Article Title: Laser-Doppler Measurements of the Turbulent Mixing of Two Rectangular Water Jets

Impinging on a Stationary Pool

Authors:

1. Huhu Wang

Email: huhuwang@tamu.edu

Address: Department of Nuclear Engineering, Texas A&M University, 3133 TAMU, College Station, Texas,
US 77843-3133

2. Saya Lee

Email: sayalee@tamu.edu

Address: Department of Nuclear Engineering, Texas A&M University, 3133 TAMU, College Station, Texas,
US 77843-3133

3. Yassin A. Hassan

Email: y-hassan@tamu.edu

Address: Department of Nuclear Engineering, Texas A&M University, 3133 TAMU, College Station, Texas,
US 77843-3133

4. Arthur E. Ruggles

Email: aruggles@utk.edu

Address: Department of Nuclear Engineering, University of Tennessee – Knoxville, 1004
Estabrook Rd, Knoxville TN, US 37996-2300

Article Title: Laser-Doppler Measurements of the Turbulent Mixing of Two Rectangular Water
Jets Impinging on a Stationary Pool

Abstract

Turbulent mixing of parallel jet flows has broad engineering applications. For example, in Gen IV conceptual nuclear reactors, high-temperature flows mix in the lower plenum before entering the secondary cooling system. The mixing condition needs to be accurately estimated and fully understood. In addition, massive computational works involved in the design process necessitate high-fidelity experimental data sets for benchmarking simulation results.

The purpose of this study is to use laser Doppler anemometry, a non-intrusive measuring technique, to evaluate the mixing characteristics of two submerged parallel jets issuing from two rectangular channels. The jets with a small spacing ratio of 3.1 were at room temperature. Flow characteristics including distributions of mean velocities, turbulence intensities, and Reynolds stresses were studied for the cases with equal and non-equal discharge velocities. The merging point (MP) was found to be between $y/a = 1.72$ and $y/a = 3.45$. The combining point (CP) was at $y/a = 15.52$. The Reynolds shear stress, a measure of the intensity of momentum transfer, reached its maximum after the merging point. An uncertainty analysis indicated that the average standard deviations of the streamwise mean velocity U and turbulence intensity U_{rms} at all locations in the five days' measurements were 1.5% and 1.6%, respectively. Spectral analyses including fast Fourier transform, power spectral density estimation and continuous wavelet transform revealed the scale and the evolution in time of varied-size eddies in the mixing region of the flow. Repeating flow structures were observed in different time segments.

The experimental data obtained from the LDA measurements of the averaged quantities and transient are not only valid for benchmarking steady-state numerical simulations using turbulence models to solve RANS equations but they also enlarge the database of the experimental data for twin jets.

Keywords

LDA, Turbulent Mixing, Parallel Jets, Wavelet Analysis

1. Introduction

High temperature gas-cooled and sodium-cooled reactors have been designated as two of the six candidate reactors for next generation, also Generation IV, (Gen. IV) nuclear power plants. The design process needs a large amount of numerical simulations such as computational fluid dynamics (CFD) computations and, as any large-scale experiments requires significant amount of money and time. However, the inherent uncertainties existing in the turbulent models and wall functions of any CFD codes solving Reynolds-averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) equations

negatively influence the credibility of the CFD simulation results. This necessitates high-fidelity experimental data sets for benchmarking these results.

In Gen. IV reactors, mixings of high-temperature flows appear in the lower plenum. The spacing ratio of the jets is relatively small, for instance, in the sodium-cooled reactor, compared to those in the external aerodynamics applications. The mixing condition and the mixing length are of great importance to the reactor safety because of the existence of thermal stresses and possible temperature oscillations induced by the turbulent mixing. These temperature oscillations will further result in output power instabilities. In this work, two submerged parallel waters jets issuing from two rectangular channels, also known as twin-jet flow, were selected to study the turbulent mixing phenomenon.

Different from a single jet flow, twin jets issuing from two adjacent rectangular slots are characterized by the formation of a subatmospheric pressure region due to the mutual entrainment of the two jets. This negative-pressure region deflects the jets, causing them to move towards each other and form a converging region. Inside this region, strong recirculations near the slots area create a flow reversed with respect to the direction of the main flow. The converging region ends at the merging point (MP) which is defined as the point at which the mean velocity is zero along the symmetry axis [1]. Beyond this point the jets start to combine until they form a single jet at the combining point (CP). The region between the MP and the CP is called the merging region. The combined jet then behaves as a single jet and analytical solutions derived for a single jet hold in this combined region. The mixing does not only happen between the jets themselves but also between the jets and the static surrounding fluid that was entrained as a result of the shear force created. In engineering applications, knowing the length of the mixing region and the locations of the CP and MP are often important to ensure a good mix. The schematic structure of a typical twin jet is shown in Fig.1.

In 1959 Miller and Comings [2] made the first measurements of the 2-D twin jets using a constant temperature hot-wire anemometer (HWA). The non-dimensional jet spacing ratio, the

ratio between the jet spacing S and the jet diameter a , was 6. By measuring the mean and fluctuating velocity as well as the static pressure and comparing them to a single jet, they found that the flow of the 2-D twin jets had a high degree of symmetry about the centerline of jets. The two jets merged at certain location behaving as a single jet. This location was defined as the separating point of the converging region and the combined region. Before the MP, there was a stagnation point, also called the combining point, at which point the static pressure gradient and the turbulent shear stress force were equal but with different signs.

Tanaka [3] conducted a similar measurement using a dual jet of air issuing from parallel slot nozzles but focused on the effect of the spacing ratio ranging from 8.5 to 25. The static pressure was determined to be negative near the nozzle region but suddenly increased to atmospheric pressure or even higher after the free stagnation point [3]. The author also concluded that the streamwise velocity distribution was independent of the Reynolds number within the limits of velocity studied. An empirical equation relating the curvature of the central stream-line of the jet and the nozzle distance was proposed. In another report [4], the combined flow of the twin jets was found to have a velocity distribution similar to a single jet with its width spread linearly downstream. The decay of the center velocity was stronger than that of a single jet.

A crude integral model of two parallel jets was proposed, and the results predicted the mixing behavior surprisingly well, despite the fact that the model overpredicted the entrainment rates. The experiments revealed that the velocity distributions were self-preserved upstream and downstream of the merging region [5]. Elbanna and Gahin [6] evaluated the turbulent characteristics of the twin jets and compared with the behavior of a single jet. The spacing between the two nozzles applied was 12.5 slot widths. The results indicated that the half-width of the combined flow grew linearly in the direction of the stream but the spread angle was slightly smaller than that of a single jet.

Research on non-equal parallel jets studied shows that the slower jet was more attracted to the faster jet when the velocity ratio decreased [7]. However, the total momentum, including

the velocity and pressure momentum, was still conserved. Using a similar measuring technique, the distributions of the overall Reynolds stress and the velocity fluctuations of two parallel jets with a spacing ratio of about 2.5 was evaluated, and the presence of inner and outer mixing regions in each jet was found [8]. The directions of rotation of the inner and outer vortices were opposite. The jets did not converge because side plates were not installed in the experiment. Later, Lin and Sheu [9] reported that for 2-D plane jets with spacing ratios of 30 and 40 and a nozzle width of 2 mm, the mean velocity was self-preserving in the converging region and the combined region. The fluctuating velocities and the Reynolds stress presented a self-preserving behavior in the combined region only. Their experiments confirmed that the jet widths increased linearly in the converging region and combined region [9].

Although used for numerous other purposes prior to this, in 1977 the non-intrusive measuring technique laser Doppler anemometry (LDA), also called laser Doppler velocimetry, was first used to study a twin jet flow field [10]. The spacing ratio was 4.25 and the nozzle exit Reynolds number was 11,000. The spectral analysis showed that the vortex roll-up frequency in the outer shear layer was the same as that of a single jet. The experimental results also indicated that the dynamics of the twin jets was strongly related to the development of the coherent structures generated from the shear layer instability [10]. LDA was also used to explore the impinging flow of two water jets, evaluating the effect of the jet imbalance [11]. This work showed that several flow features captured by the LDA were likely to be important to CFD model validation studies.

A study that investigated 2-D parallel jets with spacing ratios between 9 and 18.25 evaluated the standard k- ϵ model and Reynolds stress transport model by comparing the numerical results with the experimental data [12]. The results indicated that the CFD technique was capable of estimating the location of the merging and combining points. HWA measurements showed that a convex surface deflects the jets stronger than a flat surface in the case of two parallel rectangular jets with a small spacing ratio [1]. The researchers provide

detailed experimental conditions such as the turbulence intensity at the nozzle exit section and the initial boundary conditions. The initial condition is very valuable especially for comparisons with numerical results and experimental data from other researchers. However, the majority of publications mentioned above fail to provide detailed initial and boundary conditions.

For a small spacing ratio of 1.89, the normalized streamwise mean velocity was found to remain independent of the Reynolds number [13]. The authors suggest that the mixing length could be increased by reducing the spacing ratio or increasing the Reynolds number. A superposition technique based on Reichardt's hypothesis can predict the flow pattern well given the condition that the jet deflection was not significant [14]. Obviously, this method does not work if the spacing ratio is too small as the jet deflection under this condition is significant.

Most of the previous studies in this field have used a relatively large spacing ratio [e.g., 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 9, and 12]. However, in many engineering applications, such as coolant mixing in the lower plenum in a VHTR, the spacing ratio is relatively small. This necessitates more work focused on the jets from nozzles with a small spacing ratio. In addition, the majority of studies to date have used HWA to measure the air flow in a wind tunnel [e.g., 1-9, 12, 13]. The main issue with HWA measurements is the lack of ability to measure reserved flows accurately, such as the flow in the converging region of a twin-jet flow. Nasr and Lai [10] applied LDA to the study of the mixing phenomenon but the seeding particles used, like fog fluid, may introduce significant errors. These fog particles amalgamate to form larger particles, which are too heavy to follow the flow.

There has not been a valid empirical correlation proposed so far to accurately predict the flow field of the twin jets flow according the previous literature survey. One of the main reasons is the lack of high-fidelity experimental data. Thus, the purpose of this work is to investigate the turbulent mixing phenomenon of parallel jets with a small spacing ratio by performing a 2-D LDA measurement. The results can enlarge the experimental database of the twin jets and help better understand its mixing characteristics. Furthermore, the LDA data can be utilized to validate

the numerical models, e.g., the turbulence models in the CFD simulations which are heavily used in the design process. Spectral analysis of a fluctuation velocity signal can provide an insight into the flow structures which cannot be revealed by the investigation of averaged quantities. However, the applications of spectral analysis on the study of twin water jets with small spacing ratios have rarely been reported in the literature. Thus, spectral analysis by employing methods such as fast Fourier transform, power spectrum density estimation, and continuous wavelet transform will be carried out to explore the flow behaviors in the mixing region.

2. Experimental Method

2.1 Experimental Setup

The experiment was performed in a water tunnel. The experimental rig, not including the measuring instrumentations, was the one used in Crosskey and Ruggles's work [15]. Fig. 2 presents a snapshot of the setup. The flow was driven by two identical 0.5 horsepower pumps. The control of the two jets was independent. The flow meter used was GPI TM100 with an accuracy of 97% and repeatability of 95%. The 1-inch thick water tank with dimensions of 1016 mm by 762 mm was made of acrylic to provide an optical access to the flow inside. The experiment setup was a closed loop with water recycled to the tank. Two identical rectangular channels were located at the center of the tank. The length of each channel was 87.6 mm and the width 5.8 mm making the aspect ratio 15.1. The centers of each channel were 17.8 mm apart, giving a spacing ratio of 3.07. Each channel is 279.4 mm high to ensure that the exit flow is a fully developed turbulent flow. The detailed dimensions and the corresponding coordinates used in this work are shown in Fig. 3. The LDA system was from Dantec and included a 2-D flow explorer with a laser head and photomultiplier tube, a 3-D transverse system with accuracy of 0.01mm, and a data acquisition system.

2.2 Laser Doppler Anemometry

The 2-D flow measurements were performed using the fringe-mode based Dantec LDV optics which has a back-scattering arrangement. The focal length of the two laser beams was 500 mm with wavelengths of 660 nm and 785nm. The laser beam had a diameter of 2.5 mm and a nominal power of 35 mW. Each beam was split into two beams after passing an 80 MHz Bragg cell and intersected with the other at a half-crossing angle of 3.43° . The seeding particle used was hollow glass spheres from TSI with a centered diameter of $10\mu\text{m}$. The seeding concentration was about 1.9 g/m^3 which was optimized to increase the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). The tradeoff was that higher concentration of particles reduced the light intensity in water significantly. On average, 3000 samples were taken at each location, except at those located near the outer mixing region where the data rate was extremely low. The LDA measurements were operated in coincidence mode meaning that the u and v components were acquired simultaneously. This mode could reduce the data rate significantly especially for the jet flow. It is because under this mode the bursts from both u and v components must be recognizable in order for them to be counted as valid signals. If the magnitude of one of them, for example v component, is too small and then a low data rate will be generated no matter how large the u component is. That is the exact case for jet flows in which the lateral v component is very small in most regions at the most of time. In this study, the data rate ranged from 10 Hz in the almost static region to 150 Hz in the core flow region, which was the maximum frequency that could be reached in the experiment with respect to the high SNRs. The low frequency may also be attributed to many factors, such as low power laser beams, attenuations by thick wall of the tank and relatively low particle concentrations in the outer mixing region. A higher data rate of about 200 Hz was obtained by operating the LDA in the 1-component mode to perform the spectral analysis.

2.3 Calibration Procedure

For LDA measurements, the influence of the light refraction from the surface of the water tank and from the water itself needs to be addressed. That is, the system must be calibrated in

order to know the exact position of the measuring volume in the water. This system was calibrated by taking photos of the measuring volume where the beams cross as presented in Fig. 4. Then by calculating the relative position in pixels of the measuring volume to the center of the edge the channels, the calibration factor was obtained. Due to the crossing angle of the two beams, the lowest accessible position along the Y-axis was located at 10 mm above the channel surface. The measurements were carried out at the middle plane, P2 as shown in Fig. 4.

3. Measurement Uncertainty

For all LDA measurements, many uncertainties are involved. Unfortunately, a few important factors are sometimes beyond the researchers' control [J. F. Meyers, personal communication, March 2014], such as the particle tracking fidelity, seeding bias, influence of the scattered light on the signal quality, and photo resolved signal bursts owing to insufficient scattered light. The particle size distribution is another source of uncertainty which is difficult to quantify. However, a study from F. Durst and B. Ruck [16] suggested that the maximum diameter of the particle should be less than 2 to 2.5 times the average diameter to achieve the optimum size distribution for LDA measurements. The particles used in this work have a nominal mean diameter of 8 μm to 12 μm . 90% of the particles have a diameter of 14 μm to 17 μm . Therefore, the ratio between the maximum diameter and the average diameter meets the requirement.

In this study, the LDA measurements cannot be finished within a short period of time due to the low data rates in some regions. So, a single measurement task may last a few days and require the experimental facility to be turned on and off multiple times. The flow rate is controlled by two plastic ball valves and sometimes flow rates shift. The stability of the pumps and the velocity shift are monitored by recording the readings of the flow meters. The maximum velocity shift within each measurement period was about 0.66%. Moreover, readings from the flow rate fluctuate continuously due to the nature of turbulence. Thus, considering all these effects, a certain degree of errors must have been introduced to results reported in this work.

To quantify these uncertainties, the same measurement of the flow parameters including the mean and the fluctuating velocities at the center of the right channel along the y-direction was repeated five times within five days. Only u-component of the LDA was activated. Figs. 5 and 6 show the results. In order to demonstrate the absolute values of the errors associated with results reported previously, the flow parameters were not normalized. The error bars in the figures represent the standard deviations, which are small, of five measurements performed each day for five days for a total of 25 measurements.

For the mean velocity, the main discrepancies were from the locations in the combining region. This is not surprising because it is the most complicated region for this type of flow, and small perturbations from the initial and boundary conditions result in significant changes to the results. The largest error was approximately 9% for days 1 and 4 at $y/a = 5.17$, where y is the distance from coordinate along Y-axis. For the fluctuating velocity, the largest error came from $y/a = 3.45$, again for days 1 and 4. The error was about 12%. However, the average standard deviations of the U and U_{rms} measurements at all locations over the five days were 1.5% for U and 1.6% for U_{rms} . Thus, the overall errors resulting from the long measuring window were insignificant.

Another possible source of error is the sampling size. For the majority of the regions, 3000 samples were taken at each measuring point. To evaluate the uncertainty in the sampling process, the standard errors of the mean (SEM) of the Reynolds stress component $\overline{U'V'}$ at point $x/a = -0.86$, $y/a = 5.17$ were studied. The SEM is the ratio of the standard deviation, σ , of the whole population and the square root of the sample size N , with the results shown in Fig. 7. The smallest SEM is equal to $0.00038 \text{ m}^2/\text{s}^2$ when N is equivalent to 3000, 4.3% of the mean of the Reynolds stress. Consequently, 4.3% is the maximum uncertainty in the sampling process.

4. Results and Discussion

All results presented in this work are based on the point by point measurements. Thus, one should not assume that the distributions of any parameters presented were obtained at the same time. This factor must be considered when comparing results from this work with any CFD simulations or particle image velocimetry (PIV) data. Except for the case of imbalanced flow, the flow rate was set at 0.385 kg/s for each jet, corresponding to an exit velocity of 0.75 m/s on average. The Reynolds number at the exit based on the dimensions of the channel was about 9100. The temperature of the water (tap water) was about 23 °C. The temperature rise during the normal operation was less than 2°C, depending on the length of the experiment. All the flow parameters shown on the Y axis were normalized by the local maximum velocity U_{max} , which was different for each case while the position values on the X-axis were normalized by the channel width which was 5.8 mm. The jets were approximately issued from $-2 < x/a < -1$ and $1 < x/a < 2$.

4.1 Outlet Condition

To verify if the flow at the outlet has reached the fully turbulent flow and the symmetry condition near the exit, measurements were performed along the Z-axis for both channels. The measuring plane was 10mm above the channel surface, which, as explained in section 2.3, was the lowest position the laser could reach. Fig. 8 shows the mean streamwise velocity distribution of the two channels. The denominator U_0 is the nominal mean discharge velocity with a value of 0.75 m/s. The error bars are the standard deviations of five independent sets of data. The deviations are less than 1%, which indicates a good repeatability of LDA measurements in this work. Obviously, the flows are not symmetrical along the Z-axis in the two channels. This may be attributable to the influence from the small mixing boxes located at the bottoms of the channels. The inlets to the mixing boxes are not at the center, which creates uneven flows. However, the velocity profiles clearly show that the flows, which are 10mm above the exits, are fully turbulent for both channels. Another important parameter of the initial outlet conditions is the turbulence intensity which plays an important role in determining the mixing characteristics

of the twin jets. The average streamwise turbulence intensity at 10mm above the outlet was 11.5%. The distribution of the Reynolds stress component is plotted in Fig. 9. The error bars represent the standard deviation of five independent measurements. The Y-axis is normalized by the nominal mean discharge velocity U_0 . The shape of the Reynolds stress component is relatively flat except in the regions near the walls of the channels.

4.2 Twin Jets with Equal Discharge Velocity

4.2.1 Mean Velocity Distributions

The spatial flow field was scanned by LDA from $y/a = 1.72$ to 48.28 in the streamwise direction. Fig. 10a presents the distribution s of the mean streamwise velocity U . The triangles represent the measured points, while the remaining parts of the contour were obtained by extrapolations from the experimental data. A recirculating zone clearly exists in the combining region in which some of the velocities are negative. In order to compare these results with the ones from other works, specific numbers of U at each locations and a zoom-in view of the combining region are plotted in Fig. 10b. The streamwise velocity U is normalized by U_{max} which has a value of 0.882 m/s.

The combination of the jets happened at around $y/a = 15.52$, where U on the symmetry plane reaches its maximum. The combination may happen earlier than this because no measurements were taken in the region between $y/a = 13.79$ and $y/a = 15.52$. This result is close to the PIV result in [15] which is $y/a = 15$. MP is the location where the streamwise mean velocity U is zero. Fig. 10b shows that MP falls into the region between $y/a = 1.72$ and $y/a = 3.45$, which is smaller than 5.8 found in [15]. The mixing of the twin jets happens in the merging region between the MP and CP. The length of the merging region depending on the relative location of MP and CP and is an important parameter in order to understand the mixing of the twin jets. Only few studies use small nozzle spacing ratios comparable to those in the present work, such as 2.5 [8] and 4.25 [10]. For 2.5, the authors reported values of 1.5 for MP and 10.5

for CP with an aspect ratio of 5.6 [8]. Considering the fact that spacing ratio S/a is 3.1 and the aspect ratios 15.1 in the present work, these results look similar in some sense because a smaller S/a will reduce the length of the merging region. As for the results reported in [10], the authors found that MP was at $y/a = 4$ and CP was at $y/a = 8$, which are also comparable to the results in this work. Based on these comparisons, we can confirm that the positions of MP and CP are greatly influenced by S/a and the aspect ratio l/a , where l is the length of the channel as shown in Fig. 3.

4.2.2 Turbulence Intensity Distributions

Streamwise and lateral turbulence intensities are presented in Figs. 11a and b, respectively. The turbulence intensities were normalized by the local maximum streamwise velocity among all the data U_{max} which had a value of 0.882 m/s. High symmetry was observed along the center plane with stronger streamwise turbulence intensities found near the edges of the jet shear layers. The streamwise turbulence level was much lower in the recirculation zone than in the lateral component in that region. The flow presented self-preserving characteristics beyond CP for both components. The high turbulence levels mainly came from the interactions between the combined jets and the static surroundings. The turbulence intensities in the outer region were then proportional to the entrainment rate of the surrounding fluids due to the movements of the twin jets. A sudden jump in the turbulence intensity occurred in the center area, from 1.72 to 3.45, indicating strong merging activity.

4.2.3 The Reynolds Stress Component Distributions

Fig. 12 shows the distribution of the Reynolds stress component. Reynolds stress represents the momentum flux transfer in the flows. Momentum flux decreases rapidly from $y/a = 3.45$ to 12.07, indicating that most momentum transfers occur between the inner shear layers of the two jets in the merging region. The two peaks imply strong interactions between the jets.

After the jets combine, momentum transfers mainly exist in the outer shear layers where the velocity gradients are large. The maximum value of the Reynolds stress component appear at the position of $y/a = 5.17$, where the two jets start to combine. The self-preserving behavior is only observed in the core region after $y/a = 12.07$.

4.2.4 Z-component Vorticity

Vorticity represents the local rotation speed of a fluid particle. It can be calculated mathematically by Eq. (1). For a two dimensional flow, vorticity is perpendicular to the X-Y plane, and the Z-component vorticity can be expressed by Eq. (2). To maintain the freedom of the jets and avoid influence from the wall of the water tank, the length of the rectangular channel used in this work is limited. With an aspect ratio of 15.1, studying the vorticity distribution in the X-Y plane of this twin jets flow is still useful to help understand the fluid particles' behaviors.

$$\vec{\omega} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{u} = \begin{vmatrix} \vec{e}_x & \vec{e}_y & \vec{e}_z \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ u_x & u_y & u_z \end{vmatrix} \quad (1)$$

$$\vec{\omega} = \left(\frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} \right) \vec{e}_z \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{\omega}$ is the Z-component vorticity, u_x , u_y and u_z are the three components of the velocity $\vec{u}$.

The Z-component vorticity distributions and the velocity vector field are presented in Fig. 13. Due to the attraction of the two jets and the entrainment of the surrounding fluid, fluid particles in the inner and outer region of the jets rotated in opposite directions. Fig. 13b indicates that the vorticity peaks around the jet outlet region are moving towards the center point of the two jets. No strong vorticities were observed after $y/a = 12.07$, and the self-preserving phenomenon is presented in those far field. As for the magnitude, rotation speed was faster in the inner region of the two jets as a result of the interactions between them. The vector field shown in Fig. 13a clearly reveals that significant entrainment of the surrounding fluid existed in the region between

$y/a = 1.72$ and $y/a = 17.24$. In the negative pressure region, the flow mainly moved downward. The flow did not move completely straight in the far region, although ideally it should. This may be attributable to the slight imbalance in the outlet flow. The flow rate is controlled by two ball valves and so the two discharge flow rates cannot be exactly the same due to mechanical constraints. However, as discussed in section 3, the maximum velocity shift is only 0.66%, which is negligible.

4.3 Effect of the Flow Imbalance on the Middle Plane

In practical engineering applications, the jet discharge velocities may not be the same, e.g., the coolant flows passing through the reactor core and mixing in the lower plenum in high temperature gas-cooled or liquid-cooled nuclear reactors. The flow imbalance or the velocity ratio has a significant impact on the mixing condition and changes the locations of the MP and CP. In order to focus on the core region or the mixing region, the measurements employed a 0.5 mm resolution instead of 1 mm. This doubled resolution ensured better measurements of the flow behaviors in the imbalanced condition. The locations of the jets were kept the same, $-2 < x/a < -1$ and $1 < x/a < 2$. The measured plane was P2 as shown in Fig. 4. In the vertical direction (Y direction), the measurements were performed from $y/a = 1.72$ to 20.69. The right jet discharge velocity was kept the same while the left one was changed to generate velocity ratios of 1.3, 1.5, and 1.9. The velocity ratio R is defined as $R = U_{left}/U_{right}$ in which the U_{left} and U_{right} are the average discharge velocities of the left jet and right jet, respectively. All the results presented in Fig. 14a and Fig. 14b were normalized by the maximum velocity at each velocity ratio. For all three velocity ratios, the velocities reached their maxima at $y/a = 1.72$. The detailed experimental conditions are summarized in Table 1.

Self-preserving phenomenon is observed for the mean streamwise velocities at positions higher than $y/a = 10.34$ in the three cases. The normalized mean streamwise velocities present a similar distribution in the imbalanced condition as shown in Fig. 14b. The independence of the

Reynolds number for the jet flows could be the main reason for this phenomenon. A significant difference in U among the three cases is the change in the relative position of the black ($y/a = 1.72$) and red lines ($y/a = 3.45$) shown in Fig. 14a (left). The streamwise velocity at $y/a = 3.45$ is more negative than that at $y/a = 1.72$, when R is larger. This can be explained by the fact that a larger velocity ratio will generate a larger shear force, which further increases the negativity of the pressure in the recirculation region. The negative pressure has its largest influence on the velocity profile in the mixing region ($1.72 < y/a < 3.45$). As for the turbulent intensity distributions, no self-preserving behavior was found for any velocity ratio. This is in accordance with the results shown in Fig. 11 and is because the two jets have not combined into one single jet yet in that region and thus, merging activity still exists. In addition, by comparing the patterns for the different R shown in Fig. 14b, one can find that the area with high turbulent intensity is larger with an increased R . Similar to what is found in the velocity distribution, the relative values of the turbulence intensities at the locations of $y/a = 1.72$ and $y/a = 3.45$ change as a function of R .

4.4 Comparison of a single jet with the combined twin jets

Literature reviews indicate that the combined twin jets will behave as a single jet [e.g., 1, 2, 6], and theories derived based on the single jet system should apply to the twin jets in the combined region. To verify this statement and check if the results in this work are valid, a comparison between the experimental results of twin jets from this work and the analytical solutions for single jet is shown in Fig. 15. The parameter used for comparison was U , and two well-known analytical solutions from Goertler and Tollmiern [17] for single jets are chosen. The experimental data match the analytical solutions well. Goertler's solution is slightly closer to the experimental data in the core flow region than in the outer shear layer region.

4. 5 Spectral analysis

4.5.1 Post-treatment of LDA data

LDA is an ideal tool to measure the fluctuation velocities in turbulent flows because it is a non-intrusive measuring technique with the ability to generate high spatiotemporal resolution data. LDA measurements rely on light reflection from random-arrival particles passing through the measuring volume. Many widely used spectral analysis methods such as fast Fourier transform (FFT) require the data to be equally spaced in time. Thus, the individual realization LDA data with velocity data irregularly spaced in time need to be reconstructed to meet the requirement. However, many other techniques for estimating the turbulent velocity spectra exist, such as the slotting technique [18] in which the data are not necessarily equidistant in time. Three well-known and simple reconstruction algorithms to evenly resample the data in the time domain are linear interpolation, the hold and shoot algorithm and nearest-neighbor interpolation. Eq. (3) defines the linear interpolation as follows [19]:

$$U_r = \frac{(t-t_n)U(t_{n+1})+(t_{n+1}-t)U(t_n)}{t_{n+1}-t_n}, t_n < t \leq t_{n+1} \quad (3)$$

where U is the velocity, and t is the time index. The hold and shoot or zero-order hold algorithm generates the signal with equal time step by holding the most recent sample value for one unit time interval until the next sample arrives. Nearest-neighbor interpolation is the simplest: It just assigns the value of the nearest sampling point to the current position and the errors are obvious. Thus, the first two methods are more widely employed in the post treatment of LDA data.

Hertwig et al. [20] processed discontinuous LDA data using the hold and shoot algorithm and successfully applied proper orthogonal decomposition and continuous wavelet transform to the study of turbulent flow. Ramond and Millan [19] compared the power spectral density estimations computed from the linear interpolation and the hold and shoot techniques. It was found that results from linear interpolation were closer to the results measured by HWA.

In order to verify if the reconstructed data computed from linear interpolation can represent the original velocity signal, a portion of the instantaneous streamwise velocity U

sampled at an average frequency of 30 Hz was selected as the sample. Fig. 16 presents the original velocity signal and the reconstructed signals resampled at 100 Hz ($\Delta t = 10$ ms) and 1000 Hz ($\Delta t = 1$ ms). Nearest-neighbor interpolation results were displayed for comparison purpose. Clearly the resampling rate of 100 Hz in both Nearest-neighbor interpolation and linear interpolation failed to represent the true signal where a large gradient existed as shown in the red boxes in Fig. 16 (a). With linear interpolation, the higher resampling rate of 1000 Hz produced results almost identical to the original signal.

Linear interpolation seems perfect but may only work well for a signal sampled at a low data rate, such as at 30 Hz in the example. As mentioned previously, a data rate of 200 Hz was obtained by only measuring the u component at position R illustrated in Fig. 4. By applying linear interpolation to the signal sampled at 200 Hz, discrepancies were found for the RMS velocities as shown in Fig. 17. The resampling frequency was still 1000 Hz. The reconstructed signal dampens or underestimates the fluctuations of the original signal. There was no obvious reduction in the discrepancies observed when the resampling frequency was increased to 10,000 Hz. However, for the mean velocity, the two signals are almost identical as shown in Fig. 18, except in the near-wall region where Z/a is larger than 6.

4.5.2 Power spectral density estimation

Power spectral density (PSD) is a tool widely used to investigate the turbulent kinetic energy distribution as a function of frequency or wavenumber depending on the type of the signal. By mapping the energy distribution of a given signal into a Fourier-space domain, the energy cascade process can be better examined and understood. By definition, PSD is the Fourier integral transform of the autocorrelation $R(\tau)$ of a given signal. For a real and stationary signal $s(t)$, the PSD function $P(f)$ can be described as follows:

$$P(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} R(\tau) e^{-2\pi i f \tau} d\tau \quad (4)$$

where f is the frequency, τ is the time shift, $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $R(\tau) = E[s(t + \tau)s(t)]$, and E is the expected value.

An FFT study was first performed using the 30 Hz data of the fluctuation velocity at R, and the results are presented in Fig. 19. It shows that the dominant frequencies of the flow at point R fall within the range of 1 Hz to 10 Hz. According to the Nyquist-Shannon sampling theorem, the maximum frequency that can be detected from a given signal is no larger than half of the sampling frequency. This minimum sampling rate is called the Nyquist rate. Thus, for a signal sampled at 30 Hz, the maximum frequency that can be determined is 15 Hz. That is, measuring any changes in the flow that happen faster than 15 Hz will not be feasible.

To resolve this issue and verify if the flow at R is dominated by frequencies of 1 Hz to 10 Hz, only one pair of the laser beams were turned on, and the resulting frequency was about 200 Hz. The FFT output shown in Fig. 20 (a) revealed significantly larger peaks — not only one, although they are too close together to be distinguished in the plot) — around 58.8 Hz. These peaks are considered noises induced by the pump vibration at a frequency of 57.67 Hz. A band-pass filter was employed to remove this noisy frequency. Fig. 20 (b) shows the filtered frequency spectrum indicating that the phenomena that happened in the flow were dominated by frequencies from about 2 Hz to 15 Hz. To quantify the influence of this filtering process on the magnitude fluctuation velocity, a comparison of the unfiltered signal s_1 and the filtered one, s_2 , was carried out. For illustrative purposes, only a short period of the signal was studied. As shown in Fig. 21 (a), s_2 matches s_1 most of time except where the filtered signal creates a few wiggles at certain locations in time. By subtracting s_2 from s_1 , the magnitude of the difference can be obtained (Fig. 21 (b)). The maximum difference occurred at around $t = 5$ s and was smaller than 0.03 m/s. A zoom-in view shown in Fig. 21 (c) reveals that the difference is actually a sinusoidal wave. Fig.

21 (d) is the FFT output of this sinusoidal wave showing that the peak frequencies — again, more than one very close to each other — were about 58.8 Hz, which was the frequency set for the filter. Thus, the FFT study here serves as a cross-check of the filter because, in theory, the difference between signals s_1 and s_2 is the part that the filter removed. Although the magnitude of difference of s_1 and s_2 was evaluated, the vibration of the pump does not only influence the magnitude of the velocity but also the turbulence structure and the onset point of the turbulence, which is extremely hard to quantify.

Thus, the PSD study could serve as a benchmark for the current experimental data. Fig. 22 shows the PSD distribution in the time (frequency) domain for s_1 and s_2 computed using Welch's method [21]. The blue line indicates the famous $-5/3$ slope was found by Kolmogorov. The peaks caused by the pump vibration can be seen clearly in Fig. 22 (a) and disappear once the signal is filtered. The downward peak in Fig. 22 (b) was generated by the filtering process in which the power is set close to zero. The comparison indicates that the energy spectrum is not influenced by the filtering operation except for the removal of the peak. For the flow at location R , the energy-containing range is from about 0.1 Hz to 30 Hz, and the inertial region ranges from 30 Hz to 500 Hz, the maximum frequency that can be measured for the resampled data according to the Nyquist theorem. Obviously, a higher sampling rate is required to resolve the dissipation range.

4.5.3 Continuous wavelet transform

In 1981, Morlet introduced wavelet transform to study seismic signals [22]. Farge [23] reviewed its application to turbulence in 1992. Compared to Fourier analysis in which the local information is lost after the signal is transformed into Fourier domain, wavelet analysis is able to analyze the signal in the scale or frequency and time domain while providing a history of the signal's evolution. Turbulence happens at different scales, so decomposing the turbulent flows into local scales is beneficial to further understand the turbulence process. Although short-time

Fourier transform provides some time information, the size of the time window to examine the signal is fixed which is not always useful. In contrast, wavelet analysis is capable of analyzing the signal with a flexibly-sized time window. By definition, continuous wavelet transform (CWT) is the convolution of a given signal $s(t)$ with a family of wavelet functions as represented mathematically by Eq. (5):

$$W(b, a) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(t) \psi^*\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right) dt \quad (5)$$

where W is the wavelet coefficient; a is the wavelet scale; b is the position (time or space); the asterisk stands for the conjugated value; ψ is the mother wavelet function. The wavelet function can be elongated and shifted by altering the scale a and the analyzing position t , respectively.

For a better understanding of wavelet transform, an interpretation of its physical meaning is necessary. In mathematics, convolution is an evaluation of how close two functions are. Thus, the physical meaning of the wavelet coefficient W is the degree of similarity between signal $s(t)$ and the wavelet function. By changing the parameters of a and b , the whole signal can be inspected at varied scales and positions in time or space. That is why many researchers describe the wavelet transform as a mathematical microscope. The resulting wavelet coefficients $W(b, a)$ indicate the average differences between two neighboring segments of the signal, which is another important interpretation of the physical meaning of the wavelet coefficients. CWT means the transforms are continuous in the scale and time domain.

Farge [23] reviewed some basic requirements for the selection of a proper wavelet function, such as the admissibility condition. Two widely used wavelets, the Mexican hat wavelet and the Morlet wavelet, were compared by Christopher and Compo [24]. They found that the real-valued Mexican hat is broader in the scale domain but narrower in the time domain compared to the complex-valued Morlet wavelet. The authors [24] also stated that a complex wavelet such as the Morlet was suitable for identifying the oscillations in the signal; whereas, real

wavelet such as Mexican hat was better for detecting discontinuities. A signal S with random noises was chosen to demonstrate the differences between these two wavelets. This signal S is described in Eq. (6):

$$S = \sin(2\pi * 10t) + \sin(2\pi * 20t) + \sin(2\pi * 50t) + \text{random noises} \quad (6)$$

This signal clearly contains the frequencies of 10 Hz, 20 Hz, and 50 Hz. Fig. 23 presents the wavelet transform results using these two wavelet. The colors in the figure indicate the magnitude of the wavelet coefficients: The magnitude decreases from red to blue. The Morlet wavelet clearly identifies 10 Hz, 20 Hz, and 50 Hz as the three dominant frequencies, while the peaks obtained from Mexican hat overlap in the scale space and are not distinguishable. Thus, the Morlet wavelet was selected for this study.

Three time segments of the signal with an interval of 500 ms were studied. Fig. 24 presents the results of the wavelet transform and the FFT output of the signal between 6500 ms and 7000 ms. Two ordinates, scale and pseudo-frequency, are presented in Fig. 24 (b). Li and Nozaki [25] interpreted the position of the maximum value of the wavelet coefficient W as the scale of an eddy. Thus, four different scales of eddies can be observed in this time period. The largest one has a scale of 134 ms corresponding to a pseudo-frequency of about 6 Hz. At certain times, the flow may contain large and small eddies simultaneously. For example, eddies with scales of 134 ms and 40 ms occur simultaneously at $t = 6620$ ms. The breaking down of large eddies into small eddies was also observable by comparing the flow at $t = 6780$ ms and $t = 6850$ ms. As a validation, the FFT output of this segment of signal is shown in Fig. 24 (c). The peak frequencies from FFT match the pseudo-frequencies calculated from the wavelet transform, as indicated by the red lines. The evolution of eddies with different scales can be better observed from the 3-D view of the wavelet coefficients as presented in Fig. 25.

Fig. 26 shows results for the signals between 20,000 ms and 20,500 ms. No significant break down of large eddies was observed. Large eddies in this time period had a scale of approximately 200 ms corresponding to a pseudo-frequency of 4 Hz. Eddies with a scale of 12ms at $t = 20,100$ ms disappear later because they break into smaller eddies and the current sampling rate is not high enough to capture them. At $t = 20,250$ ms, large eddies of about 200 ms in scale are observed to contain at least two other smaller eddies with scales of 110 ms and 40 ms. The large eddy grows when it is close to the end of the signal as indicated in Fig. 27, which shows the wavelet coefficients distribution from 40,000 ms to 40,500 ms. The largest eddy is at a scale of 270 ms and breaks down into a smaller one after $t = 40,400$ ms. The FFT output contains fewer peaks compared to previous time periods, implying reduced activity in the flow.

By exploring the flow structures of different time segments, some flow patterns are found to repeat in the time domain. Fig. 28 (a) and (b) show that a medium-sized eddy at a scale of about 40 ms, which can also be observed in Fig. 28, occurred at both $t = 6100$ ms and $t = 20,050$ ms. Similarly, a large eddy at a scale of 270 ms displayed almost identical behavior in the time segments indicated in Fig. 28 (c) and (d). The repeated flow structures characterize the flow. The corresponding frequency of these repeated flow structures can be utilized to validate the transient CFD simulations, such as large eddy simulations (LES).

6. Conclusion

Two-components LDA measurements were carried out to study the mixing condition of twin water jets issuing from two rectangular channels with a nozzle spacing ratio of 3.1 and an aspect ratio of 15.1. The wavelengths of the laser beams used in this work were 660 nm and 785 nm. The channel exit Reynolds number based on the dimensions of the channel was approximately 9100. The outlet condition showed that the flow out of the channel was fully developed turbulent flow. The average turbulence intensity at the measured plane (P2) 10 mm

above the exit surface was 8%. The experimental results revealed that the merging point was located between $y/a = 1.72$ and $y/a = 3.45$ and that the combining point was at $y/a = 15.52$.

The turbulence study showed that outer edges of the two jets and the outer boundary of the combined jet had higher levels of turbulence due to high velocity gradients in those regions. The maximum Reynolds shear stress appeared at $y/a = 5.17$, which implies that the flows mix stronger in some location after the merging point. Spectral analysis revealed the scale and the evolution in time of varied-size eddies in the flow at a point in the mixing region.

The averaged quantities obtained by LDA are not only valid for benchmarking steady-state numerical simulations using turbulence models to solve RANS equations but they also enlarge the database of the experimental data for twin jets. This expansion will help propose possible empirical correlations to predict the flow field of parallel jets with small spacing ratios. The spectral analysis of a transient fluctuation velocity signal could be employed to validate the LES results.

Due to certain limitations in the experiment such as laser power, tank material and the fact that LDA is a point-by-point measuring technique, only a single point in the mixing region that had satisfying data rate was investigated. Future work will include the improvement of the data rate of LDA to resolve the dissipation region and PIV to capture the flow at multiple locations simultaneously. The auto-correlation of wavelet coefficients calculated from the PIV data can be utilized to investigate the coherent turbulent structures in the mixing and outer layer regions of the twin jets.

6. Acknowledgements

This project is funded by nuclear energy advanced modeling and simulation (NEAMS) program under U.S. Department of Energy (DOE).

7. References

- [1] Erdem, D. and Ath, V, 2002. Interaction of two parallel rectangular jets, Proceedings of 23rd International Congress of Aeronautical Sciences, Sep. 8-13, 2002, Toronto, Canada
- [2] Miller, D. R. and Comings, E.W., 1959. Force-momentum fields in a dual-jet flow, Journal of Fluid Mechanics, Vol.7, No.2, 237-256
- [3] Tanaka, E., 1970. The interference of two-dimensional parallel jets (1st report, Experiments on dual jet), Bulletin of the JSME, Vol. 13, No.56, 273-280
- [4] Tanaka, E., 1974. The interference of two-dimensional parallel jets (2nd report, Experiments on the combined flow of dual jet), Bulletin of the JSME, Vol. 17, No.109, 920-927
- [5] Marsters, G.F., 1977. Interaction of two plane parallel jets, AIAA Journal, vol. 15, 1756-1762
- [6] Elbanna, H. and S. Gahin, 1983. Investigation of two plane parallel jets, AIAA Journal, Vol. 21, 986-991
- [7] Elbanna, H. and A. Sabbagh, 1987. Interaction of two nonequal plane parallel jets, AIAA journal, Vol. 25, 12-13
- [8] Ko, N.W.M. and Lau, K.K., 1989. Flow structures in initial region of two interacting parallel plane jets, Exp. Thermal Fluid Sci., 2, 431-449
- [9] Lin, Y.F. and Sheu, M.J., 1990. Investigation of two plane parallel unventilated jets, Experiments in Fluids 10, 17-22
- [10] Nasr, A. and Lai, J.C.S., 1997. Two parallel plane jets: mean flow and effects of acoustic excitation, Experiments in Fluids 22, 251-260
- [11] Behrouzi, P. and McGuirk, J. J., 1998. Laser Doppler velocimetry measurements of twin-jet impingement flow for validation of computational models, Optics and Laser in Engineering, Vol. 30, 265 - 277
- [12] Anderson, E. A. and Spall, R. E., 2001. Experimental and numerical investigation of two-dimensional parallel jets, Journal of Fluids Engineering, Vol. 123, 401-406
- [13] Yin, Z. Q., Zhang, H. J. and Lin, J. Z., 2007. Experimental study on the flow field characteristics in the mixing region of twin jets, Journal of Hydrodynamics, Ser.B, 19(3), 309-313
- [14] Nasr, A. and Lai, Joseph., 2011. An investigation on applying Reichardt's hypothesis to flow prediction of ventilated two parallel plane jets, Advances in Aerospace Science and Applications, Vol. 1, No.1, 1 – 6
- [15] Crosskey, M. and Ruggles, A., 2014. UTK Twin Jet Water Facility Computational Fluid Dynamics Validation Data Set, Proceedings of ICAPP, Charlotte, USA, April 6-9.
- [16] Durst, F. and Ruck, B., 1987. Effective particle size range in laser-Doppler anemometry, Exp. Fluids 5, 305-314
- [17] Rajaratnam, N., 1976. Turbulent jets (Developments in Water Science, Volume 5), Elsevier Science Ltd, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- [18] Mayo, W.T. Jr, Shay, M.T. and Riter, S., 1974. Digital estimation of turbulence power spectra from burst counter LDV data, Proceedings of the 2nd International Workshop on Laser Velocimetry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 16-26

- [19] Ramond, A. and Millan, P., 2000. Measurements and treatment of LDA signals, comparison with hot-wire signals, *Exp. Fluids* 28, 58-63
- [20] Hertwig, D., Leitl, B. and Schatzmann, M., 2011. Organized turbulent structures—Link between experimental data and LES, *Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics* 99, 296-307.
- [21] Welch, P.D., 1967. The Use of Fast Fourier Transform for the Estimation of Power Spectra: A Method Based on Time Averaging Over Short, Modified Periodograms, *IEEE Transactions on Audio and Electroacoustics*, Vol. 15, No. 2, 70-73
- [22] Morlet, J., 1981. Sampling Theory and Wave Propagation, *Proceedings of the Society of Exploration Geophysicists 51st Annual Meeting and Exposition*, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- [23] Farge, M., 1992. Wavelet Transforms and Their Applications to Turbulence, *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, Vol. 24, 395-457
- [24] Torrence, C. and Compo, G.P., 1998, A Practical Guide to Wavelet Analysis, *Bulletin of American Meteorological Society*, Vol. 79, No.1, 61-78
- [25] Li, H. and Nozaki, T., 1995, Wavelet Analysis for the Plane Turbulent Jet, *JSME International Journal, Series B*, Vol.38, No.4, 525-531

Fig. 1. Diagram of a typical twin jet system. a is the channel width, S is the distance between centers of the two jets, U_{max} is the local maximum velocity in the streamwise direction, x is the coordinate perpendicular to the direction of the jets, y is the coordinate along the streamwise direction, CP stands for the combining point, and MP is the merging point.

Fig. 2. Experimental setup

Fig. 3. Detailed dimensions of the twin rectangular channels

Fig. 4. Calibration of the measuring volume position in water

Fig. 5. Streamwise mean velocity U at the center of the right channel along the Y direction

Fig. 6. Streamwise fluctuating velocity at the center of the right channel along the Y direction

Fig. 7. An evaluation of the sampling uncertainty in the measurement of the Reynolds stress component $\overline{U'V'}$ at point ($x/a = -0.86$, $y/a = 5.17$)

Fig. 8. Mean streamwise velocity distributions near the exits of the two channels along the Z direction. The measuring locations were 10 mm above the exits. U_0 is the nominal mean discharge velocity with a value of 0.75 m/s.

Fig. 9. Reynolds stress component distribution at 10 mm above the outlets. The error bars represent the standard deviations of five independent measurements.

Fig. 10a. Mean streamwise velocity distributions (contour view)

Fig. 10b. Mean streamwise velocity distributions (line plots with zoom-in view)

Fig. 11. Turbulence intensity distributions: (a) streamwise and (b) lateral

Fig. 12. Reynolds stress distributions: (a) contour view and (b) line-plot view

(a)

(b)

Fig.13. Z-component vorticity distributions: (a) contour view and (b) line-plot view. The velocity vectors shown in (a) have uniform length to demonstrate the flow direction only; the positive Z direction is pointing out of the paper.

Fig. 14a. Streamwise velocity (left) and turbulence intensity distribution (right) of imbalanced jets (plot view)

Fig.14b. Streamwise velocity (left) and turbulence intensity distribution (right) of imbalanced jets (contour view)

Fig. 15. Comparison of the analytical solutions of a single jet with our experimental results for the combined twin jets. b is the half width of the jet where $U/U_{max} = 0.5$.

Fig. 16. Comparison of the original velocity signal sampled at 30 Hz and the reconstructed signal computed from Nearest-neighbor interpolation and linear interpolation with sampling rate of (a) 100 Hz ($\Delta t = 10$ ms) and (b) 1000 Hz ($\Delta t = 1$ ms).

Fig. 17. Comparison of the RMS velocities of the original data with a data rate of 200 Hz and the reconstructed data computed using the linear interpolation method

Fig. 18. Comparison of the mean velocities of the original data with a data rate of 200 Hz and the reconstructed data computed from linear interpolation method

Fig. 19. Single-side FFT output of fluctuation velocity at location R with a sampling frequency of 30 Hz

Fig. 20. Removal of the noises induced by the pump vibration using a band-pass filter

Fig. 21. Evaluation of the difference between the unfiltered and filtered signal

Fig. 22. PSD spectrum of u' at location R in the time domain of signal s1 (a) and s2 (b)

Fig. 23. Comparison of wavelet transform results of signal S shown in (a) using Mexican hat wavelet (b) and Morlet wavelet (c)

Fig. 24. Wavelet coefficients contours (b) and single-side FFT output (b) of fluctuation velocity at location R from 6500 ms to 7000 ms (a)

Fig. 25. 3-D view of the wavelet coefficients from 6500 ms to 7000 ms

Fig. 26. Wavelet coefficients contours (b) and single-side FFT output (b) of fluctuation velocity at location R from 20,000 ms to 20,500 ms (a)

Fig. 27. Wavelet coefficients contours (b) and single-side FFT output (b) of fluctuation velocity at location R from 40,000 ms to 40,500 ms (a)

Fig. 28. Similar flow structures observed in different time periods

Table 1. Summary of the experimental conditions for the imbalanced jets

R	U_{left} (m/s)	U_{right} (m/s)	U_{max} *(m/s)
1.3	0.988	0.760	1.144
1.5	1.140	0.760	1.295
1.9	1.444	0.760	1.632

* The maximum velocities are all located at $y/a = 1.72$ for each velocity ratio.