduced using either iMOSFLM (CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} complex)⁴⁴ or the HKL2000 suite (CdiI^{Ykris} and MAC/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869})⁴⁵. Initial phases were determined by molecular replacement by autoMR in PHENIX using the CdiA-CT/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} structure (PDB: 4G6U) as a search model. Initial model building was performed by Autobuild in PHENIX. The final models were built through iterative manual building in Coot and refined

with phenix.refine. Data collection and refinement statistics are presented in **Table 1**. All molecular graphics were prepared with PyMOL ⁴⁶.

CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} crystals were grown from a 10 mg/mL solution and a reservoir containing 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 20% PEG 3350, 1% tryptone. The complex crystallized in space group C2 with unit cell dimensions 65.51 Å × 65.51 Å × 71.49 Å and one complex per asymmetric unit. The model contains residues Met174 – Lys297 (numbered from Val1 of the VENN motif) of CdiA-CT^{YPIII} and residues Asp3 – Lys176 of CdiI^{YPIII}. CdiA-CT^{YPIII} residues Lys182, Lys220, Lys240 and Lys297 were modeled as Ala due to lack of observable side-chain density. Similarly, CdiI^{YPIII} residues Asp3, Lys108, Lys118, Lys148 and Lys176 were modeled as Ala residues. The final CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YPIII} model includes 148 water molecules resulting in an $R_{\text{work}}/R_{\text{free}}$ (%) of 20.5/25.6 (**Table 1**). CdiI^{YKris} immunity protein crystals were grown from a 12.5 mg/mL solution over a reservoir containing 0.2 M ammonium fluoride, 20% PEG 3350. The crystal space group was P3₁ with unit cell dimensions 54.448 Å × 54.448 Å × 54.472 Å and one molecule per asymmetric unit. The final model contains CdiI^{YKris} residues Met1 – Gly165 and 130 water molecules resulting in an $R_{\text{work}}/R_{\text{free}}$ (%) of 18.1/22.1. CdiI^{YKris} residues Lys4, Glu67, Lys96, Lys126 and Lys136 were modeled as Ala due to lack of observable side-chain density. In addition, the CdiI^{YKris}-His₆ expression construct contained an Ala84Thr mutation.

The macrocyclic peptide (MAC) that mimics the CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} β-hairpin (**Fig. S1**) was prepared according to previously described procedures ^{16; 47; 48}. MAC peptide (2 mg) was added to 200 μL of 7.5 mg/mL CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} to yield a solution at a ~10:1 peptide:protein ratio. MAC/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} co-crystals were grown over two days in 0.2 M sodium acetate (pH 5.6), 0.1 M bis-tris propane, pH 6.9, and 20% (wt/vol) PEG 3350, using the protein/peptide mixture described. Initial crystals were of poor quality and resulted in highly mosaic diffraction data. Crystal quality was improved by microseeding ⁴⁹. Briefly, crystals were harvested into 80 μL of crystallization solution and a seed stock was generated using a seed bead (Hampton). Following optimization, suitable diffraction quality crystals were generated using a hanging drop containing 1 μL of seed stock and 1 μL of the protein/peptide mixture following a three-fold dilution. The MAC/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} complex crystallized in space group P2₁ with unit cell dimensions 34.776 Å × 128.166 Å × 44.953 Å (**Table 1**). Each asymmetric unit contained two

MAC/CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} complexes. The final model contains two molecules of CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} residues Ala2 – Gly167, two macrocyclic peptides and 132 water molecules, resulting in R_{work}/R_{free} (%) of 18.4/23.1. Residues Lys5, Gln43, Glu78, Lys85, Glu93 of one CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} molecule (chain D only), Asp117 and Glu139 of both CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} molecules were modeled as Ala due to lack of observable side-chain density.

Protein analyses

The secondary structure of purified toxins (0.1 mg/mL in 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4) was analyzed by CD spectroscopy on a Jasco J-720 spectropolarimeter using a 0.1 cm path-length. Spectra were collected at 20 nm/min with a 2 nm bandwidth and 4 s response time. Three consecutive scans were collected and averaged for each analysis. CdiA-CT/CdiI binding affinities were determined by biolayer interferometry as described previously ⁷. Binding reactions were performed at 25 °C in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl. CdiI-His₆ immunity proteins were immobilized onto Ni²⁺-NTA biosensors and exposed to cognate or heterologous CdiA-CT toxins at 0.5 – 300 µM. A reference was subtracted from all binding curves before curve fitting. Curve fitting and data processing were performed using BLITZ Pro software (ForteBio Inc.).

In vitro analysis of nuclease activities

The activity of purified CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} and CdiA-CT^{YPIII} were assayed *in vitro* using supercoiled plasmid pUC18 as a substrate. CdiA-CT (at 1 µM final concentration) was incubated with 250 ng of plasmid DNA in 20 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mg/mL bovine serum albumin supplemented with 2 mM MgCl₂ or ZnCl₂ for 1 h at 37 °C. Where indicated, purified CdiI-His₆ proteins were included at 2 µM final concentration and allowed to bind CdiA-CT for 30 min at room temperature prior to adding substrate DNA. Reactions were quenched with 10 mM EDTA followed by the addition of 300 µL of denaturing solution (4 M guanidine-HCl, 33% 2-propanol). The reactions were purified over silica membrane spin columns (Epoch Life Sciences). Columns were then washed with 70% ethanol,

10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) followed by elution with 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0). Purified DNA from reactions was run on 1% agarose gels containing ethidium bromide and visualized using Bio-Rad Gel Doc 2000.

Competition co-cultures and fluorescence microscopy

Inhibitor cells (*E. coli* EPI100 carrying plasmids pCH9305, pCH2409 or pDAL878) and target cells (CH8251 carrying plasmids pTrc99a, pCH9315 or pCH848) were grown individually in LB media with 33 µg/µL Cm for inhibitors and 150 µg/µL Amp for targets. The overnight cultures were diluted into fresh LB medium without antibiotics and grown in baffled flasks at 37 °C. At mid-log phase, the inhibitor and target strains were mixed together at a 1:1 ratio in baffled flasks and a sample was withdrawn to score viable target cells as colony forming units (cfu) per mL on LB-agar supplemented with 200 µg/mL rifampicin (Rif). After four hours of co-culture, another sample was taken and viable target cells enumerated on Rif-supplemented LB agar. Viable target cell counts are the mean cfu/mL ± the standard error of the mean for three independent experiments. Competitions with fluorescent inhibitor and target bacteria were conducted as described above, except YFP-labeled inhibitor CH2550 cells and mKate2-labeled targets cells were used. Cells were diluted into fresh LB medium and grown to late log phase at 30 °C in the dark to maximize fluorescence. Inhibitor and target cells were mixed at a 1:1 ratio in baffled flasks and incubated at 37 °C with shaking in the dark for the duration of the experiment. Samples (equivalent to OD₆₀₀ = 0.2) were removed at the indicated times and cells were collected by centrifugation. Cells were briefly resuspended in freshly prepared 4% formaldehyde in 1× phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and the fixation reaction quenched with 125 mM glycine. Fixed cells were washed with 1× PBS and spotted onto a poly-D-lysine coated slide (Gold Seal Fluorescent Antibody Rite-On Slides from Fisher prepared by coating with a 1% poly-D-lysine solution prior to addition of cells). Unbound cells were removed gently with Nanopure water, and the slides treated with Fluorogel II with DAPI mounting medium (Fisher Scientific/EMS) and a coverslip was overlaid prior to imaging. Images were acquired on an Olympus fluorescent microscope with a 100× oil objective using an Optronics MacroFire digital microscope camera. Lightfield images were captured with a 12 ms exposure (gain 2) and DAPI images were acquired in grayscale with a 48 ms exposure (gain 2).

Fluorescent images were captured in grayscale using a 502 ms exposure/gain 5 (for YFP) or a 1 s exposure/gain 5 (for mKate2). Images were overlaid and false-colored using FIJI⁵⁰, and stacked images were cropped to 400 × 400 pixels using GIMP. The same microscope images used to display fluorescence were used to obtain cell length measurements. Cells were manually measured using the line tool in FIJI, and between 175 and 328 cells from three microscopy fields were measured for each co-culture competition. Each object plotted represents a single cell length measurement. *P* values were obtained using two-tailed unpaired t-tests.

Accession numbers

Coordinates and structure factors have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank with accession numbers 4ZQU, 4ZQV and 4ZQW.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health (GM102318 to C.S.H. and C.W.G); and the National Science Foundation (CHE-1058825 to J.S.N. and DGE-1144085 to J.L.E.W.). Structure determination was, in part, supported by the Advanced Light Source (U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231) at Berkeley National Laboratories, and The Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (supported in part by National Institutes of Health P41 GM103393 and U.S. Department of Energy DE-AC02-76SF00515). Funding for open access charge: National Institutes of Health. We would like to thank the staff at ALS and SSRL for their invaluable help in data collection. We would also like to thank Elias Gerrick and Sonya Donato for technical support. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

References

1. Aoki, S. K., Pamma, R., Hernday, A. D., Bickham, J. E., Braaten, B. A. & Low, D. A. (2005). Contact-dependent inhibition of growth in *Escherichia coli*. *Science* **309**, 1245-8.

2. Aoki, S. K., Diner, E. J., de Roodenbeke, C. T., Burgess, B. R., Poole, S. J., Braaten, B. A., Jones, A. M., Webb, J. S., Hayes, C. S., Cotter, P. A. & Low, D. A. (2010). A widespread family of polymorphic contact-dependent toxin delivery systems in bacteria. *Nature* **468**, 439-42.
3. Aoki, S. K., Malinverni, J. C., Jacoby, K., Thomas, B., Pamma, R., Trinh, B. N., Remers, S., Webb, J., Braaten, B. A., Silhavy, T. J. & Low, D. A. (2008). Contact-dependent growth inhibition requires the essential outer membrane protein BamA (YaeT) as the receptor and the inner membrane transport protein AcrB. *Mol Microbiol* **70**, 323-40.
4. Ruhe, Z. C., Wallace, A. B., Low, D. A. & Hayes, C. S. (2013). Receptor polymorphism restricts contact-dependent growth inhibition to members of the same species. *mBio* **4**, e00480-13.
5. Webb, J. S., Nikolakakis, K. C., Willett, J. L., Aoki, S. K., Hayes, C. S. & Low, D. A. (2013). Delivery of CdiA nuclease toxins into target cells during contact-dependent growth inhibition. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e57609.
6. Aoki, S. K., Webb, J. S., Braaten, B. A. & Low, D. A. (2009). Contact-dependent growth inhibition causes reversible metabolic downregulation in *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol* **191**, 1777-86.
7. Morse, R. P., Nikolakakis, K. C., Willett, J. L., Gerrick, E., Low, D. A., Hayes, C. S. & Goulding, C. W. (2012). Structural basis of toxicity and immunity in contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 21480-5.
8. Beck, C. M., Morse, R. P., Cunningham, D. A., Iniguez, A., Low, D. A., Goulding, C. W. & Hayes, C. S. (2014). CdiA from *Enterobacter cloacae* delivers a toxic ribosomal RNase into target bacteria. *Structure* **22**, 707-18.
9. Poole, S. J., Diner, E. J., Aoki, S. K., Braaten, B. A., t'Kint de Roodenbeke, C., Low, D. A. & Hayes, C. S. (2011). Identification of functional toxin/immunity genes linked to contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) and rearrangement hotspot (Rhs) systems. *PLoS Genet* **7**, e1002217.
10. Zhang, D., de Souza, R. F., Anantharaman, V., Iyer, L. M. & Aravind, L. (2012). Polymorphic toxin systems: Comprehensive characterization of trafficking modes, processing, mechanisms of action, immunity and ecology using comparative genomics. *Biol Direct* **7**, 18.
11. Nikolakakis, K., Amber, S., Wilbur, J. S., Diner, E. J., Aoki, S. K., Poole, S. J., Tuanyok, A., Keim, P. S., Peacock, S., Hayes, C. S. & Low, D. A. (2012). The toxin/immunity network of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) systems. *Mol Microbiol* **84**, 516-29.
12. Carr, S., Walker, D., James, R., Kleanthous, C. & Hemmings, A. M. (2000). Inhibition of a ribosome-inactivating ribonuclease: the crystal structure of the cytotoxic domain of colicin E3 in complex with its immunity protein. *Structure* **8**, 949-60.

13. Soelaiman, S., Jakes, K., Wu, N., Li, C. & Shoham, M. (2001). Crystal structure of colicin E3: implications for cell entry and ribosome inactivation. *Mol Cell* **8**, 1053-62.
14. Gioia, U., Laneve, P., Dlakic, M., Arceci, M., Bozzoni, I. & Caffarelli, E. (2005). Functional characterization of XendoU, the endoribonuclease involved in small nucleolar RNA biosynthesis. *J Biol Chem* **280**, 18996-9002.
15. Renzi, F., Caffarelli, E., Laneve, P., Bozzoni, I., Brunori, M. & Vallone, B. (2006). The structure of the endoribonuclease XendoU: From small nucleolar RNA processing to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **103**, 12365-70.
16. Woods, R. J., Brower, J. O., Castellanos, E., Hashemzadeh, M., Khakshoor, O., Russu, W. A. & Nowick, J. S. (2007). Cyclic modular beta-sheets. *J Am Chem Soc* **129**, 2548-58.
17. Nowick, J. S. & Brower, J. O. (2003). A new turn structure for the formation of beta-hairpins in peptides. *J Am Chem Soc* **125**, 876-7.
18. Cascales, E., Buchanan, S. K., Duche, D., Kleanthous, C., Lloubes, R., Postle, K., Riley, M., Slatin, S. & Cavard, D. (2007). Colicin biology. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **71**, 158-229.
19. Cooper, P. C. & James, R. (1984). Two new E colicins, E8 and E9, produced by a strain of *Escherichia coli*. *J Gen Microbiol* **130**, 209-15.
20. Watson, R., Rowsome, W., Tsao, J. & Visentin, L. P. (1981). Identification and characterization of Col plasmids from classical colicin E-producing strains. *J Bacteriol* **147**, 569-77.
21. Kleanthous, C., Kuhlmann, U. C., Pommer, A. J., Ferguson, N., Radford, S. E., Moore, G. R., James, R. & Hemmings, A. M. (1999). Structural and mechanistic basis of immunity toward endonuclease colicins. *Nat Struct Biol* **6**, 243-52.
22. Wojdyla, J. A., Fleishman, S. J., Baker, D. & Kleanthous, C. (2012). Structure of the ultra-high-affinity colicin E2 DNase--Im2 complex. *J Mol Biol* **417**, 79-94.
23. Ko, T. P., Liao, C. C., Ku, W. Y., Chak, K. F. & Yuan, H. S. (1999). The crystal structure of the DNase domain of colicin E7 in complex with its inhibitor Im7 protein. *Structure* **7**, 91-102.
24. Kleanthous, C. & Walker, D. (2001). Immunity proteins: enzyme inhibitors that avoid the active site. *Trends Biochem Sci* **26**, 624-31.
25. Li, W., Keeble, A. H., Giffard, C., James, R., Moore, G. R. & Kleanthous, C. (2004). Highly discriminating protein-protein interaction specificities in the context of a conserved binding energy hotspot. *J Mol Biol* **337**, 743-59.
26. Riley, M. A. (1993). Positive selection for colicin diversity in bacteria. *Mol Biol Evol* **10**, 1048-59.
27. Tan, Y. & Riley, M. A. (1997). Positive selection and recombination: major molecular mechanisms in colicin diversification. *Trends Ecol Evol* **12**, 348-51.
28. Masaki, H., Akutsu, A., Uozumi, T. & Ohta, T. (1991). Identification of a unique specificity determinant of the colicin E3 immunity protein. *Gene* **107**, 133-8.

29. Li, W., Hamill, S. J., Hemmings, A. M., Moore, G. R., James, R. & Kleanthous, C. (1998). Dual recognition and the role of specificity-determining residues in colicin E9 DNase-immunity protein interactions. *Biochemistry* **37**, 11771-9.
30. Wallis, R., Leung, K. Y., Pommer, A. J., Videler, H., Moore, G. R., James, R. & Kleanthous, C. (1995). Protein-protein interactions in colicin E9 DNase-immunity protein complexes. 2. Cognate and noncognate interactions that span the millimolar to femtomolar affinity range. *Biochemistry* **34**, 13751-9.
31. Levin, K. B., Dym, O., Albeck, S., Magdassi, S., Keeble, A. H., Kleanthous, C. & Tawfik, D. S. (2009). Following evolutionary paths to protein-protein interactions with high affinity and selectivity. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **16**, 1049-55.
32. Koskiniemi, S., Garza-Sanchez, F., Sandegren, L., Webb, J. S., Braaten, B. A., Poole, S. J., Andersson, D. I., Hayes, C. S. & Low, D. A. (2014). Selection of orphan Rhs toxin expression in evolved *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium. *PLoS Genet* **10**, e1004255.
33. Jamet, A., Jousset, A. B., Euphrasie, D., Mukorako, P., Boucharlat, A., Ducousso, A., Charbit, A. & Nassif, X. (2015). A new family of secreted toxins in pathogenic *Neisseria* species. *PLoS Pathog* **11**, e1004592.
34. Ruhe, Z. C., Low, D. A. & Hayes, C. S. (2013). Bacterial contact-dependent growth inhibition. *Trends Microbiol* **21**, 230-7.
35. Loughlin, W. A., Tyndall, J. D., Glenn, M. P. & Fairlie, D. P. (2004). Beta-strand mimetics. *Chem Rev* **104**, 6085-117.
36. Fasan, R., Dias, R. L., Moehle, K., Zerbe, O., Vrijbloed, J. W., Obrecht, D. & Robinson, J. A. (2004). Using a beta-hairpin to mimic an alpha-helix: cyclic peptidomimetic inhibitors of the p53-HDM2 protein-protein interaction. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl* **43**, 2109-12.
37. Cheng, P. N., Liu, C., Zhao, M., Eisenberg, D. & Nowick, J. S. (2012). Amyloid beta-sheet mimics that antagonize protein aggregation and reduce amyloid toxicity. *Nat Chem* **4**, 927-33.
38. Pham, J. D., Chim, N., Goulding, C. W. & Nowick, J. S. (2013). Structures of oligomers of a peptide from beta-amyloid. *J Am Chem Soc* **135**, 12460-7.
39. Hayes, C. S., Bose, B. & Sauer, R. T. (2002). Proline residues at the C terminus of nascent chains induce SsrA tagging during translation termination. *J Biol Chem* **277**, 33825-32.
40. Datta, S., Costantino, N. & Court, D. L. (2006). A set of recombineering plasmids for gram-negative bacteria. *Gene* **379**, 109-15.
41. Thomason, L., Court, D. L., Bubunencko, M., Costantino, N., Wilson, H., Datta, S. & Oppenheim, A. (2007). Recombineering: genetic engineering in bacteria using homologous recombination. *Curr Protoc Mol Biol* **Chapter 1**, Unit 1 16.
42. Bonnet, J., Subsoontorn, P. & Endy, D. (2012). Rewritable digital data storage in live cells via engineered control of recombination directionality. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **109**, 8884-9.

43. Aiyar, A., Xiang, Y. & Leis, J. (1996). Site-directed mutagenesis using overlap extension PCR. *Methods Mol Biol* **57**, 177-91.
44. Battye, T. G., Kontogiannis, L., Johnson, O., Powell, H. R. & Leslie, A. G. (2011). iMOSFLM: a new graphical interface for diffraction-image processing with MOSFLM. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **67**, 271-81.
45. Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. *Methods Enzymol* **276**, 307-26.
46. DeLano, W. L. (2010). The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, 1.3 ed. Schrödinger, LLC.
47. Cheng, P. N. & Nowick, J. S. (2011). Giant macrolactams based on beta-sheet peptides. *J Org Chem* **76**, 3166-73.
48. Spencer, R. K., Li, H. & Nowick, J. S. (2014). X-ray crystallographic structures of trimers and higher-order oligomeric assemblies of a peptide derived from Abeta(17-36). *J Am Chem Soc* **136**, 5595-8.
49. Bergfors, T. (2003). Seeds to crystals. *J Struct Biol* **142**, 66-76.
50. Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T., Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., Tinevez, J. Y., White, D. J., Hartenstein, V., Eliceiri, K., Tomancak, P. & Cardona, A. (2012). Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**, 676-82.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Structure of the CdiA-CT/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} complex and alignments of toxin and immunity homologs. (A) Cartoon representation of the CdiA-CT/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} complex structure (PDB ID: 4G6U) with the toxin and immunity colored green and cyan, respectively. Toxin active-site residues are rendered in space-filling model and the Zn²⁺ ion is represented by a purple sphere. (B) Protein sequence alignment of the CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} nuclease domain and its homologues. Active-site residues are outlined in red boxes, and the β4/β5-hairpin is outlined in a green box. (C) Protein sequence alignment of the CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} immunity protein and its homologues. Residues that form H-bond or ion-pair interactions with CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} are marked with green boxes. The location of CdiI^{YP111} elongated loop (E-L*) is indicated with a magenta bar. For panels B & C, alignments were prepared using Jalview,

with progressively darker shades of purple indicating greater residue conservation. The secondary structure elements shown are from the CdiA-CT/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} complex structure.

Figure 2. The structure of the CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YP} complex. (A) Ribbon representation of the CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YP} complex with toxin and immunity proteins colored in orange and purple, respectively. Location of the CdiA-CT^{YP} β 4/ β 5-hairpin is indicated. (B) Structural superimposition of the β -hairpin binding regions of CdiA-CT/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} and CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YP}. CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} and CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} are colored green and cyan, respectively. CdiA-CT^{YP} residues that form a salt-bridge via loop L1 are depicted as sticks. (C) Predicted active site residues of CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} (carbons in green) and CdiA-CT^{YP} (carbons in orange). Residue labels correspond to both toxins. The Zn²⁺ ion was observed in the CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} structure and is shown as a purple sphere. Extended loop (E-L*) of CdiI^{YP} is labeled in panels A and B.

Figure 3. Comparison of the β -augmentation interactions. (A) Ribbon representation of the CdiA-CT/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} complex with toxin and immunity proteins are colored green and cyan, respectively. Residues at the complex interface involved in direct ion pair or H-bond interactions are shown in stick representation, with carbon atoms are colored as stated for above, oxygen and nitrogen atoms are colored red and blue, respectively. Water molecules at the interface are represented as red spheres. (B) Ribbon representation of the CdiA-CT/CdiI^{YP} complex with toxin and immunity proteins colored in orange and purple, respectively. Residues and water molecules represented and colored as in panel A.

Figure 4. CdiA-CT^{YP} has DNase activity *in vitro*. Supercoiled plasmid DNA was incubated with purified CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} or CdiA-CT^{YP} in the presence of either Mg²⁺ or Zn²⁺ and then analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis and ethidium bromide staining. Reactions were supplemented with purified CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} or CdiI^{YP} immunity proteins where indicated. Untreated supercoiled plasmid substrate and linearized plasmid were included as controls for the migration of undigested DNA. The migration positions of linear molecular weight (MW) DNA standards are indicated in kilobase pairs (kbp).

Figure 5. CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} and CdiI^{YPIII} confer specific immunity to CDI. (A) Competition co-cultures. Inhibitors cells that deploy CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} (from pCH9305) or CdiA-CT^{YPIII} (from pCH2409) were incubated at a 1:1 ratio with target cells that express CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} (from pCH9315), CdiI^{YPIII} (from pCH848) or no immunity at all (none, pTrc99a vector). Viable target cells were quantified as colony-forming units (cfu) per milliliter at the beginning of the co-culture and after 4 h. Data represent the average ± standard error of the mean for three independent experiments. (B) Fluorescence microscopy of competition co-cultures. YFP-labeled inhibitor cells were co-cultured with mKate2-labeled target strains that carry the indicated immunity genes. Cells were stained with DAPI to visualize genomic DNA at zero and four hours of co-culture.

Figure 6. Deletion of the CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} β-hairpin disrupts complex formation. (A) Ribbon representation of the CdiA-CT/CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} complex interface. CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869}, CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} and the β-hairpin colored in green, cyan, and olive, respectively. The Gly-Ser-Gly linker in CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869/Δβ4β5} is depicted as a dashed red line. (B) His₆-tagged CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} was co-expressed with CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} or CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869/Δβ4β5}, followed by purification via Ni²⁺-affinity chromatography and then analysis by SDS/PAGE gel. Relevant molecular weight standards are labeled. The flow-through (FT), wash (W) and elution fractions (E) are indicated. (C) Circular dichroism spectra of purified CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} and CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869/Δβ4β5}.

Figure 7. Toxin β-hairpin sequence contributes to complex binding affinity. (A) Protein sequence alignment of the β-hairpin region (boxed) of CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869}, CdiA-CT^{Nlact} and CdiA-CT^{Ykris}. CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} residues that interact with CdiI_{o11}^{EC869} are shown in green. Red residues indicate sequence differences with respect to CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869}. (B) CdiI_{o11}^{EC869}-His₆ was co-expressed with CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869} containing the β-hairpins from either *N. lactamica* or *Y. kristensenii*. Then purified by Ni²⁺-affinity chromatography and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. The molecular weight standards are indicated in kDa. The flow-through (FT) and wash fractions (W1 and W2) are indicated followed by elution with imidazole

gradient. **(C)** Circular dichroism spectra of purified CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Nlact} and CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869/Ykris} show similar secondary structure content compared to wild-type CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869}.

Figure 8. Structure of the MAC-CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} complex. **(A)** Crystal structure with CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} depicted as orange ribbons, and MAC displayed as sticks, carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, and ornithine carbons colored magenta, blue, red, and pink, respectively. The MAC 2F_o-F_c electron density map is shown in grey mesh and contoured at 1.0 σ . **(B)** Structural superimposition of MAC (colored same as panel A) and the CdiA-CT/CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} complex. Only residues Lys242 – Ser253 of the CdiA-CT₀₁₁^{EC869} β -hairpin are shown (green). CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} is colored teal and helix $\alpha 3^*$ is labeled. **(C)** MAC interacts with CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} through a network of H-bonds and ion pairs. Interacting bonds are shown as black dotted lines. CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869} β -strands that H-bond with MAC ($\beta 3^*$ and $\beta 7^*$) are shown as sticks. **(D)** Surface representation of the CdiI^{CT-MAC} structure, oriented as in panels A and B, depicting the complementarity of MAC and CdiI₀₁₁^{EC869}.

Table 1. X-ray diffraction data and atomic refinement

	CdiA-CT/Cdil^{YPIII}	Cdil^{Ykris}	MAC/Cdil₀₁₁^{EC869}
Space Group	C2	P3 ₁	P2 ₁
Unit cell dimensions			
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	65.5, 65.5, 71.5;	54.4, 54.4, 54.4	34.8, 128.2, 45.0
β (°)	92.18		112.73
pH of crystallization condition	7.0	7.4	6.0
Protein concentration (mg/mL)	10	12.5	7.5
Data set			
Wavelength (Å)	1.0	1.0	1.0
Resolution range	46.49-2.1	50.0-1.8	50.0-2.0
Unique reflections (total)	18152	16618	23561
Completeness (%) [*]	99.4 (98.3)	99.7 (100)	96.5 (92.1)
Redundancy [*]	3.5 (3.5)	5.4 (5.5)	3.1 (3.1)
R_{merge} ^{*,†}	0.059 (0.460)	0.161 (0.607)	0.076 (0.485)
$I/\sigma(I)$ [*]	10.0 (1.6)	9.48 (3.1)	11.1 (3.1)
NCS copies	1	1	2
Other ions	2 Cl ⁻	-	2 Cl ⁻
Model refinement			
Resolution range (Å)	46.49-2.09	23.58-1.80	34.81-2.00
No. of reflections	18149/1851	16580 (1673)	23526 (2004)
No. of protein atoms	2308	1283	2596
No. of water molecules	148	130	132
No. of CT-MAC atoms	-	-	222
Missing residues	1-173, 298 (CdiA) 1-2, (Cdil)	none	1, 168, 169
R_{work}/R_{free} [‡] , %	20.5/25.6	18.1/22.1	18.4/23.1
R.m.s deviations			
Bond lengths (Å)	0.003	0.007	0.009
Bond angles (degrees)	0.694	0.998	1.222
Ramachandran Plot			
Most favorable region (%)	95.25	98.77	97.13
Additional allowed region (%)	4.75	1.23	2.87
Disallowed region	0	0	0
PDB ID Code	4ZQU	4ZQV	4ZQW

^{*}Statistics for the highest resolution shell are given in (brackets)

[†] $R_{merge} = \sum |I - \langle I \rangle| / \sum \langle I \rangle$

[‡] $R_{work} = \sum |F_{obs} - F_{calc}| / \sum F_{obs}$

R_{free} was computed identically except where all reflections belong to a test set of 10% randomly selected data.

Table 2. Dissociation constants (μM) of CdiA-CT and CdiI interactions determined using biolayer interferometry.

	CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869}	CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869/Ykris}	CdiA-CT_{o11}^{EC869/Nlact}
CdiI_{o11}^{EC869}	0.018 ± 0.007	46 ± 36	0.18 ± 0.10
CdiI^{Ykris}	5.0 ± 0.4	24 ± 14	5.8 ± 3
CdiI^{Nlact}	82 ± 18	3.2 ± 2	0.17 ± 0.09

Figure1

Figure2

Figure3

Figure4

Figure5

Figure6

Figure 7

Figure8