An overview of current applications, challenges, and future trends in distributed process-based models in hydrology¹

Simone Fatichi¹, Enrique R. Vivoni², Fred L. Ogden³, Valeriy Y. Ivanov⁴, Benjamin Mirus⁵,
David Gochis⁶, Charles W. Downer⁷, Matteo Camporese⁸, Jason H. Davison⁹, Brian Ebel¹⁰,
Norm Jones¹¹, Jongho Kim⁴, Giuseppe Mascaro¹², Richard Niswonger¹³, Pedro Restrepo¹⁴,
Riccardo Rigon¹⁵, Chaopeng Shen¹⁶, Mauro Sulis¹⁷, and David Tarboton¹⁸

¹Institute of Environmental Engineering, ETH Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

²School of Earth and Space Exploration & School of Sustainable Engineering and the Built
Environment, Arizona State University, Tempe, Arizona, USA

³Department of Civil & Architectural Engineering, University of Wyoming, Laramie,
Wyoming, USA

⁴Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor,
MI, USA

⁵U.S. Geological Survey, Geologic Hazards Science Center, Golden, CO, USA

⁶National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado USA

⁷Hydrologic Systems Branch, Coastal and Hydraulic Laboratory, Engineer Research and
Development Center, Vicksburg, Mississippi, USA

⁸Department of Civil, Environmental and Architectural Engineering, University of Padua,
Padua, Italy

⁹Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Waterloo, Waterloo,
Ontario, Canada

¹⁰U.S. Geological Survey, National Research Program, Denver, CO, USA

¹¹Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah, USA

¹²Julie Anne Wrigley Global Institute of Sustainability, Arizona State University, Tempe,
AZ, USA

¹³U.S. Geological Survey National Research Program, Menlo Park, CA, USA

¹⁴North Central River Forecast Center, NOAA National Weather Service, Chanhassen, MN
USA

¹⁵Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile, Ambientale e Meccanica e CUDAM, Università di
Trento, Trento, Italy

¹⁶Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Pennsylvania State University,
University Park, Pennsylvania, USA.

¹⁷Meteorological Institute, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

¹⁸Civil and Environmental Engineering, Utah State University, Logan, UT, USA

---

*DISCLAIMER: This draft manuscript is distributed solely for purposes of scientific peer review. Its content is deliberative and predecisional, so it must not be disclosed or released by reviewers. Because the manuscript has not yet been approved for publication by the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), it does not represent any official USGS finding or policy.

Corresponding author: Simone Fatichi,
Institute of Environmental Engineering, ETH Zurich, Switzerland
Stefano Franscini-Platz 5, HIL D 23.2, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland
Tel.: +41-44-6324118, Fax: +41-44-3331539
simone.fatichi@ifu.baug.ethz.ch

Submitted revised version to Journal of Hydrology

[8 February 2016]

Abstract

Process-based hydrological models have a long history dating back to the 1960s. Criticized by some as over-parameterized, overly complex, and difficult to use, a more nuanced view is that these tools are necessary in many situations and, in a certain class of problems, they are the most appropriate type of hydrological model. This is especially the case in situations where knowledge of flow paths or distributed state variables and/or preservation of physical constraints is important. Examples of this include: spatiotemporal variability of soil moisture, groundwater flow and runoff generation, sediment and contaminant transport, or when feedbacks among various Earth's system processes or understanding the impacts of climate non-stationarity are of primary concern. These are situations where process-based models excel and other models are unverifiable. This article presents this pragmatic view in the context of existing literature to justify the approach where applicable and necessary. We review how improvements in data availability, computational resources and algorithms have made detailed hydrological simulations a reality. Avenues for the future of process-based hydrological models are presented suggesting their use as virtual laboratories, for design purposes, and with a powerful treatment of uncertainty.

Keywords: modeling, interdisciplinary, watershed processes, virtual experiments, change assessments, natural and built environment.

1. Introduction

The development of process-based watershed models based on the concepts of observability and scalability of physical hydrological processes has roots that go back almost fifty years with the works of Crawford and Linsley (1966) and Freeze and Harlan (1969). Despite the success of the approach in subsequent decades (e.g., Stephenson and Freeze 1974, Abbott et al. 1986), initial optimism has increasingly been challenged by the scientific community (e.g., Beven 1989). The idea that a mathematical model can provide accurate results across different climates, watersheds, and hydrological extreme conditions based on physical laws and parameters determined *a priori* has been considered a “Hydrologic El Dorado” or an unachievable goal (Woolhiser 1996, Grayson et al. 1992). Furthermore, the challenges imposed by hydrological process non-linearity, temporal and spatial scale dependence, system observability and heterogeneity, and parameter equifinality, among other issues, have led to questioning the usefulness of the approach (e.g., Beven 1989, 2001, Beven and Cloke 2012) and to proposals of alternatives (e.g., Beven 2002, Sivapalan 2003, McDonnell et al. 2007, Wagener et al. 2007, Troch et al. 2008, Clark et al. 2011).

Concurrently, hydrology has gained a broad, international recognition as a geoscience moving from an appendix of textbooks on hydraulics and geology (Klemes 1986, 1988, Bras and Eagleson 1987) to a cornerstone discipline in the geosciences (Bras 2009). Process-based watershed modeling has played an important role in this development, in particular for interdisciplinary efforts such as ecohydrology, geomorphology, cryospheric science, and land-atmosphere interactions (e.g., Bras et al. 2003, Ebel and Loague 2006, Loague et al. 2006, Rigon et al. 2006, Maxwell et al. 2007, Ivanov et al. 2008a, Yetemen et al. 2015). Process-based modeling approaches are also believed to help provide predictions under a non-stationary climate (Huntington and Niswonger 2012, Sulis et al. 2012, Piras et al. 2014) and for land-use or land cover changes (van Roosmalen et al., 2009, Ogden et al. 2011,

Ogden and Stallard 2013, Ebel and Mirus 2014, Pierini et al. 2014, Niswonger et al. 2014). They are also becoming increasingly critical in short-term forecasting of geomorphological hazards or inundation dynamics and in situations where complex feedbacks, such as land-atmosphere coupling, are essential for accurate predictions. The renewed interest has been further boosted by the availability of computational resources and parallel computing approaches (e.g., Kollet et al. 2010, Vivoni et al. 2011, Gasper et al. 2014, Ogden et al., 2015a), as well as some degree of consensus in process representation (e.g., Maxwell et al. 2014).

In this article we review the value of distributed, process-based hydrological models to address a number of questions and highlight key challenges for future developments. We discuss the importance of this fundamental approach in hydrology in the context of existing literature, avoiding descriptions of models and mathematical formulations, which have been recently reviewed (Paniconi and Putti, 2015). In the coming decades, hydrological research and water resources management will depend more heavily on our collective capacity to use models based on physical principles since these are essential instruments to formulate and test scientific hypotheses, investigate spatiotemporal patterns, improve our understanding of hydrological responses to a wide range of potential forcings and changes, and ultimately apply this improved understanding to better manage our finite water resources.

2. Why process-based hydrological modeling?

First, we provide a rigorous definition, to the extent possible, of the main subject of this contribution to lay the foundation for the subsequent discussion. Extending the line of thought suggested by Brutsaert (2005), our definition links two notions: observability and scale. Specifically, a process-based (or equivalently physically-based) hydrological model is a mathematical formulation that explicitly represents and/or incorporates through assimilation

approaches, the hydrologic state variables and fluxes that are theoretically observable and can be used in the closure of assumed forms of the laws of conservation of mass, energy, and momentum at temporal scales characterizing the underlying physical processes. When applied spatially, from hillslope to continental scales, such a model can incorporate the space-time variability of the primary forcings, such as precipitation and radiation, and variations of land-surface properties (e.g., topography, soils, vegetation) at the sub-hillslope scale, while resolving the subsurface domain in horizontal and vertical directions in a way to describe heterogeneity at a scale equal to or larger than a representative elementary volume, for porous media (see Bachmat and Bear, 1987, for a definition of representative elementary volume).

We further generalize the definition of a process-based model to a set of process descriptions that are defined depending on the objectives at hand, be it rainfall-runoff partitioning, vadose zone water fluxes, land-atmosphere exchanges, above and below-ground non-isothermal dynamics, sediment or contaminant source identification, or a complete description of hydrological dynamics. A growing number of these descriptions target one or more processes including coupled subsurface and surface domains, land and atmospheric processes, dynamic vegetation, biogeochemistry, and solute transport, and are applied at the watershed and larger scales (e.g., Kuchment et al. 2000, VanderKwaak and Loague 2001, Downer and Ogden 2004, Panday and Huyakorn 2004, Tague and Band 2004, Bertoldi et al. 2006, Kollet and Maxwell 2006, 2008a, Pomeroy et al. 2007, Qu and Duffy 2007, Li et al. 2008, Ivanov et al. 2008a, Markstrom et al. 2008, Rinehart et al. 2008, Sudicky et al 2008, Ebel et al. 2008, 2009, Kumar et al. 2009, Drewry et al. 2010, Camporese et al. 2010, 2015, Shen and Phanikumar 2010, Mirus et al. 2011a, Maxwell et al. 2011, Weill et al. 2011, Vinogradov et al. 2011, Kolditz et al. 2012, Fatichi et al. 2012a,b, Kim et al. 2012a, 2013, Shen et al. 2014, Endrizzi et al. 2014, Niu et al. 2014a, Shrestha et al. 2014, Xiang et al. 2014, Hwang et al. 2015, representing a non-exhaustive list). Although some of those

process-based hydrological models include numerous distinct processes, the degree of complexity and quantity of processes represented varies between models and influences the suitability of a given model for specific applications.

2.1 Parsimony is convenient but complexity is often necessary

If simple explanations and parsimonious structures are able to highlight the emergence of general rules governing a system behavior, they are very often preferable to complex, high dimensional models. As suggested by Levin (1999) for ecological models: *“...simple models are a good place to start because their transparent features provide clarity. A simple model is something to build on. In its sleek lines and limited assumptions, it can provide a base for elaboration while capturing the essence of a variety of more detailed possible explanations.”*

Simple models have been very useful and elegant in describing large-scale patterns that have features of self-similarity (scale invariance) that can be explained mathematically using fractal theory as well as exhibit the self-organization of complex adaptive systems, such as landscapes (e.g., Mandelbrot 1967, Rodriguez-Iturbe and Rinaldo 1997, Rinaldo 2009), ecosystems (e.g., Levin 1999) or flood quantiles (e.g., Smith, 1992, Goodrich et al. 1997, Ogden and Dawdy, 2003). For example, Muneeppeerakul et al. (2008) was able to describe many features of fish biodiversity in the Mississippi-Missouri river network with a few parameters in a meta-community model. Other examples include the application of fundamental physical principles such as Maximum Entropy Production or Maximum Energy Dissipation to explain Earth system and hydrological processes (Kleidon et al. 2009, Wang and Bras 2009, 2010), as well as travel time approaches for reproducing coupled flow and transport processes (e.g., Benettin et al. 2013). These are examples where simplicity is useful and ‘beautiful’.

However, there are many cases in which the representation of complexity is necessary to understand how natural and human systems function and interact. Understanding the general organization of a system does not provide information on how its principal components interact nor does it elucidate the significance of its internal fluxes. The fact is that topology, or where things are located and how they are connected within a watershed, matters (Ogden et al. 2013). As a result, the complex and heterogeneous internal conditions of a watershed escape description by lumped models, which are often difficult to apply to solve within-catchment problems because they rarely describe internal states and fluxes that are observable. In many cases, multiple processes and numerous complex feedbacks lead to non-linear dynamics, instability, and tipping points (Pimm 1984) that can only be predicted with a sufficient level of complexity with preservation of mass, energy, and momentum budgets. Examples come from studies of climate change effects, surface-subsurface interactions, and biogeochemical dynamics (e.g., Maxwell and Kollet 2008, Manning et al. 2009, Tague 2009, Drewry et al. 2010).

Furthermore, the necessity for process-based models is evident when the interest lies in specific variables at the local scale that can be simulated accurately only with detailed representations, such as sediment and contaminant transport (e.g., Ewen et al. 2000, Sudicky et al. 2008, Robles-Morua et al. 2012, Kim et al. 2013, Pradhan et al. 2014, Johnson et al. 2013, Niu and Phanikumar 2015), predicting land management impacts (Fatichi et al. 2014, Pierini et al. 2014), landslide occurrence (Baum et al. 2008, Simoni et al. 2008, Shao et al. 2015, Anagnostopoulos et al 2015), snowpack evolution (e.g., Luce et al. 1998, Lehning et al. 2006, Endrizzi et al. 2014) or permafrost dynamics (e.g., Dall'Amico et al. 2011). Process-based models are also contributing to an improved understanding of different land-atmosphere coupling regimes that are highly sensitive to the spatial heterogeneity of land surface states as well as to the temporal dynamics of atmospheric conditions (Ek and Holtslag

2004, Maxwell and Kollet 2008, Santanello et al. 2011, Rihani et al. 2015, Bonetti et al. 2015, Davison et al. 2015). The use of well-constructed, process-based models should also produce emerging patterns at large scales that build up from the small-scale complexity of a watershed without tuning specific parameters, as supported by existing examples (e.g., Kollet and Maxwell 2008b, Vivoni et al. 2010, Kim et al. 2012b).

There is a widespread perception that multi-disciplinary process-based models with a high-dimensional parameter space produce results that can span an unreasonably large range of states (e.g., McDonnell et al. 2007). Therefore, the use of these models is often regarded as introducing several layers of uncertainty, including numerous, generally poorly known, parameter values describing different processes. Despite the large dimension of the parameter space, process-based models are less reliant on calibration or tuning because parameter values can be constrained directly by the physical relations or observable quantities (Figure 1). While this is not true for all parameters, many of them can be estimated with a given uncertainty from observations or expert considerations (e.g., Hubbard and Rubin 2000, Kowalsky et al. 2004, Gleeson et al. 2011, Gupta and Nearing, 2014, Bahremand 2015), therefore constraining *a priori* the range of model responses; some claim excessively (Mendoza et al. 2015). Spatial patterns of the inputs imposed by distributed datasets further constrain the basin-internal dynamics. Additionally, the number of sensitive parameters in spatially-distributed process-based models, per process accounted for, is often similar to simpler models (Pappas et al. 2013). Accounting for spatial heterogeneity can complicate parameter identification but surrogate information, such as soil type, land-use, and geology data, can be used to group similar regions into areas with similar parameter values (e.g., Samaniego et al. 2010).

Additional processes and components recently coupled to hydrological models (e.g., vegetation dynamics, soil biogeochemistry, sediment transport, solute and water-age,

atmospheric boundary layer, snow and soil thermal regime) not only increase the parameter space, but also the number of constraints on the system response. These constraints emerge from the model internal structure and dependencies, and the larger number of states and fluxes that can be compared to observations at commensurate scales, rather than from a formal model calibration. These additional simulated processes can involve observable variables and aid in constraining parameter values. For instance, correct simulations of leaf area index seasonal dynamics and stomatal aperture in an ecohydrological model are likely to result in an adequate simulation of canopy radiation exchanges and transpiration fluxes.

2.2 The need for virtual experimentation laboratories

Physics, meteorology, and geomorphology are all examples of fields where the use of model experiments or the definition of theories precedes the validation and test of the theory through observations. For example, the existence of black holes (Schwarzschild 1916, Kerr 1963) and cosmic microwave background (Gamow 1948) were theorized well before the actual observations were made. Other disciplines, for instance structural engineering, soil science and plant physiology, have relied to a larger extent on physical experiments and observations. Consequently, theories have typically followed experiments, though striking exceptions exist, such as the cohesion-tension theory for plant vascular transport (Tyree 1997, 2003). The field of hydrology has evolved with elements of these two categories. Field experiments in hydrology are difficult and expensive due to the relevant spatial scales, instrumentation requirements for measuring a wide variety of variables, especially in the subsurface, and the spatial heterogeneity of hydrological states and fluxes. Nonetheless, both intense field campaigns and long-term experimental watersheds have been conducted at various levels of comprehensiveness (e.g., Swank and Crossley 1988, Hornbeck et al. 1993, Blackmarr, 1995, Western and Grayson 1998, Jones 2000, Slaughter et al. 2001, Tromp-van

Meerveld et al. 2008, Ogden et al. 2013). Concurrently, since long-term precipitation and streamflow observations are available globally and have been a hallmark of hydrologic science, our community has also developed many models with the objective to match these sparse observations (see discussion in Loague and VanderKwaak 2004). As a result, hydrologic science has devoted a minor effort to virtual experiments that can be used to develop theories or propose hypotheses that can subsequently be tested in the field.

Yet process-based models can effectively serve as virtual laboratories to quantitatively address questions related to spatial patterns and temporal dynamics of coupled processes. With virtual experiments we refer to numerical simulations carried out to test a scientific hypothesis, which will be difficult or impossible to investigate otherwise. These are different from studies aimed at comparing models among themselves or validating model results. Early efforts were focused on identifying knowledge gaps, such as how soil unsaturated hydraulic properties and snow melt control runoff (Stephenson and Freeze 1974). More recently, virtual experiments have become widely used for hypothesis testing on hillslope-scale processes such as macropore flow (Weiler and McDonnell 2004), surface-subsurface interactions (Park et al. 2011), lateral connectivity (Mahmood and Vivoni 2011), nonlinear storage-discharge dynamics (Camporese et al., 2014b), and throughfall (Frasson and Krajewski 2013). Similarly, the advent of coupled-process models has allowed more sophisticated hypothesis development and testing of runoff generation across the surface/subsurface interface (Niedzialek and Ogden, 2004, Ebel et al. 2007a,b, Loague et al. 2010), channel-land interactions (Shen et al. 2016), and non-uniqueness of soil moisture distribution (Ivanov et al. 2010, Fatichi et al. 2015a) and soil erosion and sediment transport (Kim and Ivanov 2014). This approach further facilitates extrapolation from individual catchments to generalizations across different environmental conditions (Mirus and Loague 2013). For example, ecohydrological process models have allowed virtual experiments

related to vegetation dynamics across a range of scales (Ivanov et al. 2008b, Shen et al. 2013, Della Chiesa et al. 2014, Fatichi et al. 2014, 2015, Pierini et al. 2014, Mendez-Barroso et al. 2014). Perhaps the most useful type of virtual experiments for advancing hydrological understanding will be applications that closely match real systems. In fact, process-based models allow an extension of investigations to temporal and spatial domains and resolutions that are beyond the capabilities of traditional field studies (e.g., Mirus et al. 2011b, Fatichi et al. 2014, Mascaro et al. 2015).

Some studies have already shown the utility of models for the design of experimental hillslopes or catchments with sophisticated monitoring networks, such as Biosphere 2 (Hopp et al. 2009, Ivanov et al. 2010, Niu et al. 2014b). Along these same lines, the development of virtual and physical laboratories such as the Chicken Creek experiment (Holländer et al. 2009) can provide data for unbiased testing of model parameterizations. The continued expansion of coordinated monitoring networks, such as the Critical Zone Observatories (CZOs) (Anderson et al. 2008) and TERENO (Zacharias et al. 2011, Grathwohl et al. 2013), will ultimately rely on numerical modeling to provide generalization to other regions and insights on questions about the value of observations and the limits of our current process understanding.

Finally, high-resolution modeling at large scales (e.g., Wood et al. 2011, Bierkens et al. 2015, Maxwell et al. 2015) can facilitate virtual experiments to address questions that would not be feasible with the current generation of satellite and ground-based measurements alone. This integration will possibly produce a shift from data-driven studies that inform numerical modeling to the use of model-driven hypothesis testing to inform data acquisition.

2.3 Integration is more natural than differentiation

Using the conventional “top-down” and “bottom-up” terminology to describe different approaches (e.g., Sivapalan et al. 2003), process-based modeling approach falls naturally into the latter category. That is, a distributed process-based model relies on multiple components that are combined together to contribute to the overall dynamics at a higher organizational level, such as a watershed. The complexity thus results from interactions of user-selected fundamental process formulations operating at fine spatial and temporal scales. In contrast, “top-down” models rely on constitutive relations or parameterizations to describe finer-scale behavior from the coarse model scale. Often, this is done with a limited attempt to resolve observable mechanisms, distributed patterns, and feedbacks operating at small-scale levels. Of course, one possible fallacy of the “bottom-up” approach is the inclusion of elements or hierarchical levels in the model that contribute little towards the overall system behavior or overly emphasize dependencies because of lack of process understanding; for instance, interactions between processes that lead to excessive dampening or intensification of the system response relative to actual behavior.

One attractive feature of process-based models is that formulations of individual process descriptions often rely to some extent on first principles for rigor. In theory, at the appropriate scale, these process-level components are verifiable approximations of reality with no, or limited, recourse to empiricism. As such, formulations are independent of immediate data availability, but highly amenable to testing with new observations in a validation procedure. Data sets for testing process-based models may be of heterogeneous types at individual locations or distributed in nature, for example as continuous time series (e.g., soil moisture, energy fluxes, stream flow), instantaneous records (e.g., satellite derived evapotranspiration, biomass, snow water equivalent, tracer concentrations, suspended sediment concentration), or qualitative observations (e.g., presence or absence of snow or

inundation), among others. With the increase in the number and quality of remote sensing platforms, the ability to use such observations of internal states and fluxes will rise in importance (e.g., Niu et al. 2014c, Xiang et al. 2014, Mascaro et al 2015, Figure 2).

Finally, the interactions of individual elementary responses represented in process-based models lead to emergent patterns in space and time that are unlikely to be identified using coarse-resolution approaches. For example, discoveries of new mechanisms and feedbacks depending on spatial interactions have already been documented using process-based models (e.g., Maxwell and Kollet 2008, Ivanov et al. 2008b, Vivoni et al. 2010, Rihani et al. 2010, Le et al. 2011, Mahmood and Vivoni 2011, Hwang et al. 2012, Kim and Ivanov 2014, Bearup et al. 2014, Rahman et al. 2014).

2.4 Non-stationarity: we live in a transient age

Human impacts at the watershed scale have increased since industrialization. Environmental changes, such as those associated with the construction of hydraulic infrastructure, changes in land-use or transient climate alter the amount and distribution of water resources (e.g., Gleeson et al., 2012). An emerging realization is that climate change has likely pushed the hydrologic cycle out of what is considered statistical stationarity (Held and Soden 2006, Milly et al. 2008, 2015, Melillo et al. 2014). A non-stationary future calls for tools that are reliable and sufficiently general, can permit robust assessments and planning, and also operate at the scales of “human action”, that is, at space and time resolutions that are immediately relevant for the purposes of design, planning, and management.

In a spatial context, a process-based model can reflect variations at sub-hillslope and stream reach scales, as well as integrate variations of landscape characteristics that control hydrological connectivity in surface and subsurface flow paths. This is close to the localized,

“human action” scales (e.g., Piras et al. 2014, Fatichi et al. 2015b, Kim and Ivanov 2015). Process-based models are natural candidates for assessments of non-stationary systems because mass, energy, and momentum fluxes are conserved, and model skills are informed by state variables and fluxes that can theoretically be measured directly. Process-based models also offer a convenient means for addressing the related uncertainty by combining stochastic and deterministic modes of operation (Kuchment and Gelfan 1991). Furthermore, the parameter or forcing variations imposed to the model to address non-stationary conditions can be established either objectively, using a well-defined scenario, or subjectively through the application of sensitivity (stress) analyses (e.g., Mascaro et al. 2010, Steinschneider et al. 2014, Kim and Ivanov 2015).

2.5 The underpinning of environmental sciences: interdisciplinarity

The problems addressed by hydrological models are interdisciplinary in nature by virtue of the cross-thematic properties of water as a solvent, erosive agent, disease vector, exchange medium for energy, recreational element, human, animal and plant consumable, and, ultimately, an economic quantity. For this reason, interdisciplinarity is at the heart of hydrologic science (Eagleson 1991). Hydrological processes are inherently multi-scale in that the dominant controls on fluxes and residence times within various disciplines are expressed differently across a wide range of spatial and temporal scales. Given the nature of many interdisciplinary problems, process-based models that solve explicitly observable states and fluxes at high spatial and temporal resolution and possess appropriate multi-scale representation capabilities are the most likely candidates for interdisciplinary research. For example, the number of studies that combine process-based hydrological models designed for unsaturated and saturated subsurface flow with models that solve land-surface energy exchanges and/or ecological dynamics are increasing (e.g., Rigon et al. 2006,

Maxwell and Kollet 2008, Ivanov et al. 2008a, Siqueira et al. 2009, Maxwell et al. 2011, Banks et al. 2011, Vivoni 2012b, Moffett et al. 2012, Fatichi et al 2012b, Condon et al. 2013, Shen et al. 2013, Ng et al. 2014, Niu et al. 2014a, Endrizzi et al. 2014). However, the integration of process-based hydrologic models within a single modeling framework of the Earth's system that encompasses multiple disciplines is still largely unrealized (e.g., Paola et al. 2006, Flato 2011) and descriptions of hydrology in current Earth systems models do not yet reflect a suitable level of hydrologic process understanding and modeling solutions (Clark et al. 2015).

For hydrologists trained in geology, engineering or geography, making the substantial leap to interdisciplinary research with geomorphologists, atmospheric scientists, ecologists or biogeochemists might not be too difficult. However, human-oriented disciplines such as socio-economics, policy, and law are also essential for taking hydrological modeling expertise and products into stakeholder engagement activities and the valuation of hydrological services to society (Srinivasan et al. 2012, Guswa et al. 2014, Niswonger et al. 2014). Current trends in science and engineering point to greater integration of disciplines and hydrological modeling is considered to be a building block that determines which transdisciplinary, multi-sectorial and multi-objective scenario-based simulations, and output interpretation can be performed. This perception is due in large part to the emphasis that the hydrological modelers have placed on process-based understanding and in building predictive systems that capture the impact of changes in measureable quantities on hydrological parameters and subsequent effects on the fluxes of water and its constituents.

Boundaries of hydrologic science will continue to expand and hydrologists will be integral components of new and emerging fields, which can benefit from the quantitative and computational skills emphasized in process-based hydrological modeling. Much is also to be learned from allied disciplines, where the lack of process-based computational tools has fine-

tuned the ability of investigators to pose testable hypotheses through limited field experimentation or the ability to interpret cause-effect relationships on theoretical arguments rather than simulation-based results. Given the likely increase in reliance upon process-based hydrological modeling in multi-disciplinary studies, the responsibility lies with our hydrological community to develop tools that are broadly and conveniently applicable, while continuing to use these tools for hypothesis-driven research. Furthermore, providing non-specialists use of process-based algorithms will help to minimize what Klemes (1986) criticized as “dilettantism in hydrology”.

3. Practical issues

Despite the arguments in favor of process-based hydrological models reviewed here, some still resist the use of these models. This is largely due to practical matters. Conceptual models are much easier to use at coarser scales and require a lower threshold of process knowledge and expert training, making them more widely appealing. This occurs at the expense of a considerable time investment in model calibration and possibly a reduced model performance, when used outside of the calibrated range of conditions (Uhlenbrook et al. 1999, Seibert 2003). As a result, a wider dissemination of process-based approaches will require improved model visualization tools, a streamlined approach for model setup, execution and output analysis, and improved communication of the model capabilities and limitations to potential adopters. This is required to avoid the problem of “garbage in, garbage out”, where unprepared users operate complex models in an inappropriate fashion obtaining untrustworthy results. Intuitively, direct simulation of coupled processes is more straightforward to understand than a conceptual representation of system response. In reality, the implementation of coupled processes typically requires complex numerical methods with associated risks regarding numerical instability and convergence, whereas conceptual

representations are less prone to these problems. Furthermore, consistent applications of process-based models require that the user understands the underlying processes and their interactions as well as the mathematical and computational representation. This requires a deeper understanding of hydrology and numerical techniques, which can be seen as an opportunity to improve the training of students and practitioners in hydrologic sciences.

Hydrological models with the most complete descriptions of processes require data rich settings (e.g., Camporese et al. 2014a,b, Mascaro et al. 2015). However, models that require large amounts of data are unlikely to find widespread use because of data limitations and user limitations to process data. Wider use of these models must hinge on a more systematic approach for mining existing data repositories from governmental and/or commercial sectors. In the United States, for instance, spatial data needed to drive process-based models are now freely available from a variety of sources, such as the U. S. Geological Survey (USGS) seamless data viewer (<http://nationalmap.gov/viewer.html>) and the National Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) web soil survey (<http://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/HomePage.htm>). Precipitation data from multiple platforms are available from the National Center for Environmental Information (NCEI, formerly known as National Climatic Data Center, <http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/>). It is possible to obtain additional meteorological forcings from the North America Land Data Assimilation System (NLDAS) (<http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.gov/mmb/nldas/>). Datasets to characterize river hydraulic morphology (e.g., Allen and Pavelsky, 2015) and global hydrogeological maps (Gleeson et al. 2014) are also becoming available. Process-based models that can be driven by readily available geospatial data sources from standard web-based interfaces are likely to be applied more widely by diverse users (e.g., Kumar et al. 2010, Gochis et al. 2014, Bhatt et al. 2014, Formetta et al. 2014).

Since process-based hydrological models mostly rely on non-linear partial differential equations with the aim of solving large domains at fine temporal and spatial resolutions, the model computational burden is a serious issue. Simulation times increase as more processes are included, as process descriptions become more general, and as spatial and temporal resolutions are increased. Even in the case where a single simulation does not require a long time, there are practical issues related to stochastic approaches that might require hundreds or thousands of simulations (e.g., Skahill et al. 2009, Camporese et al. 2009a, Pasetto et al. 2012, Moreno et al. 2013). Since different physical processes (e.g., transpiration, infiltration, snow metamorphism, groundwater flows) have different dominant time scales ranging from a few minutes to many years, approaches using sub-time stepping can be regarded as a way of improving the computational performance (e.g., Park et al. 2008, 2009). However, the trade-offs between process representation and physical realism remain unevaluated, and different process-based models have various degrees of complexity.

A classic example is represented by numerical solutions of the Richards equation, which are used by process-based models to solve water fluxes in variably saturated porous media. The use of the Richards equation to solve soil-water flow dynamics in process-based models has been criticized for over-emphasizing capillarity and neglecting the role of preferential flow (Nimmo, 2012, Beven and Germann 2013), for being in some ways ‘overly simplistic’ (Gray and Hassanizadeh, 1991, Niessner and Hassanizadeh 2008), and for being computationally expensive and sometimes unstable and unreliable (e.g., Tocci et al. 1997). The last point posed limitations to large-scale fine resolution applications of process-based models. However, process-based formulations that deal with preferential flows have been introduced (e.g., Gerke and van Genuchten, 1993, Šimůnek et al. 2003) and numerical methods for solving 2D and 3D Richards equation in an accurate and reliable way have been developed (e.g., Paniconi and Putti, 1994, Neuweiler and Cirpka 2005, Mendicino et al. 2006, An et al.

2010, Lott et al. 2012), as well as methods to derive effective soil hydraulic parameters as a function of hillslope topography (e.g., Jana and Mohanty 2012). Recently, an alternative general one-dimensional solution of the vadose zone flow problem has been also presented (Talbot and Ogden 2008, Ogden et al. 2015b,c, Lai et al. 2015) and can considerably reduce computational times in comparison to classic solutions of the Richards equation. More generally, code parallelization is an essential requirement to reduce computational times for large problems (Kollet et al. 2010, Vivoni et al. 2011, Eller et al. 2013, Ran et al. 2013, Hwang et al. 2014, Ogden et al. 2015a). The Message Passing Interface (MPI) and Open MP set of tools, which provide open-source libraries for developing parallel computing capabilities within model codes, can reduce simulation times significantly on multi-processor desktop machines. One alternative for massively parallel computations is the use of General Purpose - Graphical Processing Units (GP-GPUs) based on the GPUs originally developed to improve graphics rendering of computer animations, with initial applications underway in hydrological and hydraulic modeling (e.g., Kalyanapu et al. 2011, Hughes and White 2013, Anagnostopoulos et al. 2015, Le et al., 2015, Lacasta et al, 2015, Falter et al., 2015).

4. Avenues for future advances

4.1 Toward fully integrated natural and virtual laboratories

A key challenge facing hydrological modeling is the integrated use of natural and virtual laboratories to advance theory and process understanding, and develop and test new approaches. Too often, the model development occurs in isolation from field experimental activities or within specific geographic regions where the model is desired. While model generality is an admirable goal, it should not justify disconnecting modeling activities from field knowledge. Natural laboratories or physical models of natural systems (laboratory-scaled versions of plots or hillslopes) are likely to become an indispensable part of a

hydrological modelers' toolkit. At experimental sites, instrumentation networks and field sampling allow coordinated, simultaneous measures of the states and fluxes of the hydrologic, atmospheric, geomorphic, ecologic or biogeochemical processes of interest. Along with knowledge of system characteristics, natural laboratories provide essential datasets to test the ability of models to capture the system behavior under different forcing or initial conditions, thus challenging the accuracy and fidelity of individual processes and the emergent behavior at specific locations and averaged over a spatial domain.

Fortunately, prior calls to reduce the disconnection between experimentation and modeling and to reconcile soft and hard hydrological data (e.g., Seibert and McDonnell 2002) have led to substantive progress. A growing number of hydrological modelers are participating in multi-disciplinary experimental sites, such as the Critical Zone Observatories, Landscape Evolution Observatory and Long-Term Ecological Research sites (e.g., Hobbie et al. 2003, Anderson et al. 2008, Huxman et al. 2009), where modeling and observation activities are coordinated. A number of small-scale (100s of m²) artificial catchments and experimental sites, where boundary conditions can be carefully controlled (Kendall et al. 2001, Nicolau 2002, Gerwin et al. 2009), are also available for this purpose. However, few of these sites, with some exceptions (Hopp et al. 2009, Vivoni 2012a), have used hydrological modeling for formulation or testing of hypotheses, presenting an opportunity to expand the utility of process-based modeling tools.

In addition to natural observatories, a new generation of distributed hydro-geophysical measurements (e.g., light detection and ranging, ground penetrating radar, distributed fiber optic temperature sensors, electrical resistivity tomography, phenological cameras, large aperture scintillometers) and remote sensing products from satellite and aerial platforms, including unmanned aerial vehicles, are also being used to improve the characterization of hydrological systems and to provide spatiotemporal patterns of hydrological states and fluxes

(e.g., Robinson et al. 2008, Steele-Dunne et al. 2010, Panciera et al. 2014, Vivoni et al. 2014, Singha et al. 2014). Measurements aimed at improved process-level understanding naturally aid in the simulation of those processes. Long-term investments for collection of datasets specifically designed for testing process-based hydrological models would pay substantial dividends to model development and to the closer integration of natural and virtual laboratories.

In many cases, the breadth and depth of the data generated from natural observatories and remote sensing is astounding, raising significant questions on how to properly use them in hydrological modeling development and testing. The current widespread field-scale data collection in natural laboratories and proliferation of data-sharing requirements by funding agencies and journals should be helpful to hydrological modelers in multiple ways – helping in the design of sensor networks, aiding in the appropriate level of spatiotemporal aggregation of data for use in models, and providing model-based insights into the key variables to measure for advancing theory and process-level understanding. Process-based distributed modeling can in fact benefit from improved model-data fusion (e.g., Vrugt et al. 2005, Hyndman et al. 2007, Camporese et al. 2009a,b, Hinnell et al. 2010, Kerkez et al. 2012, Mascaro and Vivoni 2012, Pasetto et al. 2012, Vrugt et al. 2013, Mirus 2015). Furthermore, improved assimilation of data with different origins (i.e., *in situ*, remote sensing, Lagrangian sampling, point-, 2D and 3-D scales) will speed model testing and process-level validation.

4.2 From watershed scales to stakeholder scales

Hydrological models have traditionally focused on watershed-scale quantities such as streamflow or integrated water budgets. However, localized scales - a stream reach, a floodplain, an agricultural field, or a stormwater sewer - provide societal relevance and interest in the impacts of land-use or climate changes that are typically much stronger when

536 predictions concern local, “backyard”, problems such as urban flooding, water quality and
537 aquatic habitats, or morphological variations in a channel or landscape. Addressing problems
538 at these scales very often require interdisciplinary models based on physical processes. What
539 is more, these scales are in some ways ideal for process-based approaches. For instance, the
540 computation of metrics, such as shear stress and turbulent kinetic energy, are pivotal for
541 investigating streamflow effects on the aquatic environments for fishes (Crowder and Diplas
542 2002, 2006). In practice, this can only be achieved by coupling process-based hydrological,
543 hydrodynamics and sediment transport models (e.g., Heppner et al. 2007, Kim et al. 2012a,b,
544 2013, Kim and Ivanov 2015).

545   Furthermore, the hydrological modelers should continue to demonstrate that state-of-
546 the-art hydrological predictions are useful to society. Demonstration of this worth is a
547 laudable objective. This might seem obvious to hydrologists as our education, practical
548 training, and research experiences have largely been motivated by the desire to improve the
549 public good through, for example, enhanced warning systems, more resilient and robust
550 infrastructure or better water resources management plans. However, in the process of
551 building, testing and deploying modeling systems, there is a real risk of creating a
552 disconnection from stakeholders who, ultimately, will benefit from or be impacted by the
553 hydrological predictions. This can be attributed to the difficulty in communicating complex
554 ideas or modeling structures, but also to the lack of training and expertise currently in our
555 field in the realm of stakeholder engagement activities (e.g., Hatzilacou et al. 2007, White et
556 al. 2010). It is noteworthy that the keystone of hydrological modeling in engineering and
557 regulatory practice remains today the curve number approach, despite all its empiricism and
558 established shortcomings (e.g., Garen and Moore 2005).

559   Presenting detailed hydrological predictions to a scientific audience is a challenging
560 task. Conveying the nuances and difficulties associated with modeling assumptions, spatial

and temporal resolutions, parameter estimation, or coupled model components to non-technical audiences is even more difficult. Despite this, we believe that an effort to disseminate the capabilities of process-based modeling to non-technical decision makers is crucial, because of its central role in quantifying the complex interplay between hydrological processes and human decisions (e.g., Srinivasan et al. 2012, Sivapalan et al. 2012, 2014). In this context, the requirements of hydrological models are far greater when a system description includes humans and their interventions. For example, it is not uncommon that the biophysical and geochemical processes represented in hydrological models would need to interact with active agents who make individual or group decisions that affect these coupled processes in nonlinear ways (e.g., time-varying water extractions or diversions, pollution sources, land cover changes) (e.g., Parker et al. 2003, Bombliet et al. 2008). Building realism into the simulation of these complex interactions necessitates the use of process-based hydrological models that can be coupled to models that represent these decision dynamics at a compatible scale.

4.3 Short-term predictability of hazards and engineering design

One of the most common and perhaps justified criticisms of process-based models is that they produce limited improvement over calibrated operational models for short-term streamflow predictions. This is due to the large uncertainty in the knowledge of boundary and initial conditions, as well as the difficulty of a formal calibration of the large parameter space (e.g., Senarath et al. 2000). However, the ability of calibrated models to mimic short-term hydrological responses also leads to over-confidence in their predictive skills. Calibration procedures that do not account for uncertainty in input and output observations and model structure inevitably lead to biased parameter values (e.g. Restrepo and Bras 1985, Ajami et al. 2007, Renard et al 2010). We argue that process-based models are equally useful tools for

short-term predictions of natural hazards and for engineering design; additionally, they are less subject to biased parameters arising from intensive calibration exercises. Short-term predictions using process-based models typically involve minor computational efforts, therefore stochastic simulations that account for uncertainty ranges of parameter values, forcings and initial conditions are feasible.

In fact, process-based models are increasingly used to provide alerts and mitigation measures for short-term hazards, such as floods, avalanches and landslides. For instance, the U.S. National Weather Service (NWS) is now implementing a process-based hydrological model as its centralized national modeling system (Gochis et al. 2015). While NWS will also still run lumped conceptual models, the fact that it is embarking on this new direction is a confirmation of the idea that process-based models could improve complete hydrologic cycle forecasting. The clearest advantage of process-based models is their ability to bring critical information about state variables, such as flow depth, into the simulation through the use of data assimilation of non-conventional variables and/or properly formulated dynamic boundary conditions (Figure 3). A classic case is coastal flooding due to tides and storm surge (Lin et al. 2012). For certain episodic flooding events, such as Hurricanes Irene and Sandy that affected the northeast U.S. coast, these effects are the dominant flooding process. In these events, encouraging examples come from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, which provided, with the process-based hydrological model GSSHA (Downer and Ogden 2004), predictions of flooding extent and depth that were used to plan evacuations (Massey et al. 2013). Another example is potential for real-time prediction of landslide hazards, including the proof of concept system built upon the model GEOtop (Rigon et al. 2006, Endrizzi et al. 2014) or the exploration of rapid operational application of TRIGRS (Raia et al. 2014).

An area where high-resolution process-based models could be used effectively is in the engineering design of structural controls (e.g., flood control, sediment abatement, and

pollution control). While the effect of individual controls is mostly localized, the system of different structural controls influences the entire watershed or river reach of interest. Within a conceptual modeling framework, the effect of controls can only be approximated by an *a priori* estimation of the effect of individual structures, thus the entire system effect is the estimated sum of the individual parts without accounting for locations and feedbacks between various controls. On the other hand, a process-based approach can explicitly simulate features at the approximate locations, sizes and with varying functions. For instance, urban flood control measures may include surface retention, subsurface drainage, levees, pumping and water diversions. Unexpected feedbacks between these controls can render them inadequate, useless, or even detrimental. Process-based models capture boundary effects, flow paths, and effects of topology and thus solve for the total system response, facilitating the design and collocation of critical components. For example, the use of the process-based GSSHA model (Downer and Ogden, 2004) in designing a flood control system in Florida by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers led to a documented savings of over \$40 million over standard practice using separate hydrology and hydraulics models (Downer et al. 2015).

4.4 Introducing the stochastic component

There is no doubt that the current use of process-based models is mostly deterministic, with few examples merging theoretical frameworks (Kuchment and Gelfan 1991, Kuchment et al. 1996) and ensemble approaches to date (e.g., Forman et al. 2008, Mascaro et al. 2010, Kim and Ivanov 2015). This is likely a result of the large computational requirements of process-based distributed simulations rather than an underestimation of the involved uncertainties. While the deterministic nature of current process-based models is a limitation, it also leaves room for improvements using stochastic approaches. An exact and detailed knowledge of all the system properties (e.g., bedrock topography, soil-hydraulic properties, vegetation physiology) will likely remain elusive in the foreseeable future. As a result,

uncertainty will unavoidably persist in several parameters as well as in the model structure. It immediately follows that uncertainty must be treated using an appropriate framework (e.g., Montanari and Koutsoyiannis 2012). Many approaches and methodological tools have been presented to deal with uncertainty in hydrological modeling (e.g., Beven 2006, 2008, Montanari 2007, Koutsoyiannis 2010). However, applications of these approaches have been mostly carried out using coarse, conceptual models applied to watersheds (Beven and Freer 2001, Montanari 2005, Vrugt et al. 2005) or groundwater hydrology models (e.g., Hill and Tiedeman 2007). Making these varying approaches suitable for use with process-based models coupling surface and subsurface domains requires an easing of the large computational burden of numerical stochastic techniques (e.g., Pasetto et al. 2013).

More importantly, we need a systematic approach to rank the sources of uncertainty and address primarily those implying larger effects on the results of interest. Regardless of the computational issues, many theoretical problems still remain to be tackled, such as how to deal with system non-stationarity, the definition of likelihood distributions for inputs and model parameters, and the cross-correlations among the various sources of uncertainty. While computational and theoretical problems can currently represent a daunting challenge, treating uncertainty through a synthesis of process-based models and stochastic approaches may represent a fundamental leap forward in the field of hydrologic science. The recent progresses in surrogate modeling or meta-modeling (Razavi et al. 2012a,b, Castelletti et al. 2012, Wang et al. 2014) or specific downscaling techniques to increase output resolution (Pau et al. 2016) suggest that the use of process-based models in settings that require thousands of model evaluations may be feasible. These advances may alleviate the issues of prohibitive computational cost in optimization or uncertainty quantification contexts.

5. Conclusions

Several compelling motivations for a wider use of process-based hydrological models exist. We describe a series of opportunities and modeling challenges where a high spatial and/or temporal resolution and a refined representation of hydrological processes are required by the complexity of the real world and by the fact that flow path and heterogeneity of land surface properties are important. Distributed estimates of soil moisture, evapotranspiration, sediment and pollutant transport are examples where explicit modeling of flow paths and residence times are warranted because they have a dominant effect on the solution. Interdisciplinary studies of ecohydrology, carbon cycle, riparian processes, flood and landslide hazard predictions, cold season processes, and land-atmosphere interactions benefit from process-based hydrological models because conservation of mass, energy and momentum is often a pre-requisite for these problems. They also fall in the class of question that require explicit representation of spatial patterns and temporal dynamics of fluxes and state variables (e.g., soil moisture and temperature, snow water equivalent, runoff generation, etc.). Better understanding and simulation of human disturbances of hydrological systems, for instance climate and land use changes, are also strong incentives to implement process-based solutions. We review reasons why the integration of small-scale complexity is likely to succeed in establishing causal relations between processes, parameters, and outcomes in reproducing emergent responses and patterns at larger scales. Using process-based models based only on *a priori* information could be foreseeable in the near future, but this strongly hinges on the capability of using large amount of information currently available in constructing, testing, and setting-up the models, and appropriately accounting for the related uncertainty through stochastic approaches. Practical issues connected with process-based models, such as difficulty in their use, scalability of physical laws, prohibitive computational times and a large number of parameters, have hampered widespread adoption of these tools.

Arguably, detailed characterizations of hydraulic properties of the subsurface and flow paths still represent the most significant obstacle for widespread use of process-based hydrological models. This should challenge the hydrologic science community to develop innovative ways to measure these key variables. Recent developments in parallel computing resources, new ground-based or remote sensing tools and data collection methods, and new data sources (e.g., tracers and geophysical techniques), will hopefully help resolve some of these barriers and facilitate a more comprehensive treatment of uncertainty. Better integration between virtual and natural laboratories can additionally help in developing model validation datasets and further refining the representation of specific processes. There are ample opportunities for leveraging the utility of process-based models beyond what has been achieved so far and we encourage hydrologists to seize this opportunity.

Acknowledgments

This article resulted from discussions held during the session “High Resolution Hydrologic Modeling: Challenges and Avenues for Development” at the American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting 2013 in San Francisco, USA. Luke McGuire, USGS, provided a useful review of an earlier draft of this manuscript. We thank Massimo Dotti for the references about astrophysics. SF thanks the support of the Stavros Niarchos Foundation and the ETH Zurich Foundation (Grant ETH-29 14-2). VI acknowledges the support of NSF Grant EAR 1151443. MS acknowledges financial support from SFB/TR32 (Patterns in Soil-Vegetation-Atmosphere Systems: Monitoring, Modeling, and Data Assimilation) funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG). Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

References

- Abbott, M. B., J. C. Bathurst, J. A. Cunge, P. E. O'Connell, and J. Rasmussen (1986). An introduction to the European hydrologic system-système hydrologique Européen, SHE, 1: History and philosophy of a physically-based, distributed modeling system. *J. Hydrol.*, 87, 45-59.
- Ajami, N. K., Q. Duan, and S. Sorooshian (2007), An integrated hydrologic Bayesian multimodel combination framework: Confronting input, parameter, and model structural uncertainty in hydrologic prediction, *Water Resour. Res.*, 43, W01403, doi:10.1029/2005WR004745.
- Allen, G. H. and T. M. Pavelsky (2015). Patterns of river width and surface area revealed by the satellite-derived North American River Width data set. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42, 395–402. doi:10.1002/2014GL062764
- An, H., Y. Ichikawa, Y. Tachikawa, and M. Shiiba, (2010). Three-dimensional finite difference saturated-unsaturated flow modeling with nonorthogonal grids using a coordinate transformation method. *Water Resour. Res.*, 46, W11521 doi:10.1029/2009WR009024
- Anagnostopoulos G. G., S. Fatichi and P. Burlando (2015). An advanced process-based distributed model for the investigation of rainfall-induced landslides: The effect of process representation and boundary conditions. *Water Resour. Res.*, 51, doi:10.1002/2015WR016909
- Anderson S. P., R. C. Bales, and C. J. Duffy (2008). Critical Zone Observatories: Building a network to advance interdisciplinary study of Earth surface processes. *Mineral. Mag.*, 72, 7-10.
- Bachmat, Y. and J. Bear (1987). On the concept and size of a representative elementary volume (REV). *Advances in transport phenomena in porous media*, Martinus Nijhoff, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 5–20.
- Bahreman, A., (2015). Advocating process modeling and de-emphasizing parameter estimation. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.*, 12, 12377-12393, doi:10.5194/hessd-12-12377-2015
- Banks, E. W., Brunner, P., and Simmons, C. T. (2011). Vegetation controls on variably saturated processes between surface water and groundwater and their impact on the state of connection. *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W11517, doi:10.1029/2011WR010544.

- Baum R. L., Godt J.W., and Savage W. Z. (2008). TRIGRS—a Fortran program for transient rainfall infiltration and grid-based regional slope-stability analysis, version 2.0: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report, 2008-1159, pp 75
- Bearup, L. A., R. M. Maxwell, D. W. Clow, and J. E. McCray (2014). Hydrological effects of forest transpiration loss in bark beetle-impacted watersheds. *Nature Climate Change*, 4(6), 481.
- Benettin, P., Y. van der Velde, S. E. A. T. M. van der Zee, A. Rinaldo, and G. Botter (2013), Chloride circulation in a lowland catchment and the formulation of transport by travel time distributions, *Water Resour. Res.*, 49, 4619–4632, doi:10.1002/wrcr.20309.
- Bertoldi G., R. Rigon, T. M. Over (2006). Impact of watershed geomorphic characteristics on the energy and water budgets. *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 7(3), 389-394.
- Beven, K. J. (1989). Changing ideas in hydrology - The case of physically-based models. *J. Hydrol.*, 105, 157-172.
- Beven, K. J. (2001). How far can we go in distributed hydrological modelling? *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 5, 1-12.
- Beven, K. J. (2002). Towards an alternative blueprint for a physically based digitally simulated hydrologic response modelling system. *Hydrol. Process.*, 16, 189-206.
- Beven, K. J. (2006). On undermining the science? *Hydrol. Process.*, 20, 3141-3146.
- Beven, K. J. (2008). On doing better hydrological science. *Hydrol. Process.*, 22, 3549-3553.
- Beven, K. J., and H. L. Cloke (2012). Comment on “Hyperresolution global land surface modeling: Meeting a grand challenge for monitoring Earth's terrestrial water” by Eric F. Wood et al., *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W01801, doi:10.1029/2011WR010982.
- Beven, K., and P. Germann (2013) Macropores and water flow in soils revisited, *Water Resour. Res.*, 49, doi:10.1002/wrcr.20156.
- Beven, K. J., and J. Freer (2001). Equifinality, data assimilation, and uncertainty estimation in mechanistic modelling of complex environmental systems using the glue methodology. *J. Hydrol.*, 249, 11-29.
- Bhatt G., M. Kumar, and C. J. Duffy (2014). A tightly coupled GIS and distributed hydrologic modeling framework. *Environ. Modell. Softw.*, 62, 70-84, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.08.003
- Bierkens M. F. P., Bell V. A., Burek P., Chaney N., Condon L., David C. H., de Roo A., Döll P., Drost N., Famiglietti J. S., Flörke M., Gochis D. J., Houser P., Hut R., Keune J., Kollet S., Maxwell R., Reager J. T., Samaniego L., Sudicky E., Sutanudjaja E. H., van de Giesen

773 N., Winsemius H., and Wood E. F. (2015). Hyper-resolution global hydrological
 774 modelling: what is next? *Hydrol. Process.*, 29, 310-320.

775 Blackmarr, W. A. (1995). Documentation of hydrologic, geomorphic, and sediment transport
 776 measurements on the Goodwin Creek Experimental Watershed, northern Mississippi, for
 777 the period 1982–1993. Research Report, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department
 778 of Agriculture, Washington, D.C

779 Bomblies, A., J. B. Duchemin, and E. A. B. Eltahir (2008). Hydrology of malaria: Model
 780 development and application to a Sahelian village. *Water Resour. Res.*, 44, 12, W12445,
 781 doi:10.1029/2008WR006917.

782 Bonetti, S., G. Manoli, J.-C. Domec, M. Putti, M. Marani, and G. G. Katul (2015). The
 783 influence of water table depth and the free atmospheric state on convective rainfall
 784 predisposition. *Water Resour. Res.*, 51, 2283–2297, doi:10.1002/2014WR016431.

785 Bras, R. L. (2009). Hydrology: No longer the forgotten science. *American Geophysical*
 786 *Union, Fall Meeting*, abstract H23K-02.

787 Bras, R. L., G. E. Tucker, and V. Teles (2003). Six myths about mathematical modeling in
 788 geomorphology. In *Prediction in Geomorphology*, vol. 135, edited by P. Wilcock and R.
 789 Iverson. American Geophysical Union Monograph; Washington; 63–83.

790 Bras, R., and P. S. Eagleson (1987). Hydrology, the forgotten earth science. *EOS*, 68, 227.

791 Brutsaert, W. H. (2005). Hydrology: An Introduction. Cambridge Univ. Press. Cambridge.

792 Camporese, M., C. Paniconi, M. Putti, and P. Salandin (2009a). Ensemble Kalman filter data
 793 assimilation for a process- based catchment scale model of surface and subsurface flow.
 794 *Water Resour. Res.*, 45, W10421, doi:10.1029/2008WR007031.

795 Camporese, M., C. Paniconi, M. Putti, and P. Salandin (2009b), Comparison of data
 796 assimilation techniques for a coupled model of surface and subsurface flow, *Vadose Zone*
 797 *J.*, 8, 837–845, doi:10.2136/vzj2009.0018.

798 Camporese, M., C. Paniconi, M. Putti, and S. Orlandini (2010). Surface-subsurface flow
 799 modeling with path-based runoff routing, boundary condition-based coupling, and
 800 assimilation of multisource observation data. *Water Resour. Res.*, 46, W02512,
 801 doi:10.1029/2008WR007536.

802 Camporese, M., E. Daly, P. E. Dresel, and J. A. Webb (2014a), Simplified modeling of
 803 catchment-scale evapotranspiration via boundary condition switching, *Adv. Water Resour.*,
 804 69, 95–105, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2014.04.008.

805 Camporese, M., D. Penna, M. Borga, and C. Paniconi (2014b). A field and modeling study of
806 nonlinear storage-discharge dynamics for an Alpine headwater catchment. *Water Resour.*
807 *Res.*, 50, 806-822, doi:10.1002/2013WR013604.

808 Camporese, M., E. Daly, and C. Paniconi (2015), Catchment-scale Richards equation-based
809 modeling of evapotranspiration via boundary condition switching and root water uptake
810 schemes, *Water Resour. Res.*, 51, 5756–5771, doi:10.1002/2015WR017139.

811 Castelletti, A., S. Galelli, M. Ratto, R. Soncini-Sessa, and P. Young (2012). A general
812 framework for Dynamic Emulation Modelling in environmental problems. *Environ.*
813 *Modell. Softw.*, 34, 5-18.

814 Clark, M. P., D. Kavetski, and F. Fenicia (2011). Pursuing the method of multiple working
815 hypotheses for hydrological modeling. *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W09301,
816 doi:10.1029/2010WR009827.

817 Clark, M. P., Y. Fan, D. M. Lawrence, J. C. Adam, D. Bolster, D. J. Gochis, R. P. Hooper,
818 M. Kumar, L. R. Leung, D. S. Mackay, R. M. Maxwell, C. Shen, S. C. Swenson, and X.
819 Zeng (2015), Improving the representation of hydrologic processes in Earth System
820 Models, *Water Resour. Res.*, 51, 5929–5956, doi:10.1002/2015WR017096

821 Condon, L. E., Maxwell, R. M., and Gangopadhyay, S. (2013). The impact of subsurface
822 conceptualization on land energy fluxes. *Adv. Water Resour.*, 60, 188-203.

823 Crawford, N., and R. Linsley (1966). Digital simulation on hydrology: Stanford watershed
824 model IV, Tech. Rep. 39, Stanford Univ., Palo Alto, CA.

825 Crowder, D. W., and P. Diplas (2002). Vorticity and circulation: spatial metrics for
826 evaluating flow complexity in stream habitats. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic*
827 *Sciences*, 59(4), 633-645.

828 Crowder, D. W., and P. Diplas (2006). Applying spatial hydraulic principles to quantify
829 stream habitat. *River Res. Appl.*, 22(1), 79-89.

830 Dall'Amico, M., Endrizzi, S., Gruber, S., and Rigon, R. (2011). A robust and energy-
831 conserving model of freezing variably-saturated soil. *The Cryosphere*, 5, 469-484.

832 Davison, J. H., Hwang, H.-T., Sudicky, E. A., and Lin, J. C. (2015). Coupled atmospheric,
833 land surface, and subsurface modeling: Exploring water and energy feedbacks in three-
834 dimensions. *Adv. Water Resour.*, 86(A), 73-85, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2015.09.002.

835 Della Chiesa S., Bertoldi G., Niedrist G., Obojes N., Endrizzi S., Albertson J. D., Wohlfahrt
836 G., Hörtnagl L., and Tappeiner U. (2014), Modelling changes in grassland hydrological
837 cycling along an elevational gradient in the Alps, *Ecohydrol.*, 7, 1453–1473, doi:
838 10.1002/eco.1471

839 Downer, C. W., and F. L. Ogden (2004). GSSHA: A model for simulating diverse streamflow
840 generating processes. *J. Hydrol. Engrg.*, 9(3), 161-174.

841 Downer, C. W., B. E. Skahill, J. A. Graulau-Santiago, D. Weston, N. R. Pradhan, and A. R.
842 Byrd, (2015). Gridded Surface Subsurface Hydrologic Analysis modeling for analysis of
843 flood design features at the Picayune Strand Restoration Project, *ERDC/CHL TR-15-X*.
844 U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS.

845 Drewry, D. T., P. Kumar, S. Long, C. Bernacchi, X.-Z. Liang, and M. Sivapalan (2010).
846 Ecohydrological responses of dense canopies to environmental variability: 1. Interplay
847 between vertical structure and photosynthetic pathway. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, G04022,
848 doi:10.1029/2010JG001340.

849 Eagleson P. S. (1991). Hydrologic science - a distinct geoscience. *Reviews of Geophysics*.
850 29(2), 237-248.

851 Ebel, B.A. and K. Loague. (2006). Physics-based hydrologic response simulation: Seeing
852 through the fog of equifinality. *Hydrological Processes* 20, 2887-2900, doi:
853 10.1002/hyp.6388

854 Ebel B. A. and B. B. Mirus (2014). Disturbance hydrology: challenges and opportunities.
855 *Hydrol. Process.* 28, 5140–5148, doi: 10.1002/hyp.10256

856 Ebel, B. A., K. Loague, W. E. Dietrich, D. R. Montgomery, R. Torres, S. P. Anderson, and T.
857 W. Giambelluca. (2007a). Near-surface hydrologic response for a steep, unchanneled
858 catchment near Coos Bay, Oregon: 1. Sprinkling experiments. *American Journal of*
859 *Science* 307, 678-708, doi:10.2475/04.2007.02

860 Ebel, B. A., K. Loague, J. E. VanderKwaak, W. E. Dietrich, D. R. Montgomery, R. Torres,
861 and S. P. Anderson. (2007b). Near-surface hydrologic response for a steep, unchanneled
862 catchment near Coos Bay, Oregon: 2. Physics-based simulations. *American Journal of*
863 *Science* 307, 709-748, doi:10.2475/04.2007.03

864 Ebel, B. A., B. B. Mirus, C. S. Heppner, J. E. VanderKwaak, and K. Loague (2009). First-
865 order exchange coefficient coupling for simulating surface water-groundwater
866 interactions: Parameter sensitivity and consistency with a physics-based approach. *Hydrol.*
867 *Process.*, 23(13), 1949-1959.

868 Ebel, B. A., K. Loague, D. R. Montgomery, and W. E. Dietrich (2008). Physics-based
869 continuous simulation of long-term near-surface hydrologic response for the Coos Bay
870 experimental catchment. *Water Resour. Res.*, 44, W07417, doi:10.1029/2007WR006442.

871 Ek, M., B. Holtisag (2004). Influence of soil moisture on boundary layer cloud development.
872 *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 5(1), 86-99.

873 Eller, P. R., J. R. Cheng, A. Byrd, C. W. Downer, and N. Pradhan (2013). Development of a
874 parallel GSSHA. ERDC TR-13-8. U. S. Army Engineer Research and Development
875 Center, Vicksburg, MS.

876 Endrizzi, S., Gruber, S., Dall'Amico, M., and Rigon, R. (2014). GEOtop 2.0: simulating the
877 combined energy and water balance at and below the land surface accounting for soil
878 freezing, snow cover and terrain effects. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 7, 2831-2857.

879 Ewen, J., Parkin G., and P. E. O'Connell, (2000). SHETRAN: Distributed river basin flow
880 and transport modeling system, *J. Hydrol. Engrg.* 5(3), 250–258.

881 Falter, D., Schröter, K., Dung, N. V., Vorogushyn, S., Kreibich, H., Hundecha, Y., Apel H.,
882 and Merz, B. (2015). Spatially coherent flood risk assessment based on long-term
883 continuous simulation with a coupled model chain. *Journal of Hydrology*, 524, 182-193.

884 Fatichi, S., V. Y. Ivanov, and E. Caporali (2012a). A mechanistic ecohydrological model to
885 investigate complex interactions in cold and warm water-controlled environments: 1.
886 Theoretical framework and plot-scale analysis. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, 4, M05002,
887 doi:10.1029/2011MS000086.

888 Fatichi, S., V. Y. Ivanov, and E. Caporali (2012b). A mechanistic ecohydrological model to
889 investigate complex interactions in cold and warm water-controlled environments: 2.
890 Spatiotemporal analyses. *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, 4, M05003,
891 doi:10.1029/2011MS000087.

892 Fatichi, S., M. J. Zeeman, J. Fuhrer and P. Burlando (2014). Ecohydrological effects of
893 management on subalpine grasslands: from local to catchment scale. *Water Resour. Res.*,
894 50, 148-164.

895 Fatichi S., G. G. Katul, V. Y. Ivanov, C. Pappas, A. Paschalis, A. Consolo, J. Kim, and P.
896 Burlando (2015a). Abiotic and biotic controls of soil moisture spatio-temporal variability
897 and the occurrence of hysteresis. *Water Resour. Res.*, doi: 10.1002/2014WR016102.

898 Fatichi S., S. Rimkus, P. Burlando, R. Bordoy, and P. Molnar (2015b). High-resolution
899 distributed analysis of climate and anthropogenic changes on the hydrology of an Alpine
900 catchment. *Journal of Hydrology*, 525, 362–382, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.03.036

901 Flato G. M. (2011). Earth system models: an overview. *WIREs Clim Change*, 2:783–800. doi:
902 10.1002/wcc.148

903 Forman, B.A., Vivoni, E. R., and Margulis, S. A. (2008). Evaluation of ensemble-based
904 distributed hydrologic model response with disaggregated precipitation products. *Water*
905 *Resour. Res.*, 44, W12410, doi:10.1029/2008WR006983.

906 Formetta G., Antonello A., Franceschi S., David O., and Rigon R. (2014). Hydrological
 907 modelling with components: A GIS-based open-source framework. *Environ. Modell.*
 908 *Softw.*, 55 190-200.

909 Frasson R. P. M., W. F. Krajewski (2013). Rainfall interception by maize canopy:
 910 Development and application of a process-based model. *J. Hydrol.*, 489, 246-255.

911 Freeze R. A., and R. L. Harlan (1969). Blueprint for a physically-based digitally simulated,
 912 hydrologic response model. *J. Hydrol.*, 9, 237-258.

913 Gamow, G. (1948). The origin of elements and the separation of galaxies. *Physical Review*,
 914 74(4), 505-506.

915 Garen, D. C., and Moore, D. S. (2005). Curve number hydrology in water quality modeling:
 916 uses, abuses, and future directions. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association*,
 917 41,2, 377-388.

918 Gasper, F., Goergen, K., Shrestha, P., Sulis, M., Rihani, J., Geimer, M., and Kollet, S. (2014).
 919 Implementation and scaling of the fully coupled Terrestrial Systems Modeling Platform
 920 (TerrSysMP v1.0) in a massively parallel supercomputing environment – a case study on
 921 JUQUEEN (IBM Blue Gene/Q). *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 7, 2531-2543.

922 Gerke, H.H., and M.Th. van Genuchten (1993). A dual-porosity model for simulating the
 923 preferential movement of water and solutes in structured porous media, *Water Resour.*
 924 *Res.*, 29, 305–319.

925 Gerwin, W., W. Schaaf, D. Biemelt, A. Fischer, S. Winter, and R. F. Hüttl (2009). The
 926 artificial catchment “Chicken Creek” (Lusatia, Germany)- A landscape laboratory for
 927 interdisciplinary studies of initial ecosystem development. *Ecological Engineering*, 35,
 928 1786-1796.

929 Gleeson, T., L. Smith, N. Moosdorf, J. Hartmann, H. H. Dürr, A. H. Manning, L. P. H. van
 930 Beek, and A. M. Jellinek (2011). Mapping permeability over the surface of the Earth.
 931 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L02401, doi:10.1029/2010GL045565.

932 Gleeson, T., Wada, Y., Bierkens, M. F. P. and L. P. H. van Beek (2012). Water balance of
 933 global aquifers revealed by groundwater footprint. *Nature*, 488, 197-200.

934 Gleeson, T., N. Moosdorf, J. Hartmann and L. P. H. van Beek (2014). A glimpse beneath
 935 earth’s surface: GLocal HYdrogeology MaPS (GLHYMPS) of permeability and porosity.
 936 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 41, 3891–3898. doi:10.1002/2014GL059856

937 Gochis, D.J., W. Yu, and D. N. Yates, (2014). The WRF-Hydro model technical description
 938 and user’s guide, version 2.0. NCAR Technical Document. 120 pages. Documentation,

model code and ArcGIS Pre-processing Tools available online at:
http://www.ral.ucar.edu/projects/wrf_hydro/.

Gochis, D.J., B. Cosgrove, W. Yu, E. Clark, D. Yates, A. Dugger, J. McCreight, L. Pan, Y. Zhang, A. Rafeei-Nasab, L. Karsten, D. Cline, K. Sampson, A. Newman, A. Wood, and M. Win-Gildenmeister (2015). Operational, hyper-resolution hydrologic modeling over the contiguous U.S. using the multi-scale, multi-physics WRF-Hydro Modeling and Data Assimilation System. Abstract H52A-02, American Geophysical Union Fall Meeting, San Francisco, CA, USA.

Goodrich, D. C., L.J. Lane, R.M. Shillito, S.N. Miller, K.H. Syed, and D.A. Wooliser, (1997). Linearity of basin response as a function of scale in a semiarid watershed. *Water Resour. Res.*, 33(12), 2951–2966.

Grathwohl, P., Rügner, H., Wöhling, T., Osenbrück, K., Schwientek, M., Gayler, S., Wollschläger, U., Selle, B., Pause, M., Delfs, J.-O., Grzeschik, M., Weller, U., Ivanov, M., Cirpka, O.A., Maier, U., Kuch, B., Nowak, W., Wulfmeyer, V., Warrach-Sagi, K., Streck, T., Attinger, S., Bilke, L., Dietrich, P., Fleckenstein, J.H., Kalbacher, T., Kolditz, O., Rink, K., Samaniego, L., Vogel, H.-J., Werban, and U., Teutsch, G. (2013). Catchments as reactors: a comprehensive approach for water fluxes and solute turnover. *Environ. Earth Sci.*, 69(2), 317-333.

Gray, W. G., and S. Hassanizadeh (1991). Paradoxes and realities in unsaturated flow theory, *Water Resour. Res.*, 27(8), 1847-1854.

Grayson, R. B., I. D. Moore, and T. A. McMahon (1992). Physically-based hydrologic modeling. 2. Is the concept realistic? *Water Resour. Res.*, 28, 2659-2666.

Gupta, H. V., and G. S. Nearing (2014). Debates—The future of hydrological sciences: A (common) path forward? Using models and data to learn: A systems theoretic perspective on the future of hydrological science, *Water Resour. Res.*, 50, 5351–5359, doi:10.1002/2013WR015096.

Guswa, A. J., K. A. Brauman, C. Brown, P. Hamel, B. L. Keeler and Stratton Sayre, S. (2014). Ecosystem services : Challenges and opportunities for hydrological modeling to support decision making. *Water Resour. Res.*, 50(5), 4535-4544.

Hatzilacou, D., Kallis, G., Mexa, A., Coccosis, H. and Svoronou, E. (2007). Scenario workshops : A useful method for participatory water resources planning? *Water Resour. Res.*, 43, W06414, doi:10.1029/2006WR004878.

Held I. M. and Soden B. J. (2006) Robust responses of the hydrological cycle to global warming. *J Climate* 19, 5686–5699.

973 Heppner, C. S., Loague, K. and VanderKwaak, J. E. (2007). Long-term InHM simulations of
 974 hydrologic response and sediment transport for the R-5 catchment. *Earth Surf. Process.*
 975 *Landforms*, 32, 1273-1292.

976 Hill, M. C. and Tiedeman C. R (2007). Effective groundwater model calibration: with
 977 analysis of data, sensitivities, predictions, and uncertainty, New York: Wiley and Sons.

978 Hinnell, A. C., T. P. A. Ferré, J. A. Vrugt, J. A. Huisman, S. Moysey, J. Rings, and M. B.
 979 Kowalsky (2010). Improved extraction of hydrologic information from geophysical data
 980 through coupled hydrogeophysical inversion. *Water Resour. Res.*, 46, W00D40,
 981 doi:10.1029/2008WR007060.

982 Hobbie J. E., S. R. Carpenter, N. B. Grimm, J. R. Gosz and T. R. Seastedt (2003). The US
 983 Long Term Ecological Research program. *BioScience*, 53, 21-32.

984 Holländer, H. M., Blume, T., Bormann, H., Buytaert, W., Chirico, G. B., Exbrayat, J.-F.,
 985 Gustafsson, D., Hölzel, H., Kraft, P., Stamm, C., Stoll, S., Blöschl, G., and Flühler, H
 986 (2009). Comparative predictions of discharge from an artificial catchment (Chicken
 987 Creek) using sparse data. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 13, 2069-2094.

988 Hopp, L., C. Harman, S. L. E. Desilets, C. B. Graham, J. J. McDonnell, and P. A. Troch
 989 (2009). Hillslope hydrology under glass: confronting fundamental questions of soil-water-
 990 biota co-evolution at Biosphere 2. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 13, 2105-2118.

991 Hornbeck, J. W., M. B. Adams, E. S. Corbett, E. S. Verry, and J. A. Lynch (1993). Long-
 992 term impacts of forest treatments on water yield: A summary for northeastern USA. *J.*
 993 *Hydrol.*, 150, 323-344.

994 Hubbard, S. S. and Y. Rubin (2000). Hydrogeological parameter estimation using
 995 geophysical data: a review of selected techniques. *J. Contaminant Hydrol.*, 45(1-2), 3-34.

996 Hughes, J. D., and J. T. White (2013). Use of general purpose graphics processing units with
 997 MODFLOW. *Groundwater*, 51(6), 833-846.

998 Huntington, J. L. and R. G. Niswonger (2012). Role of surface-water and groundwater
 999 interactions on projected summertime streamflow in snow dominated regions: An
 1000 integrated modeling approach. *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W11524,
 1001 doi:10.1029/2012WR012319.

1002 Huxman, T., P. Troch, J. Chorover, D. D. Breshears, S. Saleska, X. Z. J. Pelletier, and J.
 1003 Espeleta (2009). The hills are alive: Earth science in a controlled environment. *Eos*
 1004 *Transactions AGU*, 34(90), 120.

1005 Hwang, H.-T., Y.-J. Park, E.A. Sudicky, and P. A. Forsyth (2014). A parallel computational
1006 framework to solve flow and transport in integrated surface–subsurface hydrologic
1007 systems. *Environ. Modell. Softw.* 61, 39-58.

1008 Hwang, H.-T., Y.-J. Park, S.K. Frey, S.J. Berg, and E.A. Sudicky (2015). A simple iterative
1009 method for estimating evapotranspiration with integrated surface/subsurface flow models.
1010 *J. Hydrol.*, 531(3), 949-959, doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.10.003.

1011 Hwang, T., L. E. Band, J. M. Vose, and C. Tague (2012). Ecosystem processes at the
1012 watershed scale: Hydrologic vegetation gradient as an indicator for lateral hydrologic
1013 connectivity of headwater catchments. *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W06514,
1014 doi:10.1029/2011WR011301.

1015 Hyndman, D. W., Day-Lewis, F. D., and Singha, K. (2007). Subsurface hydrology: data
1016 integration for properties and processes, *American Geophysical Union Monograph*, vol.
1017 171, pp. 253.

1018 Ivanov V. Y., S. Fatichi, G. D. Jenerette, J. F. Espeleta, P. A. Troch and T. E. Huxman,
1019 (2010). Hysteresis of soil moisture spatial heterogeneity and the “homogenizing” effect of
1020 vegetation. *Water Resour. Res.*, 46, W09521, doi:10.1029/2009WR008611.

1021 Ivanov, V. Y., R. L. Bras, and E. R. Vivoni (2008a). Vegetation-hydrology dynamics in
1022 complex terrain of semiarid areas. I: A mechanistic approach to modeling dynamic
1023 feedbacks. *Water Resour. Res.*, 44, W03429, doi:10.1029/2006WR005588

1024 Ivanov, V.Y., Bras, R.L., and Vivoni, E.R. (2008b). Vegetation-hydrology dynamics in
1025 complex terrain of semiarid areas: II. Energy-water controls of vegetation spatio-temporal
1026 dynamics and topographic niches of favorability. *Water Resour. Res.*, 44, W03430,
1027 doi:10.1029/2006WR005595.

1028 Jana, R. B., and B. P. Mohanty (2012), On topographic controls of soil hydraulic parameter
1029 scaling at hillslope scales, *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W02518, doi:10.1029/2011WR011204.

1030 Johnson, B. E., Z. Zhang, and C. W. Downer (2013). Watershed scale physically based water
1031 flow, sediment and nutrient dynamic modeling system, in *Landscape Ecology for*
1032 *Sustainable Environment and Culture*. Editor(s): Bojie Fu and K. Bruce Jones, Springer
1033 Publishing, ISBN 978-94-007-6529-0, Chapter 8, pp 145-171.

1034 Jones J. A. (2000). Hydrologic processes and peak discharge response to forest removal,
1035 regrowth, and roads in 10 small experimental basins, western Cascades, Oregon. *Water*
1036 *Resour. Res.*, 36, 2621-2642.

1037 Kalyanapu A. J., S. Shankar, E. R. Pardyjak, D. R. Judi, S. J. Burian (2011). Assessment of
1038 GPU computational enhancement to a 2D flood model. *Environ. Modell. Softw.*, 26(8)
1039 1009–1101.

1040 Kendall, C., J. J. McDonnell, and W. Gu (2001). A look inside ‘black box’ hydrograph
1041 separation models: a study at the Hydrohill catchment. *Hydrol. Process.*, 15, 1877-1902.

1042 Kerkez, B., S. D. Glaser, R. C. Bales, and M. W. Meadows (2012). Design and performance
1043 of a wireless sensor network for catchment-scale snow and soil moisture measurements.
1044 *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W09515, doi:10.1029/2011WR011214.

1045 Kerr, R. (1963). Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special
1046 metrics. *Phys. Review Lett.*, 11, 237-238.

1047 Kim, J., A. Warnock, V. Y. Ivanov, and N. D. Katopodes (2012a). Coupled modeling of
1048 hydrologic and hydrodynamic processes including overland and channel flow. *Adv. Water*
1049 *Resour.*, 37, 104-126.

1050 Kim, J., V. Y. Ivanov, and N. D. Katopodes (2012b). Hydraulic resistance to overland flow
1051 on surfaces with partially submerged vegetation, *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W10540,
1052 doi:10.1029/2012WR012047.

1053 Kim, J. A., V. Y. Ivanov, and N. D. Katopodes (2013). Modeling erosion and sedimentation
1054 coupled with hydrological and overland flow processes at the watershed scale, *Water*
1055 *Resour. Res.*, 49, 5134-5154.

1056 Kim, J., and V. Y. Ivanov (2014). On the nonuniqueness of sediment yield at the catchment
1057 scale: The effects of soil antecedent conditions and surface shield. *Water Resour. Res.*,
1058 50(2), 1025-1045.

1059 Kim, J., and V. Y. Ivanov (2015). A holistic, multi-scale dynamic downscaling framework
1060 for climate impact assessments and challenges of addressing finer-scale watershed
1061 dynamics. *J. Hydrol.*, 522, 645-660.

1062 Kleidon, A., S. Schymanski, and M. Stieglitz (2009), Thermodynamics, irreversibility and
1063 optimality in land surface hydrology, in *Bioclimatology and natural hazards*, Strelcova,
1064 K., Matyas, C., eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, Netherlands.

1065 Klemeš, V. (1986). Dilettantism in hydrology: Transition or destiny? *Water Resour. Res.*,
1066 22(9), 177-188.

1067 Klemeš, V. (1988). A hydrological perspective. *J. Hydrol.*, 100, 3-28.

1068 Kolditz O., S. Bauer, L. Bilke, N. Böttcher, J.O. Delfs, T. Fischer, U. J. Görke, et al. (2012).
1069 OpenGeoSys: an open-source initiative for numerical simulation of thermo-hydro-

1070 mechanical/chemical (THM/C) processes in porous media. *Env. Earth Sci.*, 67(2), 589-
 1071 599.

1072 Kollet, S. J., and R. M. Maxwell (2006). Integrated surface-groundwater flow modeling: A
 1073 free-surface overland flow boundary condition in a parallel groundwater flow model. *Adv.*
 1074 *Water Resour.*, 29(7), 945-958.

1075 Kollet, S. J., and R. M. Maxwell (2008a). Capturing the influence of groundwater dynamics
 1076 on land surface processes using an integrated, distributed watershed model. *Water Resour.*
 1077 *Res.*, 44, W02402, doi:10.1029/2007WR006004.

1078 Kollet, S. J., and R. M. Maxwell (2008b). Demonstrating fractal scaling of baseflow
 1079 residence time distributions using a fully-coupled groundwater and land surface model.
 1080 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L07402, doi:10.1029/2008GL033215.

1081 Kollet, S. J., Maxwell, R. M., Woodward, C. S., Smith, S., Vanderborght, J., Vereecken, H.,
 1082 and Simmer, C. (2010). Proof of concept of regional scale hydrologic simulations at
 1083 hydrologic resolution utilizing massively parallel computer resources. *Water Resour. Res.*,
 1084 46, W04201, doi:10.1029/2009WR008730.

1085 Koutsoyiannis, D. (2010). A random walk on water. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 14, 586-601.

1086 Kowalsky, M. B., S. Finsterle, and Y. Rubin, (2004). Estimating flow parameter distributions
 1087 using ground-penetrating radar and hydrological measurements during transient flow in
 1088 the vadose zone. *Adv. Water Resour.*, 27(6), 583-599.

1089 Kuchment L. S. and A. N. Gelfan (1991) Dynamic-stochastic models of rainfall and
 1090 snowmelt runoff formation, *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 36:2, 153-169,
 1091 DOI:10.1080/02626669109492496

1092 Kuchment, L. S., E. L. Muzylev, and Z. P. Startseva (1996), The effects of land surface
 1093 heterogeneities on the hydrological cycle. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 55, 185-
 1094 192

1095 Kuchment, L.S., A. N. Gelfan, and V. N. Demidov (2000). A distributed model of runoff
 1096 generation in the permafrost regions. *J. Hydrol.*, 240, 1-22.

1097 Kumar, M., Bhatt, G., and C. J. Duffy (2010). An object-oriented shared data model for GIS
 1098 and distributed hydrologic models. *Int. J. Geogr. Inf. Sci.*, 24(7), 1061-1079.

1099 Kumar, M., C. J. Duffy, and K. M. Salvage (2009). A second order accurate, finite volume
 1100 based, integrated hydrologic modeling (FIHM) framework for simulation of surface and
 1101 subsurface flow. *Vadose Zone J.*, 8(4), 873-890.

1102 Lacasta, A., Morales-Hernández, M., Murillo, J., and García-Navarro, P. (2015). GPU
 1103 implementation of the 2D shallow water equations for the simulation of rainfall/runoff
 1104 events. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 1-11.

1105 Lai, W., F. L. Ogden, R. C. Steinke, and C. A. Talbot (2015), An efficient and guaranteed
 1106 stable numerical method for continuous modeling of infiltration and redistribution with a
 1107 shallow dynamic water table, *Water Resour. Res.*, 51, doi:10.1002/2014WR016487.

1108 Le, P. V. V., P. Kumar and D. T. Drewry (2011). Implications for the hydrologic cycle under
 1109 climate change due to the expansion of bioenergy crops in the Midwestern United States.
 1110 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 108, 15085-15090.

1111 Le, P. V. V., P. Kumar, A. J. Valocchi, and H.-V. Dang, (2015): GPU-based high-
 1112 performance computing for integrated surface-sub-surface flow modeling *Environ.*
 1113 *Modell. Softw.*, 73, 1-13, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.07.015

1114 Lehning, M., Völksch, I., Gustafsson, D., Nguyen, T., Stähli, M., and Zappa, M. (2006).
 1115 ALPINE3D: a detailed model of mountain surface processes and its application to snow
 1116 hydrology. *Hydrol. Process.*, 20, 2111-2128.

1117 Levin, S. (1999). *Fragile Dominion: Complexity and the Commons*, Perseus Publishing,
 1118 Cambridge MA, USA.

1119 Li, Q., Unger, A. J. A., Sudicky, E. A., Kassenaar, D., Wexler, E. J., and Shikaze, S. (2008).
 1120 Simulating the multi-seasonal response of a large-scale watershed with a 3D physically-
 1121 based hydrologic model. *J. Hydrol.*, 357(3), 317-336.

1122 Lin N., K. Emanuel, M. Oppenheimer and E. Vanmarcke (2012). Physically based
 1123 assessment of hurricane surge threat under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 2,
 1124 462-467.

1125 Loague, K., and J. E. VanderKwaak (2004). Physics-based hydrologic response simulation:
 1126 platinum bridge, 1958 Edsel, or useful tool. *Hydrol. Process.*, 18, 2949-2956.

1127 Loague, K., C. S. Heppner, B. A. Ebel, and J. E. VanderKwaak (2010). The quixotic search
 1128 for a comprehensive understanding of hydrologic response at the surface: Horton, Dunne,
 1129 Dunton, and the role of concept development simulations. *Hydrol. Process.*, 24, 2499-
 1130 2505.

1131 Loague, K., C. S. Heppner, B. B. Mirus, B. A. Ebel, Q. Ran, A. E. Carr, S. H. BeVille, and J.
 1132 E. VanderKwaak (2006). Physics-based hydrologic-response simulation: foundation for
 1133 hydroecology and hydrogeomorphology. *Hydrol. Process.*, 20, 1231-1237.

1134 Lott, P. A., H. F. Walker, C. S. Woodward, and U. M. Yang, (2012) An accelerated Picard
 1135 method for nonlinear systems related to variably saturated flow. *Adv. Water Resour.*, 38,
 1136 92-101 doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2011.12.013
 1137 Luce, C. H, Tarboton, D. G, Cooley, K. R., (1998). The influence of the spatial distribution of
 1138 snow on basin-averaged snowmelt. *Hydrol. Process.*, 12(10-11), 1671-1683.
 1139 Mahmood, T. H. and Vivoni, E. R. (2011). A climate-induced threshold in hydrologic
 1140 response in a semiarid ponderosa pine hillslope. *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W09529,
 1141 doi:10.1029/2011WR010384.
 1142 Mandelbrot, B. (1967). How long is the coast of Britain? statistical self-similarity and
 1143 fractional dimension. *Science*, 156(3775), 636-638.
 1144 Manning, L. J., J. W. Hall, H. J. Fowler, C. G. Kilsby, and C. Tebaldi (2009). Using
 1145 probabilistic climate change information from a multimodel ensemble for water resources
 1146 assessment. *Water Resour. Res.*, 45, W11411, doi:10.1029/2007WR006674.
 1147 Markstrom, S.L., Niswonger, R.G., Regan, R.S., Prudic, D.E., and Barlow, P.M., (2008).
 1148 GSFLOW-Coupled Ground-water and Surface-water FLOW model based on the
 1149 integration of the Precipitation-Runoff Modeling System (PRMS) and the Modular
 1150 Ground-Water Flow Model (MODFLOW-2005): U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and
 1151 Methods 6-D1, 240 pp.
 1152 Mascaro, G., Vivoni, E. R. and Deidda, R. (2010). Implications of ensemble quantitative
 1153 precipitation forecast errors on distributed streamflow forecasting. *J. Hydrometeorol.*,
 1154 11(1), 69-86.
 1155 Mascaro, G. and Vivoni, E. R. (2012). Utility of coarse and downscaled soil moisture
 1156 products at L-band for hydrologic modeling at the catchment scale. *Geophys. Res. Lett.*,
 1157 39, L10403, doi:10.1029/2012GL051809.
 1158 Mascaro, G., Vivoni, E.R., and Méndez-Barroso, L.A. (2015). Hyperresolution hydrologic
 1159 modeling in a regional watershed and its interpretation using Empirical Orthogonal
 1160 Functions. *Adv. Water Resour.*, 83, 190-206.
 1161 Massey, T. C., Pradhan, N.R., Byrd, A. R., and Cresitello, D. E. (2013). USACE-ERDC
 1162 Coastal Storm Modelling Systems in Support of Hurricane Sandy Operations, *Flood Risk*
 1163 *Management Newsletter*, 6(4) 2-3.
 1164 Maxwell, R. M., and S. J. Kollet (2008). Interdependence of groundwater dynamics and land-
 1165 energy feedbacks under climate change. *Nat. Geosci.*, 1, 665-669.

1166 Maxwell, R. M., et al. (2014). Surface-subsurface model intercomparison: A first set of
 1167 benchmark results to diagnose integrated hydrology and feedbacks. *Water Resour. Res.*,
 1168 50, 1531–1549, doi:10.1002/2013WR013725.

1169 Maxwell, R. M., F. K. Chow, and S. J. Kollet (2007). The groundwater-land-surface-
 1170 atmosphere connection: Soil moisture effects on the atmospheric boundary layer in fully-
 1171 coupled simulations. *Adv. Water Resour.*, 30, 2447-2466.

1172 Maxwell, R. M., J. D. Lundquist, J. D. Mirocha, S. G. Smith, C. S. Woodward, and A. F. B.
 1173 Tompson (2011). Development of a coupled groundwater-atmospheric model. *Mon. Weat.*
 1174 *Rev.*, 139, 96-116.

1175 Maxwell R. M., L. E. Condon, and S. J. Kollet (2015). A high-resolution simulation of
 1176 groundwater and surface water over most of the continental US with the integrated
 1177 hydrologic model ParFlow v3. *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 8, 923–937, doi:10.5194/gmd-8-923-
 1178 2015

1179 McDonnell, J. J., M. Sivapalan, K. Vaché, S. Dunn, G. Grant, R. Haggerty, C. Hinz, R.
 1180 Hooper, J. Kirchner, M. L. Roderick, J. Selker, and M. Weiler (2007). Moving beyond
 1181 heterogeneity and process complexity: A new vision for watershed hydrology. *Water*
 1182 *Resources Research*, 43, W07301, doi:10.1029/2006WR005467.

1183 Melillo, J. M., T. C. Richmond and G. W. Yohe, (2014). Eds., *Highlights of Climate Change*
 1184 *Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment*. U.S. Global Change
 1185 Research Program, 148 pp.

1186 Mendez-Barroso, L. A., Vivoni, E. R., Robles-Morua, A., Mascaro, G., Yopez, E. A.,
 1187 Rodriguez, J. C., Watts, C. J., Garatuza-Payan, J., and Saiz-Hernandez, J. (2014). A
 1188 modeling approach reveals differences in evapotranspiration and its partitioning in two
 1189 semiarid ecosystems in northwest Mexico. *Water Resour. Res.*, 50(4), 3229-3252.

1190 Mendicino, G., A. Senatore, G. Spezzano, and S. Straface, (2006). Three-dimensional
 1191 unsaturated flow modeling using cellular automata. *Water Resour. Res.*, 42, W11419
 1192 doi:10.1029/2005WR004472

1193 Mendoza, P. A., M. P. Clark, M. Barlage, B. Rajagopalan, L. Samaniego, G. Abramowitz,
 1194 and H. Gupta (2015). Are we unnecessarily constraining the agility of complex process-
 1195 based models? *Water Resour. Res.*, 51, 716–728, doi:10.1002/2014WR015820.

1196 Milly, P. C. D., J. Betancourt, M. Falkenmark, R. M. Hirsch, Z. W. Kundzewicz, D. P.
 1197 Lettenmaier, and R. J. Stouffer (2008). Stationarity is dead: whither water management?
 1198 *Science*, 319, 573-574.

1199 Milly, P. C. D., J. Betancourt, M. Falkenmark, R. M. Hirsch, Z. W. Kundzewicz, D. P.
1200 Lettenmaier, R. J. Stouffer, M. D. Dettinger, and V. Krysanova (2015), On Critiques of
1201 “Stationarity is Dead: Whither Water Management?”, *Water Resour. Res.*, 51,
1202 doi:10.1002/2015WR017408.

1203 Mirus, B. B., and K. Loague (2013). How runoff begins (and ends): Characterizing
1204 hydrologic response at the catchment scale. *Water Resour. Res.*, 49,
1205 doi:10.1002/wrcr.20218.

1206 Mirus, B. B. (2015). Evaluating the Importance of Characterizing Soil Structure and
1207 Horizons in Parameterizing a Hydrologic Process Model. *Hydrol. Process.*, HYP-14-
1208 0716.R1.

1209 Mirus, B. B., B. A. Ebel, C. S. Heppner, and K. Loague (2011a). Assessing the detail needed
1210 to capture rainfall-runoff dynamics with physics-based hydrologic response simulation.
1211 *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W00H10, doi:10.1029/2010WR009906.

1212 Mirus, B. B., K. Loague, N. C. Cristea, S. J. Burges, and S. K. Kampf (2011b). A synthetic
1213 hydrologic-response dataset. *Hydrol. Process.*, 25, 3688-3692.

1214 Moffett, K. B., Gorelick, S. M., McLaren, R. G., and Sudicky, E. A. (2012). Salt marsh
1215 ecohydrological zonation due to heterogeneous vegetation-groundwater-surface water
1216 interactions. *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W02516, doi:10.1029/2011WR010874.

1217 Montanari, A. (2005). Large sample behaviors of the generalized likelihood uncertainty
1218 estimation (GLUE) in assessing the uncertainty of rainfall runoff simulations. *Water*
1219 *Resour. Res.*, 41, W08406, doi:10.1029/2004WR003826.

1220 Montanari, A. (2007). What do we mean by 'uncertainty'? The need for a consistent wording
1221 about uncertainty assessment in hydrology. *Hydrol. Process.*, 21, 841-845.

1222 Montanari, A., and D. Koutsoyiannis (2012). A blueprint for process-based modeling of
1223 uncertain hydrological systems. *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W09555,
1224 doi:10.1029/2011WR011412.

1225 Moreno, H. A., E. R. Vivoni, and D. J. Gochis (2013). Limits to flood forecasting in the
1226 Colorado Front Range for two summer convection periods using radar nowcasting and a
1227 distributed hydrologic model. *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 14(4), 1075-1097.

1228 Muneeppeerakul, R., E. Bertuzzo, H. J. Lynch, W. F. Fagan, A. Rinaldo, and I. Rodriguez-
1229 Iturbe (2008). Neutral metacommunity models predict fish diversity patterns in
1230 Mississippi-Missouri basin. *Nature*, 453, 220-223.

1231 Neuweiler, I., and O. A. Cirpka, (2005). Homogenization of Richards equation in
 1232 permeability fields with different connectivities. *Water Resour. Res.*, 41, W02009
 1233 doi:10.1029/2004WR00332
 1234 Ng, G.-H. C., D. R. Bedford, and D. M. Miller (2014). A mechanistic modeling and data
 1235 assimilation framework for Mojave Desert ecohydrology. *Water Resour. Res.*, 50, 4662–
 1236 4685, doi:10.1002/2014WR015281
 1237 Nicolau, J.-M. (2002). Run-off generation and routing on artificial slopes in a Mediterranean-
 1238 continental environment: the Teruel coalfield, Spain. *Hydrol. Process.*, 16, 631-647.
 1239 Niedzialek, J.M, and F.L. Ogden (2004). Numerical investigation of saturated source area
 1240 behavior at the small catchment scale. *Adv. Water Resour.* 27, 925–936.
 1241 Niessner, J., and S. M. Hassanizadeh (2008), A model for two-phase flow in porous media
 1242 including fluid-fluid interfacial area, *Water Resour. Res.*, 44, W08439,
 1243 doi:10.1029/2007WR006721
 1244 Nimmo, J. R. (2012), Preferential flow occurs in unsaturated conditions. *Hydrol. Process.*,
 1245 26: 786–789. doi:10.1002/hyp.8380
 1246 Niswonger, R. G., K. K. Allander and A. E. Jeton (2014). Collaborative modelling and
 1247 integrated decision support system analysis of a developed terminal lake basin. *J. Hydrol.*,
 1248 517, 521-537.
 1249 Niu, G.-Y., C. Paniconi, P. A. Troch, R. L. Scott, M. Durcik, X. Zeng, T. Huxman, and D. C.
 1250 Goodrich (2014a). An integrated modelling framework of catchment-scale
 1251 ecohydrological processes: 1. Model description and tests over an energy-limited
 1252 watershed. *Ecohydrol.*, 7, 427-439.
 1253 Niu, G.-Y., Pasetto, D., Scudeler, C., Paniconi, C., Putti, M., Troch, P. A., DeLong, S. B.,
 1254 Dontsova, K., Pangle, L., Breshears, D. D., Chorover, J., Huxman, T. E., Pelletier, J.,
 1255 Saleska, S. R., and Zeng, X. (2014b). Incipient subsurface heterogeneity and its effect on
 1256 overland flow generation – insight from a modeling study of the first experiment at the
 1257 Biosphere 2 Landscape Evolution Observatory. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18, 1873-1883.
 1258 Niu, J., C. Shen, S.-G. Li, and M. S. Phanikumar (2014c). Quantifying storage changes in
 1259 regional Great Lakes watersheds using a coupled subsurface-land surface process model
 1260 and GRACE, MODIS products. *Water Resour. Res.*, 50(9), 7359-7377.
 1261 Niu, J., and M. S. Phanikumar (2015). Modeling watershed-scale solute transport using an
 1262 integrated, process-based hydrologic model with applications to bacterial fate and
 1263 transport. *J. Hydrol.* 529, 35–48. doi:10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.07.013

1264 Ogden, F.L., and D.R. Dawdy, (2003). Peak discharge scaling in a small Hortonian
 1265 watershed. *J. Hydrol. Engrg.*, 8(2):64-73.

1266 Ogden, F.L., N.R. Pradhan, C.W. Downer, J.A. Zahner (2011). Relative importance of
 1267 impervious area, drainage density, width function, and subsurface storm drainage on flood
 1268 runoff from an urbanized catchment. *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W12503,
 1269 doi:10.1029/2011WR010550.

1270 Ogden, F. L., and R.F. Stallard, (2013). Land use effects on ecosystem service provisioning
 1271 in tropical watersheds, still an important unsolved problem. *Proceedings of the National*
 1272 *Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110(52), E5037.
 1273 doi:10.1073/pnas.1314747111

1274 Ogden, F.L., T.D. Crouch, R.F. Stallard, and J.S. Hall (2013). Effect of land cover and use on
 1275 dry season river runoff, runoff efficiency, and peak storm runoff in the seasonal tropics of
 1276 Central Panama. *Water Resour. Res.*, 49, 8443–8462, doi:10.1002/2013WR013956.

1277 Ogden, F.L., W. Lai, R.C. Steinke, (2015a). ADHydro- Quasi-3D high-performance
 1278 hydrologic model. Proc. SEDHYD 2015, 3rd Joint Federal Interagency Conference (10th
 1279 Federal Interagency Sedimentation Conference and 5th Federal Interagency Hydrologic
 1280 Modeling Conference) April 19-23, Reno, Nevada.

1281 Ogden, F. L., W. Lai, R. C. Steinke, J. Zhu, C. A. Talbot, and J. L. Wilson (2015b), A new
 1282 general 1-D vadose zone solution method, *Water Resour. Res.*, 51,
 1283 doi:10.1002/2015WR017126.

1284 Ogden, F. L., W. Lai, R. C. Steinke, and J. Zhu (2015c), Validation of finite water-content
 1285 vadose zone dynamics method using column experiments with a moving water table and
 1286 applied surface flux, *Water Resour. Res.*, doi:10.1002/2014WR016454.

1287 Panciera, R., Walker, J.P. Jackson, T.J. Gray, D.A. Tanase, M.A. Dongryeol Ryu, Monerris,
 1288 A., Yardley, H., Rudiger, C., Xiaoling Wu, Ying Gao, and Hacker, J.M. (2014). The Soil
 1289 Moisture Active Passive Experiments (SMAPEX): Toward soil moisture retrieval from
 1290 the SMAP Mission. *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote Sensing*, 52(1), 490-507.

1291 Panday, S., and P. S. Huyakorn (2004). A fully coupled physically-based spatially-distributed
 1292 model for evaluating surface/subsurface flow. *Adv. Water Resour.*, 27(4), 361-382.

1293 Paniconi, C., and M. Putti (1994). A comparison of Picard and Newton iteration in the
 1294 numerical solution of multidimensional variably saturated flow problems, *Water Resour.*
 1295 *Res.*, 30, 3357–3374.

1296 Paniconi, C., and M. Putti (2015), Physically based modeling in catchment hydrology at 50:
 1297 Survey and outlook, *Water Resour. Res.*, 51, doi:10.1002/2015WR017780.

1298 Paola, C., E. Foufoula-Georgiou, W. E. Dietrich, M. Hondzo, D. Mohrig, G. Parker, M. E.
1299 Power, I. Rodriguez-Iturbe, V. Voller, and P. Wilcock (2006). Toward a unified science of
1300 the Earth's surface: Opportunities for synthesis among hydrology, geomorphology,
1301 geochemistry, and ecology. *Water Resour. Res.*, 42, W03S10,
1302 doi:10.1029/2005WR00433.

1303 Pappas C., S. Fatichi, S. Leuzinger, A. Wolf, and P. Burlando (2013). Sensitivity analysis of
1304 a process-based ecosystem model: pinpointing parameterization and structural issues. *J.*
1305 *Geophys. Res.*, 118, 2, 505-528.

1306 Park, Y. J., Sudicky, E. A., Panday, S., and Matanga, G. (2009). Implicit subtime stepping for
1307 solving nonlinear flow equations in an integrated surface–subsurface system. *Vadose Zone*
1308 *J.*, 8(4), 825-836.

1309 Park, Y. J., Sudicky, E. A., Panday, S., Sykes, J. F., and Guvanasen, V. (2008). Application
1310 of implicit sub-time stepping to simulate flow and transport in fractured porous media.
1311 *Adv. Water Resour.*, 31(7), 995-1003.

1312 Park, Y.-J., E. A. Sudicky, A. E. Brookfield, and J. P. Jones (2011). Hydrologic response of
1313 catchments to precipitation: Quantification of mechanical carriers and origins of
1314 water. *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W12515, doi:10.1029/2010WR010075.

1315 Parker, D. C., S. M. Manson, M. A. Janssen, M. J. Hoffman, and P. Deadman (2003). Multi-
1316 agent systems for the simulation of land-use and land-cover change: A review. *Annals of*
1317 *the Association of American Geographers*, 93(2), 314-337.

1318 Pasetto, D., M. Camporese and M. Putti (2012). Ensemble Kalman filter versus particle filter
1319 for a physically-based coupled surface–subsurface model. *Adv. Water Resour.*, 47, 1-13.

1320 Pasetto, D., Putti, M., and Yeh, W. W. G. (2013). A reduced-order model for groundwater
1321 flow equation with random hydraulic conductivity: Application to Monte Carlo methods.
1322 *Water Resour. Res.*, 49(6), 3215-3228.

1323 Pau, G. S. H., C. Shen, W. J. Riley and Y. Liu (2016). Accurate and efficient prediction of
1324 fine-resolution hydrologic and carbon dynamic simulations from coarse-resolution
1325 models. *Water Resour. Res.* doi:10.1002/2015WR017782

1326 Pierini N. A., E. R. Vivoni, A. Robles-Morua, R. L. Scott and M. A. Nearing (2014). Using
1327 observations and a distributed hydrologic model to explore runoff thresholds linked with
1328 mesquite encroachment in the Sonoran Desert. *Water Resour. Res.*, 50(10), 8191-8215

1329 Pimm, S. L. (1984). The complexity and stability of ecosystems. *Nature*, 307(5949), 321-
1330 326.

1331 Piras, M., Mascaro, G., Deidda, R., and Vivoni, E. R. (2014). Quantification of hydrologic
1332 impacts of climate change in a Mediterranean basin in Sardinia, Italy, through high-
1333 resolution simulations. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 18(12), 5201-5217.

1334 Pomeroy, J. W., D. M. Gray, T. Brown, N. R. Hedstrom, W. L. Quinton, R. J. Granger, and
1335 S. K. Carey (2007). The Cold Regions Hydrological Model, a platform for basing process
1336 representation and model structure on physical evidence. *Hydrol. Process.*, 21(19), 2650-
1337 2667.

1338 Pradhan, N. R., C. W. Downer, and B. E. Johnson (2014). A physics based hydrologic
1339 modeling approach to simulate non-point source pollution for the purposes of calculating
1340 TMDLs and designing abatement measures.” Chapter 9, *Practical aspects of*
1341 *computational chemistry III*, J. Leszczynski and M. K. Shukla, eds., Springer, New York,
1342 249–282.

1343 Qu, Y., and C. J. Duffy (2007). A semidiscrete finite volume formulation for multiprocess
1344 watershed simulation. *Water Resour. Res.*, 43, W08419, doi:10.1029/2006WR005752.

1345 Rahman, M., M. Sulis, and S. J. Kollet (2014). The concept of dual-boundary forcing in land
1346 surface-subsurface interactions of the terrestrial hydrologic and energy cycles. *Water*
1347 *Resour. Res.*, 50, 8531-8548, doi:10.1002/2014WR015738.

1348 Raia, S., Alvioli, M., Rossi, M., Baum, R.L., Godt, J.W., and Guzzetti, R. (2014), Improving
1349 predictive power of physically based rainfall-induced shallow landslide models: a
1350 probabilistic approach, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 7, 495-514, doi:10.5194/gmd-7-
1351 495-2014.

1352 Ran Q., D.Y. Su, X. Fu, and G. Wang (2013). A physics-based hydro-geomorphologic
1353 simulation utilizing cluster parallel computing. *Science China Technological Sciences*,
1354 56(8), 1883-1895.

1355 Razavi, S., B. A. Tolson, and D. H. Burn (2012a). Numerical assessment of metamodelling
1356 strategies in computationally intensive optimization. *Env. Model. Soft.*, 34, 67-86.

1357 Razavi, S., B. A. Tolson, and D. H. Burn (2012b). Review of surrogate modeling in water
1358 resources. *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W07401, doi:10.1029/2011WR011527.

1359 Renard, B., D. Kavetski, G. Kuczera, M. Thyer, and S. W. Franks (2010), Understanding
1360 predictive uncertainty in hydrologic modeling: The challenge of identifying input and
1361 structural errors, *Water Resour. Res.*, 46, W05521, doi:10.1029/2009WR008328.

1362 Restrepo, P. J., and R. L. Bras (1985). A view of maximum-likelihood estimation with large
1363 conceptual hydrologic models. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 17(4), 375-403.

- Rigon, R., G. Bertoldi, and T. M. Over (2006). GEOTop: A distributed hydrological model with coupled water and energy budgets. *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 7(3), 371-388.
- Rihani, J. F., R. M. Maxwell, and F. K. Chow (2010). Coupling groundwater and land surface processes: Idealized simulations to identify effects of terrain and subsurface heterogeneity on land surface energy fluxes. *Water Resour. Res.*, 46, W12523, doi:10.1029/2010WR009111.
- Rihani, J. F., F. K. Chow, and R. M. Maxwell (2015), Isolating effects of terrain and soil moisture heterogeneity on the atmospheric boundary layer: Idealized simulations to diagnose land atmosphere feedbacks, *J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst.*, 7, 915–937, doi:10.1002/2014MS000371.
- Rinaldo, A. (2009). Il governo dell'acqua. Ambiente naturale e ambiente costruito, Marsilio Editore, Venezia, (In Italian).
- Rinehart, A. J., E. R. Vivoni, and P. D. Brooks (2008). Effects of vegetation, albedo and solar radiation sheltering on the distribution of snow in the Valles Caldera, New Mexico. *Ecohydrol.*, 1(3), 253-270.
- Robinson, D. A., Binley, A., Crook, N., Day-Lewis, F. D., Ferré, T. P. A., Grauch, V. J. S., Knight, R., Knoll, M., Lakshmi, V., Miller, R., Nyquist, J., Pellerin, L., Singha, K. and Slater, L. (2008). Advancing process-based watershed hydrological research using near-surface geophysics: a vision for, and review of, electrical and magnetic geophysical methods. *Hydrol. Process.*, 22, 3604-3635.
- Robles-Morua, A., Mayer, A. S., Auer, M. T. and Vivoni, E. R. (2012). Modeling riverine pathogen fate and transport in Mexican rural communities and its associated public health implications. *J. Env. Management*, 113, 61-70.
- Rodriguez-Iturbe, I., and A. Rinaldo (1997). *Fractal River Basins: Chance and Self-Organization*, Cambridge University Press. Cambridge UK.
- Samaniego, L., R. Kumar, and S. Attinger (2010). Multiscale parameter regionalization of a grid-based hydrologic model at the mesoscale *Water Resour. Res.*, 46(5) W05523.
- Santanello, J., C. Peters-Lidard, and S. Kumar (2011). Diagnosing the sensitivity of local land-atmosphere coupling via the soil moisture-boundary layer interaction. *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 12(5), 766-786.
- Schwarzschild, K. (1916). On the gravitational field of a sphere of incompressible fluid according to Einstein's theory, *Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Berlin (Math. Phys.)*, 424-434.

1397 Seibert, J., and J. J. McDonnell, (2002). On the dialog between experimentalist and modeler
 1398 in catchment hydrology: Use of soft data for multicriteria model calibration. *Water*
 1399 *Resour. Res.*, 38(11), 1241. doi:10.1029/2001WR000978.
 1400 Seibert, J. (2003), Reliability of model predictions outside calibration conditions, *Nord.*
 1401 *Hydrol.*, 34, 477–492.
 1402 Senarath, S.U.S., F.L. Ogden, C.W. Downer and H.O. Sharif (2000). On the calibration and
 1403 verification of two-dimensional distributed, Hortonian, continuous watershed models,
 1404 *Water Resour. Res.*, 36(6) 1495-1510.
 1405 Shao, W., Bogaard, T. A., Bakker, M., and Greco, R. (2015). Quantification of the influence
 1406 of preferential flow on slope stability using a numerical modelling approach, *Hydrol.*
 1407 *Earth Syst. Sci.*, 19, 2197-2212, doi:10.5194/hess-19-2197-2015..
 1408 Shen, C., and M. S. Phanikumar (2010). A process-based, distributed hydrologic model based
 1409 on a large-scale method for surface–subsurface coupling. *Adv. Water Resour.*, 33, 1524-
 1410 1541.
 1411 Shen, C., J. Niu, and M. S. Phanikumar (2013). Evaluating controls on coupled hydrologic
 1412 and vegetation dynamics in a humid continental climate watershed using a subsurface-land
 1413 surface processes model. *Water Resour. Res.*, 49, doi:10.1002/wrcr.20189.
 1414 Shen, C., J. Niu, and K. Fang (2014). Quantifying the effects of data integration algorithms
 1415 on the outcomes of a subsurface–land surface processes model, *Environ. Modell. Softw.*,
 1416 59, 146–161, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2014.05.006
 1417 Shen, C., W.J. Riley, K. M. Smithgall, J. M. Melack and K. Fang (2016). The fan of
 1418 influence of streams and channel feedbacks to simulated land surface water and carbon
 1419 dynamics. *Water Resour. Res.* doi:10.1002/2015WR018086
 1420 Shrestha P., M. Sulis, M. Masbou, S. Kollet, and C. Simmer (2014). A scale-consistent
 1421 terrestrial systems modeling platform based on COSMO, CLM, and ParFlow. *Mon. Weat.*
 1422 *Rev.*, 142, 3466-3483.
 1423 Simoni, S., Zanotti, F., Bertoldi, G. and Rigon, R., (2008). Modelling the probability of
 1424 occurrence of shallow landslides and channelized debris flows using GEOtop-FS. *Hydrol.*
 1425 *Process.*, 22, 532-545.
 1426 Šimůnek, J., N.J. Jarvis, M.T. van Genuchten, and A. Gärdenäs (2003), Review and
 1427 comparison of models describing non-equilibrium and preferential flow and transport in
 1428 the vadose zone, *J Hydrol.*, 272, 14–35.

1429 Singha, K., Day-Lewis, F.D., Johnson, T., and Slater, L.D. (2014). Advances in interpretation
1430 of subsurface processes with time-lapse electrical imaging. *Hydrol. Process.*, 29(6) 1549-
1431 1576, doi: 10.1002/hyp.10280

1432 Siqueira, M., G. Katul, and A. Porporato (2009). Soil moisture feedbacks on convection
1433 triggers: The role of soil-plant hydrodynamics. *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 10, 96-112.

1434 Sivapalan, M. (2003). Process complexity at hillslope scale, process simplicity at the
1435 watershed scale: is there a connection? *Hydrol. Process.*, 17, 1037-1041.

1436 Sivapalan, M., Blöschl, G., Zhang, L., and Vertessy, R. (2003). Downward approach to
1437 hydrological prediction. *Hydrol. Process.*, 17(11), 2101-2111.

1438 Sivapalan, M., Savenije, H. H. G. and Blöschl, G. (2012), Socio-hydrology: A new science of
1439 people and water. *Hydrol. Process.*, 26: 1270–1276. doi: 10.1002/hyp.8426

1440 Sivapalan, M., M. Konar, V. Srinivasan, A. Chhatre, A. Wutich, C. A. Scott, J. L. Wescoat,
1441 and I. Rodríguez-Iturbe (2014), Socio-hydrology: Use-inspired water sustainability
1442 science for the Anthropocene, *Earth's Future*, 2, doi:10.1002/2013EF000164.

1443 Skahill, B., J. S. Baggett, S. Frankenstein, and C W. Downer (2009). PEST compatible
1444 efficiency enhancements for Levenberg-Marquardt method based model independent
1445 calibration. *Environ. Modell. Softw.*, 24, 517-529.

1446 Slaughter, C. W., D. Marks, G. N. Flerchinger, S. S. VanVactor, and M. Burgess (2001).
1447 Thirty-five years of research data collection at the Reynolds Creek Experimental
1448 Watershed, Idaho, United States. *Water Resour. Res.*, 37(11), 2819-2823.

1449 Smith, J. A., (1992). Representation of basin scale in flood peak distribution. *Water Resour.*
1450 *Res.*, 28(11): 2993–2999.

1451 Srinivasan, V., E. F. Lambin, S. M. Gorelick, B. H. Thompson, and S. Rozelle (2012). The
1452 nature and causes of the global water crisis: Syndromes from a meta-analysis of coupled
1453 human-water studies. *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W10516, doi:10.1029/2011WR011087.

1454 Steele-Dunne, S. C., M. M. Rutten, D. M. Krzeminska, M. Hausner, S. W. Tyler, J. Selker, T.
1455 A. Bogaard, and N. C. van de Giesen (2010). Feasibility of soil moisture estimation using
1456 passive distributed temperature sensing. *Water Resour. Res.*, 46, W03534,
1457 doi:10.1029/2009WR008272.

1458 Steinschneider S., Wi S. and Brown C. (2014), The integrated effects of climate and
1459 hydrologic uncertainty on future flood risk assessments, *Hydrol. Process.*, doi:
1460 10.1002/hyp.10409

1461 Stephenson G, R., and R. A. Freeze (1974). Mathematical simulation of subsurface flow
 1462 contributions to snowmelt and runoff, Reynolds Creek watershed, Idaho. *Water Resour.*
 1463 *Res.*, 10, 284-294.

1464 Sudicky E. A., J. P. Jones, Y.-J. Park, A. E. Brookfield and D. Colautti (2008). Simulating
 1465 complex flow and transport dynamics in an integrated surface-subsurface modeling
 1466 framework. *Geosciences Journal*, 12(2), 107-122.

1467 Sulis M., Paniconi C., Marrocu M., Huard D., Chaumont D. (2012). Hydrologic response to
 1468 multimodel climate output using a physically based model of groundwater/surface water
 1469 interactions. *Water Resour. Res.*, 48, W12510, doi:10.1029/2012WR012

1470 Swank W. T. and D. A. Crossley (1988). *Forest Hydrology and Ecology at Coweeta*,
 1471 Springer-Verlag, New York.

1472 Tague, C. L. (2009). Assessing climate change impacts on alpine stream-flow and vegetation
 1473 water use: mining the linkages with subsurface hydrologic processes. *Hydrol. Process.*,
 1474 23, 1815-1819.

1475 Tague, C. L., and L. E. Band (2004). RHESSys: Regional Hydro-Ecologic Simulation
 1476 System: An object-oriented approach to spatially distributed modeling of carbon, water,
 1477 and nutrient cycling. *Earth Interact.*, 8(19), 1-42.

1478 Talbot, C. A., and F. L. Ogden (2008). A method for computing infiltration and redistribution
 1479 in a discretized moisture content domain, *Water Resour. Res.*, 44, W08453,
 1480 doi:10.1029/2008WR006815.

1481 Tocci, M. D., C. T. Kelley, and C. T. Miller (1997), Accurate and economical solution of the
 1482 pressure-head form of Richards' equation by the method of lines, *Adv. Wat. Resour.*, 20(1),
 1483 1-14.

1484 Troch, P. A., G. A. Carrillo, I. Heidbüchel, S. Rajagopal, M. Switanek, T. H. M. Volkmann
 1485 and M. Yaeger (2008). Dealing with landscape heterogeneity in watershed hydrology: A
 1486 review of recent progress toward new hydrological theory. *Geography Compass*, 3, 375-
 1487 392. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-8198.2008.00186.x

1488 Tromp-van Meerveld, H. J., A. L. James, J. J. McDonnell, and N. E. Peters (2008). A
 1489 reference data set of hillslope rainfall runoff response, Panola Mountain Research
 1490 Watershed, United States. *Water Resour. Res.*, 44, W06502, doi:10.1029/2007WR006299.

1491 Tyree, M. T. (1997). The cohesion-tension theory of sap ascent: current controversies.
 1492 *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 48 (315), 1753-1765.

1493 Tyree, M. T. (2003). The ascent of water. *Nature*, 423, 923.

1494 Uhlenbrook S, Seibert J, Leibundgut C, Rohde A. (1999). Prediction uncertainty of
 1495 conceptual rainfall-runoff models caused by problems in identifying model parameters and
 1496 structure. *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 44, 779–797.

1497 van Roosmalen, L., T. O. Sonnenborg, and K. H. Jensen (2009). The impact of climate and
 1498 land use change on the hydrology of a large-scale agricultural catchment, *Water Resour.*
 1499 *Res.*, 45, W00A15, doi:10.1029/2007WR006760.

1500 VanderKwaak, J. E., and K. Loague (2001). Hydrologic-response simulations for the R-5
 1501 catchment with a comprehensive physics-based model. *Water Resour. Res.*, 37(4), 999-
 1502 1013.

1503 Vinogradov Y., Semenova O., and Vinogradova T. (2011). An approach to the scaling
 1504 problem in hydrological modelling: the deterministic modelling hydrological system.
 1505 *Hydrol. Process.* 25, 1055-1073.

1506 Vivoni E. R. (2012a). Spatial patterns, processes and predictions in ecohydrology: Integrating
 1507 technologies to meet the challenge. *Ecohydrol.*, 5(3), 235-241.

1508 Vivoni, E. R. (2012b). Diagnosing seasonal vegetation impacts on evapotranspiration and its
 1509 partitioning at the catchment scale during SMEX04-NAME. *J. Hydrometeorol.*, 13, 1631-
 1510 1638.

1511 Vivoni, E. R., J. C. Rodriguez, and C. J. Watts (2010). On the spatiotemporal variability of
 1512 soil moisture and evapotranspiration in a mountainous basin within the North American
 1513 monsoon region. *Water Resour. Res.*, 46, W02509, doi:10.1029/2009WR008240.

1514 Vivoni, E. R., G. Mascaro, S. Mniszewski, P. Fasel, E. P. Springer, V. Y. Ivanov, and R. L.
 1515 Bras (2011). Real-world hydrologic assessment of a fully-distributed hydrological model
 1516 in a parallel computing environment, *J. Hydrology*, 409, 483-496.

1517 Vivoni, E. R., Rango, A., Anderson, C. A., Pierini, N. A., Schreiner-McGraw, A., Saripalli,
 1518 S., and Laliberte, A. S. (2014). Ecohydrology with unmanned aerial vehicles. *Ecosphere*,
 1519 5(10), art130.

1520 Vrugt, J. A., C. G. H. Diks, H. V. Gupta, W. Bouten, and J. M. Verstraten (2005). Improved
 1521 treatment of uncertainty in hydrologic modeling: Combining the strengths of global
 1522 optimization and data assimilation. *Water Resour. Res.*, 41, W01017,
 1523 doi:10.1029/2004WR003059.

1524 Vrugt, J. A., C. J. F. ter Braak, C. G. H. Diks, and G. Schoups (2013). Hydrologic data
 1525 assimilation using particle Markov chain Monte Carlo simulation: Theory, concepts and
 1526 applications. *Adv. Water Resour.*, 51, 457-478.

1527 Wagener, T., M. Sivapalan, P. Troch, and R. Woods (2007). Catchment classification and
1528 hydrologic similarity. *Geography Compass*, 1(4), 901-931.

1529 Wang, C., Q. Duan, W. Gong, A. Ye, Z. Di, and C. Miao (2014). An evaluation of adaptive
1530 surrogate modeling based optimization with two benchmark problems. *Environ. Modell.*
1531 *Softw.*, 60, 167-179.

1532 Wang, J., and R. L. Bras (2009). A model of surface heat fluxes based on the theory of
1533 maximum entropy production. *Water Resour. Res.*, 45, W11422,
1534 doi:10.1029/2009WR007900.

1535 Wang, J., and R. L. Bras (2010). An extremum solution of the Monin-Obukhov similarity
1536 equations. *J. Atmospheric Sciences*, 67, 485-499.

1537 Weiler, M., and J. J. McDonnell (2004). Virtual experiments: a new approach for improving
1538 process conceptualization in hillslope hydrology. *J. Hydrol.*, 285, 3-18.

1539 Weill, S., A. Mazzia, M. Putti, and C. Paniconi (2011). Coupling water flow and solute
1540 transport into a physically-based surface–subsurface hydrological model. *Adv. Water*
1541 *Resour.*, 34(1), 128-136.

1542 Western A. W. and R. B. Grayson (1998). The Tarrawarra data set: soil moisture patterns,
1543 soil characteristics and hydrological flux measurements. *Water Resour. Res.*, 34(10),
1544 2765-2768.

1545 White, D. D., Wutich, A. Y., Larson, K. L., Gober, P., Lant, T. and C. M. Senneville (2010).
1546 Credibility, salience, and legitimacy of boundary objects: Water managers' assessment of a
1547 simulation model in an immersive decision theater. *Science and Public Policy*, 37(3), 219-
1548 232.

1549 Wood, E. F., et al. (2011), Hyperresolution global land surface modeling: Meeting a grand
1550 challenge for monitoring Earth's terrestrial water, *Water Resour. Res.*, 47, W05301,
1551 doi:10.1029/2010WR010090.

1552 Woolhiser, D. A. (1996). Search for physically based runoff model - a hydrological El
1553 Dorado? *J. Hydraulic Eng.*, 122(3), 122-129.

1554 Xiang, T. T., Vivoni, E. R., and Gochis, D. J. (2014). Seasonal evolution of ecohydrological
1555 controls on land surface temperature over complex terrain. *Water Resour. Res.*, 50(5),
1556 3852-3874.

1557 Yetemen, O., Istanbuluoglu, E. I., Flores-Cervantes, J. H., Vivoni, E. R., and Bras, R. L.
1558 (2015). Ecohydrologic role of solar radiation on landscape evolution. *Water Resour. Res.*,
1559 51(2), 1127-1157.

1560 Zacharias, S., et al. (2011). A network of terrestrial environmental observatories in Germany,
1561 *Vadose Zone J.*, 10, 955-973.

1562

1563

1564

1566

1567 **Figure 1.** High-resolution (~100 m) un-calibrated hydrological simulations with the process-
1568 based ecohydrological model Tethys-Chloris at the hourly time scale for the Kleine-Emme
1569 catchment (477 km²) (Switzerland) for the period 1st October 2000 to 30th September 2004.
1570 Spatially distributed forcing was provided by Meteo-Swiss and includes hourly station
1571 measurements of air temperature, wind speed, relative humidity, shortwave radiation and a
1572 gridded precipitation product RhiresD. Simulation results are presented for distributed
1573 evapotranspiration averaged over the four years (a) and streamflow at the catchment outlet
1574 (b). The match in water budget amount (Q_{obs} and Q_{sim} are the observed and simulated annual

mean streamflow, respectively) and temporal dynamics (coefficient of determination R^2 , Nash-Sutcliffe efficiency, NS, and Root Mean Square Error, RMSE) between simulations and observations is very satisfactory, despite strong spatial heterogeneity in simulated evapotranspiration (not testable with current observations) and lack of calibration at the catchment scale.

Figure 2. High-resolution (~ 70 m) hydrologic simulations with the tRIBS model in the Rio San Miguel basin (3796 km^2), Mexico, from January, 1st 2004 to December 31st, 2010. Spatially-distributed hydrometeorological forcings were provided by hourly products from the North America Land Data Assimilation System (NLDAS), bias-corrected with ground observations. Hydrologic simulations were validated by comparing (i) time series of simulated and observed soil moisture (SM) and land surface temperature (LST) at nine distributed locations, and (ii) simulated SM and LST maps against remote sensing products from the 2D-Synthetic Aperture Radiometer (2D-STAR) and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), respectively. The LST maps simulated by tRIBS and observed by MODIS on August, 25th 2004 are presented in panels (a) and (b), respectively. A root mean square error of $4.0 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ and a correlation coefficient of 0.67 were obtained after

resampling the simulated LST at the coarser MODIS resolution (1 km). The basin-averaged time series of (i) daily total P, (ii) daily average surface (top 5 cm) and root zone (top 1 m) SM, and (iii) daily average LST are reported in panels (c)-(e). Adapted from Mascaro et al. (2015).

Figure 3: A watershed scale – urban flood simulation with a coupled hydrologic and hydrodynamic model, tRIBS-VEGGIE-FEaST for a ‘thousand-year’ flood event in early May 2010, Nashville (TN). A $\sim 1,000 \text{ km}^2$ watershed (the left panel) contains naturally vegetated and agricultural areas, an urban center (over 500,000 buildings), contiguous channel and floodplain areas, and several upstream reservoirs. Seamless flood modeling for such a diverse domain requires a suite of interacting process-based models, ranging from spatially explicit rainfall-runoff partition to reservoir controls, and to hydraulic modeling that accounts for flood wave propagation and impediment by buildings. Multi-scale resolutions are necessary, ranging from few hundred meters for the watershed area, few decameters in the channel and floodplain, and few meters in the city downtown. The land-use and inundation maps (flow depths) are presented in the right panels, in which the downtown of Nashville with inundated water levels is highlighted. Satellite imagery and 3D buildings are based on satellite imagery processed by Google Earth.