Theoretical calculation of oxygen equilibrium isotope fractionation factors involving various NO_y molecules, $\bullet\text{OH}$, and H_2O and its implications for isotope variations in atmospheric nitrate

Wendell W. Walters*[†] and Greg Michalski^{†‡}

[†]Department of Earth, Atmospheric, and Planetary Sciences Purdue University, 550 Stadium Mall Drive, West Lafayette, IN 47907, United States

[‡]Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, 560 Oval Drive, West Lafayette, IN 47907, United States

ABSTRACT

The oxygen stable isotope composition ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$) of nitrogen oxides [NO_x = nitric oxide (NO) + nitrogen dioxide (NO_2)] and their oxidation products (NO_y = NO_x + nitric acid (HNO_3) + particulate nitrate (p-NO_3^-) + nitrate radical (NO_3) + dinitrogen pentoxide (N_2O_5) + nitrous acid (HONO) + ...) have been shown to be a useful tool for inferring the proportion of NO_x that is oxidized by ozone (O_3). However, isotopic fractionation processes may have an influence on $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of various NO_y molecules and other atmospheric O-bearing molecules pertinent to NO_x oxidation chemistry. Here we have evaluated the impacts of O isotopic exchange involving NO_y molecules, the hydroxyl radical ($\bullet\text{OH}$), and water (H_2O) using reduced partition function ratios ($\chi\beta$) calculated by hybrid density functional theory. Assuming atmospheric isotopic equilibrium is achieved between NO and NO_2 during the daytime, and NO_2 , NO_3 , and N_2O_5 during the

nighttime, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compositions were predicted for the major atmospheric nitrate formation pathways using our calculated exchange fractionation factors and isotopic mass-balance. Our equilibrium model predicts that various atmospheric nitrate formation pathways, including $\text{NO}_2 + \bullet\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3$, $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{surface} \rightarrow 2\text{HNO}_3$, and $\text{NO}_3 + \text{R} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3 + \text{R}\bullet$ will yield distinctive $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compositions. Our calculated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compositions match well with previous atmospheric nitrate measurements, and will potentially help better understand the role oxidation chemistry plays on the N and O isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate.

1. INTRODUCTION

Stable isotopes are useful for understanding complex chemical systems such as the cycling and oxidation of NO_x [NO_x = nitric oxide (NO) + nitrogen dioxide (NO_2)]. For example, the oxygen (O) stable isotope composition of atmospheric nitrate, which includes nitric acid (HNO_3), nitrate (NO_3^-), and particulate nitrate (p- NO_3^-), indicates the proportion of NO_x that reacts with ozone (O_3) during its oxidation (Michalski et al., 2003; Thiemens, 2006; Savarino et al., 2007; McCabe et al., 2007; Morin et al., 2008; Alexander et al., 2009). The O isotope composition of a sample is typically reported in delta notation (δ), which is expressed as the ratio of the heavy (^{17}O and ^{18}O) to the light (^{16}O) isotope in a sample relative to the same ratio of an international standard (Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW):

$$\delta^x\text{O}(\text{‰}) = \left[\left(\frac{(^x\text{O}/^{16}\text{O})_{\text{sample}}}{(^x\text{O}/^{16}\text{O})_{\text{VSMOW}}} \right) - 1 \right] \times 1000 \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

where x represents the abundance of either ^{17}O or ^{18}O . Several studies have shown that atmospheric O_3 has elevated $\delta^{17}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values relative to VSMOW and a strong mass-independent component (Thiemens and Heidenreich, 1983; Krankowsky et al., 1995; Johnston and Thiemens, 1997; Mauersberger et al., 2001; Vicars et al., 2012; Vicars and Savarino, 2014), which is quantified by $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ notation:

$$\Delta^{17}\text{O}(\text{‰}) = 1000 \ln \left[1 + \frac{\delta^{17}\text{O}}{1000} \right] - 0.52 \times 1000 \ln \left[1 + \frac{\delta^{18}\text{O}}{1000} \right] \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

These elevated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ signatures are transferred to atmospheric nitrate proportionally when O_3 oxidizes NO_x (Thiemens, 2006; Savarino et al., 2008; Michalski and Bhattacharya,

2009; Berhanu et al., 2012). Thus, O isotopic analysis, particularly $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$, of atmospheric nitrate has been suggested as a useful proxy for assessing changes in NO_x oxidation and for evaluating long-term changes in the atmosphere's oxidation capacity (e.g. Michalski et al., 2003; Alexander et al., 2009; Alexander and Mickley, 2015).

There may be additional useful information in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ variations, because mass-dependent fractionation processes (MDFP) such as equilibrium or kinetic isotope effects will have a minimal impact on $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ but may induce significant $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ variations. These fractionation processes may also influence the nitrogen (N) isotopic composition ($\delta^{15}\text{N}(\text{‰}) = [({}^{15}\text{N}/{}^{14}\text{N})_{\text{sample}}/({}^{15}\text{N}/{}^{14}\text{N})_{\text{air}} - 1] \times 1000$, where air N_2 is the N isotopic reference) as NO_x is oxidized to atmospheric nitrate (e.g. Freyer et al., 1993; Vicars et al., 2013; Walters et al., 2016). Thus, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of atmospheric nitrate may be related, reflecting to some degree the fractionation processes responsible for the formation of atmospheric nitrate. However, few studies have quantitatively evaluated the impacts of NO_x oxidation fractionation processes on $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and their implications for possible $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ relationships of the atmospheric nitrate end product.

One such fractionation process that may play an important role on the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of atmospheric nitrate is isotopic equilibrium exchange or partial exchange. For example, it has been previously suggested that isotopic equilibrium between NO and NO_2 has a significant impact on the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of HNO_3 produced during the daytime (Freyer et al., 1993; Riha, 2013; Vicars et al., 2013; Savarino et al., 2013; Walters et al., 2016). The same exchange processes may also generate useful $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ signatures in HNO_3 . Additionally, it has been suggested that NO_2 , the nitrate radical (NO_3), and dinitrogen pentoxide (N_2O_5) may reach isotopic equilibrium during the nighttime (Amell and Daniels, 1952; Freyer, 1991; Walters et al., 2016). Isotopic

equilibrium involving NO_2 , NO_3 , and N_2O_5 will affect partitioning of ^{18}O and ^{15}N between these molecules, which has implications for variations in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of HNO_3 produced at night. Thus, isotopic equilibrium exchange in addition to mass-balance considerations may have important implications for diurnal and seasonal variations in $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compositions of atmospheric nitrate. In this case, knowing the isotopic equilibrium fractionation factors involving a variety of oxidized N molecules (denoted as $\text{NO}_y = \text{NO}_x + \text{HNO}_3 + \text{p-NO}_3^- + \text{NO}_3 + \text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{nitrous acid (HONO)} + \dots$) would be useful.

Prior studies have calculated O isotopic equilibrium exchange fractionation factors involving some NO_y molecules using measured vibrational spectroscopic data (Richet et al., 1977) or empirically determined interatomic force constants (Stern et al., 1968; Monse et al., 1969). However, O isotopic equilibrium fractionation factors have not been determined for many atmospherically relevant NO_y molecules such as N_2O_5 , NO_3 , and halogen nitrates (XONO_2). This is primarily due to the absence of spectroscopic data for the isotopologues of these molecules. Previously, we have used computational quantum chemistry methods to calculate N isotopic equilibrium fractionation factors involving some NO_y molecules (Walters and Michalski, 2015). Here we will extend that study and calculate O isotopic equilibrium exchange fractionation factors for singly substituted O isotopologues of numerous NO_y molecules. We will also calculate the fractionation factor associated with equilibrium isotopic exchange between the hydroxyl radical ($\bullet\text{OH}$) and water (H_2O) due to the importance this reaction has on the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of atmospheric $\bullet\text{OH}$ (Dubey et al., 1997; Lyons, 2001; Michalski et al., 2012). Knowing $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of $\bullet\text{OH}$ is important because it will be transferred proportionally to the atmospheric nitrate end product from $\bullet\text{OH}$ reaction with NO_2 (Michalski et al., 2012). Our calculated O isotopic equilibrium fractionation factors will allow for the evaluation of the impact

various exchange reactions have on $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values of these molecules and how it may be propagated into the atmospheric nitrate end product. Combining our O and N isotopic equilibrium fractionation factors will allow for the prediction of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ arrays resulting from various atmospheric nitrate formation pathways.

2. METHODS AND THEORY

2.1 Calculation of equilibrium isotope exchange fractionation factors

The theoretical calculation of isotopic fractionation associated with isotope exchange equilibrium reactions has long been carried out in the harmonic approximation using partition functions (Urey, 1947; Bigeleisen and Mayer, 1947). In the Born-Oppenheimer, harmonic oscillator, and rigid-rotor approximations, the reduced partition function ratio (RPFR, commonly denoted as $^x\beta$) for an oxygen isotopic pair is written as:

$$\text{RPFR} = ^x\beta_{\text{har}} = \prod_i^N \frac{u_2}{u_1} \times \exp\left(\sum_i^N \frac{u_{1i} - u_{2i}}{2}\right) \times \prod_i^N \frac{1 - \exp(-u_{1i})}{1 - \exp(-u_{2i})} \quad (\text{Eq. 3})$$

where x and subscript 2 refer to one of the heavy isotopes (^{17}O or ^{18}O) and subscript 1 refers to ^{16}O . The $u_i = hc\omega_i/kT$ where h is Planck's constant, c is the speed of light, ω_i is the harmonic frequency (cm^{-1}) for each vibrational mode (i), k is Boltzmann constant, T is temperature in Kelvin, and N refers to the number of normal mode vibrational frequencies. In Eq. 3, the Teller-Redlich rule was employed (Redlich, 1935), which converts translational and rotational motion into vibrational frequencies. Symmetry numbers are not considered, because symmetry in itself does not lead to isotopic enrichment during equilibrium (Bigeleisen and Mayer, 1947) but must

be considered from a statistical perspective (Coulson, 1978; Pollak and Pechukas, 1978; Michalski and Bhattacharya, 2009).

The $^x\beta$ values calculated using Eq. 3 are accurate in the harmonic oscillator and rigid-rotor approximations. However, corrections for anharmonicity, vibration-rotation coupling, centrifugal distortion, hindered internal rotation, and quantum mechanical correction to rotation have been shown to be important for calculating accurate $^x\beta$ values for some molecules (Pennington and Kobe, 1954; Richet et al., 1977; Liu et al., 2010). Generally, for atoms other than H most of the corrections can be ignored as $^x\beta$ is impacted by less than 0.01‰. The main exception is anharmonic correction to the zero point energy (ZPE), which can play a significant role in the calculation of accurate $^x\beta$ values (Liu et al., 2010). If accurate ZPEs are known or can be accounted for, $^x\beta$ values can more accurately be calculated by the following (Liu et al., 2010):

$$^x\beta_{\text{anhar}} = \frac{\exp[-ZPE_{\text{anhar},2}/kT]}{\exp[-ZPE_{\text{anhar},1}/kT]} \prod_i^N \left[\frac{u_2}{u_1} \right] \times \left[\frac{1-\exp(-u_{1i})}{1-\exp(-u_{2i})} \right] \quad (\text{Eq. 4})$$

where ZPE_{anhar} is the anharmonic corrected ground ZPE.

A general representation for an equilibrium isotope exchange reaction involving two different chemical compounds, A and B, is:

where subscripts 1 and 2 again refer to the light and heavy isotopologue, respectively. The equilibrium constant, $^xK_{A/B}$, which is also called the equilibrium isotope fractionation factor ($^x\alpha_{A/B}$), can be obtained from the $^x\beta$ values of A and B:

$$^xK_{A/B} = ^x\alpha_{A/B} = \frac{^x\beta_A}{^x\beta_B} \quad (\text{Eq. 6})$$

For example, using this notation, the equilibrium isotope exchange reaction between NO and NO₂ involving the singly substituted ¹⁸O and ¹⁶O isotopologue pair is written as:

The equilibrium constant for this isotope exchange reaction is written as:

$$^{18}\text{K}_{\text{NO}_2/\text{NO}} = ^{18}\alpha_{\text{NO}_2/\text{NO}} = \frac{^{18}\beta_{\text{NO}_2}}{^{18}\beta_{\text{NO}}} \quad (\text{Eq. 8})$$

2.2 Computational chemistry methods

Using *ab initio* methods to obtain β and $\alpha_{A/B}$ values for use in geochemical systems is a relatively recent advance (e.g., Driesner et al., 2000; Yamaji et al., 2001; Schauble et al., 2004; Anbar et al., 2005; Liu and Tossell, 2005; Schauble et al., 2006; Otake et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2010). In this study, the Becke-3 parameter-Lee-Yang-Parr (B3LYP) hybrid density function theory (DFT) method (Lee et al., 1988; Becke, 1993) and Dunning correlation-consistent polarized valence triple ζ (cc-pVTZ) basis set (Dunning, 1989) were used to calculate geometries (bond angles and bond lengths) and harmonic frequencies for the following molecules: NO, NO₂, HONO, HNO₃, N₂O₅, nitrate (NO₃⁻), chlorine nitrate (ClONO₂), nitrite (NO₂⁻), dinitrogen tetroxide (N₂O₄), nitryl bromide (BrNO₂), nitryl chloride (ClNO₂), bromine nitrate (BrONO₂), •OH, and H₂O. We also calculated the geometry and vibrational frequencies of NO₃ using the EDF2 DFT method (Lin et al., 2004) with the cc-pVTZ basis set (EDF2/cc-pVTZ) and the QCISD method with the cc-pVDZ basis set (QCISD/cc-pVDZ). These additional methods were used to compare the calculated vibrational frequencies of NO₃ due to the difficulties this molecule presents for computational studies related to it being a polyatomic doublet radical with multireference character (e.g. Morris et al., 1990; Dutta et al., 2013). Calculations involving the

B3LYP/cc-pVTZ and QCISD/cc-pVDZ methods were performed using the Gaussian09 program package revision D.01 (Frisch et al., 2009) on the Purdue Radon cluster (ITaP Research Computing, 2015). The EDF2/cc-pVTZ calculations were performed using the QChem 4.2 program package (Shao et al., 2015) on an IBM personal computer.

The masses of the most abundant isotopes of each element (^1H , ^{12}C , ^{14}N , ^{16}O , ^{35}Cl , ^{79}Br) were used to calculate harmonic frequencies, and isotope effects were subsequently determined via single-atom substitutions of either ^{17}O or ^{18}O for each ^{16}O position. Systematic model errors and anharmonic corrections to the ZPE were accounted for by applying a constant scale factor to the calculated harmonic ZPE, as recommended by Liu et al., (2010). We used a constant scale factor of 0.9787, recommended by Sinha et al., (2004) to correct calculated harmonic ZPE using B3LYP/cc-pVTZ to match experimental ZPEs. This scale factor was also used to correct the ZPE of NO_3 calculated using EDF2/cc-pVTZ. The ZPE of NO_3 calculated from QCISD/cc-pVDZ was scaled by 0.9776, based on a recommended value for QCISD/6-31G(d) (Scott and Radom, 1996).

3. RESULTS

3.1 Calculated $^x\beta$ values

Calculated geometries (Table S1), harmonic frequencies (Table S2), and ZPEs (Table S3) for the molecules of interest in this study are presented in the Supplementary Data. The NO_3 vibrational frequencies calculated using B3LYP/cc-pVTZ, EDF2/cc-pVTZ, QCISD/cc-pVDZ, and EOM-CCSD/aug-cc-pVDZ (Walters and Michalski, 2015) were compared (Table 1) with

experimental data (Kim et al., 1992; Jacox and Thompson, 2008; Beckers et al., 2009). Generally, it is found the most accurate NO₃ vibrational frequencies are from the EDF2/cc-pVTZ method (Table 1). Using calculated frequencies, $^{18}\beta$ values were calculated for each molecule over a temperature range from 150 to 450 K using Eq. 4. For the molecules that had non-equivalent O sites (i.e. HNO₃, N₂O₅, ClONO₂, HONO, and BrONO₂), two $^{18}\beta$ values were calculated. These are designated as “c” to refer to the substitution of ^{18}O in the central bridging O atom position (e.g. HONO^c = H¹⁸ONO) and “t” to refer to the substitution of ^{18}O in the terminal O atom position (e.g. HONO^t = HON¹⁸O). For molecules with more than one terminal O atom, but with slight break in symmetry (e.g. HNO₃, BrONO₂, and ClONO₂), the geometric mean $^{18}\beta$ at all terminal sites is reported. These terminal sites are nearly equivalent as their O $^{18}\beta$ values were generally within 0.001.

Regression fit parameters calculated for $^{18}\beta$ as a function of temperature, and $^{18}\beta$ values at 298 K, are reported in Table 2. Calculated $^{17}\beta$ regression fit parameters and values at 298 K can be found in the Supporting Data (Table S4). At 298 K, $^{18}\beta$ ranged from 1.0258 to 1.1110, increasing in the following order: •OH < H₂O < BrONO₂^c < ClONO₂^c < N₂O₅^c < HONO^c < NO₃ < HNO₃^c < NO₃⁻ < NO₂ < NO₂⁻ < NO < N₂O₄ < BrNO₂ < ClNO₂ < HNO₃^t < HONO^t < BrONO₂^t < ClONO₂^t < N₂O₅^t (Table 2). The magnitude of $^{18}\beta$ appears to be related to the number of bonds and the electronegativity of the oxygen bond-partner and increased in the following order: 1 hydrogen < 2 hydrogen < 1 halogen + 1 nitrogen < 2 nitrogen < 1 hydrogen + 1 nitrogen < 1 nitrogen (Table 2). This ordering of atoms that O is directly bonded to may provide a rough *a priori* way to arrange $^{18}\beta$ values based solely on molecular structure.

Fig. 1 compares our calculated $^{18}\beta$ values for NO, NO₂, H₂O, and HNO₃^c with those previously reported (Monse et al., 1969; Richet et al., 1977; Liu et al., 2010) as well as

calculated using experimental data (Michalski, 2003; Michalski et al., 2004). Overall, our calculated $^{18}\beta$ values agree with those previously reported (Fig. 1). For example, at 300 K, we calculated $^{18}\beta_{(\text{NO})}$ to be 1.0995, which is close to previously calculated values of 1.0973 (Richet et al., 1977) and 1.0963 (Monse et al., 1969). Additionally, our calculated $^{18}\beta_{(\text{NO}_2)}$ at 300 K is 1.0909, which is close to the value of 1.0899 previously calculated by Monse et al., (1969) and the value of 1.0867 calculated from empirically determined interatomic force constants (Michalski, 2003) and experimental ZPEs (Michalski et al., 2004). For H_2O , we calculated $^{18}\beta_{(\text{H}_2\text{O})}$ at 300 K to be 1.0635, which is close to values previously calculated of 1.0632 (Liu et al., 2010) and 1.0630 (Richet et al., 1977). Finally, for HNO_3^c , we calculated $^{18}\beta_{(\text{HNO}_3^c)}$ to be 1.0862 at 300 K, which is close to the value of 1.0860 reported by Monse et al., (1969). Fig. 2 compares the $^{18}\beta$ calculated for NO_3 using B3LYP/cc-pVTZ, EDF2/cc-pVTZ, and QCISD/cc-pVDZ methods and indicates general agreement. For example, at 300 K, $^{18}\beta$ for NO_3 is calculated to be 1.0775, 1.08135, and 1.0796 for B3LYP/cc-pVTZ, EDF2/cc-pVTZ, and QCISD/cc-pVDZ, respectively. However, since EDF2/cc-pVTZ calculated the most accurate NO_3 vibrational frequencies of the considered computational methods (Table 1), we used the EDF2/cc-pVTZ calculated $^{18}\beta$ values for $^{18}\alpha_{\text{A/B}}$ calculations involving NO_3 .

3.2 Calculated $^{18}\alpha_{\text{A/B}}$ values

Using our calculated $^{18}\beta$ values, $^{18}\alpha_{\text{A/B}}$ were calculated for the following exchange reactions (A/B): NO/NO_2 , NO_2/NO_3 , $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5^{\text{geo}}/\text{NO}_2$, $\text{N}_2\text{O}_4/\text{NO}_2$, $\text{BrNO}_2/\text{NO}_2$, $\text{ClNO}_2/\text{NO}_2$, $\text{BrONO}_2^t/\text{NO}_2$, $\text{ClONO}_2^t/\text{NO}_2$, $\text{NO}_3^-/\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\bullet\text{OH}$ over the temperature range of 150 to 450 K, where “geo” refers to the geometric mean $^{18}\beta$. These exchange reactions were chosen due to their common molecular structure (e.g. NO , NO_2 , or OH subunits), and therefore,

possibility that O isotopic exchange might occur at a rate comparable to the atmospheric lifetime of these compounds (~1 week). Few studies have experimentally determined $\alpha_{A/B}$ involving the considered molecules, limiting our ability to evaluate our calculated $\alpha_{A/B}$ values. One study that we are aware of involves the O isotopic exchange between NO_3^- and H_2O (Böhlke et al., 2003), in which $\alpha_{\text{NO}_3^-/\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ was determined to be 1.0215 and 1.0131 at 295 K and 373 K, respectively. These experimentally determined values agree reasonably well with our calculated values of 1.0256 and 1.0126 at 295 K and 373 K, respectively.

Table 3 presents the calculated $^{18}\alpha_{A/B}$ regression fit coefficients for these exchange reactions sorted in order of increasing $^{18}\alpha_{A/B}$ at 298 K. Overall, the calculated $^{18}\alpha_{A/B}$ values ranged from 1.0082 to 1.0371 at 298 K (Table 3) and increased in the following order: $\text{NO}/\text{NO}_2 < \text{NO}_2/\text{NO}_3 < \text{N}_2\text{O}_5^{\text{geo}}/\text{NO}_2 < \text{N}_2\text{O}_4/\text{NO}_2 < \text{BrNO}_2/\text{NO}_2 < \text{ClNO}_2/\text{NO}_2 < \text{BrONO}_2^{\text{t}}/\text{NO}_2 < \text{ClONO}_2^{\text{t}}/\text{NO}_2 < \text{NO}_3^-/\text{H}_2\text{O} < \text{H}_2\text{O}/\bullet\text{OH}$ (Table 3). This trend indicates that at the most exchangeable sites (i.e. terminal O atoms), ^{18}O will generally partition into NO_y molecules other than NO and NO_2 . This is true for all considered equilibrium exchange reactions except for NO_2/NO_3 , in which ^{18}O is found to prefer NO_2 . Additionally, our calculations indicate that the exchange between $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\bullet\text{OH}$ will result in atmospheric $\bullet\text{OH}$ depleted in ^{18}O relative to local H_2O vapor by approximately -35‰ at 298 K.

Fig. 3 displays the isotopic equilibrium exchange enrichment factors ($^{18}\epsilon_{A/B} = 1000(^{18}\alpha_{A/B} - 1)$) for the following five isotopic equilibrium exchange reactions: NO/NO_2 , NO_2/NO_3 , $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5^{\text{geo}}/\text{NO}_2$, $\text{ClNO}_2/\text{NO}_2$, and $\text{ClONO}_2^{\text{t}}/\text{NO}_2$. Generally, as temperature increases, it is observed that $^{18}\epsilon_{A/B}$ decreases towards zero as expected for equilibrium isotope effects in the high temperature limit. This was the observed trend for all of the considered isotopic exchange reactions except for NO/NO_2 , in which $\alpha_{A/B}$ switches from less than 1 to greater than 1 at

approximately 152.5 K. Fig. 3 also shows $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ arising from the considered isotopic equilibrium exchange reactions where $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ was calculated according to the following:

$$\Delta^{17}\text{O}(\text{‰}) = 1000 \ln \left[1 + \left({}^{17}\alpha_{\text{A/B}} - 1 \right) \right] - 0.52 \times 1000 \ln \left[1 + \left({}^{18}\alpha_{\text{A/B}} - 1 \right) \right] \quad (\text{Eq. 9})$$

For the considered exchange reactions, $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ was found to minimally deviate from 0‰ (Fig. 3), ranging from 0.02 to 0.18‰ (Fig. 3) at 298 K.

4. DISCUSSION

Overall, our ${}^{18}\alpha_{\text{A/B}}$ calculations show that if isotopic equilibrium is achieved, it will influence the partitioning of ${}^{18}\text{O}$ between the considered molecules, without inducing a significant $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ signature, as expected for a MDFP (Fig. 3). Therefore, while $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ of NO_y molecules should approximately represent the O mass-balance of precursor molecules (i.e. O_3 , H_2O , O_2), $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ may reflect both O mass-balance *and* possible influences from MDFP such as isotopic equilibrium exchange. Thus, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of NO_y molecules may provide additional information about NO_x oxidation pathways that is not observable in $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ alone. Taking into account N and O isotopic mass-balance and assuming daytime isotopic equilibrium between NO and NO_2 (Freyer et al., 1993; Riha, 2013; Vicars et al., 2013; Savarino et al., 2013; Walters et al., 2016) and nighttime isotopic equilibrium between NO_2 , NO_3 , and N_2O_5 (Amell and Daniels, 1952; Freyer, 1991; Walters et al., 2016), $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compositions may be estimated for various HNO_3 formation pathways.

4.1 Predicted daytime HNO_3 $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compositions

During the daytime, NO_x exists in a photochemical steady state in which NO is oxidized to NO_2 , which may photolyze back to NO leading to the production of O_3 (Leighton, 1961).

The photochemical cycling between NO - NO_2 - O_3 is rapid, and prior experimental investigations of this cycling has suggested that O isotopic equilibrium is achieved between O_3 and NO_x (Michalski et al., 2014). Based on a photochemical NO_x - O_3 isotope equilibrium model, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - NO_x has been estimated to have a value of $117 \pm 5\text{‰}$ relative to VSMOW (Michalski et al., 2014). While $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - NO_x is assumed to reflect its photochemical equilibration with O_3 , $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ - NO_x should be related to local NO_x source emissions. Recently, a mass-balance $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ - NO_x model across the contiguous United States has been developed that suggests $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ - NO_x should typically range from -15‰ to 0‰ (Walters et al., 2015). Exceptions include regions dominated by soil emissions (e.g. Great Plains during the summer) or coal-fired power plant emissions, in which $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ - NO_x is estimated to range from -31‰ to -21‰ and 5‰ to 9‰ , respectively (Walters et al., 2015).

While the isotopic composition of NO_x is approximately known, the isotopic composition of its components, NO and NO_2 , may be altered relative to NO_x due to isotopic exchange. The rate of isotopic exchange between NO and NO_2 is rapid ($k = 8.14 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molecules}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298 K; Sharma et al., 1970) and may lead to the partitioning of ^{18}O between NO and NO_2 similar to exchange previously observed for ^{15}N (Freyer et al., 1993; Walters et al., 2016). The resulting $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of the NO and NO_2 will depend on the fraction of NO and NO_2 relative to the total

307 NO_x (i.e. [NO]/[NO_x] and [NO₂]/[NO_x]) and the temperature dependent equilibrium exchange
 308 fractionation factors (Freyer et al., 1993; Walters et al., 2016). The impact of this exchange on
 309 δ¹⁵N of NO and NO₂ has been previously derived (Freyer et al., 1993; Walters et al., 2016),
 310 which we have adapted to also include δ¹⁸O, as the following:

$$311 \quad \delta^x - \text{NO}_2(\text{‰}) = 1000 \left[\frac{(\alpha_{\text{NO}_2/\text{NO}}^x - 1)(1 - f_{\text{NO}_2})}{(1 - f_{\text{NO}_2}) + (\alpha_{\text{NO}_2/\text{NO}}^x \cdot f_{\text{NO}_2})} \right] + \delta^x - \text{NO}_x(\text{‰}) \quad (\text{Eq. 10})$$

$$312 \quad \delta^x - \text{NO}(\text{‰}) = \frac{(\delta^x - \text{NO}_x(\text{‰})) - (f_{\text{NO}_2} \cdot \delta^x - \text{NO}_2(\text{‰}))}{(1 - f_{\text{NO}_2})} \quad (\text{Eq. 11})$$

313 where x represents either ¹⁸O or ¹⁵N and *f*_{NO2} is the fraction of NO₂ to the total NO_x. During the
 314 daytime, near equal concentrations of NO and NO₂ can occur (e.g. Vicars et al., 2013; Walters et
 315 al., 2016) due to the emission of NO (e.g. Gao, 2007) and NO₂ photolysis (Leighton, 1961).
 316 Therefore, during the daytime, δ¹⁸O and δ¹⁵N of NO and NO₂ will likely reflect a complex
 317 function of the δ¹⁸O and δ¹⁵N of NO_x *and* the NO_x equilibrium isotopic fractionation factors. If
 318 the isotopic composition of daytime NO₂ is altered relative to NO_x, it would have important
 319 consequences for δ¹⁸O and δ¹⁵N of atmospheric nitrate produced during daylight hours, because
 320 it is primarily formed through the reaction between NO₂ and photochemically produced •OH:

322 We will refer to HNO₃ produced through R4 as HNO₃(1) in the following discussion. Assuming
 323 no kinetic isotope fractionation associated with R4 (this will be discussed in a forth coming
 324 paper), the δ^x of HNO₃(1) can be calculated using mass-balance as the following:

$$325 \quad \delta^{15}\text{N-HNO}_3(1)(\text{‰}) = \delta^{15}\text{N-NO}_2(\text{‰}) \quad (\text{Eq. 12})$$

$$326 \quad \delta^{18}\text{O-HNO}_3(1)(\text{‰}) = \frac{2}{3}(\delta^{18}\text{O-NO}_2(\text{‰})) + \frac{1}{3}(\delta^{18}\text{O-}\bullet\text{OH}(\text{‰})) \quad (\text{Eq. 13})$$

This model indicates that the N and O isotopic composition of $\text{HNO}_3(1)$ can be approximately calculated if $\delta^{15}\text{N}-\text{NO}_2$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}-\bullet\text{OH}$ are known. Using this proposed model, we calculated daytime $\delta^{15}\text{N}-\text{NO}_2$ according to Eq. 10 assuming local $\delta^{15}\text{N}-\text{NO}_x$ to range from -15‰ to 0‰ (Walters et al., 2015) and using N isotopic exchange fractionation factors for NO_2/NO from our previous study (Walters and Michalski, 2015). These NO_2/NO exchange fractionation factors were adapted to include corrections for ZPE anharmonicity (adapted $^{15}\beta$ and $^{15}\alpha_{\text{NO}_2/\text{NO}}$ values can be found in Table S5 and S6). Predicted daytime $\delta^{18}\text{O}-\text{NO}_2$ values, relative to VSMOW, were calculated from Eq. 10 assuming $\delta^{18}\text{O}-\text{NO}_x$ to range from 112‰ to 122‰ (Michalski et al., 2014) and using O isotopic NO_2/NO exchange fractionation factors calculated in this study. The $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of $\bullet\text{OH}$ should to a first order approximation reflect the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of local water vapor and the temperature dependent fractionation factor associated with equilibrium $\bullet\text{OH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ exchange, since the atmospheric concentration of $\bullet\text{OH}$ is orders of magnitude lower than H_2O (Michalski et al., 2012):

$$\delta^{18}\text{O}-\text{OH}(\text{‰}) = \delta^{18}\text{O}-\text{H}_2\text{O}(\text{‰}) + 1000(\alpha_{\text{OH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}} - 1) \quad (\text{Eq. 14})$$

Therefore, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of $\bullet\text{OH}$ can be approximated using an estimated tropospheric water vapor $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ range of -25‰ to 0‰ (typical for mid-latitudes Michalski et al., 2012) and O isotopic $\bullet\text{OH}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ exchange fractionation factors calculated in this study.

The predicted $\delta^{18}\text{O}-\delta^{15}\text{N}$ ranges of NO , NO_2 , and $\text{HNO}_3(1)$ at 300 K with $f_{\text{NO}_2} = 0.70$ exhibit significant variation (Fig. 4a). The $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of $\text{HNO}_3(1)$ and NO_2 are calculated to range from -4.2‰ to 10.8‰, while $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of NO is calculated to range from -40.2‰ to -25.2‰. The positive shift in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of $\text{HNO}_3(1)$ and NO_2 and the negative shift in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of NO relative to the assumed $\delta^{15}\text{N}-\text{NO}_x$ value (-15‰ to 0‰) is a direct result of the N isotopic exchange between NO and NO_2 that favors the partitioning of ^{15}N into NO_2 ($^{15}\alpha_{\text{NO}_2/\text{NO}} = 1.0370$ at 300 K). The $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of

NO, NO₂, and HNO₃(1) are estimated to range from 115.3‰ to 125.3‰, 109.5‰ to 119.5‰, and 52.8‰ to 67.8‰ at 300 K (Fig. 4a). The positive shift in δ¹⁸O of NO and negative shift in NO₂ relative to the assumed initial δ¹⁸O-NO_x (112‰ to 122‰) is a result of their O isotopic exchange that favors the formation of ¹⁸O in NO (¹⁸α_{NO2/NO} = 0.992 at 300 K). The estimated δ¹⁸O-HNO₃(1) shift of -56.7‰ relative to NO₂ is a result of the 1/3 O contribution from •OH that is predicted to have a δ¹⁸O range of -60.5‰ to -35‰ due to its equilibration with H₂O at 300 K (¹⁸α_{•OH/H2O} = 0.9645 at 300 K).

The impact of varying *f*_{NO2} values on NO and NO₂ isotopic exchange and its influence on δ¹⁵N and δ¹⁸O of NO, NO₂, and HNO₃(1) are displayed in Table 4. Generally, it is observed that δ¹⁵N is highly sensitive to *f*_{NO2}, but δ¹⁸O is not. For example, from *f*_{NO2} = 1 to *f*_{NO2} = 0.55, the shift in δ¹⁵N of NO₂ and HNO₃(1) is 16.3‰, while the change in δ¹⁸O of NO₂ and HNO₃(2) is -3.8‰ and -2.5‰, respectively (Table 4). The degree of sensitivity of δ¹⁵N and δ¹⁸O in HNO₃(1) to *f*_{NO2} is a result of the magnitude of the NO₂/NO equilibrium fractionation factors, in which N fractionation is fairly large (¹⁵α_{NO2/NO} = 1.0370 at 300 K), while O fractionation is relatively minor (¹⁸α_{NO2/NO} = 0.992 at 300 K). This isotope equilibrium mechanism predicts that δ¹⁵N-HNO₃(1) will be sensitive to diurnal fluctuations in *f*_{NO2}, which is a phenomenon that has been previously observed (Vicars et al., 2013). Additionally, this mechanism indicates that since O fractionation between NO and NO₂ is relatively minor, δ¹⁸O-HNO₃(1) is approximately equal to the O isotope mass-balance between NO_x-O₃ (in photochemical equilibrium) and •OH.

In addition to *f*_{NO2} dependence, the isotopic composition of HNO₃(1) will also have a temperature dependence that is related to the temperature dependent α_{NO2/NO} and α_{•OH/H2O} values. As temperature decreases, ¹⁵α_{NO2/NO} increases (Walters and Michalski, 2015; Walters et al., 2016), and this will result in HNO₃(1) having a higher δ¹⁵N value relative to the δ¹⁵N-NO_x for a

fixed f_{NO_2} value. Additionally, while $^{18}\alpha_{NO_2/NO}$ is relatively insensitive to temperature (Fig. 2), $^{18}\alpha_{OH/H_2O}$ values change significantly with temperature (i.e. $^{18}\alpha_{OH/H_2O} = 0.9494$ and 0.9682 at 220 K and 330 K, respectively). Therefore, the $\delta^{18}O\text{-HNO}_3(1)$ formed at lower temperatures will have a lower $\delta^{18}O$ that is primarily the result of the temperature dependence of isotopic exchange between $\bullet OH$ and H_2O for a fixed $\delta^{18}O\text{-H}_2O$. Overall, while it is difficult to predict the exact range of $\delta^{18}O\text{-}\delta^{15}N$ compositions of $HNO_3(1)$ due to its numerous dependences, the proposed mechanism predicts that $\delta^{15}N\text{-HNO}_3(1)$ should reflect $\delta^{15}N\text{-NO}_2$, which should be slightly higher than $\delta^{15}N\text{-NO}_x$; however, $\delta^{18}O\text{-HNO}_3(1)$ should be lower than $\delta^{18}O\text{-NO}_2$ as a result of the O isotopic mass-balance between NO_2 and $\bullet OH$.

4.2 Predicted nighttime HNO_3 $\delta^{18}O\text{-}\delta^{15}N$ space

During the nighttime, higher N oxides form and new pathways of HNO_3 production become important due to the absence of photochemically produced $\bullet OH$. Under these conditions, NO_2 is oxidized by O_3 forming the NO_3 radical (R5), which exists at thermal equilibrium with NO_2 and N_2O_5 (Russell et al., 1985; R6). Subsequent hydrolysis of N_2O_5 on a wetted surface forms HNO_3 .

This HNO_3 formation pathway is typically most prevalent during the winter when N_2O_5 is thermally stable (Calvert et al., 1985; Chang et al., 2011). Nighttime HNO_3 may also form through hydrogen abstraction from organic compounds (R) by NO_3 :

Since photochemical cycling of NO_x shuts down during the night, nearly all NO_x exists as NO_2 . Thus, $\delta^x\text{-NO}_x \approx \delta^x\text{-NO}_2$ as isotopic exchange between NO and NO_2 ceases (Freyer et al., 1993; Walters et al., 2016). However, isotopic equilibrium between NO_2 , NO_3 , and N_2O_5 is likely to be achieved mirroring its rapid chemical equilibrium rate (lifetime of 1 to 2 minutes; Amell and Daniels, 1952; Freyer, 1991), which will have an impact on $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of atmospheric nitrate produced during the night. Generally, the tropospheric concentrations of $[\text{NO}_2] \gg [\text{N}_2\text{O}_5] \geq [\text{NO}_3]$, as NO_2 is typically on the order of ppb while N_2O_5 and NO_3 are on the order of ppt (e.g. Chang et al., 2011). Therefore, in most cases the isotopic composition of N_2O_5 and NO_3 should reflect the exchange α 's with respect to NO_2 (i.e. $\alpha_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_5/\text{NO}_2}$ and $\alpha_{\text{NO}_3/\text{NO}_2}$) and can be determined from the following:

$$\delta^x - \text{N}_2\text{O}_5(\text{‰}) = \delta^x - \text{NO}_2(\text{‰}) + 1000 \left[\alpha_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_5/\text{NO}_2}^x - 1 \right] \quad (\text{Eq. 15})$$

$$\delta^x - \text{NO}_3(\text{‰}) = \delta^x - \text{NO}_2(\text{‰}) + 1000 \left[\alpha_{\text{NO}_3/\text{NO}_2}^x - 1 \right] \quad (\text{Eq. 16})$$

Assuming a negligible kinetic isotopic fractionation associated with R7, the isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate produced through N_2O_5 hydrolysis, which we will refer to as $\text{HNO}_3(2)$, can be estimated from $\delta^x\text{-N}_2\text{O}_5$ and O isotopic mass-balance, our calculated exchange fractionation factors, and using an estimated $\delta^{18}\text{O-H}_2\text{O}$ range from -25‰ to 0‰.

$$\delta^{15}\text{N-HNO}_3(2)(\text{‰}) = \delta^{15}\text{N-N}_2\text{O}_5(\text{‰}) \quad (\text{Eq. 17})$$

$$\delta^{18}\text{O-HNO}_3(2)(\text{‰}) = \frac{5}{6}(\delta^{18}\text{O-N}_2\text{O}_5(\text{‰})) + \frac{1}{6}(\delta^{18}\text{O-H}_2\text{O}(\text{‰})) \quad (\text{Eq. 18})$$

Assuming this model, N_2O_5 and $\text{HNO}_3(2)$ have an estimated $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ range of 10.5‰ to 25.5‰ (Fig. 4b), which is approximately 25.5‰ higher than NO_x as a result of the $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5/\text{NO}_2$ exchange ($^{15}\alpha_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_5/\text{NO}_2} = 1.0255$ at 300 K). $\delta^{18}\text{O-N}_2\text{O}_5$ is estimated to range from 121.4‰ to 131.4‰ (Fig.

4b), as a result of the O isotopic exchange between $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5/\text{NO}_2$ ($^{18}\alpha_{\text{N}_2\text{O}_5/\text{NO}_2} = 1.0094$ at 300 K).

Assuming O mass-balance between N_2O_5 and H_2O (Eq. 18), $\delta^{18}\text{O-HNO}_3(2)$ is predicted to range from 97.0‰ to 109.5‰ at 300 K. As temperatures decrease, the N and O fractionation from the $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5/\text{NO}_2$ isotopic exchange will increase, and this should result in higher $\delta^x\text{-N}_2\text{O}_5$ relative to the local NO_x .

Nitrate produced at night formed through the NO_3 hydrogen abstraction pathway, which we will refer to as $\text{HNO}_3(3)$, will have an O and N isotopic composition that is equal to the isotopic composition of NO_3 , assuming isotopic mass-balance and neglecting any kinetic isotopic fractionation associated with R8:

$$\delta^{15}\text{N-HNO}_3(3)(\text{‰}) = \delta^{15}\text{N-NO}_3(\text{‰}) \quad (\text{Eq. 19})$$

$$\delta^{18}\text{O-HNO}_3(3)(\text{‰}) = \delta^{18}\text{O-NO}_3(\text{‰}) \quad (\text{Eq. 20})$$

Assuming this isotopic mechanism, $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of NO_3 and $\text{HNO}_3(3)$ are estimated to range from -33.1‰ to -18.1‰, which is approximately 18.4‰ lower than the $\delta^{15}\text{N-NO}_2$ (Fig. 4b).

Additionally, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of NO_3 and $\text{HNO}_3(3)$ is estimated to range from 102.9‰ to 112.9‰, which is approximately 9.7‰ lower than $\delta^{18}\text{O-NO}_2$ (Fig. 4b). Both $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ are lower in $\text{HNO}_3(3)$ relative to NO_2 due to isotopic exchange between NO_3 and NO_2 that favors both ^{15}N and ^{18}O partitioning in NO_2 ($^{15}\alpha_{\text{NO}_3/\text{NO}_2} = 0.9819$ and $^{18}\alpha_{\text{NO}_3/\text{NO}_2} = 0.9901$ at 300 K). Our calculations indicate that as temperatures decrease, both the N and O fractionation resulting from the NO_3/NO_2 isotopic exchange will increase, which will result in higher $\delta^x\text{-HNO}_3(3)$ values.

It is important to note the uncertainty in the calculated $^x\beta$ of NO_3 that is related to the computational difficulties of NO_3 as previously discussed (e.g. Morris et al., 1990; Dutta et al., 2013). B3LYP/cc-pVTZ, EDF2/cc-pVTZ, and QCISD/cc-pVDZ models yielded $^{18}\beta$ within 3.8‰ at 300 K (Fig. 2) indicating general agreement. However, these methods found a wider

range in $^{15}\beta$, from 1.0715 to 1.0864 at 300 K (Fig. S1), indicating a disagreement as high as 14.9%. Despite the uncertainty in $^{15}\beta$ of NO_3 , all of the considered computational methods indicate that the $^{15}\beta$ values of NO_3 are less than those of NO_2 (i.e. $^{15}\alpha_{\text{NO}_3/\text{NO}_2} < 1$; Fig. S2). Therefore, while the actual $^{15}\beta$ values of NO_3 are somewhat uncertain, our calculations indicate that NO_3 should have lower $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ relative to NO_2 . In our calculations, we have used NO_3 $^{15}\beta$ and $^{18}\beta$ values calculated using EDF2/cc-pVTZ, because vibrational frequencies calculated with this method are in closer agreement with experimental data (Kim et al., 1992; Jacox and Thompson, 2008; Beckers et al., 2009) (Table 1).

4.3 Implications of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compositions in HNO_3

Assuming the same range of starting N and O isotopic compositions of NO_x ($\delta^{15}\text{N}$ - NO_x : -15‰ to 0‰, $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - NO_x : 112‰ to 122‰), our calculations suggest that different HNO_3 formation pathways yield relatively distinctive $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compositions (Fig. 5). Our calculations indicate that $\text{HNO}_3(1)$ should result in the lowest $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values and mid-ranged $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values. Our model predicts that $\text{HNO}_3(2)$ and $\text{HNO}_3(3)$ should have high $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values that are similar. However, $\text{HNO}_3(2)$ has the highest predicted $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values, while $\text{HNO}_3(3)$ has the lowest $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values. This suggests that $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ arrays for different HNO_3 production pathways can be used as isotopic end-members in isotope mixing models that might explain $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values observed in atmospheric nitrate.

These predicted $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ isotopic end-members were compared with measured $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values of atmospheric nitrates (Fig. 5), including $\text{HNO}_{3(\text{g})}$ (Elliott et al., 2009), p-NO_3^- (Elliott et al., 2009; Mase, 2010; Vicars et al., 2013), and $\text{NO}_3^-_{(\text{aq})}$ (Durka et al., 1994). The

measured $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values in atmospheric nitrate plot between the two major HNO_3 formation pathways $\text{HNO}_3(1)$ and $\text{HNO}_3(2)$ predicted by this equilibrium model (Fig. 5). However, some of the reported $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values appear to represent a mixture of $\text{HNO}_3(1)$ and $\text{HNO}_3(3)$, which is most likely to occur for areas with high DMS/VOC concentrations such as marine and forest ecosystems (Geyer and Platt, 2002). Our predicted $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compositions may explain both the $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ seasonal cycling typically observed in atmospheric nitrate, in which $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values are highest in the winter and lowest during the summer (e.g. Freyer, 1978; Freyer, 1991; Elliott et al., 2009; Mase, 2010; Beyn et al., 2014). During winter, the $\text{HNO}_3(2)$ pathway is generally most prominent (Calvert et al., 1985; Chang et al., 2011), and our calculations suggest that this pathway results in high $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ values. During summer, the $\text{HNO}_3(1)$ pathway is generally most prominent due to the higher concentrations of photochemically produced $\bullet\text{OH}$ (Calvert et al., 1985), and our calculations suggest this pathway will result in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ values lower than those for the $\text{HNO}_3(2)$ pathway.

While $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ of atmospheric nitrate has been previously linked to NO_x oxidation pathways (e.g. Michalski et al., 2003; Savarino et al., 2007; Michalski et al., 2012), our calculations suggest that $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ may also be linked to oxidation chemistry. However, seasonal changes in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of atmospheric nitrate will also be impacted by changes in seasonal emissions of NO_x by a variety of processes, particularly NO emitted by nitrification and denitrification occurring in soils. In areas where NO_x emissions should not change seasonally, such as areas dominated by fossil-fuel combustion, any observed seasonal change in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of atmospheric nitrate suggests seasonal changes in NO_x oxidation chemistry. In areas in which NO_x emissions exhibit a large seasonal change, such as agricultural regions, the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ of atmospheric nitrate will be a function of both the seasonal change in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ - NO_x and in the NO_x oxidation equilibrium

isotope effect. The equilibrium model indicates that it may be difficult to partition NO_x sources solely from $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ - HNO_3 values, since the $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ tends to be sensitive to equilibrium effects that may alter the original NO_x source $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value as it is oxidized to HNO_3 (Fig. 4). However, evaluation of $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ - $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ space of atmospheric nitrate may help elucidate NO_x emission sources in some cases. Atmospheric nitrate outside of the calculated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compositional range might indicate its NO_x precursor had a distinctive $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ outside of the typical range of -15 to 0‰. For example, soil denitrification events, which are estimated to have a low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ - NO_x (approximately between -50 to -20‰; Li and Wang, 2008; Felix and Elliott, 2013; Felix and Elliott, 2014) may be trackable with atmospheric nitrate $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ measurements. If emitted NO_x is oxidized through the $\text{HNO}_3(1)$ pathway, it should have low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, which does not match the equilibrium predictions (Fig. 5). This sort of event may explain why a few of the atmospheric nitrate measurements from Mase (2011) is outside of the general predicted HNO_3 formation $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ range (Fig. 5). These rainwater nitrates were collected at N deposition sites after a storm saturated recently fertilized agricultural fields in the Midwestern U.S., suggesting NO produced by nitrification/denitrification.

While our predicted equilibrium $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compositions generally agrees with atmospheric nitrate measurements (e.g. Durka et al., 1994; Elliott et al., 2009; Mase, 2010; Vicars et al., 2013), it is important to point out the simplicity of our model. Primarily, we have ignored any kinetic isotope effects. If equilibrium between NO_2 , NO_3 , and N_2O_5 is achieved, kinetic isotope effects associated with the formation of NO_3 and N_2O_5 should be erased. However, kinetic isotopic effects will need to be considered for the photochemical cycling of NO_x , that includes NO_2 photolysis and NO oxidation, as these MDFPs are predicted to have an impact on the N and O isotopic composition of NO_2 (Walters et al., 2016), which may then be

propagated into NO_y molecules. Additionally, we will need to determine kinetic isotope fractionation factors for the final step in forming atmospheric nitrate (i.e. R4, R7, and R8), which may play an important role in its N and O isotopic composition. Our equilibrium model suggests that the isotopic composition of NO₂ drives the isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate indicating the need for future *in situ* isotopic measurements of NO₂. Determination of NO_x photochemical cycling fractionation factors, isotopic *in situ* measurements of NO₂, and kinetic isotopic modeling of NO_x oxidation will be the subject for future research.

CONCLUSIONS

Hybrid density functional theory calculations indicate that oxygen equilibrium isotopic exchange involving NO_y molecules generally favors the formation ¹⁸O in NO_y molecules other than NO and NO₂ at the most likely O exchange sites. Therefore, equilibrium isotope exchange may play a role in the δ¹⁸O of atmospheric nitrate in addition to the O isotopic mass-balance of its precursor molecules and oxidants. A simple equilibrium and mass-balance model indicates that the three major HNO₃ formation pathways may have unique δ¹⁸O-δ¹⁵N compositions. This model generally predicts a range of δ¹⁸O-δ¹⁵N values consistent with HNO₃ measurements and suggests that δ¹⁸O-δ¹⁵N space of atmospheric nitrate may provide useful information about the conditions of NO_x oxidation pathways. This model may explain the seasonal changes typically observed in both δ¹⁸O and δ¹⁵N of atmospheric nitrate.

Figures:

Fig. 1. Comparison of calculated $^{18}\beta$ values using B3LYP/cc-pVTZ with those computed in previous studies for (a) NO (Monse et al., 1969; Richet et al., 1977), (b) NO₂ (Monse et al., 1969; Michalski, 2003; Michalski et al., 2004), (c) H₂O (Richet et al., 1977; Liu et al., 2010), and (d) HNO₃^c (Monse et al., 1969).

Fig. 2. Comparison of calculated $^{18}\beta$ for NO₃ using various computational methods that include B3LYP/cc-pVTZ, EDF2/cc-pVTZ, and QCISD/cc-pVDZ.

Figure 3. (a) Calculated O isotopic exchange enrichment factors ($1000(^{18}\alpha_{(A/B)} - 1)$) and (b) calculated O isotopic exchange induced mass-independence ($\Delta^{17}\text{O}(\text{‰}) = 1000\ln(^{17}\alpha_{(A/B)} - 1) - 0.52 \cdot 1000\ln(^{18}\alpha_{(A/B)} - 1)$) for the following exchange reactions: NO/NO₂, NO₂/NO₃, N₂O₅^{geo}/NO₂, ClNO₂/NO₂, and ClONO₂^t/NO₂.

Fig. 4. Estimated $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compositions of several NO_y molecules assuming (a) daytime isotopic equilibrium between NO/NO₂ with $f_{\text{NO}_2} = 0.7$ and (b) nighttime isotopic equilibrium between NO₂, NO₃, and N₂O₅. Where HNO₃(1), HNO₃(2), and HNO₃(3) represent various HNO₃ production pathways that include NO₂ + •OH → HNO₃(1), N₂O₅ + H₂O + surface → 2HNO₃(2), and NO₃ + R → HNO₃(3) + R•.

Fig. 5. Predicted $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ compositions for three major HNO_3 production pathways that is compared with previous atmospheric nitrate measurements (Durka et al., 1994; Elliott et al., 2009; Mase, 2010; Vicars et al., 2013). Where $\text{HNO}_3(1)$, $\text{HNO}_3(2)$, and $\text{HNO}_3(3)$ represent various HNO_3 production pathways that include $\text{NO}_2 + \bullet\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3(1)$, $\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{surface} \rightarrow 2\text{HNO}_3(2)$, and $\text{NO}_3 + \text{R} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3(3) + \text{R}\bullet$. The data points within the red circles are outside of the predicted equilibrium $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ space, which may indicate a NO_x source with an extremely low $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ value such as soil denitrification.

Tables

Table 1. Comparison of calculated NO_3 ν_i (cm^{-1}) from different computational methods and experimental determined values. Values in parentheses represent the absolute difference between the calculated and experimental frequency for a particular vibrational mode.

	ν_1 (asym bend)	ν_2 (asym bend)	ν_3 (umbrella)	ν_4 (sym stretch)	ν_5 (asym stretch)	ν_6 (asym stretch)	Average Error*
B3LYP/cc-pVTZ ^a	204.93 (160.7)	206.64 (159.0)	809.25 (46.2)	1107.09 (54.1)	1108.04 (8.0)	1129.61 (29.6)	76.3
EDF2/cc-pVTZ ^a	337.75 (27.9)	339.79 (25.8)	816.47 (53.4)	1142.11 (89.1)	1171.90 (71.9)	1174.35 (74.3)	57.1
QCISD/cc-pVDZ ^a	201.82 (163.8)	203.80 (161.8)	813.92 (50.8)	1144.00 (91.0)	1168.86 (68.9)	1169.08 (69.1)	100.9
EOM-CCSD/aug- cc-pVDZ ^b	310.80 (54.8)	312.94 (52.7)	743.37 (19.7)	1103.92 (50.9)	1263.96 (164.0)	1264.34 (164.3)	84.4
Experiment	365.6 ^c	365.6 ^c	763.1 ^d	1053.0 ^e	1100.0 ^c	1100.0 ^c	

^a calculated from this work

^b calculated previously from (Walters and Michalski, 2015)

^c (Beckers et al., 2009)

^d (Kim et al., 1992)

^e (Jacox and Thompson, 2008)

*calculated as the average absolute deviation from experimental vibrational frequencies (i):

$$\frac{1}{6} \sum_{i=1}^6 |(v_i)_{\text{calculated}} - (v_i)_{\text{experiment}}|$$

Table 2. Calculated $^{18}\beta$ regression coefficients as a function of temperature ($150\text{ K} \leq T \leq 450\text{ K}$) and $^{18}\beta$ (298 K) values for NO_y molecules, $\bullet\text{OH}$, and H_2O .

	$1000(^{18}\beta-1)=\frac{A}{T^4}\times 10^{10}+\frac{B}{T^3}\times 10^8+\frac{C}{T^2}\times 10^6+\frac{D}{T}\times 10^4$				
	A	B	C	D	$^{18}\beta(298\text{ K})$
$\bullet\text{OH}$	1.1036	-1.9577	1.2889	0.51636	1.0258
H_2O	3.2070	-5.7294	3.9525	1.1030	1.0639
$\text{BrONO}_2^{\text{c}}$	2.1489	-6.1744	8.3297	-0.22623	1.0656
$\text{ClONO}_2^{\text{c}}$	2.6464	-7.0231	8.6922	-0.22784	1.0671
$\text{N}_2\text{O}_5^{\text{c}}$	2.6369	-6.9058	8.6047	-0.20778	1.0672
HONO^{c}	3.3651	-7.2949	7.0520	0.51606	1.0734
NO_3^*	6.7853	-13.499	11.279	-0.08248	1.0818
HNO_3^{c}	3.9484	-8.8137	9.0903	0.38699	1.0871
NO_3^-	6.3617	-13.388	12.124	-0.10652	1.0904
NO_2	7.8475	-15.028	11.690	0.20838	1.0918
NO_2^-	7.8614	-15.049	11.700	0.20718	1.0918
NO	8.1294	-14.507	10.124	0.93160	1.1008
N_2O_4	7.7029	-14.916	12.695	0.21039	1.1034
BrNO_2	7.9945	-15.453	13.127	0.19084	1.1060
ClNO_2	8.0617	-15.674	13.396	0.19632	1.1084
HNO_3^{t}	7.9932	-15.922	13.776	0.10526	1.1086
HONO^{t}	8.5480	-16.103	12.686	0.50745	1.1099
$\text{BrONO}_2^{\text{t}}$	8.0302	-15.747	13.703	0.14583	1.1099
$\text{ClONO}_2^{\text{t}}$	8.0808	-15.824	13.748	0.16979	1.1110
$\text{N}_2\text{O}_5^{\text{t}}$	8.1801	-15.985	13.792	0.17181	1.1110

“c” and “t” correspond to the substitution of ^{18}O at the bridging or terminal O atom position, respectively for a particular molecule (e.g. $\text{HONO}^{\text{c}} = \text{H}^{18}\text{ONO}$, $\text{HONO}^{\text{t}} = \text{HON}^{18}\text{O}$).

Typical misfit in the regression is $<0.01\%$.

* All $^{18}\beta$ values calculated using B3LYP/cc-pVTZ, except for NO_3 , which was calculated using EDF2/cc-pVTZ

603

604 **Table 3.** Calculated $^{18}\alpha_{A/B}$ regression coefficients as a function of temperature ($150\text{ K} \leq T \leq 450$
 605 K) and $^{18}\alpha_{A/B}$ (298 K) values for O isotopic equilibrium exchange reactions.

	$1000(^{18}\alpha_{A/B}-1)=\frac{A}{T^4}\times 10^{10}+\frac{B}{T^3}\times 10^8+\frac{C}{T^2}\times 10^6+\frac{D}{T}\times 10^4$				
	A	B	C	D	$^{18}\alpha_{A/B}(298\text{K})$
NO/NO ₂	-0.04129	1.1605	-1.8829	0.74723	1.0082
NO ₂ /NO ₃	1.03163	-1.38703	0.24875	0.3082	1.0092
N ₂ O ₅ ^{geo} /NO ₂	-0.54136	0.13073	1.2477	-0.1272	1.0096
N ₂ O ₄ /NO ₂	-0.02333	-0.36888	1.0789	-0.00256	1.0106
BrNO ₂ /NO ₂	0.29493	-0.96875	1.5039	-0.02007	1.0130
ClNO ₂ /NO ₂	0.41012	-1.2744	1.7759	-0.01414	1.0152
BrONO ₂ ^t /NO ₂	0.43126	-1.4765	2.1167	-0.06681	1.0166
ClONO ₂ ^t /NO ₂	0.48353	-1.5568	2.1511	-0.04177	1.0176
NO ₃ ⁻ /H ₂ O	3.6280	-8.6540	8.2763	-1.1983	1.0249
H ₂ O/•OH	2.1137	-3.8026	2.5653	0.59410	1.0371

606

607 “t” corresponds to the substitution of ^{18}O at the terminal O atom position for a particular
 608 molecule (e.g. BrONO₂^t = BrONO*O) and “geo” refers to the geometric mean $^{18}\beta$ value for
 609 central and terminal mono-substituted ^{18}O of N₂O₅

610 Typical misfit in the regression is <0.01‰.

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

Table 4. Shift in $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of NO, NO₂, and HNO₃(1) relative to NO_x as a function of f_{NO_2} .

f_{NO_2}	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ - NO	$\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - NO	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ - NO ₂	$\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - NO ₂	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ - HNO ₃ (1)	$\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - HNO ₃ (1)
1	--	--	0	0	0	-57.5
0.85	-30.5	7.0	5.4	-1.2	5.4	-58.3
0.70	-25.3	5.8	10.8	-2.5	10.8	-59.2
0.55	-19.9	4.7	16.3	-3.8	16.3	-60.1

638

639 **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**

640 *E-mail: waltersw@purdue.edu. Phone: (765)-496-4906. Fax: (765)-496-1210.

641

642 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

643 W.W.W. was a National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellow (NSF-GRFP) during the
644 course of the study (grant DGE-1333468). We would like to thank the Purdue Climate Change
645 Research Center (PCCRC) graduate fellowship program for supporting this work. We also thank
646 Yun Liu, two anonymous reviewers, and the associate editor, Edwin Schauble, for their
647 constructive suggestions to improve this paper.

648

649 **APPENDIX A. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

650 Supplementary material associated with this article can be found online.

651

652

653

654

655

656

657 **References:**

- 658 Alexander B., Hastings M. G., Allman D. J., Dachs J., Thornton J. A. and Kunasek S. A. (2009)
 659 Quantifying atmospheric nitrate formation pathways based on a global model of the oxygen
 660 isotopic composition ($\Delta^{17}\text{O}$) of atmospheric nitrate. *Atmos Chem Phys* **9**, 5043–5056.
- 661 Alexander B. and Mickley L. J. (2015) Paleo-Perspectives on Potential Future Changes in the Oxidative
 662 Capacity of the Atmosphere Due to Climate Change and Anthropogenic Emissions. *Curr. Pollut.*
 663 *Rep.*, 1–13.
- 664 Amell A. R. and Daniels F. (1952) Kinetics of the Exchange of N^{15} between N^{15}O_2 and N_2O_5 . *J. Am.*
 665 *Chem. Soc.* **74**, 6209–6212.
- 666 Anbar A. D., Jarzecki A. A. and Spiro T. G. (2005) Theoretical investigation of iron isotope fractionation
 667 between $\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{3+}$ and $\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6^{2+}$: Implications for iron stable isotope geochemistry. *Geochim.*
 668 *Cosmochim. Acta* **69**, 825–837.
- 669 Becke A. D. (1993) Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange. *J. Chem. Phys.*
 670 **98**, 5648–5652.
- 671 Beckers H., Willner H. and Jacox M. E. (2009) Conflicting Observations Resolved by a Far IR and
 672 UV/Vis Study of the NO_3 Radical. *ChemPhysChem* **10**, 706–710.
- 673 Berhanu T. A., Savarino J., Bhattacharya S. K. and Vicars W. C. (2012) ^{17}O excess transfer during the
 674 $\text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{NO}_3 + \text{O}_2$ reaction. *J. Chem. Phys.* **136**, 044311.
- 675 Beyn F., Matthias V. and Dähnke K. (2014) Changes in atmospheric nitrate deposition in Germany – An
 676 isotopic perspective. *Environ. Pollut.* **194**, 1–10.
- 677 Bigeleisen J. and Mayer M. G. (1947) Calculation of Equilibrium Constants for Isotopic Exchange
 678 Reactions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **15**, 261–267.
- 679 Böhlke J. K., Mroczkowski S. J. and Coplen T. B. (2003) Oxygen isotopes in nitrate: New reference
 680 materials for ^{18}O : ^{17}O : ^{16}O measurements and observations on nitrate-water equilibration. *Rapid*
 681 *Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **17**, 1835–1846.
- 682 Calvert J. G., Lazrus A., Kok G. L., Heikes B. G., Walega J. G., Lind J. and Cantrell C. A. (1985)
 683 Chemical mechanisms of acid generation in the troposphere. *Nature* **317**, 27–35.
- 684 Chang W. L., Bhawe P. V., Brown S. S., Riemer N., Stutz J. and Dabdub D. (2011) Heterogeneous
 685 atmospheric chemistry, ambient measurements, and model calculations of N_2O_5 : A review.
 686 *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* **45**, 665–695.
- 687 Driesner T., Ha T. K. and Seward T. M. (2000) Oxygen and hydrogen isotope fractionation by hydration
 688 complexes of Li^+ , Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , F^- , Cl^- , and Br^- : a theoretical study. *Geochim. Cosmochim.*
 689 *Acta* **64**, 3007–3033.
- 690 Dubey M. K., Mohrschladt R., Donahue N. M. and Anderson J. G. (1997) Isotope specific kinetics of
 691 hydroxyl radical (OH) with water (H_2O): Testing models of reactivity and atmospheric
 692 fractionation. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **101**, 1494–1500.

693 Dunning T. H. (1989) Gaussian basis sets for use in correlated molecular calculations. I. The atoms boron
694 through neon and hydrogen. *J. Chem. Phys.* **90**, 1007–1023.

695 Durka W., Schulze E.-D., Gebauer G. and Voerkeliust S. (1994) Effects of forest decline on uptake and
696 leaching of deposited nitrate determined from ^{15}N and ^{18}O measurements. *Nature* **372**, 765–767.

697 Dutta A. K., Vaval N. and Pal S. (2013) Performance of the EOMIP-CCSD(2) Method for Determining
698 the Structure and Properties of Doublet Radicals: A Benchmark Investigation. *J. Chem. Theory*
699 *Comput.* **9**, 4313–4331.

700 Elliott E. M., Kendall C., Boyer E. W., Burns D. A., Lear G. G., Golden H. E., Harlin K., Bytnerowicz
701 A., Butler T. J. and Glatz R. (2009) Dual nitrate isotopes in dry deposition: Utility for partitioning
702 NO_x source contributions to landscape nitrogen deposition. *J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosciences* **114**,
703 G04020.

704 Felix J. D. and Elliott E. M. (2014) Isotopic composition of passively collected nitrogen dioxide
705 emissions: Vehicle, soil and livestock source signatures. *Atmos. Environ.* **92**, 359–366.

706 Felix J. D. and Elliott E. M. (2013) The agricultural history of human-nitrogen interactions as recorded in
707 ice core $\delta^{15}\text{N}\text{-NO}_3^-$. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **40**, 1642–1646.

708 Freyer H. D. (1978) Seasonal trends of NH_4^+ and NO_3^- nitrogen isotope composition in rain collected at
709 Jülich, Germany. *Tellus* **30**, 83–92.

710 Freyer H. D. (1991) Seasonal variation of $^{15}\text{N}/^{14}\text{N}$ ratios in atmospheric nitrate species. *Tellus B* **43**, 30–
711 44.

712 Freyer H. D., Kley D., Volz-Thomas A. and Kobel K. (1993) On the interaction of isotopic exchange
713 processes with photochemical reactions in atmospheric oxides of nitrogen. *J. Geophys. Res.* **98**,
714 14791–14796.

715 Frisch M. J., Trucks G. W., Schlegel H. B., Scuseria G. E., Robb M. A., Cheeseman J. R., Scalmani G.,
716 Barone V., Mennucci B., Petersson G. A., Nakatsuji H., Caricato M., Li X., Hratchian H. P.,
717 Izmaylov A. F., Bloino J., Zheng G., Sonnenberg J. L., Hada M., Ehara M., Toyota K., Fukuda
718 R., Hasegawa J., Ishida M., Nakajima T., Honda Y., Kitao O., Nakai H., Vreven T., Montgomery
719 Jr J. A., Peralta J. E., Ogliaro F., Bearpark M., Heyd J. J., Brothers E., Kudin K. N., Staroverov
720 V. N., Kobayashi R., Normand J., Raghavachari K., Rendell A., Burant J. C., Iyengar S. S.,
721 Tomasi J., Cossi M., Rega N., Milliam J. M., Klene M., Knox J. E., Cross J. B., Bakken V.,
722 Adamo C., Jaramillo J., Gomperts R., Stratmann R. E., Yazyev O., Austin A. J., Cammi R.,
723 Pomelli C., Ochterski J. W., Martin R. L., Morokuma K., Zakrzewski V. G., Voth G. A., Salvador
724 P., Dannenberg J. J., Dapprich S., Daniels A. D., Farkas Ö., Foresman J. B., Ortiz J. V.,
725 Cioslowski J. and Fox D. J. (2009) *Gaussian 09, Revision D.01*, Gaussian, Inc, Wallingford, CT.

726 Gao H. O. (2007) Day of week effects on diurnal ozone/ NO_x cycles and transportation emissions in
727 Southern California. *Transp. Res. Part Transp. Environ.* **12**, 292–305.

728 Geyer A. and Platt U. (2002) Temperature dependence of the NO_3 loss frequency: A new indicator for the
729 contribution of NO_3 to the oxidation of monoterpenes and NO_x removal in the atmosphere. *J.*
730 *Geophys. Res. Atmospheres* **107**, D20.

731 Jacox M. E. and Thompson W. E. (2008) The infrared spectroscopy and photochemistry of NO₃ trapped
732 in solid neon. *J. Chem. Phys.* **129**, 204306.

733 Johnston J. C. and Thiemens M. H. (1997) The isotopic composition of tropospheric ozone in three
734 environments. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres 1984–2012* **102**, 25395–25404.

735 Kim B., Hunter P. L. and Johnston H. S. (1992) NO₃ radical studied by laser-induced fluorescence. *J.*
736 *Chem. Phys.* **96**, 4057–4067.

737 Krankowsky D., Bartecki F., Klees G. G., Mauersberger K., Schellenbach K. and Stehr J. (1995)
738 Measurement of heavy isotope enrichment in tropospheric ozone. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **22**, 1713–
739 1716.

740 Lee C., Yang W. and Parr R. G. (1988) Development of the Colle-Salvetti correlation-energy formula into
741 a functional of the electron density. *Phys. Rev. B* **37**, 785.

742 Leighton P. (1961) *Photochemistry of air pollution.*, Academic, New York.

743 Li D. and Wang X. (2008) Nitrogen isotopic signature of soil-released nitric oxide (NO) after fertilizer
744 application. *Atmos. Environ.* **42**, 4747–4754.

745 Lin C. Y., George M. W. and Gill P. M. (2004) EDF2: A density functional for predicting molecular
746 vibrational frequencies. *Aust. J. Chem.* **57**, 365–370.

747 Liu Q., Tossell J. A. and Liu Y. (2010) On the proper use of the Bigeleisen–Mayer equation and
748 corrections to it in the calculation of isotopic fractionation equilibrium constants. *Geochim.*
749 *Cosmochim. Acta* **74**, 6965–6983.

750 Liu Y. and Tossell J. A. (2005) Ab initio molecular orbital calculations for boron isotope fractionations
751 on boric acids and borates. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **69**, 3995–4006.

752 Logan J. A. (1983) Nitrogen oxides in the troposphere: Global and regional budgets. *J. Geophys. Res.*
753 *Oceans* **88**, 10785–10807.

754 Lyons J. R. (2001) Transfer of mass-independent fractionation in ozone to other oxygen-containing
755 radicals in the atmosphere. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **28**, 3231–3234.

756 Mase D. F. (2010) A coupled modeling and observational approach to understanding oxygen-18 in
757 atmospheric nitrate. Purdue University.

758 Mauersberger K., Lämmerzahl P. and Krankowsky D. (2001) Stratospheric ozone isotope enrichments—
759 revisited. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **28**, 3155–3158.

760 McCabe J. R., Thiemens M. H. and Savarino J. (2007) A record of ozone variability in South Pole
761 Antarctic snow: Role of nitrate oxygen isotopes. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres* **112**, D12303.

762 Michalski G. (2003) Isotopic studies of nitrate and nitrogen dioxide: atmospheric and biosphere N
763 cycling. University of California, San Diego.

764 Michalski G. and Bhattacharya S. K. (2009) The role of symmetry in the mass independent isotope effect
765 in ozone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **106**, 5493–5496.

- 766 Michalski G., Bhattacharya S. K. and Girsch G. (2014) NO_x cycle and the tropospheric ozone isotope
767 anomaly: an experimental investigation. *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.* **14**, 4935–4953.
- 768 Michalski G., Bhattacharya S. K. and Mase D. F. (2012) Oxygen isotope dynamics of atmospheric nitrate
769 and its precursor molecules. In *Handbook of environmental isotope geochemistry* Springer. pp.
770 613–635.
- 771 Michalski G., Jost R., Sugny D., Joyeux M. and Thiemens M. (2004) Dissociation energies of six NO₂
772 isotopologues by laser induced fluorescence spectroscopy and zero point energy of some
773 triatomic molecules. *J. Chem. Phys.* **121**, 7153–7161.
- 774 Michalski G., Scott Z., Kabling M. and Thiemens M. H. (2003) First measurements and modeling of
775 $\Delta^{17}\text{O}$ in atmospheric nitrate. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **30**, 1870.
- 776 Monse E. U., Spindel W. and Stern M. J. (1969) Analysis of isotope-effect calculations illustrated with
777 exchange equilibria among oxynitrogen compounds. *ACS Adv. Chem.* **89**, 148–184.
- 778 Morin S., Savarino J., Frey M. M., Yan N., Bekki S., Bottenheim J. W. and Martins J. M. (2008) Tracing
779 the origin and fate of NO_x in the Arctic atmosphere using stable isotopes in nitrate. *Science* **322**,
780 730–732.
- 781 Morris V. R., Bhatia S. C. and Hall J. H. (1990) *Ab initio* self-consistent field study of the vibrational
782 spectra for nitrate radical geometric isomers. *J. Phys. Chem.* **94**, 7414–7418.
- 783 Otake T., Lasaga A. C. and Ohmoto H. (2008) *Ab initio* calculations for equilibrium fractionations in
784 multiple sulfur isotope systems. *Chem. Geol.* **249**, 357–376.
- 785 Pennington R. E. and Kobe K. A. (1954) Contributions of Vibrational Anharmonicity and
786 Rotation-Vibration Interaction to Thermodynamic Functions. *J. Chem. Phys.* **22**, 1442–1447.
- 787 Purdue Radon Cluster ITaP Research Computing - Radon. Available at:
788 <https://www.rcac.purdue.edu/compute/radon/> [Accessed May 4, 2015].
- 789 Redlich O. (1935) Eine allgemeine Beziehung zwischen den Schwingungsfrequenzen isotoper Molekeln.
790 *Z Phys. Chem B* **28**, 371–382.
- 791 Richet P., Bottinga Y. and Janoy M. (1977) A review of hydrogen, carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, sulphur, and
792 chlorine stable isotope enrichment among gaseous molecules. *Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.* **5**,
793 65–110.
- 794 Riha K. M. (2013) The use of stable isotopes to constrain the nitrogen cycle. Purdue University.
- 795 Russell A. G., McRae G. J. and Cass G. R. (1985) The dynamics of nitric acid production and the fate of
796 nitrogen oxides. *Atmospheric Environ.* **19**, 893–903.
- 797 Savarino J., Bhattacharya S. K., Morin S., Baroni M. and Doussin J.-F. (2008) The NO + O₃ reaction: A
798 triple oxygen isotope perspective on the reaction dynamics and atmospheric implications for the
799 transfer of the ozone isotope anomaly. *J. Chem. Phys.* **128**, 194303.

800 Savarino J., Kaiser J., Morin S., Sigman D. M. and Thiemens M. H. (2007) Nitrogen and oxygen isotopic
801 constraints on the origin of atmospheric nitrate in coastal Antarctica. *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.* **7**,
802 1925–1945.

803 Savarino J., Morin S., Erbland J., Grannec F., Patey M. D., Vicars W., Alexander B. and Achterberg E. P.
804 (2013) Isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate in a tropical marine boundary layer. *Proc.*
805 *Natl. Acad. Sci.* **110**, 17668–17673.

806 Schauble E. A., Ghosh P. and Eiler J. M. (2006) Preferential formation of ^{13}C – ^{18}O bonds in carbonate
807 minerals, estimated using first-principles lattice dynamics. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **70**, 2510–
808 2529.

809 Schauble E., Rossman G. R. and Taylor H. P. (2004) Theoretical estimates of equilibrium chromium-
810 isotope fractionations. *Chem. Geol.* **205**, 99–114.

811 Scott A. P. and Radom L. (1996) Harmonic vibrational frequencies: an evaluation of Hartree-Fock,
812 Møller-Plesset, quadratic configuration interaction, density functional theory, and semiempirical
813 scale factors. *J. Phys. Chem.* **100**, 16502–16513.

814 Shao Y., Gan Z., Epifanovsky E., Gilbert A. T. B., Wormit M., Kussmann J., Lange A. W., Behn A.,
815 Deng J., Feng X., Ghosh D., Goldey M., Horn P. R., Jacobson L. D., Kaliman I., Khaliullin R. Z.,
816 Kuś T., Landau A., Liu J., Proynov E. I., Rhee Y. M., Richard R. M., Rohrdanz M. A., Steele R.
817 P., Sundstrom E. J., Woodcock H. L., Zimmerman P. M., Zuev D., Albrecht B., Alguire E.,
818 Austin B., Beran G. J. O., Bernard Y. A., Berquist E., Brandhorst K., Bravaya K. B., Brown S. T.,
819 Casanova D., Chang C.-M., Chen Y., Chien S. H., Closser K. D., Crittenden D. L., Diedenhofen
820 M., DiStasio R. A., Do H., Dutoi A. D., Edgar R. G., Fatehi S., Fusti-Molnar L., Ghysels A.,
821 Golubeva-Zadorozhnaya A., Gomes J., Hanson-Heine M. W. D., Harbach P. H. P., Hauser A. W.,
822 Hohenstein E. G., Holden Z. C., Jagau T.-C., Ji H., Kaduk B., Khistyayev K., Kim J., Kim J., King
823 R. A., Klunzinger P., Kosenkov D., Kowalczyk T., Krauter C. M., Lao K. U., Laurent A. D.,
824 Lawler K. V., Levchenko S. V., Lin C. Y., Liu F., Livshits E., Lochan R. C., Luenser A.,
825 Manohar P., Manzer S. F., Mao S.-P., Mardirossian N., Marenich A. V., Maurer S. A., Mayhall
826 N. J., Neuscamman E., Oana C. M., Olivares-Amaya R., O'Neill D. P., Parkhill J. A., Perrine T.
827 M., Peverati R., Prociuk A., Rehn D. R., Rosta E., Russ N. J., Sharada S. M., Sharma S., Small D.
828 W., Sodt A., Stein T., Stück D., Su Y.-C., Thom A. J. W., Tsuchimochi T., Vanovschi V., Vogt
829 L., Vydrov O., Wang T., Watson M. A., Wenzel J., White A., Williams C. F., Yang J., Yeganeh
830 S., Yost S. R., You Z.-Q., Zhang I. Y., Zhang X., Zhao Y., Brooks B. R., Chan G. K. L.,
831 Chipman D. M., Cramer C. J., Goddard W. A., Gordon M. S., Hehre W. J., Klamt A., Schaefer H.
832 F., Schmidt M. W., Sherrill C. D., Truhlar D. G., Warshel A., Xu X., Aspuru-Guzik A., Baer R.,
833 Bell A. T., Besley N. A., Chai J.-D., Dreuw A., Dunietz B. D., Furlani T. R., Gwaltney S. R., Hsu
834 C.-P., Jung Y., Kong J., Lambrecht D. S., Liang W., Ochsenfeld C., Rassolov V. A., Slipchenko
835 L. V., Subotnik J. E., Van Voorhis T., Herbert J. M., Krylov A. I., Gill P. M. W. and Head-
836 Gordon M. (2015) Advances in molecular quantum chemistry contained in the Q-Chem 4
837 program package. *Mol. Phys.* **113**, 184–215.

838 Sharma H. D., Jervis R. E. and Wong K. Y. (1970) Isotopic exchange reactions in nitrogen oxides. *J.*
839 *Phys. Chem.* **74**, 923–933.

840 Sinha P., Boesch S. E., Gu C., Wheeler R. A. and Wilson A. K. (2004) Harmonic vibrational frequencies:
841 scaling factors for HF, B3LYP, and MP2 methods in combination with correlation consistent
842 basis sets. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **108**, 9213–9217.

843 Stern M. J., Spindel W. and Monse E. U. (1968) Temperature dependences of isotope effects. *J. Chem.*
844 *Phys.* **48**, 2908–2919.

845 Thiemens M. H. (2006) History and applications of mass-independent isotope effects. *Annu Rev Earth*
846 *Planet Sci* **34**, 217–262.

847 Thiemens M. H. and Heidenreich J. E. (1983) The Mass-Independent Fractionation of Oxygen: A Novel
848 Isotope Effect and Its Possible Cosmochemical Implications. *Science* **219**, 1073–1075.

849 Urey H. C. (1947) The thermodynamic properties of isotopic substances. *J Chem Soc* **7**, 562–581.

850 Vicars W. C., Bhattacharya S. K., Erbland J. and Savarino J. (2012) Measurement of the ^{17}O -excess
851 ($\Delta^{17}\text{O}$) of tropospheric ozone using a nitrite-coated filter. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* **26**,
852 1219–1231.

853 Vicars W. C., Morin S., Savarino J., Wagner N. L., Erbland J., Vince E., Martins J. M. F., Lerner B. M.,
854 Quinn P. K., Coffman D. J. and others (2013) Spatial and diurnal variability in reactive nitrogen
855 oxide chemistry as reflected in the isotopic composition of atmospheric nitrate: Results from the
856 CalNex 2010 field study. *J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres* **118**, 10567–10588.

857 Vicars W. C. and Savarino J. (2014) Quantitative constraints on the ^{17}O -excess ($\Delta^{17}\text{O}$) signature of
858 surface ozone: Ambient measurements from 50° N to 50° S using the nitrite-coated filter
859 technique. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **135**, 270–287.

860 Walters W. W. and Michalski G. (2015) Theoretical calculation of nitrogen isotope equilibrium exchange
861 fractionation factors for various NO_y molecules. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **164**, 284–297.

862 Walters W. W., Simonini D. S. and Michalski G. (2016) Nitrogen isotope exchange between NO and NO_2
863 and its implications for $\delta^{15}\text{N}$ variations in tropospheric NO_x and atmospheric nitrate. *Geophys.*
864 *Res. Lett.* **43**, 440–448.

865 Walters W. W., Tharp B. D., Fang H., Kozak B. J. and Michalski G. (2015) Nitrogen isotope composition
866 of thermally produced NO_x from various fossil-fuel combustion sources. *Environ. Sci. Technol.*
867 **49**, 11353–11371.

868 Yamaji K., Makita Y., Watanabe H., Sonoda A., Kanoh H., Hirotsu T. and Ooi K. (2001) Theoretical
869 estimation of lithium isotopic reduced partition function ratio for lithium ions in aqueous solution.
870 *J. Phys. Chem. A* **105**, 602–613.

871

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5