**A global review on hydrological responses to forest change across
multiple spatial scales: importance of scale, climate, forest type and
hydrological regime**

Mingfang Zhang^{1,2}, Ning Liu^{2,3}, Richard Harper³, Qiang Li⁴, Kuan Liu⁵, Xiaohua Wei⁴,
Dingyuan Ning¹, Yiping Hou¹, Shirong Liu^{2*}

Abstract

Despite extensive studies on hydrological responses to forest cover change in small watersheds, the hydrological responses to forest change and associated mechanisms across multiple spatial scales have not been fully understood. This review thus examined about 312 watersheds worldwide to provide a generalized framework to evaluate hydrological responses to forest cover change and to identify the contribution of spatial scale, climate, forest type and hydrological regime in determining the intensity of forest change related hydrological responses in small ($<1000 \text{ km}^2$) and large watersheds ($\geq 1000 \text{ km}^2$). Key findings include: 1) the increase in annual runoff associated with forest cover loss is statistically significant at multiple spatial scales whereas the effect of forest cover gain is statistically inconsistent; 2) the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change tends to attenuate as watershed size increases only in large watersheds; 3) annual runoff is more sensitive to forest cover change in water-limited watersheds than in energy-limited watersheds across all spatial scales; and 4) small mixed forest-dominated watersheds or large snow-dominated watersheds are more hydrologically resilient to forest cover change. These findings improve the understanding of hydrological response to forest cover change at different spatial scales and provide a scientific underpinning to future watershed management in the context of climate change and increasing anthropogenic disturbances.

Key words: Forest change; annual runoff; scale; climate; forest type; hydrological regime

1 Introduction

The interactions between forest change and water have been studied for over a century. Several classic reviews on hydrological responses to forest change in small watersheds ($<1000 \text{ km}^2$) have been published, and these provide deep insights into the impact of forest change on annual runoff in small watersheds (Bosch and Hewlett, 1982; Sahin and Hall, 1996; Stednick, 1996; Andréassian, 2004; Bruijnzeel, 2004; Brown et al., 2005; Moore and Wondzell, 2005; van Dijk et al., 2012). A general conclusion drawn from small watershed studies is that deforestation (e.g., harvesting, urbanization, land cover change, wildfire, and insect infestation) can increase annual runoff while afforestation affects streamflow in the opposite way (David et al., 1994; Stednick, 1996; Neary et al., 2003; Bruijnzeel, 2004; Wu et al., 2007; Bi et al, 2009; Webb and Kathuria, 2012; Beck et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2015; Carvalho-Santos et al.,2015; Buendia et al.,2016a). However, there have been some inconsistent responses, suggesting the response intensity of annual runoff to forest cover change can be variable among watersheds, especially for watersheds with afforestation or reforestation (Stednick, 2008; Lacombe et al., 2016).

In contrast, the relationship between forest change and water yield has been less investigated in large watersheds ($\geq 1000 \text{ km}^2$). This is mainly due to the lack of high quality data on precipitation and streamflow or suitable methodology to exclude the hydrological impact of non-forest factors such as climate variability and human activities (e.g., dam construction, agricultural activities, and urbanization) (Wei and Zhang, 2010a, b; Vose et al., 2011). Unlike small watershed studies, a general conclusion on the relationship between forest change and annual runoff in large

watersheds has not yet been drawn. Indeed, inconsistent responses, and high variations in response intensity of annual runoff to forest change, have often been reported in large watershed studies (Eschner and Satterlund, 1966; Ring and Fisher, 1985; Cheng, 1989; Buttle and Metcalfe, 2000; Costa et al., 2003; Tuteja et al., 2007; Adnan and Atkinson, 2011; Wu et al., 2015). For example, in Canadian boreal forests (watershed areas from 401 to 11,900 km²), with disturbance levels ranging from 5% to 25% of the watershed areas, no definitive changes in annual runoff were found (Buttle and Metcalfe, 2000) while in the upper Yangtze River annual runoff was increased by a mean of 38 mm with only 15.5% of the watershed area logged (Zhang et al., 2012b).

In small watershed studies, large variations in the hydrological response to forest change are attributed to factors such as forest type, topography, climate, hydrological regimes, soil, geology, and landscape pattern (Moore and Wondzell, 2005; Zhang and Wei, 2014). However, a understanding on hydrological responses to forest change and on how those factors affect interactions between forest and water in large watersheds or across multiple spatial scales is limited. In most cases, the inconsistent findings from large watersheds are simply ascribed to the complexity in watershed processes and heterogeneity in landscape, climate and geology in large watersheds (Stednick, 1996; Moore and Wondzell, 2005; Vose et al., 2011). Although Peel et al. (2010) evaluated the vegetation impact on hydrology at both large and small watershed, they studied annual evapotranspiration rather than annual runoff. Similarly, some studies have investigated the climatic effects on water use efficiency of vegetation (Huxman et al., 2004; Troch et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2016), and this helps to disclose the mechanisms that explain the effect of precipitation on hydrological response to vegetation change.

Due to a lack of a generalized relationship between forest and water in large watersheds, the empirical relationships between different watershed processes and components from small watershed studies are largely used in hydrological models, and may be problematic when scaled to large watersheds (Kirchner, 2006). Similarly, watershed management often relies on a simple extrapolation of concepts and information generated from small watersheds to large watersheds, which can be misleading in decision-making (Yang et al., 2009; van Dijk et al., 2012; Xiao et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2016). Since the design of natural resource management strategies is normally performed in large watersheds, a comprehensive understanding on the likely hydrological impact of forest change in large watersheds and the associated mechanisms is in critical need. This can be particularly true given the fact that climate change and anthropogenic activities (e.g., widespread afforestation, deforestation, forest harvesting, urbanization, and fire) are dramatically and extensively altering the watershed processes and ecosystem services (Keenan et al., 2013; Frank et al., 2015). Some of these forest disturbances are more frequent and catastrophic (e.g., insect infestation and wildfire) due to climate change (Schindler, 2001; Kurz et al. 2008). This critical scientific information gap, along with growing watershed management and planning needs in large watersheds calls for a substantial review of forest ecohydrology across multiple spatial scales.

This review aims: 1) to provide a generalized relationship between forest cover change and annual runoff response at multiple spatial scales; 2) to examine how the response intensity of annual runoff response to forest cover change varies along spatial scale and climatic gradients; 3) to investigate the effects of forest type and hydrological regime on hydrological responses to forest cover change in both small and large watersheds. Since annual runoff is the commonly

investigated response variable to forest cover change, this paper focuses on this variable as a means to maximize the sample size.

2 Study Sites and Materials

This study synthesized quantitative assessments of annual runoff response to forest cover change from 312 watersheds worldwide in the literature. Collected watersheds are classified into large watersheds (watershed size $\geq 1000 \text{ km}^2$) and small watersheds (watershed size $\leq 1000 \text{ km}^2$) (Wei and Zhang, 2010). 61 of them are large watersheds, ranging from 1,033-1,858,883 km^2 in size, while 251 of them are small watersheds (Figure 1). 31 of the large watersheds have experienced forest cover gain by afforestation, or reforestation (with 3.6-46% forest cover change, Table 1), while 30 of them with forest cover loss (a 1-58% reduction in forest coverage) due to logging, slash-burn, fire, and insect infestation (Jones and Grant, 1996; Storck et al., 1998; Bowling et al., 2000; Chen et al., 2005; Li et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008; Mao and Cherkauer, 2009; Li et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2010; Jorge et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012a; Zhang and Wei, 2012b; Iroumé and Palacios, 2013; Lima et al., 2014; Bieger et al., 2015). 182 of the small watersheds have forest cover losses due to logging, slash-burn, fire, and insect infestation, and only 69 of them with forest cover gain by afforestation, regrowth, or reforestation. This global dataset includes newly published small and large watershed studies on the annual runoff response to forest cover change (e.g., Webb et al., 2007; Webb, 2009; Bren et al., 2010; Gallart et al., 2011; Dung et al., 2012; van Dijk et al., 2012; Beck et al., 2013; Niedda et al., 2014; Carvalho-Santos et al., 2015; Winkler et al., 2015; Mahat et al., 2016), and small watersheds documented by several classic reviews (e.g., Bosch and Hewlett, 1982; Stednick, 1996; Sahin and Hall, 1996; Andréassian, 2004; Bruijnzeel,

2004; Brown et al., 2005; Moore and Wondzell, 2005; see Appendix A for more details).

[Figure 1]

[Table 1]

Data on spatial scale (watershed size), climate (dryness index), potential evaporation, annual runoff, forest cover change (%), annual runoff response to forest cover change (%), forest type (coniferous, broadleaf, and mixed forests), and hydrological regime (snow-dominated and rain-dominated) were derived or calculated from collected documents. See Appendix A for more details on the study watersheds.

It is important to note that these studies used a range of methods to quantify the change in runoff caused by forest cover change, including paired watershed experiments (e.g., Bart and Hope, 2010; Webb and Jarrett, 2013), quasi-paired watersheds (e.g., Buttle, 2000; Mahat et al., 2016), hydrological modelling (e.g., Gallart et al., 2011; Beck et al., 2013), elasticity analysis (e.g., Zhang et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2013), and a combination of statistical methods and hydrographs (e.g., Wang et al., 2009; Iroumé and Palacios, 2013). Paired watershed experiments are commonly used to measure runoff response to forest change in smaller watersheds ($<100 \text{ km}^2$), where the influences on runoff from non-forest factors (e.g., climate variability) can be removed through comparisons between the impacted watershed and the control one. Quasi-paired watershed approach is applied to estimate runoff response to forest change in larger watersheds ($\geq 100 \text{ km}^2$) with more heterogeneities in landscape and climate. A watershed with a greater disturbance level is defined as the impacted watershed while its neighboring, intact, or less disturbed watershed is

viewed as the control or partial control (Buttle, 2000). Hydrological models (e.g., VIC, DHSVM, MIKE-SHE, SWAT) are often utilized to predict runoff response due to forest changes in well observed and monitored watersheds (e.g., Waterloo et al., 2007; Coe et al., 2009). In watersheds with limited data on forest, hydrology, climate, geology, and land cover, or lacking a suitable control watershed, a combination of statistical methods (e.g., non-parametric tests, ANOVA, time series analysis) and hydrographs (e.g., double mass curve, modified double mass curve, flow duration curve) are well-accepted strategies, especially in large watersheds (e.g., Costa et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2012a).

3 Methods

Annual runoff response to forest cover change (ΔQ_f) is defined by Equation 1. Additionally, the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change (S_f) in a given watershed has been introduced as an indicator of the response intensity of annual runoff to forest cover change. S_f is defined as annual runoff response to forest change (ΔQ_f) normalized by forest cover change (ΔF) (Equation 2). Watershed properties such as watershed size, climate, forest type, and hydrological regime are potential determinants of the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest change (Kirchner, 2006; Donohue et al., 2010).

Budyko dryness index (DI), equal to the ratio of mean annual potential evaporation (PET) to mean annual precipitation (P) was adopted as an integrated indicator of climate conditions for a given watershed. Dryness index can effectively reflect the interactions between energy and water limitations on catchment annual ET, and thus can indicate the water availability for vegetation growth (Jones et al., 2012; van Dijk et al., 2012). Watersheds were then grouped into water-limited

($DI > 1.35$), equitant ($0.76 < DI < 1.35$), energy-limited ($DI < 0.76$) environments based on dryness index (McVicar et al., 2012). Forest types include coniferous, broadleaf (either evergreen or deciduous broadleaf forest), and mixed forests (a mixture of coniferous and broadleaf forests). The forest type for a given watershed was determined by its dominant tree species from the literature. Hydrological regime for a watershed can be snow-dominated or rain-dominated. Snow-dominated watershed is featured with most floods driven by snowmelt process while rain-dominated watershed with most floods driven by rainfall.

Linear regression and Kendall rank correlation test were used: 1) to detect the statistical significance of relationships between ΔQ_f and ΔF ; 2) to investigate the statistical significance of relationships between watershed size and the response intensity of annual runoff response to forest cover change (S_f); and 3) to examine how the response intensity of annual runoff response to forest cover change (S_f) varies with climatic gradients (DI). Two-sample nonparametric Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was performed on pairs of watershed groups classified by climate type (energy-limited (EL), equitant (EQ) and water-limited (EW) environments), forest type (coniferous (CF), broadleaf (BF), and mixed forests (MF)) or hydrological regime (rain-dominated (RD) and snow-dominated (SD) watersheds) to test for significant differences between entire distributions of the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change, while the Mann-Whitney U test was conducted on watershed groups to test for significant differences in the medians of distributions of the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change. In this way, the effects of watershed size, climate, forest type, and hydrological regime on the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change can be quantitatively analyzed.

$$\Delta Q_f = 100 \times \frac{\Delta Q_{f,m}}{\bar{Q}} \quad (1)$$

$$S_f = \left| \frac{\Delta Q_f}{\Delta F} \right| \quad (2)$$

Where, $\bar{Q}$ refers to the long-term mean annual runoff (mm); $\Delta Q_{f,m}$ is the amount of (mm). ΔQ_f is annual runoff response to forest change (%). S_f refers to the response intensity of annual runoff to forest cover change and ΔF is the watershed forest cover change (%).

4 Results

4.1 Forest and water relationships at multiple spatial scales: forest cover gain vs. forest cover loss

Results from the majority of watersheds show that forest cover loss can increase annual runoff ((21 large watersheds ($\geq 1000 \text{ km}^2$) and 202 small watersheds ($< 1000 \text{ km}^2$)). Both linear regression analysis and the Kendall correlation test suggest a significant negative relationship ($\alpha=0.05$) between forest cover loss (ΔF) and its associated annual runoff change (ΔQ_f) in both large and small watersheds (Figure 2a, b). In other words, there is significant tendency across multiple spatial scales for more forest cover loss to lead to increases in annual runoff. However, the effect of forest cover gain (e.g., afforestation, reforestation or regeneration) tends to be more complicated and inconsistent. We failed to detect a statistically significant relationship ($\alpha=0.05$) between forest cover change (ΔF) and annual runoff response (ΔQ_f) in small watersheds with forest cover gain (Figure 2b), while there is a significant negative relationship between ΔF and ΔQ_f in large watersheds (Figure 2a). This suggests that the tendency for an increase in forest cover to lead to a reduction in annual runoff is only significant in large watersheds.

[Figure 2]

4.2 Spatial scale and the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change

Figure 3 shows how the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change varies across spatial scales. As suggested by the linear regression and the Kendall correlation test, the relationship between watershed area and the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover loss is statistically insignificant ($\alpha=0.05$) across all spatial scales (Figure 3a). Similarly, the relationship between watershed area and the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover gain is also insignificant across multiple spatial scales. However, when large watersheds and small watersheds were investigated separately, different results were found. For large watersheds, there is a significant negative relationship between watershed area and the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change (forest cover loss and forest cover gain) at $\alpha=0.05$ (Figure 3b). That is, there is a significant tendency for the response intensity of annual runoff to forest cover change to decline with increased watershed size in large watersheds. In contrast, the relationship between watershed area and the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change is statistically insignificant for small watersheds (Figure 3c).

[Figure 3]

4.3 Climate gradient and the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change

The general tendency that the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change can increase with elevated dryness index is significant across multiple spatial scales. As shown by the regression analysis and the Kendall correlation test, there is a significant positive relationship between

dryness index and the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change in both small and large watersheds at $\alpha=0.05$ (Figure 4). The drier a watershed, the more pronounced is the response intensity of annual runoff to forest cover change and vice versa.

Figure 5 compares the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change in water-limited (WL), equitant (EQ), energy-limited (EL) environments. In large watersheds, the Kolmogorov–Smirnov and the Mann-Whitney U tests (Table 2) suggest insignificant differences between EL and EQ watersheds in the distributions and medians of the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change, whereas the distribution and median of the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change in WL watersheds are significantly different from those in EQ or EL watersheds ($\alpha=0.05$).

In large water-limited watersheds 1% forest cover change can lead to 1.04% change in annual runoff, while it can only cause about 0.44% and 0.45% change in annual runoff in large EL and EQ watersheds, respectively (Figure 5a). In small watersheds, the distributions and medians of the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change in EL watersheds are significantly different from those of WL and EQ watersheds, while there are insignificant differences between EQ and WL watersheds ($\alpha=0.05$). For small EL watersheds 1% forest cover change can cause about 0.43% change in annual runoff, while in small EQ and EL watersheds that value can be up to 0.66% and 1.19%, respectively (Figure 5b).

[Figure 4]

[Figure5]

[Table 2]

4.4 Forest type and the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change

Figure 6 compares the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change in watersheds dominated by different forest types. As suggested by the statistical tests (Table 3), in large watersheds, the median of the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change in coniferous forest dominated watersheds is significantly different from that in broadleaf or mixed forest dominated watersheds ($\alpha=0.05$). In large mixed and broadleaf forests dominated watersheds, 1% forest cover change can result in 0.80% and 0.74% change in annual runoff, respectively, while in large coniferous forests dominated watersheds, that value is only 0.24%. In small watersheds, the distribution and medians of the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change in mixed forest dominated watersheds are significantly different from those of broadleaf and coniferous forest dominated watersheds, while there are no significant differences in the distribution and medians of the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change between broadleaf and coniferous forest dominated watersheds ($\alpha=0.05$). In small broadleaf and coniferous forests dominated watersheds, 1% forest cover change can result in 0.73% and 0.71% change in annual runoff while in small mixed forests dominated watersheds, respectively, that value is only 0.33%.

[Figure 6]

[Table 3]

4.5 Hydrological regime and the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change

Figure 7 compares the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change between rain-dominated

and snow-dominated watersheds. As suggested by the statistical tests (Table 4), in large watersheds, the distribution and medians of the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change (S_f) in rain-dominated watersheds are significantly ($\alpha=0.05$) different from those in snow-dominated watersheds (Table 4). In rain-dominated large watersheds, 1% forest cover change can lead to up to mean 0.74% change in annual runoff while in snow-dominated watersheds, this value is only 0.37%. However, in small watersheds, there are no statistically significant differences ($\alpha=0.05$) between rain-dominated and snow-dominated watersheds in the distribution and medians of the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change (Table 4).

[Figure 7]

[Table 4]

5 Discussion

5.1 Forest cover change and annual runoff response: consistency and variations

There is a general understanding that forest cover loss can increase annual runoff due to a reduction in interception and evapotranspiration in small watersheds while a similar conclusion has not yet been drawn in large watersheds (Andréassian, 2004; Stednick, 2008; Oudin et al., 2008). Our analysis suggests that the tendency for forest cover loss to increase annual runoff is valid across watersheds of all sizes: simply put, increasing forest cover loss will lead to an increase in annual runoff. This is consistent with a few studies on the effect of vegetation change on annual evapotranspiration (e.g., see Brown et al., 2005; McVicar et al., 2007 and the relevant references in both), which show a negative relationship between vegetation coverage and annual

280 evapotranspiration at multiple spatial scales.

281 Despite this general trend, the annual runoff response to forest change is variable among
282 watersheds. There is a sub-set of watersheds with insignificant changes in annual runoff to forest
283 cover change in 12 (of 61) large watersheds with forest cover loss from 1 to 53% and 33 (of 252)
284 small watersheds with forest cover loss from 0 to 100%. In some watersheds, insignificant
285 changes in annual runoff are associated with a small amount of forest cover change. For example,
286 a study in Canadian boreal forests (with six watersheds ranging from 401 to 11,900 km²), with
287 forest cover loss ranging from 5% to 25% of watershed areas, failed to find definitive changes in
288 annual runoff (Buttle and Metcalfe, 2000).

289 However, there are several non-responsive watersheds (10 of the 45 non-responsive watersheds)
290 with forest cover loss >50%. More surprisingly, in some small watersheds, even with a 100%
291 forest cover loss, insignificant changes in annual runoff have been detected (Scott, 1993; Stednick,
292 1996; Bart and Hope, 2010). The reasons for these non-responsive cases could be their differences
293 in hydrological regimes. For example, in the Nam Pong River Basin (12,100 km²) of Northeast
294 Thailand, a rain-dominated tropical watershed with forest cover reduced by 53%, Wilk et al. (2001)
295 did not detect any significant change in annual runoff. Part of the explanation is associated with
296 the land classification of forested land and non-forested land. Land with a low density of trees can
297 be classified as forested land, and this may mask the actual effect of a particular land use on
298 watershed hydrology. Another important reason is that in this large watershed deforestation
299 occurred gradually over time with vegetation regrowth occurring in parts of the watershed at the
300 same time. The rapid vegetation regrowth in these subtropical or tropical rain-dominated regions

may consume more water than reduced evapotranspiration by cleared trees (Bruijnzeel, 2004). In snow-dominated watersheds, the non-response is likely to be related to snowmelt processes that are affected by aspect, elevation range, soil, and energy input (Schnorbus and Alila, 2013). For example, in the 242 Creek in the interior of British Columbia in Canada, where forest was logged by 50%, annual runoff change was insignificant while runoff in May was greatly increased by 100% followed by a significant reduction in June and July. That is, more water leaves the watershed in terms of spring snowmelt runoff, resulting in less water recharge for the drier soils during summer and autumn (low flow seasons in the Pacific Northwest) with high evapotranspiration and low precipitation, and consequently leading to insignificant changes in annual runoff (Winkler et al., 2015).

In addition, the non-response in runoff to forest changes can sometimes be related to dominating impacts of climate conditions during post-forest change years. For example, Bart and Hope (2010) investigated the post-fire runoff response in six California catchments (54-632 km²) with forest burnt by 23-100% and found insignificant changes in annual runoff in four catchments (Sespe, Santa Paula, San Antonio, and Lopez). In particular, the Lopez catchment was completely burned. These four catchments experienced a prolonged drought during the second to fifth post-fire years when no streamflow increases were detected. In this region, soil moisture was the determinant of the runoff response to vegetation change during droughts. Differences in vegetation cover and transpirational capacity of the control and treated catchments may have limited effects on evapotranspiration and hence on runoff. Thus, soil moisture deficit due to the post-fire prolonged drought may offset the increases in runoff due to reduced ET after fire (Stednick, 2008; Bart and Hope, 2010).

The response of annual runoff to forest cover gain tends to be even more variable and complicated when compared to forest cover loss. In large watersheds, more forest cover gain is likely to result in more reduction in annual runoff while in small watersheds, insignificant relationship between forest cover gain (ΔF) and annual runoff response (ΔQ_f) has been detected. There are many watersheds where the response of annual runoff to forest cover gain is insignificant. There are about 19 small watersheds and one large watershed even with quite distinct hydrological responses - increased annual runoff due to forest cover gain. Such an example occurs in the headwater of Heihe River Basin in China where a 12.6% increase in forest cover due to spruce reforestation was predicted to increase annual runoff by 8.6% (Wu et al., 2015). In this mountainous watershed, old-growth spruce forests (*Picea crassifolia*) dominated at higher elevation areas (with an altitude of 3000 m above sea level) are featured by lower evapotranspiration than grassland as suggested by the modelling. In addition, these subalpine forests can increase soil water storage by intercepting both rainfall and cloud water (Wei et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2008b). Thus, an increase in annual runoff after the conversion of grassland to spruce forest in this watershed will be expected.

In general, we can only draw a statistical inference that more forest cover loss can lead to more pronounced changes in annual runoff in both small and large watersheds. Moreover, despite this general tendency, the annual runoff response to forest cover change is highly variable. These variations are not simply determined by the change in forest cover rate, but largely related to many confounding factors such as forest characteristics (e., tree species, stand age and structure, vegetation regeneration, and forest change pattern), topography (e.g., aspect, elevations), geology (e.g., surface-groundwater interactions), hydrological regimes (e.g., snow-dominated,

rain-dominated), landscape pattern (e.g., lakes, wetlands) and water availability (precipitation) rather than watershed size (Farmer et al., 2003; Williams and Albertson, 2005; Blöschl et al., 2007; Bart and Hope, 2010; Karlsen et al., 2016). This highlights the need for a more detailed investigation on how these factors affect the response of annual runoff to forest cover change across multiple spatial scales.

5.2 Scale issue in annual runoff response to forest cover change

Theoretically, more heterogeneities in landscape, topography, climate, geology and vegetation will occur as watershed size increases, resulting in greater buffering ability to watershed disturbances such as forest cover change, and thus being less sensitive to a given level of forest cover change as compared to smaller watersheds (Huff et al., 2000; Andréassian, 2004; Crouzeilles and Curran, 2016). Is the response of annual runoff to forest cover change really scale-dependent? Our analysis shows that the response intensity of annual runoff to forest cover change declines with increasing watershed size is only valid in large watersheds. A definite conclusion that the impact of forest cover change on annual runoff attenuates with watershed size cannot be drawn in small watersheds or across multiple spatial scales.

The differences in scale effects on the hydrological responses to forest cover change between large watersheds and small watersheds indicate that dominant eco-hydrological processes and interactions between forests and water in large watersheds can be quite different from those in small watersheds (Arrigo and Salvucci, 2005; Kirchner, 2006). Therefore, extrapolating the findings from small watersheds to large watersheds or vice versa can be very challenging and complicated (Best et al., 2003; Popp et al., 2009). A simple extrapolation of findings from a small

watershed to a large watershed could be problematic given the differences in ecohydrological processes between small and large watersheds (Bracken and Croke, 2007). This calls for more large watershed studies on the mechanisms underlying forest and water interactions and feedbacks.

5.3 Differences among water-limited, equitant, and energy-limited watersheds in hydrological responses to forest cover change

It is well-recognized that climate conditions in terms of water and energy are critical for forest growth (Jackson et al., 2005; Rodriguez-Iturbe et al., 2007; Asbjornsen et al., 2011). The interactions between ecological and hydrological processes can be quite different across climatic gradients (Rodriguez-Iturbe et al., 2005; Farmer et al., 2003; Donohue et al., 2011; Liu and McVicar, 2012; Zhou et al., 2015). Our analysis showed that the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change will increase with dryness index across multiple spatial scales. A water-limited watershed tends to be more hydrologically sensitive to forest cover change than an energy-limited watershed. This is in accordance with a global study on the impact of afforestation on annual runoff, where afforestation in drier regions (mean annual precipitation <1000 mm) was found to have greater impact on runoff than in wetter regions (Jackson et al., 2005). Similarly, modelling by Sun et al (2006) in China also showed that annual runoff reduction due to reforestation can be up to 50% in semi-arid regions while the reduction is only 30% in humid regions. The findings above suggest that the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change is not constant but may vary along climatic gradients (Asbjornsen et al., 2011; Donohue et al., 2011). The mechanism controlling interactions between forest and water in water-limited watersheds is likely to be

different from that in energy-limited watersheds (Newman et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2012a). In water-limited watersheds, forest growth is often controlled by the spatial-temporal distribution of water, and the dryness index is often viewed as the best predictor of forest growth (Das et al., 2013). In turn, forest structure, age, and species composition affect water flux across multiple spatial scales (Schwinning and Sala, 2004; Asbjornsen et al., 2011), and the sensitivity of water-limited forests to water availability is expected to be maximal (Huxman et al., 2004; Scanlon et al., 2005). Accordingly, forest cover change in water-limited watersheds can cause more significant hydrological responses. On the contrary, in energy-limited watersheds where saturated soils are prevalent (Asbjornsen et al., 2011), forest growth tends to be less dependent on water availability but responds more strongly to temperature (Rodriguez-Iturbea et al., 2007; Troch et al., 2009; Jackson et al., 2009; Wohl et al., 2012). Consequently, forest cover change tends to generate less pronounced hydrological impacts in these water-abundant environments.

5.4 Differences between large and small watersheds in the effects of forest type and hydrological regime

In small watersheds, mixed forests dominated watersheds tend to be less hydrologically sensitive to forest cover change than coniferous or broadleaf forest-dominated watersheds (Table 3 and Figure 6). This finding is supported by several studies, showing that a 10% reduction in coniferous forest, deciduous forest and eucalypt forest can lead to about 20-25, 17-19, and 6 mm increase in water yield, respectively (Bosch and Hewlett, 1982; Brown et al., 2005). A small watershed study regarding global warming also suggests that mixed forest dominated catchments have higher resilience and stable water yield in response to global warming while coniferous forested ones

have the lowest resilience (Creed et al., 2014). However, large coniferous forest dominated watersheds have been found to be least hydrologically sensitive to forest cover change, suggesting more hydrological resilience of large coniferous forest dominated watersheds to forest cover change. The differences between small and large watersheds may be due to more complexities in controls of the hydrological responses to changes at a larger spatial scale.

Unlike the important role that forest type plays in annual runoff response to forest cover change, hydrological regime tends to be a less influential factor in small watersheds but is a factor in large watersheds. The sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change in large snow-dominated watersheds is significantly lower than in rain-dominated watersheds. In other words, large snow-dominated watersheds are generally more resilient to forest cover change as compared with large rain-dominated watersheds. This is in accordance with small watershed studies: logging in snow-dominated hydrological systems can produce less pronounced impacts on annual runoff than in rain-dominated hydrological systems in the Pacific Northwest (Moore and Wondzell, 2005). The differences in S_f between large snow-dominated and rain-dominated watersheds maybe due to their different hydrological processes. In snow-dominated watersheds, annual runoff is mostly from snow-melt water in spring, affected by energy input and winter snow accumulation. Factors including not only forest but also topography (e.g., elevation, aspect) can produce significant impacts on evapotranspiration and snow-melting, and eventually on annual runoff (Jost et al., 2007; Gleason et al., 2013). For example, forest cover loss at higher elevations or on slopes with southern aspects can have more pronounced hydrological impact than those at lower elevations or on slopes with northern aspect mainly because ET can be increased and snow-melting processes can be accelerated due to more energy input after forest cover loss, leading to more advanced

snow-melt water input from higher elevation areas to streams (Boon, 2009; Bewley et al., 2010). Sometimes, even with similar level of forest cover change in snow-dominated watersheds, contrasting responses in annual runoff may be detected due to differences in topography, landscape pattern, and spatial heterogeneity in climate. For example, Zhang and Wei (2014) studied two large deforested watersheds (the Willow and Bowron) with the ΔF about 30% in British Columbia, Canada. They found forest harvesting in the Willow watershed significantly increased annual runoff but caused insignificant hydrological change in the Bowron watershed. The relative uniform topography and climate in the Willow watershed may promote hydrological synchronization effects, while larger variation in elevations, together with more forest harvesting occurred at lower elevations may cause hydrological de-synchronization effect in the Bowron watershed (Wei and Davidson, 1998; Whitaker et al., 2002). In general, heterogeneities in topography grow with the watershed size, resulting in more confounding effects to offset the annual runoff response to forest cover change (Jothityangkoon and Sivapalan, 2009), and thus annual runoff in large snow-dominated watersheds can be less sensitive to forest cover change.

5.5 Implications for future research

Forest hydrological studies in large watersheds are limited mainly due to the lack of an efficient, commonly-accepted methodology. Physically based hydrological models, such as the Distributed Hydrology Soils and Vegetation Model (DHSVM), MIKE-SHE (an integrated water simulation model designed by Danish Hydraulic Institute) and the Variable Infiltration Capacity (VIC) model are often used in large watershed studies (Stonesifer, 2007; Thanapakpawin et al., 2007; Franczyk, 2008; Wei and Zhang, 2010; Kuraś et al., 2012). However, these models mainly depend on

scientific information derived from small watershed studies, and this can be problematic when applied to large watersheds (Kirchner, 2006). This review provides a generalized relationship between forest cover change and annual runoff response in large watersheds (Figure 3), and can be directly applied in future hydrological modeling of large watersheds to generate more reliable predictions. Moreover, the selection of assessment techniques must consider the differences in ecohydrological processes between large and small watersheds since dominating factors that determine annual runoff response to forest cover change in large and small watersheds can be quite different (Jencso and McGlynn, 2011; Sivapalan et al., 2011). Similarly, given contrasting hydrological sensitivities and responses to forest changes in water-limited and energy-limited watersheds, future watershed hydrology studies should focus on identifying the dominant ecohydrological processes in both situations (Gentine et al., 2012). A better understanding of the interaction and feedback mechanisms between forest and water along climate gradients would improve the current hydrological models or help in the development of new hydrological models for more accurate simulation and prediction of ecohydrological processes under a changing environment.

5.6 Implications for future forest and water management

According to our analysis, the responses of annual runoff to forest change are determined not only by the proportion of forest cover change but also by watershed properties such as climate conditions, hydrological regime and forest type. The interactions of these factors with each other lead to diverse and inconsistent results (Lima et al., 2014). Thus, the transfer of results from one large watershed to another will have to be adjusted by considering similarities in climate,

hydrological regime, and forest type between the two watersheds.

Since runoff responses are more sensitive to forest change in water-limited watersheds than in energy-limited watersheds, forest management in water-limited watersheds must be designed with caution (Porporato et al., 2001; Krishnaswamy et al., 2012). The trade-off between forest growth (carbon sequestration) and water use in watersheds across climatic gradients must be recognized in order to ensure water supply for both human and ecosystems (Jackson et al., 2005; Williams and Albertson, 2005; Keenan et al., 2013; Frank et al., 2015). This is particularly important and complicated under climate change given that more catastrophic forest disturbances such as fire, drought, flood, and insect outbreak are intensified (Anderegg et al., 2012; Pretzsch et al., 2014; Jolly et al., 2015). Climate change is very likely to yield significant impacts on water resources and in general, dry areas will tend to become drier while wet areas will become wetter (IPCC, 2007). Large-scale afforestation in water-limited environments will inevitably exacerbate water scarcity while afforestation in energy-limited environment will help to reduce flood risks (Calder et al., 2007). In addition to direct impacts on water resources, climate change will produce indirect impacts on water by altering forest, for example, altering the interactions and feedbacks between forest and water due to increasing temperature, changing seasonal precipitation patterns, and prolonged phenology and increased growth potential due to climate change (Bearup et al., 2014; Doughty et al., 2015; Swann et al., 2016). Thus, current understanding of the interactions between forest and water may be inadequate to support natural resources management in the context of climate change. In brief, forest practices must be designed with a full consideration of future climate, water availability, water consumption of different forest types, and hydrological regime, as well as topography and watershed size.

5.7 Limitations

A successful study on the quantification of hydrological response to forest cover change normally relies on the availability of long-term data on hydrology and climate, the quantification of watershed-scale forest changes, and an appropriate method to exclude the effect of non-forest drivers on runoff, e.g., climate variability and human activities (Wang et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2015). This review is a synthesis of many world-wide studies on hydrological responses to forest cover change across multiple spatial scales. Uncertainties associated with data collection, the quantification of forest changes and methods for excluding non-forest related hydrological impact in the literature are inevitable (Patterson et al., 2013).

For example, although precipitation data were derived from the literature, precipitation data sources (i.e., observed, spatial grids, and modelled) may differ among watersheds. Observed data from local climate stations are often used in small watershed studies while modelled or gridded data are normally applied in large watersheds due to a lack of sufficient climate stations (Coe et al., 2011; Lima et al., 2014). This strategy allows for a better representation of mean annual precipitation across multiple spatial scales, but associated uncertainties from various data sources may occur (Biederman et al., 2015). An ideal way is to apply a global gridded precipitation dataset with high resolution. Similarly, the length of streamflow record varied from one study to another. A short streamflow record may incompletely capture long-term cumulative hydrological responses after forest change (Brown et al., 2005). Although it would be helpful if the length of record could be standardized across all study watersheds in order to represent a full stand rotation for example, the number of study watersheds would be greatly reduced given great differences in data

515 collection across the dataset.

516 The quantification of forest change at a watershed scale is very challenging since different types of
517 forest changes due to natural (e.g., wildfire, flood, drought, hurricane, insect) and anthropogenic
518 disturbances (e.g., logging, road construction, agricultural activities, urbanization, mining, and
519 recreation) are accumulated over space and time (Logan et al., 2003; Bebi et al., 2016). The most
520 direct way to quantify forest changes in a watershed is to compute the forest cover change (%),
521 mainly because these data are normally available and relatively easy to derive (Buttle, 2000;
522 Zhang et al., 2008a). In this review, to maximize sample size, we also used forest cover change (%)
523 as the indicator of forest change level. However, this indicator merely serves as a basic indicator
524 without differentiating forest species, stand age and structure, growth potential, and disturbance
525 types, and fails to express the spatial pattern of forest changes and subsequent forest recovery
526 processes (Lewis and Huggard, 2010). A suitable forest change indicator for a watershed should
527 not only express forest cover changes due to all types of disturbances, and their intensities and
528 severities, but also account for forest characteristics (e.g., species, stand age, structure),
529 disturbance history, and subsequent recovery processes over space and time.

530 The most challenging consideration in quantifying hydrological response to forest cover change is
531 to exclude the effect of non-forest drivers on runoff, e.g., climate variability and human activities
532 (Renner et al., 2014). This can be even more challenging for large watershed studies with various
533 confounding factors including climate variability, dams, irrigation, and urbanization (Wang et al.,
534 2011; Feng et al., 2016). As mentioned before, the responses of annual runoff to forest cover
535 change in these watersheds are quantified by different methods such as paired watersheds,

quasi-paired watersheds, hydrological modelling, elasticity analysis and a combination of statistical analysis and hydrographs. The paired watershed experiment is commonly accepted as an effective approach to exclude climatic effect on runoff in the treated or disturbed watershed through comparison against the control watershed (Biederman et al., 2015). However, the quasi-paired watersheds study, designed for larger watersheds may fail to completely remove the effect of climate variability on runoff given great variations in climate, especially precipitation in larger watersheds, leading to less reliable results as compared to a paired watersheds study (Loáiciga et al., 2001; Liu et al., 2004). Most hydrological models are still based on current theories that are deeply rooted in the physics of small-scale processes. This gives rise to difficulties in representing nonlinear hydrological processes and their interactions at all scales across heterogeneous landscapes (Kirchner, 2009). In addition, models are often over-parameterized to meet high accuracy levels, potentially leading to the equifinality problem because of an excessive number of free parameters (Beven, 1992; Kirchner, 2006). Similarly, the elasticity analysis or the combination of statistical methods and hydrographs may sometimes remove the effect of non-forest change on runoff incompletely since most studies only considered climate variability and forest change or land cover change as two major drivers, and ignored other confounding factors (Costa et al., 2003; Wei and Zhang, 2010; Wang et al., 2013; Xu et al., 2013; Liang et al., 2015). Obviously, none of these methods is perfect but characterized by different levels of uncertainties, especially for larger watershed studies. These method-related uncertainties may lead a certain level of bias in our synthesized analysis. Although a better solution could be to develop a standardized and efficient method that can be applied widely in both small and large watersheds to quantify annual runoff response to forest cover change, this approach is not possible

with the current array of available data.

6 Conclusions

This review shows that dominant ecohydrological processes and associated drivers are variable across spatial scales. Climate is a key factor in determining annual runoff response to forest change at multiple spatial scales. Annual runoff in water-limited watersheds is more sensitive to forest cover change than in energy-limited watersheds. Forest type is an important factor affecting annual runoff response to forest cover change in small watersheds while hydrological regime tends to be a more influential factor in large watersheds. These findings above have profound implications for upscaling issues and model development in forest hydrology and also provide useful scientific information to guide future watershed management in the context of climate change.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Dr. Tim R. McVicar, the editor, the associate editor, and three anonymous referees who helped improve earlier versions of this paper. This research was jointly supported by Special Research Program for Public-welfare Forestry (N0. 201404201), China National Science Foundation (No.31400489 and No.31290223), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation Grant (No.2014M560137), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No.ZYGX2014J093) and the Murdoch University Postgraduate Research Studentship.

References

Adnan, N. A., Atkinson, P. M., 2011. Exploring the impact of climate and land use changes on

streamflow trends in a monsoon catchment. *Int. J. Climatol.* 31, 815–831.

Alexander, P.R., Watkilas, R.K. , 1977. The Frazer experimental forest, Colorado.U.S. Dep. Agric., For.Serv., Gen. Tech. Rep., RM-40, 32.

Alexander, R.R., Troendle, C.A., Kaufmann, M.R., Shepperd, W.D., Crouch, G.L., Watkins, R.K., Love, L.D., 1985.The Fraser Experimental Forest, Colorado: Research Program and Published Research 1937–1985. U.S. For.Serv. Gen. Tech. Rep., RM-118, 46.

Amatya, D.M., Skaggs, R.W. , 2008. Effects of thinning on hydrology and water quality of a drained pine forest in coastal North Carolina.ASABE Publication Number 701P0208cd.

Anderegg, W.R.L., Kane, J.M., Anderegg, L.D.L., 2012. Consequences of widespread tree mortality triggered by drought and temperature stress. *Nature Clim. Change.* 3(1), 30-36

Andréassian, V., 2004. Waters and forests: from historical controversy to scientific debate. *J. Hydrol.* 291, 1-27.

Arrigo, J.A.S., Salvucci, G.D., 2005. Investigation hydrologic scaling: Observed effects of heterogeneity and nonlocal processes across hillslope, watershed, and regional scales. *Water Resour. Res.* 41(11), 312-329.

Asbjornsen, H., Goldsmith, G.R., Alvarado-Barrientos, M.S., Rebel, K., Osch, F.P.V., Rietkerk, M., Chen, J., Gotsch, S., Tobón, C., Geissert, D.R., Gómez-Tagle, A., Vache, K., Dawson, T.E., 2011. Editor's Choice: Ecohydrological advances and applications in plant–water relations research: a review. *J. Plant Ecol.* 4(1-2), 3-22.

Bari, M.A., Smith, N., Ruprecht, J.K., Boyd, B.W., 1996. Changes in streamflow components following logging and regeneration in the southern forest of Western Australia.*Hydrol.Process.* 10, 447–461.

600 Bart, R., Hope, A., 2010. Streamflow response to fire in large catchments of a Mediterranean-climate
 601 region using paired-catchment experiments. *J. Hydrol.* 388(3–4), 370–378.
 602 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.05.016.

603 Bates, C. G. , Henry, A. J., 1928. Forest and streamflow experiments at Wagon Wheel Gap, Colorado.
 604 U.S. Weather Bur. Monthly Weather Rev., Suppl. No. 30, 79.

605 Bearup, L.A., Maxwell, R.M., Clow, D.W., McCray, J.E., 2014. Hydrological effects of forest
 606 transpiration loss in bark beetle-impacted watersheds. *Nature Clim. Change.* 4(6), 481–486.

607 Bebi, P., Seidl, R., Motta, R., Firm, D., Krumm, F., Conedera, M., Ginzler, C., Wohlgemuth, T.,
 608 Kulakowski, D., 2016. Changes of forest cover and disturbance regimes in the mountain forests of
 609 the Alps. *Forest Ecol. Manage.*

610 Beck, H. E., Bruijnzeel, L. A., van Dijk, A. I. J. M., McVicar, T. R., Scatena, F. N., Schellekens, J.,
 611 2013. The impact of forest regeneration on streamflow in 12 mesoscale humid tropical
 612 catchments. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 17, 2613–2635.

613 Bent, G.C. , 2001. Effects of forest-management activities on runoff components and ground-water
 614 recharge to Quabbin Reservoir, central Massachusetts. *Forest. Ecol. Manag.* 143, 115–129.

615 Best, A., Zhang, L., McMahon, T., Western, A., Vertessy, R., 2003. A Critical Review of Paired
 616 Catchment Studies with Reference to Seasonal Flows and Climatic Variability, CSIRO Land and
 617 Water Technical Report 25/03. Murray-Darling Basin Commission, Canberra, Australia.

618 Bewley, D., Alila, Y., Varhola, A., 2010. Variability of snow water equivalent and snow energetics
 619 across a large catchment subject to Mountain Pine Beetle infestation and rapid salvage logging. *J.*
 620 *Hydrol.* 388, 464–479.

621 Bi, H., et al., 2009. Effects of precipitation and landuse on runoff during the past 50 years in a typical

622 watershed in the Loess Plateau, China. *Int. J. Sediment Res.* 24(3), 352-364.

623 Biederman, J.A., Somor, A.J., Harpold, A.A., Gutmann, E.D., Breshears, D.D., 2015. Recent tree die -

624 off has little effect on streamflow in contrast to expected increases from historical studies. *Water*

625 *Resour. Res.* 51(12).

626 Bieger, K., Hoermann, G., Fohrer, N., 2015. The impact of land use change in the Xiangxi Catchment

627 (China) on water balance and sediment transport. *Reg. Environ. Change* 15(3), 485-498.

628 Blackie, J.R. , 1993. The water balance of the Balquhider catchments. *J. Hydrol.* 145, 239-257.

629 Blöschl, G., Ardoin-Bardin, S., Bonell, M., Dorninger, M., Goodrich, D., Gutknecht, D., Matamoros,

630 D., Merz, B., Shand, P., and Szolgay, J., 2007. At what scales do climate variability and land cover

631 change impact on flooding and low flows? *Hydrol. Process.* 21(9), 1241-1247.

632 Boggs, J., Sun, G., McNulty, S., 2015. Effects of Timber Harvest on Water Quantity and Quality in

633 Small Watersheds in the Piedmont of North Carolina. *Weed Technology A Journal of the Weed*

634 *Science Society of America.* 28(3), 145–156.

635 Boon, S., 2009. Snow ablation energy balance in a dead forest stand. *Hydrol. Process.* 23, 2600–2610.

636 Borg, H., Bell, R.W., Loh, I.C., 1988. Streamflow and stream salinity in a small water supply

637 catchment in southwest Western Australia after reforestation. *J. Hydrol.* 103 (3–4), 323–333.

638 Bosch, J.M., 1979. Treatment effects on annual and dry period streamflow at Cathedral Peak. *S. Afr.*

639 *For. J.*, 108, 29-38.

640 Bosch, J.M., Hewlett, J.D., 1982. A review of catchment experiments to determine the effect of

641 vegetation changes on water yield and evapotranspiration. *J. Hydrol.* 55(1-4), 3-23.

642 Bowling, L.C., Storck, P., Lettenmaier, D.P., 2000. Hydrologic effects of logging in western

643 Washington, United States. *Water Resour. Res.* 36, 3223–3240.

644 Bracken, L.J., Croke, J., 2007. The concept of hydrological connectivity and its contribution to
645 understanding runoff-dominated geomorphic systems. *Hydrological Processes*, 21, 1749–1763

646 Brantley, S.T., et al., 2015. Changes to southern Appalachian water yield and stormflow after loss of a
647 foundation species. *Ecohydrology* 8(3), 518-528

648 Bren, L.J., Lane, P., Hepworth, G., 2010. Longer-term water use of native eucalyptus forest after
649 logging and regeneration: the Coranderrk experiment. *J. Hydrol.* 384(1), 52–64

650 Brown, A.E., Zhang, L., McMahon, T.A., Western, A.W., Vertessy, R. A., 2005. A review of paired
651 catchment studies for determining changes in water yield resulting from alterations in vegetation.
652 *J. Hydrol.* 310(1-4), 28-61.

653 Brown, H.E., 1971. Evaluating watershed management alternatives. *Proc. Am. Soc. Civ. Eng., J. Irrig.*
654 *Drain. Div.* 97(IR1), 93—108.

655 Bruijnzeel, L., 2004. Hydrological functions of tropical forests: not seeing the soil for the trees?
656 *Agr.Ecosyst.Environ.* 104(1), 185-228.

657 Bruijnzeel, L.A., 1990. Hydrology of Moist Tropical Forest and Effects of Conversion: A State of
658 Knowledge Review. UNESCO, Paris, and Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 226.

659 Buendia, C., Batalla, R.J., Sabater, S., Palau, A., Marcé, R., 2016a. Runoff Trends Driven by Climate
660 and Afforestation in a Pyrenean Basin. *Land Degrad. Dev.* 27 (3), 823–838.

661 Buendia, C., Bussi, G., Tuset, J., Vericat, D., Sabater, S., Palau, A., Batalla, R.J., 2016b. Effects of
662 afforestation on runoff and sediment load in an upland Mediterranean catchment. *Sci. Total*
663 *Environ.* 540, 144-157.

664 Buttle, J., Metcalfe, R., 2000. Boreal forest disturbance and streamflow response, northeastern
665 Ontario. *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 57(S2), 5-18.

666 Buytaert, W. et al., 2007. The effects of afforestation and cultivation on water yield in the Andean
667 páramo. *Forest Ecol. Manage.* doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2007.06.035

668 Carvalho-Santos, C., Nunes, J.P., Monteiro, A.T., Hein, L., Honrado, J.P., 2016. Assessing the effects
669 of land cover and future climate conditions on the provision of hydrological services in a
670 medium-sized watershed of Portugal. *Hydrol. Process.* 30(5).

671 Chen, J., Li, X., Zhang, M., 2005. Simulating the impacts of climate variation and land-cover changes
672 on basin hydrology: A case study of the Suomobasin. *Sci. China* 48(9), 1501-1509.

673 Cheng, J., 1989. Streamflow changes after clear-cut logging of a pine beetle-infested watershed in
674 southern British Columbia, Canada. *Water Resour. Res.* 25(3), 449-456.

675 Coe, M., Costa, M., Soares-Filho, B., 2009. The Influence of historical and potential future
676 deforestation on the stream flow of the Amazon River—land surface processes and atmospheric
677 feedbacks. *J Hydrol.* 369, 165–174

678 Coe, M., Latrubesse, E., Ferreira, M., Amsler, M., 2011. The effects of deforestation and climate
679 variability on the streamflow of the Araguaia River, Brazil. *Biogeochemist* 105, 119-131.

680 Cornish, P.M., 1993. The effects of logging and forest regeneration on water yields in a moist eucalypt
681 forest in New South Wales, Australia. *J. Hydrol.* 150, 301–322.

682 Cornish, P.M., Vertessy, R.A., 2001. Forest age-induced changes in evapotranspiration and water yield
683 in a eucalypt forest. *J. Hydrol.* 242, 43-63.

684 Costa, M.H., Botta, A., Cardille, J.A., 2003. Effects of large-scale changes in land cover on the
685 discharge of the Tocantins River, Southeastern Amazonia. *J. Hydrol.* 283(1-4), 206-217.

686 Creed, I. F. et al., 2014. Changing forest water yields in response to climate warming: results from
687 long-term experimental watershed sites across North America. *Glob. Change Biol.* 20,

688 3191–3208.

689 Crouzeilles, R., Curran, M., 2016. Which landscape size best predicts the influence of forest cover on
690 restoration success? A global meta-analysis on the scale of effect. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 53, 440–448.

691 Cuo, L., Lettenmaier, P., Alberti, M., Richey, J., 2009. Effects of a century of landcover and climate
692 change on the hydrology of the Puget Sound basin. *Hydrol. Process.* 23, 907–933.

693 Dale, V.H., Joyce, L.A., McNulty, S., Neilson, R.P., 2000. The interplay between change, forests, and
694 disturbances. *Sci. Total Environ.* 262, 201–204.

695 Das, A.J., Stephenson, N.L., Flint, A., Das, T., Mantgem, P.J.V., 2013. Climatic correlates of tree
696 mortality in water- and energy-limited forests. *Plos One.* 8(7), e69917.

697 David, J.S., Henriques, M.O., David, T.S., Tomé, J., Ledger, D.C., 1994. Clear cutting effects on
698 streamflow in coppiced *Eucalyptus globulus* stands in Portugal. *J. Hydrol.* 162, 143–154.

699 Devito, K.J., Creed, I.F., Fraser, C., 2005. Controls on runoff from a partially harvested aspen forested
700 headwater catchment, Boreal Plain, Canada. *Hydrol. Process.* 19, 3–25.

701 Donohue, R.J., Roderick, M.L. and McVicar, T.R., 2011. Assessing the differences in sensitivities of
702 runoff to changes in climatic conditions across a large basin. *J. Hydrol.* 406(3–4), 234–244.
703 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2011.07.003

704 Donohue, R.J., Roderick, M.L., McVicar, T.R., 2010. Can dynamic vegetation information improve the
705 accuracy of Budyko's hydrological model? *J. Hydrol.* 390(1–2),
706 23–34. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.06.025

707 Doughty, C.E., Metcalfe, D.B., Girardin, C.A.J., Amézquit, F.F., Cabrera, D.G., Huasco, W.H.,
708 Silva-Espejo, J.E., Araujo-Murakami, A., Costa, M.C.D, Rocha, W., Feldpausch, T.R., Mendoza,
709 A.L.M., Costa, A.C.L.D., Meir, P., Phillips, O.L., Malhi, Y., 2015. Drought impact on forest

710 carbon dynamics and fluxes in Amazonia. *Nature*. 519(7541), 78-82.

711 Douglass, J.E. and Swank, W.T., 1976. Multiple use in southern Appalachian hardwoods - a 10 year

712 case history. In: *Proc. 16th Int. Union For. Res. Org. (I.U.F.R.O.) World Congr., Oslo*.

713 Dung, B., Gomi, T., Miyata, S., Sidle, R. C., Kosugi, K., Onda, Y. 2012. Runoff responses to forest

714 thinning at plot and catchment scales in a headwater catchment draining Japanese cypress forest. *J.*

715 *Hydrol.* 444–445, 51-62. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.03.040.

716 Edwards, K. A., Blackie, J. R., 1981. Results of the East African catchment experiments

717 195874.*Trop.Agr.Hydrol.*163-188.

718 Eschner, A.R., Satterlund, D.R., 1966. Forest protection and streamflow from an Adirondack watershed.

719 *Water Resour. Res.* 2 (4), 765–783.

720 Farmer, D., Sivapalan, M., Jothityangkoon, C., 2003. Climate, soil, and vegetation controls upon the

721 variability of water balance in temperate and semiarid landscapes: Downward approach to water

722 balance analysis. *Water Resour. Res.* 39 (2), 13-14.

723 Feng, X., Cheng, W., Fu, B., Lü, Y., 2016. The role of climatic and anthropogenic stresses on

724 long-term runoff reduction from the Loess Plateau, China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 571, 688-698.

725 Fohrer, N., Haverkamp, S., Frede, H.G., 2005. Assessment of the effects of land use patterns on

726 hydrologic landscape functions: development of sustainable land use concepts for low mountain

727 range areas. *Hydrol.Process.* 19, 659-672.

728 Fowler, W.B., Helvey, J.D., Felix, E.N., 1987. Hydrologic and climatic changes in three small

729 watersheds after timber harvest. *U.S. For. Serv. Res. Pap.* PNW-379.

730 Franczyk, J., 2008. The effects of climate change and urbanization on the runoff of the Rock Creek

731 basin in the Portland metropolitan area, Oregon, USA. *Hydrol. Process.* 23, 805-815.

732 Frank, D.C., Poulter, B., Saurer, M., Esper, J., Huntingford, J., 2015. Water-use efficiency and
 733 transpiration across European forests during the Anthropocene. *Nature Clim. Change*. 5.

734 Gallart, F., Delgado, J., Beatson, S.J., Posner, V.H., Llorens, P., Marcé, R. , 2011. Analysing the effect
 735 of global change on the historical trends of water resources in the headwaters of the Llobregat and
 736 Ter river basins (Catalonia, Spain), *Phys. Chem. Earth, Parts A/B/C*. 36(13), 655-661. doi:
 737 10.1016/j.pce.2011.04.009.

738 Ganatsios, H. P., Tsioras, P.A., Pavlidis T., 2010. Water yield changes as a result of silvicultural
 739 treatments in an oak ecosystem. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 260 (8), 1367-1374.
 740 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2010.07.033.

741 Gentile, P., D'Odorico, P., Lintner, B.R., Sivandran, G., Salvucci, G., 2012. Interdependence of
 742 climate, soil, and vegetation as constrained by the Budyko curve. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 39.

743 Gleason, K.E., Nolin, A.W., Roth, T.R., 2013. Charred forests increase snowmelt: effects of burned
 744 woody debris and incoming solar radiation on snow ablation. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 40, 4654–4661.

745 Gottfried, G. J., 1991. Moderate timber harvesting increases water yields from an Arizona mixed
 746 conifer watershed. *Water Resour. Bull.* 27, 537–547.

747 Haileyesus, B., Mekuria, A., Hameed, S., TamiruAlemayehu, A., 2011. The impact of land use/land
 748 cover change on hydrological components due to resettlement activity: SWAT model approach.
 749 *Int. J. Ecol. Environ. Sci.* 37, 49-60.

750 Harr, D.R., 1982. Fog drip in the Bull Run municipal watershed, Oregon. *Water Resour. Bull.* 18,
 751 785–789.

752 Harr, R.D., 1980. Streamflow after patch logging in small drainages within the Bull Run Municipal
 753 watershed, Oregon. U.S. Dep. Agric., For. Serv., Res. Pap., PNW-268, 16.

754 Harr, R.D., 1976. Forest practices and streamflow in western Oregon. U.S. Dep. Agric., For. Serv., Gen.
755 Tech. Rep., PNW-49, 18.

756 Harr, R.D., Frederiksen, R.L., Rothacher, J., 1979. Changes in streamflow following timber harvest in
757 southwestern Oregon. U.S. Dep. Agric., For. Serv., Res. Pap., PNW-249, 22.

758 Harris, D.D., 1977. Hydrologic changes after logging in two small Oregon coastal watersheds. U.S.
759 Geol. Surv. Water- Supply Paper 2037, pp31.

760 Harris, D.D., 1973. Hydrologic changes after clearcut logging in a small Oregon coastal watershed. J.
761 Res. U.S. Geol. Surv. 1(4), 487—491.

762 Harrold, L.L., Brakensiek, D.L., McGuinness, J.L., Amerman, C.R. and Dreibelbis, F.R., 1962.
763 Influence of land use and treatment on the hydrology of small watersheds at Coshocton,
764 Ohio. 1938--57, U.S. Dep. Agric., Tech. Bull. 1256, 194.

765 Hawthorne, S. N. D., Lane, P. N. J., Bren, L. J., Sims, N. C., 2013. The long term effects of thinning
766 treatments on vegetation structure and water yield. Forest Ecol. Manag. 310, 983-993.

767 Hewlett, J.D. and Hibbert, A.R., 1961. Increases in water yield after several types of forest cutting. Int.
768 Assoc. Sci. Hydrol. 6, 5-17.

769 Hewlett, J.D., 1979. Forest water quality: an experiment in harvesting and regenerating piedmont forest.
770 In: Proc. Res. Pap. School For. Resour., Athens, Ga., 22.

771 Hewlett, J.D., Douglass, J.E., 1968. Blending forest uses. U.S. Dep. Agric., For. Serv., Res. Pap. SE-37,
772 15.

773 Hibbert, A.R., 1971. Increases in streamflow after converting chaparral to grass. Water Resour. Res.
774 7(1), 71—80.

775 Hibbert, A.R., 1979. Managing vegetation to increase flow in the Colorado River basin. U.S. Dep.

776 Agric., For.Serv., Gen. Tech. Rep., RM-66, 27.

777 Hibbert, A.R., Ingebo, P.A., 1971. Chaparral treatment effects on streamflow. In: Proc. Ariz. Water.

778 Comm. Rep., 1, 55.

779 Hibbert, A.R., Davis, E.A., Brown, T.C., 1975. Managing chaparral for water and other resources in

780 Arizona. In: Watershed Manage, Symp., Am. Soc. Civ. Eng., Irrig. Drain. Div., Logan, Utah,

781 445—468.

782 Hornbeck, J.W., 1975. Moderating the impact of contemporary forest cutting on hydrologic and

783 nutrient cycles. IAHS-AISH Publication No. 117, 423—433.

784 Hornbeck, J.W., Adams, M.B., Corbett, E.S., Verry, E.S., Lynch, J.A., 1993. Long-term impacts of

785 forest treatments on water yield: a summary for northeastern USA. J. Hydrol. 150 (2/4), 323—344.

786 Hornbeck, J.W., Pierce, R.S., Federer, C.A., 1970. Streamflow changes after forest clearing in New

787 England. Water Resour. Res. 6 (4), 1124—1132.

788 Huff, D., Hargrove, B., Tharp, M., Graham, R., 2000. Managing Forests for Water Yield: The

789 Importance of Scale, J. Forest. 98(12), 15-19.

790 Huxman,T. E., Smith, M.D., Fay, P.A., et al., 2004. Convergence across biomes to a common rain-use

791 efficiency. Nature 429(6992), 651-654.

792 Ide, J., Finér, L., Laurén, A., Piirainen, S., Launiainen, S.,2013. Effects of clear-cutting on annual and

793 seasonal runoff from a boreal forest catchment in eastern Finland. Forest Ecol. Manag.

794 304,482-491. doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2013.05.051.

795 Ingebo, P.A. and Hibbert, A.R., 1974. Runoff and erosion after brush suppression on the natural

796 drainage watersheds in central Arizona.U.S. Dep. Agric., For.Serv., Res., Note RM-275, 7.

797 IPCC, 2007. In Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. Contribution of Working

798 Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change,
799 Parry ML, Canziani OF, Palutikof J, Linden PV, Hanson CE (eds). Cambridge University Press,
800 Cambridge, UK.

801 Iroumé, A., Palacios, H., 2013. Afforestation and changes in forest composition affect runoff in large
802 river basins with pluvial regime and Mediterranean climate, Chile. *J. Hydrol.* 505, 13-125

803 Jencso, K.G., McGlynn, B.L., 2011. Hierarchical controls on runoff generation: Topographically
804 driven hydrologic connectivity, geology, and vegetation. *Water Resour. Res.* 47(11), 431-435.

805 Johnson, E.A., Kovner, J.L., 1956. Effect on streamflow of cutting a forest understory. *For. Sci.* 2,
806 82-91.

807 Johnston, R.S., 1984. Effects of Small Aspen Clearcuts on Water Yield and Water Quality. Research
808 Paper INT-333, Intermountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Ogden, Utah, 1-9.

809 Jolly, W.M., Cochrane, M.A., Freeborn, P.H., Holden, Z.A., Brown, T.J., Williamson, G.J., Bowman,
810 D.M.J.S. Climate-induced variations in global wildfire danger from 1979 to 2013. *Nat. Commun.*
811 6. doi:10.1038/ncomms8537

812 Jones, J.A., 2000. Hydrologic processes and peak discharge response to forest removal, regrowth, and
813 roads in 10 small experimental basins, Western Cascades, Oregon. *Water Resour. Res.* 36(9),
814 2621–2642.

815 Jones, J.A. et al., 2012. Ecosystem processes and human influences regulate streamflow response to
816 climate change at long-term ecological research sites. *Bioscience* 62(4), 190-404.

817 Jones, J.A., Grant, G.E., 1996. Peak flow responses to clear-cutting and roads in small and large basins,
818 western Cascades, Oregon. *Water Resour. Res.* 32, 959-974.

819 Jones, J.A., Post, D.A., 2004. Seasonal and successional streamflow response to forest cutting and

820 regrowth in the northwest and eastern United States. *Water Resour. Res.* 40(5), W05203,
821 doi:10.1029/2003WR002952.

822 Jost, G., Weiler, M., Gluns, D. R., Alila, Y., 2007. The influence of forest and topography on snow
823 accumulation and melt at the watershed-scale. *J. Hydrol.* 347, 101–115.

824 Jothityangkoon, C., Sivapalan, M., 2009. Framework for exploration of climatic and landscape controls
825 on catchment water balance, with emphasis on inter-annual variability. *J. Hydrol.* 371, 154-168.

826 Kabeya, N., Chappell, N.A., Tych, W., Shimizu, A., Asano, S., 2015. Quantification of the effect of
827 forest harvesting versus climate on streamflow cycles and trends in an evergreen broadleaf
828 catchment. *Hydrol. Sci. J.* 61(9), 1716-1727.

829 Karlsen, R.H., Grabs, T., Bishop, K., Buffam, I., Laudon, H., Seibert, J., 2016. Landscape controls on
830 spatiotemporal discharge variability in a boreal catchment. *Water Resour. Res.* 52, WR019186.

831 Keenan, T.F., Hollinger, D.Y., Bohrer, G., Dragoni, D., Munger, J.W., Schmid, H.P., Richardson,
832 A.D., 2013. Increase in forest water-use efficiency as atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations
833 rise. *Nature* 499, 324-327. doi: 10.1038/nature12291

834 Keppeler, E.T., Ziemer, R.R., 1990. Logging effect on streamflow: water yield and summer low flows
835 at Caspar Creek in Northwestern California. *Water Resour. Res.* 26 (7), 1669–1679.

836 Kirchner, J.W., 2006. Getting the right answers for the right reasons: Linking measurements, analyses,
837 and models to advance the science of hydrology. *Water Resour. Res.* 42, W03S04.

838 Kirchner, J.W., 2009. Catchments as simple dynamical systems: Catchment characterization,
839 rainfall-runoff modeling, and doing hydrology backward. *Water Resour. Res.*, 45, W02429.

840 Kochenderfer, J.N., Wendel, G.W., 1983. Plant succession and hydrologic recovery on a deforested
841 and herbicided watershed. *For.Sci.* 29(3), 545- 558.

842 Kochenderfer, J.N., Edwards, P.J., Helvey, J.D., 1990. Land management and water yield in the
 843 Appalachians. In: Symp. Proc. Watershed Planning and Analysis in Action, Durango, CO, 9-11
 844 July 1990. Am. Soc. Civ. Eng., New York, 523-532.

845 Koivusalo, H., Kokkonen, T., Laurén, A., Ahtiainen, M., Karvonen, T., Mannerkoski, H., Penttinen, S.,
 846 Seuna, P., Starr, M., Finér, L., 2006. Parametrisation and application of a hillslope hydrological
 847 model to assess impacts of forest clear-cutting on runoff generation. *Environ. Modell. Softw.* 21,
 848 1324–1339.

849 Krishnaswamy, J., Bonell, M., Venkatesh, B., Purandara, B.K., Lele, S., Kiran, M.C., Reddy, V.,
 850 Badiger, S., Rakesh, K.N., 2012. The rain–runoff response of tropical humid forest ecosystems to
 851 use and reforestation in the Western Ghats of India. *J. Hydrol.* 472-473, 216-237.

852 Kuraś, P.K., Alila, Y., Weiler, M., 2012. Forest harvesting effects on the magnitude and frequency of
 853 peak flows can increase with return period. *Water Resour. Res.* 48, W01544.

854 Kurz, W.A., Dymond, C.C., Stinson, G., Rampley, G.J., Neilson, E.T., Carroll, A.L., Ebata, T.,
 855 Safranyik, L., 2008. Mountain pine beetle and forest carbon feedback to climate change. *Nature*
 856 452, 987-990.

857 Lacombe, G., Ribolzi, O., Rouw, A.D., Pierret, A., Latsachak, K., Silvera, N., Dinh, R.P., Orange, D.,
 858 Janeau, J., Soulileuth, B., Robain, H., Taccon, A., Sengphaathith, P., Mouche, E.,
 859 Sengtaheuanghoung, O., Duc, T.T., Valentin, C., 2016. Contradictory hydrological impacts of
 860 afforestation in the humid tropics evidenced by long-term field monitoring and simulation
 861 modelling. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 20(7), 2691-2704.

862 Lane, P.N.J., Mackay, S.M., 2001. Streamflow response of mixed species eucalypt forest to patch
 863 cutting and thinning treatments. *Forest. Ecol. Manag.* 143, 131–142.

864 Lavabre, J., Torres, D.S., Cernesson, F., 1993. Changes in the hydrological response of a small
 865 Mediterranean basin a year after wildfire. *J. Hydrol.* 142, 273-299.
 866 Lewis, D., Huggard, D., 2010. A model to quantify effects of mountain pine beetle on equivalent
 867 clearcut area. *Streamline Watershed Manag. Bull.* 13(2), 42-51.
 868 Lewis, D.C., 1968. Annual hydrologic response to watershed conversion from oak woodland to annual
 869 grassland. *Water Resour. Res.* 4(1), 59-72.
 870 Li, L., Zhang, L., Wang, H., Wang, J., Yang, J., Jiang, D., Li, J., Qin, D., 2007. Assessing the impact of
 871 climate variability and human activities on streamflow from the Wuding River basin in China.
 872 *Hydrol. Process.* 21(25), 3485-3491.
 873 Li, L.J., Li, B., Liang, L.Q., 2010. Effect of climate change and land use on streamflow in the upper
 874 and middle reaches of the Taoer River, northeastern China. *For. Stud. China* 12, 1-9.
 875 Li, S., Xu, M., Sun, B., 2014. Long-term hydrological response to reforestation in a large watershed in
 876 southeastern China. *Hydrol. Process.* 28(22), 5573-5582. doi: 10.1002/hyp.10018
 877 Lima, L.S., Coe, M.T., Filho, B.S.S., Cuadra, S.V., Dias L.C.P., Costa, M.H., Rodrigues, H.O., 2014.
 878 Feedbacks between deforestation, climate, and hydrology in the Southwestern Amazon:
 879 implications for the provision of ecosystem services. *Land. Ecol.* 29(2), 261-274. doi
 880 10.1007/s10980-013-9962-1.
 881 Lin, Y., Wei, X., 2008. The impact of large-scale forest harvesting on hydrology in the Willow
 882 watershed of Central British Columbia. *J. Hydrol.* 359(1-2), 141-149.
 883 Liu, Q., McVicar, T.R., 2012. Assessing climate change induced modification of Penman potential
 884 evaporation and runoff sensitivity in a large water-limited basin. *J. Hydrol.* 464-465, 352-362.
 885 doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2012.07.032

886 Liu, S., Leslie, L.M., Speer, M., Bunker, R., Mo, X., 2004. The effects of bushfires on hydrological
887 processes using a paired-catchment analysis. *Meteorology and Atmospheric Physics*. 86, 31–44

888 Liu, W.F., Wei, X.H., Liu, S.R., Liu, Y.Q., Fan, H.B., Zhang, M.F., Yin, J.M., Zhang, M.J., 2015. How
889 do climate and forest changes affect long-term streamflow dynamics?: a case study in the upper
890 reach of Poyang River basin. *Ecohydrology* 8(1), 46-57. doi:10.1002/eco.1486

891 Loáiciga, H.A., Pedreros, D., Roberts, D., 2001. Wildfire-streamflow interactions in a chaparral
892 watershed. *Advances in Environmental Research*. 5, 295–305

893 Logan, J.A., Régnière, J., Powell, J.A., 2003. Assessing the impacts of global warming on forest pest
894 dynamics. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* 1, 130-137.

895 Lynch, J.A., Corbett, E.S., Soper, W.E., 1980. Impact of forest cover removal on water quality.
896 Pennsylvania State Univ. Inst. for Res. on Land and Water Resour., University Park, PA, Res.
897 Rep., 23-604, 91.

898 Ma, X., 1980. The impacts of forest harvesting on stream discharge and sediment in the upper Minjiang
899 River Basin. *Nat. Res. J.* 5, 78 – 87 (in Chinese).

900 Ma, X., Xu, J., van Noordwijk, M., 2010. Sensitivity of streamflow from a Himalayan catchment to
901 plausible changes in land cover and climate. *Hydrol. Process.* 24(11), 1379-1390.

902 Ma, X., Xu, J.C., Luo, Y., Shiv, P.A., Li, J. T., 2009. Response of hydrological processes to land-cover
903 and climate changes in Kejie watershed, south-west China. *Hydrol. Process.* 23, 1179-1191.

904 Magilligan, F. J., Nislow, K. H., 2001. Long-term changes in regional hydrologic regime following
905 impoundment in a humid-climate watershed. *J. Am. Water Resour. As.* 37, 1551–1569.

906 Mahat, V., Silins, U., Anderson, A., 2016. Effects of wildfire on the catchment hydrology in southwest
907 Alberta. *Catena*. 147, 51-60.

908 Mao, D., Cherkauer, K.A., 2009. Impacts of land-use change on hydrologic responses in the Great
 909 Lakes region. *J. Hydrol.* 374, 71-92.

910 Matheussen, B., Kirschbaum, R.L., Goodman, I.A., O'Donnell, G.M., Lettenmaier, D.P., 2000. Effects
 911 of land cover change on streamflow in the interior Columbia River Basin (USA and Canada).
 912 *Hydrol.Process.* 14(5), 867-885.

913 McVicar, T.R., Li, L.T., Van Niel, T.G., Zhang, L., Li R., Yang, Q.K., Zhang X.P., Mu, X.M., Wen,
 914 Z.M., Liu, W.Z., Zhao. Y.A., Liu, Z.H. and Gao, P., 2007. Developing a decision support tool for
 915 China's re-vegetation program: Simulating regional impacts of afforestation on average annual
 916 streamflow in the Loess Plateau. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 251(1-2), 65-81.
 917 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2007.06.025

918 McVicar, T.R., Roderick, M.L., Donohue, R.J. and Van Niel, T.G., 2012. Less bluster ahead?
 919 Overlooked ecohydrological implications of global trends of terrestrial near-surface wind speeds.
 920 *Ecohydrology* 5(4), 381-388. doi:10.1002/eco.1298

921 Miller, E.L., Beasley, R.S., Lawson, E.R., 1988. Forest harvest and site preparation effects on
 922 stormflow and peakflow of ephemeral streams in the Ouachita mountains. *J. Environ. Qual.* 17 (2),
 923 212–218.

924 Molina, A., Vanacker, V., Balthazar, V., Mora, D., Govers, G., 2012. Complex land cover change,
 925 water and sediment yield in a degraded Andean environment. *J. Hydrol.* 472, 25-35.

926 Moore, R., Wondzell, S., 2005. Physical hydrology and the effects of forest harvesting in the Pacific
 927 Northwest: A review. *J. Am. Water Resour. As.* 41(4), 763-784.

928 Nakano, H., 1971. Effect on streamflow of forest cutting and change in regrowth on cutover area.Repr.,
 929 Bull. U.S. Gov. For. Exp. Stn., No. 240, 249.

930 Nänni, U.W., 1970. The effect of afforestation on streamflow at Cathedral Peak. *S. Afr. For. J.* 74,
 931 6-12.

932 Neary, D.G., Gottfried, G.J., Folliott, P.E., 2003. Post-wildfire watershed flood response. In:
 933 Proceedings of the 2nd International Fire Ecology Conference, Orlando, Florida, 16-20 November,
 934 Paper 1 B.7.

935 Newman, B.D., Wilcox, B.P., Archer, S.R., Breshears, D.D., Dahm, C.N., Duffy, C.J., McDowell,
 936 N.G., Phillips, F.M., Scanlon, B.R., Vivoni, E.R., 2006. Ecohydrology of Water-Limited
 937 Environments: A Scientific Vision. *Water Resour. Res.* 42(6), 376-389.

938 Ngo Thanh, S., Nguyen Duy, B., Shrestha, R.P., 2015. Effect of land use change on runoff and
 939 sediment yield in Da River Basin of HoaBinh province, Northwest Vietnam. *J. Mt. Sci.* 12(4),
 940 1051-1064

941 Nguyen Khoi, D., Suetsugi T., 2014. The responses of hydrological processes and sediment yield to
 942 land-use and climate change in the Be River Catchment, Vietnam. *Hydrol.Process.* 28, 640 – 652.
 943 DOI: 10.1002/hyp.9620

944 Niedda, M., Pirastru, M., Castellini, M., Giadrossich, F., 2014. Simulating the hydrological response of
 945 a closed catchment-lake system to recent climate and land-use changes in semi-arid
 946 Mediterranean environment. *J. Hydrol.* 517, 732-745. doi: 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2014.06.008.

947 O'Shaughnessy, P.J., Langford, K.J., Duncan, H.P., Moran, R.J., 1979. Catchment experiments in
 948 mountain ash forests at North Maroon. *Australian Forestry* 42 (3), 150–160.

949 Oudin, L., Andréassian, V., Lerat, J., Michel, C., 2008. Has land cover a significant impact on mean
 950 annual streamflow? An international assessment using 1508 catchments. *J. Hydrol.* 357, 303-316.

951 Patric, J.H., 1980. Effect of wood products harvest on forest soil and water relations. *J. Environ. Qual.*

952 9(1), 73-80.

953 Patric, J.H. and Reinhart, K.G., 1971. Hydrologic effects of deforesting two mountain watersheds in
954 West Virginia. *WaterResour.Res.* 7(5), 1182—1188.

955 Patterson, L.A., Lutz, B., Doyle, M.W., 2013. Climate and direct human contributions to changes in
956 mean annual streamflow in the South Atlantic, USA. *Water Resour. Res.* 49(11), 7278-7291.

957 Pearce, A.J., O'Loughlin, C.L., Rowe, L.K., 1976. Hydrologic regime of small undisturbed beech forest
958 catchments, north Westland. *N.Z. Dep. Sei. Ind. Res., Info. Ser, No. 126*, 150-158.

959 Pearce, A.J., Rowe, L.K., O'Loughlin, C.L. , 1980. Effects of clearfelling and slash burning on water
960 yield and storm hydrographs in evergreen mixed forests, western New Zealand. In: *Proc. on*
961 *Influence of Man on the Hydrological Regime with Special 22 Reference to Representative and*
962 *Experimental Basins, Helsinki, June 1980. I.A.H.S.- A.I.S.H. Publ. No. 130*, 119—127.

963 Peel, M., McMahon, T., Finlayson, B., 2010. Vegetation impact on mean annual evapotranspiration at
964 a global catchment scale. *Water Resour. Res.*, 46, W09508.

965 Peña-Arancibia, J.L., van Dijk, A., Guerschman, J.P., Mulligan, M., Bruijnzeel, L.A., McVicar, T.R.,
966 2012. Detecting changes in streamflow after partial woodland clearing in two large catchments in
967 the seasonal tropics. *J. Hydrol.* 416-417, 60-71.

968 Pereira, H.C., 1962. Hydrological effects of changes in land use in some South African catchment areas.
969 *East Afr. Agric. For. J. Spec. Iss.* 27,131.

970 Popp, A., Vogel, M., Blaum, N., Jeltsch, F., 2009. Scaling up ecohydrological processes: Role of
971 surface water flow in water-limited landscapes. *J. Geophys. Res.* 114(G4), 1-10.

972 Porporato, A., Laio, F., Ridolfi, L., Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., 2001. Plants in water-controlled ecosystems:
973 active role in hydrologic processes and response to water stress: III. Vegetation water stress. *Adv.*

974 Water Res. 24(7), 695-705.

975 Pretzsch, H., Biber, P., Schütze, G., Uhl, E., Rötzer, T., 2014. Forest stand growth dynamics in Central
 976 Europe have accelerated since 1870. Nat. Commun. 5, 4967-4967.

977 Reinhart, K. G., Eschner, A. R., Trimble Jr., G. R., 1963. Effect on streamflow of four forest practices
 978 in the mountains of West Virginia. U.S. Forest Serv. Northeast Forest Expt. Sta. Res., Paper NE-1,
 979 59.

980 Renner, M., Brust, K., Schwärzel, K., Volk, M., Bernhofer, C., 2014. Separating the effects of changes
 981 in land cover and climate: a hydro-meteorological analysis of the past 60yr in Saxony, Germany.
 982 Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 10(1), 8537-8580

983 Rich, L.R., and Gottfried, G.J., 1976. Water yields resulting from treatments on the Workman Creek
 984 experimental watersheds in central Arizona. Water Resour. Res. 12(5), 1053-1060.

985 Rich, L.R., Thompson, J.R., 1974. Watershed management in Arizona's mixed conifer forests: the
 986 status of our knowledge. U.S. Dep. Agric., For. Serv., Res. Pap., RM-130, 15.

987 Ring, P.J., Fisher, I.H., 1985. The effects of changes in land use on runoff from large catchments in the
 988 upper Macintyre Valley, NSW. In: Hydrology and Water Resources Symposium, Sydney, 14 -16
 989 May, 1985, the Institution of Engineers, Australia, National Conference Publication 85/2, 153-158.

990 Robinson, M., 1993. Impacts of plantation forestry on streamflow regimes--a case study. In: Proc. 4th
 991 National Hydrology Symposium, Cardiff, 13-16 September 1993. British Hydrological Society,
 992 London, pp. 2.41-2.45.

993 Robinson, M., Dupeyrat, A., 2005. Effects of commercial timber harvesting on streamflow regimes in
 994 the Plynlimon catchments, mid-Wales. Hydrol. Process. 19, 1213–1226.

995 Robinson, M., Gannon, B. and Schuch, M., 1991. A comparison of the hydrology of moorland under

- 996 natural conditions, agricultural use and forestry. Hydrol. Sci. J. 36, 565-577.
- 997 Roche, M. A., 1981. Watershed investigations for development of forest resources of the Amazon
- 998 region in French Guyana. Tropical agricultural hydrology, 75-82.
- 999 Rodriguez Suarez, J.A., et al., 2014. Assessing the influence of afforestation with Eucalyptus globulus
- 1000 on hydrological response from a small catchment in northwestern Spain using the HBV
- 1001 hydrological model. Hydrolo Process. 28(22), 5561-5572.
- 1002 Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., D'Odorico, P., Laio, F., 2007. Challenges in humid land ecohydrology:
- 1003 interactions of water table and unsaturated zone with climate, soil, and vegetation. Water Resour
- 1004 Res. 43.
- 1005 Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., Porporato, A., 2005. Ecohydrology of Water-Controlled Ecosystems. Cambridge,
- 1006 UK: Cambridge University Press, 460: W09301.
- 1007 Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., Porporato, A., Laio, F., Ridolfi, L., 2001. Plants in water-controlled
- 1008 ecosystems: active role in hydrologic processes and response to water stress: I. Scope and general
- 1009 outline Adv. Water Resour. 24(7), 695–705
- 1010 Rothacher, J., 1970. Increases in water yield following clear-cut logging in the Pacific Northwest.
- 1011 Water Resour. Res. 6(2), 653-658.
- 1012 Rowe, P.B., 1963. Streamflow increases after removing woodland riparian vegetation from a southern
- 1013 California watershed. J. For. 61, 365—370.
- 1014 Ruprecht, J.K., Schofield, N.J., Crombie, D.S., Vertessy, R.A., Stoneman, G.L., 1991. Early
- 1015 hydrological response to intense forest thinning in southwestern Australia. J. Hydrol. 127 (1/4),
- 1016 261–277.
- 1017 Sahin, V., Hall, M. J., 1996. The effects of afforestation and deforestation on water yields. J. Hydrol.

1018 178(1), 293-309.

1019 Samraj, P., Sharda, V.N., Chinnamani, S., Lakshmanan, V., Haldorai, B., 1998. Hydrological
 1020 behaviour of the Nilgiri sub-watersheds as affected by bluegum plantations. Part I. The annual
 1021 water balance. J. Hydrol. 103, 335–345.

1022 Scanlon, B. R., Levitt, D. G., et al., 2005. Ecological controls on water-cycle response to climate
 1023 variability in deserts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 102(17), 6033-6038.

1024 Schindler, D., 2001. The cumulative effects of climate warming and other human stresses on Canadian
 1025 freshwaters in the new millennium. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 58, 18-29.

1026 Schneider, J., Ayer, G.R., 1961. Effect of reforestation on streamflow in central New York. U.S. Geol.
 1027 Surv. Water-Supply Pap. 1602, 61.

1028 Schnorbus, M., Alila, Y., 2013. Peak flow regime changes following forest harvesting in a
 1029 snow-dominated basin: Effects of harvest area, elevation, and channel connectivity. Water Resour.
 1030 Res. 49, doi:10.1029/2012WR011901.

1031 Schwinning, S., Sala, O.E., 2004. Hierarchy of responses to resource pulses in and and semi-arid
 1032 ecosystems. Oecologia. 141, 211–20.

1033 Scott, D.F., 1993. The hydrological effects of fire in South African mountain catchments. J. Hydrol. 150,
 1034 409-432.

1035 Serengil, Y., Gökbülak, F., Özhan, S., Hizal, A., Şengönül, K., Balcı, A.N., Özyuvacı, N. , 2007.
 1036 Hydrological impacts of a slight thinning treatment in a deciduous forest ecosystem in Turkey. J.
 1037 Hydrol. 333, 569–577.

1038 Silveira, L., Alonso, J., 2009. Runoff modifications due to the conversion of natural grasslands to
 1039 forests in a large basin in Uruguay. Hydrol. Process. 23, 320–329.

1040 Siriwardena, L., Finlayson, B., McMahon, T., 2006. The impact of land use change on catchment
1041 hydrology in large catchments: The Comet River, Central Queensland, Australia. *J. Hydrol.*
1042 326(1-4), 199-214.

1043 Sivapalan, M., Thompson S.E., Harman, C.J., Basu, N.B., Kumar, P., 2011. Water cycle dynamics in a
1044 changing environment: Improving predictability through synthesis. *Water Resour. Res.* 47(10),
1045 599-609

1046 Stednick, J. D., 2008. Long-term streamflow changes following timber harvesting. In: Stednick, J.
1047 D.(Eds.), *Hydrological and Biological Responses to Forest Practices: The Alsea Watershed Study*,
1048 Springer, New York, 139– 155.

1049 Stednick, J.D., 1996. Monitoring the effects of timber harvest on annual water yield. *J. Hydrol.*
1050 176(1-4), 79-95.

1051 Stonesifer, C.S., 2007. Modeling the Cumulative Effects of Forest Fire on Watershed Hydrology: A
1052 Post-fire Application of the Distributed Hydrology-Soil-Vegetation Model (DHSVM). *J.*
1053 *Hydrol.*10, 282-290.

1054 Storck, P., Bowling, L., Wetherbee, P., Lettenmaier, D., 1998. Application of a GIS-based distributed
1055 hydrology model for prediction of forest harvest effects on peak streamflow in the Pacific
1056 Northwest.*Hydrol. Process.*12, 889-904.

1057 Sun, G., Zuo, C., Liu, S., Liu, M., McNulty, S. G., Vose, J. M., 2008. Watershed evapotranspiration
1058 increased due to changes in vegetation composition and structure under a subtropical climate. *J.*
1059 *Am. Water Resour. Assoc.* 44, 164–1175

1060 Sun, G., Zhou, G., Zhang, Z., Wei, X., McNulty, S., Vose, J., 2006. Potential water yield reduction due
1061 to forestation across China. *J.Hydrol.* 328, 548–558

1062 Swank, W.T., Helvey, J.D., 1970. Reduction of stream flow increases following regrowth of clearcut
 1063 hardwood forests. In: Symp. on Results of Research on Representative and Experimental Basins,
 1064 Wellington, 1970, Int. Assoc. Hydrol. Sci., Publ. No. 96, 346—360.

1065 Swank, W.T., Douglass, J.E., 1974. Streamflow greatly reduced by converting deciduous hardwood
 1066 stands to pine. *Science* 185, 857—859.

1067 Swank, W.T. and Miner, N.H., 1968. Conversion of hardwood-covered watersheds to white pine
 1068 reduces water yield. *Water Resour. Res.* 4(5), 947-954.

1069 Swank, W.T., Swift Jr., L.W., Douglas, J.E., 1988. Streamflow changes associated with forest cutting,
 1070 species conversions, and natural disturbances. In: *Forest Hydrology and Ecology at Coweeta*,
 1071 *Ecological Studies* 66, edited by: Swank, W. T. and Crossley, D. A., Springer, New York,
 1072 297–312.

1073 Swann, A.L., Hoffman, F.M., Koven, C.D., Randerson, J.T., 2016. Plant responses to increasing
 1074 CO₂ reduce estimates of climate impacts on drought severity. *Proceedings of the National*
 1075 *Academy of Science of the United States of America.* 113(36).

1076 Swift Jr., L.W., Swank, W.T. , 1980. Long term responses of streamflow following clearcutting and
 1077 regrowth. In: *Syrup. on Influence of Man on the Hydrological Regime*, Helsinki, June
 1078 23--26. T.V.A. (Tennessee Valley Authority) , 1961. Forest cover improvement influences upon
 1079 hydrologic characteristics of White Hollow watershed 1935-1958, Tenn. Valley Auth., Cookeville,
 1080 Tenn., Div. Water Control Plann., Hydraul. Data Br., 104.

1081 Thanapakpawin P., Richey, J., Thomas, D., Rodda, S., Campbell, B., Logsdon, M., 2007. Effects of
 1082 landuse change on the hydrologic regime of the Mae Chaem river basin, NW Thailand. *J. Hydrol.*
 1083 334, 215-230.

1084 Tomer, M.D., Schilling, K.E., 2009. A simple approach to distinguish land-use and climate-change
1085 effects on watershed hydrology. *J. Hydrol.* 376, 24-33.

1086 Troch, P. A., Martinez, G. F., Pauwels, V. R. N., Durcik, M., Sivapalan, M., Harman, C., Brooks, P. D.,
1087 Gupta, H., Huxman, T., 2009. Climate and vegetation water use efficiency at catchment scales.
1088 *Hydrol. Process.* 23(16), 2409–2414.

1089 Troendle, C.A., Olsen, W.K. , 1994. Potential Effects of Timber Harvest and Water Management on
1090 Streamflow Dynamics and Sediment Transport, GTR RM-247. United States Department of
1091 Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station, Fort Collins,
1092 CO, 34-41.

1093 Troendle, C.A., 1988. Effect of partial cutting and thinning on the water balance of the subalpine forest,
1094 In: Schmidt, Wyman C. [Comp.]. *Proceedings of future forests of the mountain west: A stand*
1095 *culture symposium*. Sept. 29-Oct. 3, 1986. Missoula, MT. Int.-GTR-243. Ogden, UT. USDA
1096 Forest Service. Intermountain Research Station. 402.

1097 Troendle, C.A., King, R.M., 1985. The effect of timber harvest on the Fool Creek watershed, 30 years
1098 later. *Water Resour. Res.* 21(12), 1915–1922.

1099 Troendle, C.A., King, R.M., 1987. The effect of partial and clearcutting on streamflow at Deadhorse
1100 Creek, Colorado. *J. Hydrol.* 90, 145–157.

1101 Tuteja, N.K., Vaze, J., Teng, J., Mutendeudzi, M., 2007. Partitioning the effects of pine plantations and
1102 climate variability on runoff from a large catchment in southeastern Australia. *Water Resour. Res.*
1103 43(8), W08415.

1104 Ukkola, A.M., Prentice, I.C., Keenan, T.F., Dijk, A.I.J.M.V., Viney, N.R., Myneni, R.B., Bi, J., 2015.
1105 Reduced streamflow in water-stressed climates consistent with CO₂ effects on vegetation. *Nature*

- 1106 Clim. Change. 6(1).
- 1107 Van der Zel, D.W., Kruger, F.J., 1975. Results of the multiple catchment experiments of the
- 1108 Jonkershoek Research Station, South Africa, II. Influence of protection of fynbos on stream
- 1109 discharge in Langrivier. For. S. Afr. 16, 13-18.
- 1110 Van Dijk, A.I.J.M., Hairsine, P.B., Arancibia, J.P., Dowling, T.I., 2007. Reforestation, water
- 1111 availability and stream salinity: A multi-scale analysis in the Murray-Darling Basin. Australia.
- 1112 For. Ecol. Manage. 251, 94-109.
- 1113 Van Haveren, B.P., 1988. A reevaluation of the Wagon Wheel Gap Forest Watershed
- 1114 Experiment. Forest. Sci. 34(1), 208-214.
- 1115 Van Lill, W.S., Kruger, F.J., Van Wyk, D.B., 1980. The effect of afforestation with *Eucalyptus grandis*
- 1116 hill ex maiden and *Pinus patula* Schlecht. Et Cham. on streamflow from experimental catchments at
- 1117 Mokobulaan, Transvaal. J. Hydrol. 48 (1/2), 107-118.
- 1118 van Dijk, A. I. J. M., Peña-Arancibia, J. L., Bruijnzeel, L. A., 2012. Land cover and water yield:
- 1119 inference problems when comparing catchments with mixed land cover. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.
- 1120 16(9) 16, Issue 9, 2012, pp.3461-3473
- 1121 VanShaar, J.R., Haddeland, I., Lettenmaier, D.P., 2002. Effects of land cover changes on the
- 1122 hydrological response of interior Columbia River basin forested catchments. Hydrol. Process.
- 1123 16(13), 2499-2520.
- 1124 Vose, J.M., Sun, G., Ford, C.R., Bredemeier, M., Otsuki, K., Wei, X., Zhang, Z., Zhang, L., 2011.
- 1125 Forest ecohydrological research in the 21st century: what are the critical needs? Ecohydrology
- 1126 4(2), 146-158.
- 1127 Wang, D., Hejazi, M., 2011. Quantifying the relative contribution of the climate and direct human

1128 impacts on mean annual streamflow in the contiguous United States. *Water Resour. Res.* 47(10),
 1129 411.

1130 Wang, Q., Xiao, Q., Liu, C., Wang, K., Ye, M., Lei, A., Song, X., Kohata, K., 2012. Effect of
 1131 reforestation on nitrogen and phosphorus dynamics in the catchment ecosystems of subtropical
 1132 China: the example of the Hanjiang River basin. *J. Sci. Food Agr.* 92, 1119-1129.

1133 Wang, S., Zhang, Z., McVicar, T., Guo, J., Tang, Y., Yao, A., 2013. Isolating the impacts of climate
 1134 change and land use change on decadal streamflow variation: Assessing three complementary
 1135 approaches. *J. Hydrol.* 507, 63-74.

1136 Wang, S., Zhang, Z., Sun, G., McNulty, S. G., Zhang, M., 2009. Detecting water yield variability due to
 1137 the small proportional land use and land cover changes in a watershed on the Loess Plateau,
 1138 China. *Hydrol. Process.* 23, 3083-3092.

1139 Waterloo, M. J., Schellekens, J., Bruijnzeel, L. A., Rawaqa, T. T., 2007. Changes in catchment runoff
 1140 after harvesting and burning of a *Pinus caribaea* plantation in Viti Levu, Fiji. *Forest Ecol. Manag.*
 1141 251, 31-44.

1142 Watson, F., Vertessy, R.A., McMahon, T.A., Rhodes, B., Watson, I., 2001. Improved methods to
 1143 assess water yield changes from paired-catchment studies: application to the MaroonDAH
 1144 catchments. *Forest. Ecol. Manag.* 143, 189–204.

1145 Webb, A. A., 2009. Streamflow response to *Pinus* plantation harvesting: Canobolas State forest,
 1146 southeastern Australia. *Hydrol. Process.* 23(12), 1679–1689. doi:10.1002/hyp.7301

1147 Webb, A. A., Kathuria, A. 2012. Response of streamflow to afforestation and thinning at Red Hill,
 1148 Murray Darling Basin, Australia. *J. Hydrol.* 412,133-140.

1149 Webb, A.A., Jarrett, B.W., 2013. Hydrological response to wildfire, integrated logging and dry mixed

1150 species eucalypt forest regeneration: The Yambulla experiment. *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 306,
 1151 107-117

1152 Webb, A.A., Jarrett, B.W., Turner, L.M., 2007. Effects of plantation forest harvesting on water quality
 1153 and quantity: Canobolas State forest, NSW. In: *Proceedings of the 5th Australian Stream*
 1154 *Management Conference. Australian rivers: Making a difference*, edited by: Wilson, A., Deehan,
 1155 R., Watts, R., Page, K., Bownan, K., and Curtis, A., Charles Sturt University, Thurgoona,
 1156 Australia.

1157 Wei, A., Davidson, G., 1998. Impacts of large-scale timber harvesting on the hydrology of the Bowron
 1158 River Watershed. In: *Proceedings of the 51st Annual CWRA Conference, Mountains to Sea:*
 1159 *Human Interaction with the Hydrologic Cycle*, Victoria, Canada, 45-52.

1160 Wei, X., Liu, S., Zhou, G., Wang, C., 2005. Hydrological processes in major types of Chinese forest.
 1161 *Hydrol. Process.* 19, 63–75.

1162 Wei, X., Zhang, M., 2010a. Research methods for assessing the impacts of forest disturbance on
 1163 hydrology at large-scale watersheds. In: Chen, J., Chao, L., Laforteza, R. (Eds.), *Landscape*
 1164 *Ecology and Forest Management: Challenges and Solutions in a Changing Globe.* Higher Educ.
 1165 Press, Beijing & Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp119–147.

1166 Wei, X., Zhang, M., 2010b. Quantifying streamflow change caused by forest disturbance at a large
 1167 spatial scale: A single watershed study. *Water Resour. Res.* 46(12), W12525.

1168 Whitaker, A., Alila, Y., Beckers, J., Toews, D., 2002. Evaluating peak flow sensitivity to clear-cutting
 1169 in different elevation bands of a snowmelt-dominated mountainous catchment. *Water Resour. Res.*
 1170 38(9), 1172

1171 Wilk, J., Andersson, L., Plermkamom, V., 2001. Hydrological impacts of forest conversion to

1172 agriculture in a large river basin in northeast Thailand. *Hydrol. Process.* 15(14), 2729-2748.

1173 Williams, C.A., Albertson, J.D., 2005. Contrasting short- and long-time scale effects of vegetation
 1174 dynamics on water and carbon fluxes in water-limited ecosystems. *Water Resour. Res.* 41(6),
 1175 294-311.

1176 Williamson, D. R., Stokes, R. A., Ruprecht, J. K., 1987. Response of input and output of water and
 1177 chloride to clearing for agriculture. *J. Hydrol.* 94, 1-28.

1178 Winkler, R., Spittlehouse, D., Boon, S., Zimonick, B., 2015. Forest disturbance effects on snow and
 1179 water yield in interior British Columbia. *Hydrol. Res.* 46, 521-532.

1180 Wu, F., et al., 2015. Water Yield Variation due to Forestry Change in the Head-Water Area of Heihe
 1181 River Basin, Northwest China. *Adv. Meteorol.* 2015, 1-8.

1182 Wu, W., Hall, C. A. S., Scatena, F. N., 2007. Modelling the impact of recent land-cover changes on the
 1183 stream flows in northeastern Puerto Rico. *Hydrol. Process.* 21, 2944–2956.

1184 Wohl, E., Barros, A., Brunsell, N., Chappell, N.A., Coe, M., Giambelluca, T., Goldsmith, S., Harmon,
 1185 R., Hendrickx, J., Juvik, J., McDonnell, J.J., Ogden, F., 2012. The hydrology of the humid tropics.
 1186 *Nat. Clim. Chang.* 2(9), 655-662. DOI: 10.1038/nclimate1556.

1187 Xiao, J., Sun, G. Chen, J., Chen, H., Chen, S., Dong, G., Gao, S., Guo, H., Guo, J., Han, S., Kato, T., Li,
 1188 Y., Lin, G., Lu, W., Ma, M., McNulty, S., Shao, C., Wang, X., Xie, X., Zhang, X., Zhang, Z.,
 1189 Zhao, B., Zhou, G., Zhou, J., 2013. Carbon fluxes, evapotranspiration, and water use efficiency of
 1190 terrestrial ecosystems in China. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology.* 182–183, 76-90.

1191 Xu, X., Liu, W., Scanlon, B.R., Zhang, L., Pan, M., 2013. Local and global factors controlling
 1192 water-energy balances within the Budyko framework. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 40, 6123–6129.

1193 Yan, J., Wang, W., Zhou, C., Li, K., Wang, S., 2014. Responses of water yield and dissolved inorganic

1194 carbon export to forest recovery in the Houzhai karst basin, southwest China. Hydrol.process.
 1195 28,2082–2090.

1196 Yang, D., Shao, W., Yeh, P.J.F., Yang, H., Kanae, S., Oki, T.,2009. Impact of vegetation cover age on
 1197 regional water balance in the nonhumid regions of China, Water Resour. Res., 45, W00A14,
 1198 doi:10.1029/2008WR006948.

1199 Yang, Y.T., Donohue, R.J., McVicar, T.R., Roderick, M.L. and Beck, H.E., 2016. Long-term CO2
 1200 fertilization increases vegetation productivity and has little effect on hydrological partitioning in
 1201 tropical rainforests. J Geophys Res Biogeosci. 121(8), 2125-2140, doi:10.1002/2016JG003475

1202 Yao, Y., et al., 2015. Effect of reforestation on annual water yield in a large watershed in northeast
 1203 China. J. Forest. Res. 26(3), 697-702.

1204 Yu, X., A. Lamacova, C. Duffy, P. Kram, J. Hruska, T. White, and G. Bhatt. 2015. Modelling
 1205 long-term water yield effects of forest management in a Norway spruce forest. Hydrol. Sci. J. 60,
 1206 174-191.

1207 Zhang, M., Wei, X., 2012a.The cumulative effects of forest disturbance on streamflow in a large
 1208 watershed in the central interior of British Columbia, Canada. Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. 16,
 1209 2021–2034.

1210 Zhang, M., Wei, X., 2014. Contrasted hydrological responses to forest harvesting in two large
 1211 neighboring watersheds in snow hydrology dominant environment: implications for forest
 1212 management and future forest hydrology studies. Hydro.Process.doi: 10.1002/hyp.10107.

1213 Zhang, M., Wei, X., Sun, P., Liu, S., 2012b. The effect of forest harvesting and climatic variability on
 1214 runoff in a large watershed: the case study in the Upper Minjiang River of Yangtze River basin. J.
 1215 Hydrol. 464-465,1-11.

1216 Zhang, S., Yang, H., Yang, D., Jayawardena, A.W., 2016. Quantifying the effect of vegetation change
1217 on the regional water balance within the Budyko framework. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 43(3), 1019.

1218 Zhang, X., Zhang, L., Zhao, J., Rustomji, P., Hairsine, P., 2008a. Responses of streamflow to changes
1219 in climate and land use/cover in the Loess Plateau, China. *Water Resour. Res.* 44 (7), W00A07.

1220 Zhang, Y., Liu, S., Wei, X., Liu, J., Zhang, G., 2008b. Potential impact of afforestation on water yield
1221 in the subalpine region of Southwestern China. *J. Am. Water Res. Assoc.* 44 (5), 1144–1153.

1222 Zhang, X.K., Fan J.H., Cheng G.W., 2015. Modelling the effects of land-use change on runoff and
1223 sediment yield in the Weicheng River watershed, Southwest China. *J. Mt. Sci.* 12(2), 434-445

1224 Zhao, F., Zhang, L., Xu, Z., Scott, D.F., 2010. Evaluation of methods for estimating the effects of
1225 vegetation change and climate variability on streamflow. *Water Resour. Res.* 46(3), W03505.

1226 Zhao, G.J., Mu, X.M., Tian, P., Wang, F., Gao, P., 2013. Climate changes and their impacts on water
1227 resources in semiarid regions: a case study of the Wei River basin, China. *Int. J. Exp. Diabetes Res.*
1228 27, 3852–3863.

1229 Zheng, H., Zhang, L., Zhu, R., Liu, C., Sato, Y., Fukushima, Y., 2009. Responses of streamflow to
1230 climate and land surface change in the headwaters of the Yellow River Basin. *Water Resour. Res.*
1231 45(7), W00A19.

1232 Zhou, G., Wei, X., Luo, Y., Zhang, M., Li, Y., Qiao, Y., Liu, H., Wang, C., 2010. Forest recovery and
1233 river discharge at the regional scale of Guangdong Province, China. *Water Resour. Res.* 46,
1234 W09503.

1235 Zhou, G., Wei, X., Chen, X., Zhou, P., Liu, X., Xiao, Y., Sun, G., Scott, D., Zhou, S., Han, L., Su, Y.,
1236 2015. Global pattern for the effect of climate and land cover on water yield. *Nat. Commun.* 6,
1237 5918.

1238 Zhou, Y., Zhang, Y., Vaze, J., Lane, P., Xu, S., 2015. Impact of bushfire and climate variability on
1239 streamflow from forested catchments in southeast Australia. Hydrol. Sci. J., 1-21
1240

Figure 1

1 **Figures**

2

3

4

5

6 **Figure 2 The relationship between forest coverage change and annual runoff change in a)**
7 **large ($\geq 1000 \text{ km}^2$) and b) small watersheds ($< 1000 \text{ km}^2$)**

8

9

Forest Cover Loss: $S_f = -0.04 \cdot \log_{10} A + 0.68$, $R^2 = 0.01$ ($p=0.20$) Kendall's tau=-0.08 ($p=0.15$)
 Forest Cover Gain: $S_f = 0.05 \cdot \log_{10} A + 0.62$, $R^2 = 0.03$ ($p=0.13$) Kendall's tau=-0.1 ($p=0.18$)
 A: watershed area (km^2)

Forest Cover Loss: $S_f = -0.177 \cdot \log_{10} A + 1.22$, $R^2 = 0.09$ ($p=0.09$) Kendall's tau=-0.04 ($p>0.05$)
 Forest Cover Gain: $S_f = -0.34 \cdot \log_{10} A + 2.28$, $R^2 = 0.12$ ($p=0.05$) Kendall's tau=-0.24 ($p=0.05$)
 A: watershed area (km^2)

Forest Cover Loss: $S_f = 0.02 \cdot \log_{10} A + 0.71$, $R^2 = 0.001$ ($p=0.81$) Kendall's tau=-0.06 ($p>0.05$)
 Forest Cover Gain: $S_f = -0.04 \cdot \log_{10} A + 0.66$, $R^2 = 0.01$ ($p=0.47$) Kendall's tau=-0.1 ($p>0.05$)
 A: watershed area (km^2)

Figure 3 The relationship between watershed size and the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change across a) all spatial scales b) in large ($\geq 1000 \text{ km}^2$) and c) in small watersheds ($< 1000 \text{ km}^2$)

Figure 4 The relationship between climatic gradient and the sensitivity of annual runoff response to forest cover change in a) large ($\geq 1000 \text{ km}^2$) and b) small watersheds ($< 1000 \text{ km}^2$)

Figure 5 A comparison of the sensitivity of annual runoff response to forest cover change grouped by energy- limited (EL), equitant (EQ), and water-limited (WL) environments in a) large ($\geq 1000 \text{ km}^2$) and b) small watersheds ($< 1000 \text{ km}^2$)

Figure 6 A comparison of the sensitivities of annual runoff to forest cover change in a) large and b) small watersheds dominated by different forest types (BF, broadleaf forest, CF, coniferous forest, MF, mixed forest)

Figure 7A comparison of the sensitivities of annual runoff to forest cover change in a) large and b) small watersheds dominated by different hydrological regimes (RD, rain-dominated, SD, snow-dominated)

Tables

Table 1 The number of selected watersheds across different categories of forest change, forest type and hydrological regime

Watershed Size	Forest Type			Hydrological Regime		Climate Type			Forest Change Type	
	CF	BF	MF	RD	SD	EL	EQ	WL	FG	FL
Small	76	150	26	195	57	82	120	50	70	182
Large	11	34	16	50	11	14	22	23	31	30

BF, CF, and MF are broadleaf, coniferous, and mixed forests, respectively. EL, EQ, WL are energy-limited, equitant and water-limited watersheds, respectively. RD, and SD are rain-dominated and snow-dominated watersheds, respectively. FCG and FCL are forest cover gain and forest cover loss, respectively.

Table 2 Statistical tests for the effect of climate type on the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change

Climate Type	Watershed Type	Kolmogorov–Smirnov test			Mann-Whitney U test	
		Max Neg Difference	Max Pos Difference	p	Z	P
EL-EQ	Large	-0.20	0.30	>0. 1	0.7	0.51
	Small	<0.22	0.01	<0. 02**	-2.4	<0. 02**
EL-WL	Large	-0.60	0.00	<0. 005**	-2.9	0.004**
	Small	-0.37	0.11	<0. 001**	-2.8	<0. 006**
EQ-WL	Large	-0.57	0.04	<0. 005**	-3.8	0.0002**
	Small	-0.23	0.11	0.07	-1.56	0.12

EL, EQ, WL are energy-limited, equitant and water-limited watersheds, respectively. ** significant at $\alpha=0.05$

Table 3 Statistical tests for the effect of forest type on the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change

Forest Type	Watershed Type	Kolmogorov–Smirnov test			Mann-Whitney U test	
		Max Neg Difference	Max Pos Difference	p	Z	P
BF-CF	Large	-0.40	0.00	>0.1	-2.3	0.02**
	Small	-0.03	0.09	>0.1	-0.57	0.57
CF-MF	Large	-0.57	0.00	<0.05**	-3.2	0.001**
	Small	-0.35	0.00	<0.05**	-2.2	0.03**
MF-BF	Large	-0.21	0.29	>0.10	0.83	0.40
	Small	-0.32	0.00	<0.05**	-2.4	0.02**

BF, CF, and MF are broadleaf, coniferous, and mixed forests, respectively. ** significant at $\alpha=0.05$

Table 4 Statistical tests for the effect of hydrological regime on the sensitivity of annual runoff to forest cover change

Hydrological Regime	Watershed Type	Kolmogorov–Smirnov test			Mann-Whitney U test	
		Max Neg Difference	Max Pos Difference	p	Z	P
RD-SD	Large	-0.07	0.43	0.05**	2.40	0.01**
	Small	-0.07	0.13	>0.1	0.76	0.45

RD, and SD are rain-dominated and snow-dominated watersheds, respectively. ** significant at $\alpha=0.05$