Geochemistry of limestones deposited in various plate tectonic settings

Kai-Jun Zhang^{1,2*}, Qiu-Huan Li^{1,2}, Li-Long Yan^{1,2}, Lu Zeng^{1,2}, Lu Lu^{1,2}, Yu-Xiu Zhang^{1,2}, Jie Hui^{1,2}, Xin Jin^{1,2}, Xian-Chun Tang^{1,2}

¹ *Asian Tectonics Research Group and College of Earth Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China;*

² *Key Laboratory of Computational Geodynamics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.*

Abstract

Limestone, a major part of the global sedimentary succession, susceptible to post-depositional diagenesis. Studies of limestone geochemistry are essential in the discrimination of tectonic settings of basins in which the limestones were deposited. Six Late Mesozoic and one Tertiary limestone successions of Tibet, western China, that were deposited in oceanic plateau, passive continental margin, active continental margin (fore-arc basin, back-arc basin and foreland basin) and continental inland freshwater basins were analyzed for their major, trace and rare earth element (REE) composition. This geochemical dataset, in combination with the Deep Sea Drilling Project and Ocean Drilling Program (DSDP and ODP) literature geochemical data

* Corresponding author. E-mail: kaijun@ucas.ac.cn; kai-jun@qq.com.

22 regarding limestones deposited in open ocean environments, permitted delineation of
 23 the geochemical characteristics of limestones accumulated in these various plate
 24 tectonic settings. Major elements (e.g., Fe_2O_3 and MnO , except for CaO) of these
 25 limestone successions show large variations but are positively correlated with Al_2O_3 .
 26 The REE and trace element abundances for the inland and margin limestones show a
 27 distinct positive correlation with Al_2O_3 whereas REEs and trace elements of the open
 28 ocean limestones are positively correlated with MnO . There is a systematic increase
 29 in the magnitude of Ce anomalies of open ocean floor limestones away from
 30 spreading ridges to open ocean highs, to passive margins, and to active margins and
 31 inland freshwater basins. Open ocean limestones display a narrow range of $(\text{La}/\text{Sm})_n$
 32 $(0.46\text{--}0.96)$, $(\text{Sm}/\text{Yb})_n$ $(0.25\text{--}1.96)$, and $(\text{La}/\text{Yb})_n$ $(0.23\text{--}1.38)$ but high $(\text{La}/\text{Ce})_n$ (> 1.5)
 33 whereas the inland + margins limestones display a much larger range $((\text{La}/\text{Sm})_n$
 34 $(0.43\text{--}2.18)$, $(\text{Sm}/\text{Yb})_n$ $(0.6\text{--}2.98)$ and $(\text{La}/\text{Yb})_n$ $(0.7\text{--}2.25)$ but low $(\text{La}/\text{Ce})_n$ (< 1.5) .
 35 The inland+margins limestones are influenced geochemically upon terrigenous clasts
 36 while geochemistry of open ocean limestones is more dependent upon the flux of the
 37 hydrothermal Fe–Mn-oxyhydroxides. The control of the tectonic environments of the
 38 basins on the limestone geochemistry permits development of proxies for the
 39 discrimination of depositional regimes. The REE ratios (i.e., $(\text{La}/\text{Ce})_n$, Ce/Ce^*) along
 40 with other immobile elemental ratios (e.g., Zr/Ti , La/Sc) of limestones provide the
 41 best means for the geochemical resolution of all four depositional regimes. A
 42 Rb–Sr–Ba triangular diagram is also useful for distinguishing between four tectonic
 43 settings. Applications of the immobile geochemical proxies to 39 literature limestone

successions demonstrate their validity, independent of diagenetic modification, metamorphism and high siliciclastic content (≤ 40 wt.%).

Keywords: limestone; geochemistry; plate tectonics; tectonic discrimination; Tibet

1. Introduction

Limestone, covering about ~15% of the continental surface, is a major component of the global sedimentary shell and is affected by environmental and climatic influences (e.g., Wilson, 1975). Limestone successions record deposition in various tectonic settings, such as continental margin basins (e.g., Wilson, 1975), oceanic highs (e.g., Tarduno et al., 1985; Kerr, 2014; Zhang et al., 2014), oceanic floors above the carbonate compensation depth (CCD) (e.g., Liu and Schmitt, 1984, 1990; Michel et al., 1985; Wang et al., 1986; Hu et al., 1988; Liu et al., 1988; Nath et al., 1992, 1997), and in local inland freshwater lakes (e.g., Alonso-Zarza., 2003). Although primarily CaCO_3 , limestone typically contains a variety of trace elements that are obtained through metalliferous and terrigenous particulates and scavenging from seawater (Elderfield and Greaves, 1982; Murray et al., 1990, 1991a, 1991b, 1992; Bertram and Elderfield, 1993; Holser, 1997; Siby et al., 2008). Overview studies have demonstrated that the types and amounts of such particulates, as well as the trace elemental concentrations of seawater, generally depend on the plate tectonic environment of the basins (e.g., Murray et al., 1991a, 1991b, 1992; Holser, 1997). While lithostratigraphic, sedimentologic, palaeontologic and sequence stratigraphic studies and petrographic examination yield important data regarding depositional environment (e.g., Wilson, 1975; Zhang et al., 2004), limestones are liable to post-depositional recrystallization to obscure or obliterate primary textures. Therefore,

the relationship between geochemistry of limestones and plate tectonics provide additional criteria for recognizing ancient plate tectonic environments and secular changes in the chemistry of seawater (e.g., Webb and Kamber, 2000). This is particularly desirable for the regimes such as orogens that have undergone intense subduction, shortening, and/or denudation so that the primary information about tectonic settings of some tectonic units has been obscured (e.g., Tarduno et al., 1985; Zhang et al., 2014). However, systematic studies relating geochemistry of limestones to their tectonic settings are lacking, despite rather infrequent literature reports concerning limestone geochemistry.

The purpose of the present paper is to present our analysis on the geochemistry of six Tibetan limestone successions (Fig. 1) deposited in the tectonic settings of oceanic plateau, passive continental margin, active continental margin and continental inland freshwater basin so as to provide a data base for our initial geochemical characterizations. In combination with the Deep Sea Drilling Project and Ocean Drilling Program (DSDP and ODP) literature geochemical data regarding limestones deposited in open ocean environments, we then delineate the geochemical characteristics of the limestones accumulated in various plate tectonic settings, in an attempt to establish the geochemical proxies for discrimination of tectonic settings in which the limestones were deposited.

2. Plate tectonic classification of limestone sedimentary basins

A simplified classification of the tectonic settings for the limestone sedimentation, emphasizing the proximity of the basin to a plate margin, and the type of plate boundary nearest the basin, as well as the type of the crust of the region, has been adopted (Allen and Allen, 2005). In the present study, only inland freshwater basins,

shallow-sea continental margin basins and open oceanic basins have been considered (Table 1). Plate interactions govern the tectonic movements and composition of siliciclastic source areas, as well as the position of the basin within the plate or the plate boundary (e.g., Dickinson and Suczek, 1979; Bhatia and Crook, 1986; Allen and Allen, 2005). The shallow-sea coastal basins and open oceanic basins examined here have been divided into six general tectonic settings (Table 1).

Shallow-sea continental margin basins can be divided into unilaterally confined passive margin basin, and bilaterally confined active basins, including peripheral foreland basin, fore-arc basin, and back-arc basin (Dickinson and Suczek, 1979; Allen and Allen, 2005). The passive margin tectonic setting for limestone sedimentation occurs on Atlantic-type rifted continental margins developed along the trailing edges of continents or cratons (Dickinson and Suczek, 1979; Bhatia and Crook, 1986; Allen and Allen, 2005). Such basins are unilaterally confined by emergent stable continents with their opposite sides open to marine seaways or oceans. Such stable tectonic regimes are marked by large-areal clear, shallow waters, and likely provide best marine environments for the flourishing of marine invertebrates and the accumulation of many thick limestone successions throughout geologic history, if geographically located in warm climate zones (Wilson, 1975). The Dingri basin on the Tethyan Himalaya represents a long-lived basin developed on the stable, passive northern margin of the Gondwana supercontinent (GB in Fig. 1b; Table 1. XZBGM, 1993; Liu and Einsele, 1994).

The bilaterally confined peripheral or retroarc foreland basin, fore-arc basin, and back-arc basin are constructed on active continental margins, marked by volcanic

rocks, and strike-slip, intensive compressional or extensional deformation (e.g., Frisch et al., 2011). Consequently, limestone sedimentary basins associated with active continental margins have diverse basement natures, geomorphic reliefs, and stress states and are bilaterally confined by (high) geomorphic reliefs which are composed of magmatic arc or deformed continental crust (Dickinson and Suczek, 1979; Bhatia and Crook, 1986; Allen and Allen, 2005. Table 1). Such instable tectonic regimes are characterized by narrow, perhaps short-lived, marine waters, significant input of siliciclastic sediments, and thus thin accumulations of limestone frequently in intercalation with siliciclastic layers. The Xigaze fore-arc basin, Cuoqin back-arc basin, and Yanshiping peripheral foreland basin are well-defined bilaterally-confined continental marginal basins in Tibet by various authors. The Xigaze fore-arc basin formed during the Cretaceous neighboring the Gangdese magmatic arc and separates from the open Neo-Tethys Ocean by accretionary wedge produced by subduction of the oceanic crust along the Yarlung–Zangpo trench (QB in Fig. 1b. Durr, 1996; Wang et al., 1999). The Cuoqin back-arc basin was built on the back of the Gangdese magmatic arc during the Early Cretaceous and was dominated by rifting during which an up-to-5-km thick limestone succession was deposited (WGZ in Fig. 1b. Zhang, 2000, 2004; Zhang et al., 2002, 2004, 2007, 2012). These two-type basins are characterized by abundant volcanic interbeds and volcanic clastics in the sedimentary rocks (Durr, 1996; Zhang et al., 2004). The Yanshiping peripheral foreland basin formed when the eastern and western Qiangtang blocks collided during the Jurassic (PO1 in Fig. 1b. Leeder et al., 1988; Zhang et al., 2006b), in which the sandstones are

characterized by immature detrital composition of recycled orogenic source (Leeder et al., 1988; Zhang et al., 2006b).

The open oceanic basins include two types: oceanic floor basin and oceanic highs basin. Oceanic highs are generally constructed upon broad oceanic floors and are marked by thickened oceanic crust overlapped by massive mafic volcanic rocks and often occur as oceanic plateaus, oceanic islands, or aseismic ridges. The limestone successions are aggregated on the tops of such highs and are typically represented by shallow-water platform limestones ringed by patch reefs, passing laterally into basinal bituminous pelagic limestones rich in planktonic microfossils (Tarduno et al., 1985; Kerr, 2014; Zhang et al., 2014). The Mid-Cretaceous Gaize limestone suite (GZ in Fig. 1b) in central Tibet represents a type example that was deposited on the top of an oceanic plateau (the central Tibetan Meso-Tethyan oceanic plateau) and then was transported to continental margins (Zhang et al., 2014). We also use available DSDP and ODP literature data regarding limestones, including those collected from the Walvis Ridge (Wlv in Fig. 1a. Liu and Schmitt, 1984) and the Rio Grande Rise (Rgr in Fig. 1a. Quaternary–Upper Eocene; Hu et al., 1988) of the South Atlantic Ocean and from the Shatsky Rise of the Pacific Ocean (Stsk in Fig. 1a. Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary; Michel et al., 1985) for comparison. The oceanic floor basin that deposits limestones occurs above the CCD, and often receives deposition of thin lamination of limestone rich in planktonic microfossils. We use DSDP and ODP literature data of limestones collected from the Indian Ocean floor (Cenozoic; Liu and Schmitt, 1990) and the central Pacific Ocean floor (Quaternary–Upper Cretaceous; Liu et al., 1988)

as data base (Fig. 1a; Table S1).

The continental interior inland lakes are fresh waters constructed on continental crust and are characterized by feed in abundant terrigenous clasts. The freshwater limestones are deposited on such tectonic regime are small areal and are generally intercalated with siliciclastic rocks. We use the Tertiary Wuli inland basin (WLB in Fig. 1b) and the Mid-Cretaceous Baishi inland basin (D1030 in Fig. 1b), both built on the Songpan–Ganzi complex in the northern–eastern Tibetan plateau (Leeder et al., 1988; Wang et al., 2008).

3. Samples, analytical techniques and termination

Tibetan limestone samples for the present study were selected based on the following four criteria principally established by Webb and Kamber (2000) and Kamber and Webb (2001): (1) the samples with microscopically visible clay or intercalated with shales were avoided; (2) those samples with minor SiO₂ of insoluble residues examined in acid dissolution and major-elemental analysis were selected so as to avoid clastic detritus; (3) the samples that contain dolomite, a diagenetic mineral in the limestones, were avoided; and (4) all samples lack evidence for hydrothermal alteration and mineralization, fluid inclusions, and secondary porosity.

The fresh samples were powdered to 200 mesh in an agate mill to avoid contamination. Fused-glass discs were prepared for major-elemental analysis by ARL9800XP+ X-Ray fluorescence at the Center of Modern Analysis of the Nanjing University. Accuracy is better than 5% for major elements. Loss of ignition (LOI),

which consists principally of CO₂, was determined at 980°C for 90 minutes. Trace element concentrations, including rare-earth elements (REEs), were obtained with standard Inductively Coupled Plasma–Mass Spectrometer (ICP–MS) procedures at Aurora M90 ICP–MS and Element XR HR–ICP–MS, at the State Key Laboratory of Mineral Deposit Research, the Nanjing University, as described in detail by Gao et al. (2003), Zhang (2004), and Zhang et al. (2004, 2012) (see Appendix A for limited and blank values). Samples (50 mg) were cleaned in ultra-pure water before dissolution in 1 mL of 15 N double-distilled HNO₃. The reproducibility of measurements, based on measures of USGS, GSJ, and IGGE standards, was better than 5% (2σ) for all of the REEs, and the analytical error is typically 2–4% (2σ) for elements >10 ppm and better than 8% for those <10 ppm (Appendix B). All the geochemical data are presented in Table S1. Pearson Correlation Coefficient *r* is used for a statistical measure of linear dependence between two elements or elemental ratios (Table S2).

The REEs are divided by atomic number into three fractions: light REEs (LREE), including elements La, Ce, Pr, and Nd; middle REEs (MREE), including elements Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, and Ho; and heavy REEs (HREE), including elements Er, Tm, Yb, and Lu. All REE abundances and ratios used in this paper have been normalized (subscript n) to those of the Post-Archean Australian Shale (PAAS: Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Ce often behaves differently from other REEs, owing to its oxidation in some waters to relatively insoluble Ce (IV) precipitated in form of CeO₂ (e.g., Elderfield and Greaves, 1982). The Ce anomaly is used to assess relative behavior of Ce with respect to the neighboring LREEs, and is defined by the ratio

$Ce/Ce^* = (Ce_{Sample}/Ce_{PAAS})/Ce^*$, with Ce^* obtained by linear interpolation between
 shale-normalized La and Nd values, in view of general absence of Pr in literature data
 regarding limestones collected from open oceanic environments (e.g., Liu and Schmitt,
 1984, 1990; Michel et al., 1985; Wang et al., 1986; Hu et al., 1988; Liu et al., 1988).
 Likewise, the Eu anomaly is defined by the ratio $Eu/Eu^* = (Eu_{Sample}/Eu_{PAAS})/Eu^*$,
 and Eu^* is obtained by linear interpolation between shale-normalized Sm and Tb
 values due to absence of Gd in literature data (e.g., Liu and Schmitt, 1984, 1990;
 Michel et al., 1985; Wang et al., 1986; Hu et al., 1988; Liu et al., 1988). The obtained
 Ce and Eu anomalies are nearly the same as the calculations by linear interpolations
 between shale-normalized La and Pr values or between shale-normalized Sm and Gd,
 because of coupling between Nd and Pr and between Gd and Tb, which are confirmed
 by comparison of calculations. Samples with Ce/Ce^* or $Eu/Eu^* < 1$ are considered to
 have a negative Ce or Eu anomaly. Variations in behavior across the REE spectrum
 are indicated by: (1) the degree of LREE enrichment with respect to HREE, defined
 as the ratio $(La/Yb)_n = (La_{Sample}/La_{PAAS})/(Yb_{Sample}/Yb_{PAAS})$, (2) the degree of LREE
 enrichment with respect to MREE, defined as the ratio $(La/Sm)_n = (La_{Sample}/La_{PAAS})/(Sm_{Sample}/Sm_{PAAS})$, and (3) the degree of MREE enrichment with respect to
 HREE, defined as the ratio $(Sm/Yb)_n = (Sm_{Sample}/Sm_{PAAS})/(Yb_{Sample}/Yb_{PAAS})$.

The pseudo lanthanide yttrium (Y) is inserted between Ho and Dy in the REE
 pattern according to its identical charge and similar radius (REE+Y pattern; Bau,
 1996).

4. Limestone geochemistry

In the discussion of this section, we include the analyses of major, trace and rare earth elements of six limestone successions by this study as well as the Deep Sea Drilling Project and Ocean Drilling Program (DSDP and ODP) literature geochemical data regarding limestones deposited in open ocean environments.

4.1. Major elements

All the limestones included in the limestone geochemical dataset are limited within < 10 wt.% for their non-CaO–LOI compositions ($=\text{SiO}_2+\text{TiO}_2+\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3+\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3+\text{MnO}+\text{MgO}+\text{Na}_2\text{O}+\text{K}_2\text{O}+\text{P}_2\text{O}_5$). In most of the limestones, CaO concentrations are larger than 47 wt.% (Table S1). The samples collected from the peripheral foreland basin (PO1 in Fig. 1b), whose surroundings could have large relief elevations due to the continental collision among the Qiangtang blocks (e.g., Zhang et al., 2006b), have the largest non-CaO–LOI compositions, with an average of 8.09 ± 1.86 wt.%. The inland limestones have second largest non-CaO–LOI compositions (on average 7.85 wt.%) but with a much larger variation (±4.53 wt.%) and the samples from the two inland basins show different, large, non-CaO–LOI ranges. In contrast, the oceanic plateau limestones have the lowest non-CaO–LOI average (1.49 ± 0.61 wt.%). The samples collected from fore-arc, inland, back-arc, and passive margin basins have similar non-CaO–LOI averages (4.45–5.6 wt.%). Among these basins, the peripheral foreland basin has largest major-element contents, except for MgO which is greater in samples from the oceanic plateau (Table S1). This could be attributed to the eruption of high-Mg lava while limestones accumulated (Zhang et al., 2014) rather than to diagenesis as dolomite is not present.

The Ca is dominantly of biogenic origin and, regardless of its original distribution, is primarily a dilutant of all other constituents, as evidenced by its strongly negative correlations with all other major and trace elements (Table S2). Al_2O_3 contents are invariant with respect to Fe_2O_3 for the inland limestones (Fig. 2a) but these two variables display positive correlation for the marine limestones (Fig. 2a, b; correlation coefficient $r=0.76$). In contrast, Al_2O_3 contents generally have a positive correlation with MnO for all the limestones ($r=0.48$), indicating that Fe_2O_3 and MnO are at least partially controlled by clay minerals but metalliferous hydrothermal input to the limestone geochemistry has a significant contribution. For the inland freshwater limestones and continental marginal marine limestones, P_2O_5 contents are well correlated with TiO_2 ($r=0.36\text{--}0.80$), Fe_2O_3 ($r=0.53\text{--}0.66$) and K_2O ($r=0.52\text{--}0.77$) (Table S2), implying that some, maybe most, of the P_2O_5 contents in these limestones may not be biogenic, because TiO_2 and K_2O are principally derived from aluminosilicate clastics and Fe_2O_3 from hydrothermal Fe–Mn-oxyhydroxides (e.g., Murray, 1994).

Therefore, the major-elemental geochemistry of the limestones is largely controlled by the distance away from the continent and the topographic elevation as well as the volcanism, in a word, by the tectonic environments of the basins. Except for CaO and MgO, all the major elements are well correlated positively with each other.

4.2. Rare-earth elements

4.2.1. Total REE abundances

Total REE abundances (ΣREE) of the limestones are generally lower by one or two orders of magnitude when compared to the PAAS. ΣREE in the limestones

increases from minimum values of 1.31 ppm at the oceanic plateau to 10–30 ppm
 along the continental margins and in the continental interior (Table S1). The
 limestones from various plate tectonic settings have changeable REE concentrations
 and markedly different patterns (Figs. 3–5). Moreover, the limestones from the same
 plate tectonic setting also have obvious undulation of REE concentrations but exhibit
 generally similar REE patterns (Figs. 3–5). The Σ REE for the inland + margins
 limestones are distinctly positively correlated with SiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , TiO_2 and Fe_2O_3 (Fig.
 6a–d; $r = 0.62, 0.48, 0.67, 0.45$, respectively; Table S2), showing the control of detrital
 siliciclastic fraction on the REE. In contrast, the Σ REE for the open ocean (floor +
 high) limestones only is positively correlated with MnO ($r = 0.58$) but forms scatter
 with other major elements, indicating the control of Mn-oxyhydroxides on the REE.
 However, individual open ocean basins display definite linear trends between REE
 and Fe_2O_3 (Fig. 6b), which could be attributed to interoceanic variation in rare earth,
 major, and trace element depositional chemistry of sediments (e.g., Murray et al.,
 1992). Should explain trends and differences between trends for Open Ocean
 suites. Considering limestones from all environments, the Σ REE is in a strongly
 negative correlation with CaO (Table S2), affirming the dilatation of CaO. In
 particular, the back-arc basin limestones have the lowest Σ REE among the continental
 margin limestones, which may be related to an inverse dependence on sedimentation
 rate, whose high sedimentation rate as stated above (Zhang et al., 2004) could have
 diluted and minimized the sediment's capacity to adsorb REE from seawater (e.g.,
 Ruhlin and Owen, 1986).

299

300 4.2.2. *Cerium anomalies*

301 Similar to the changing trend of Ce anomalies (Ce/Ce^*) observed in waters and
302 cherts as well as fine-grained marine sediments (see Murray et al., 1991a for a review),
303 the magnitude of the Ce anomalies of the limestones exhibits a distinct increase from
304 spreading ridge to continental coastal sea. In the diagrams of Ce/Ce^* vs. Al_2O_3 , Fe_2O_3
305 and MnO (Fig. 7a–c), all exhibit good separation between the open ocean samples and
306 the inland + margins samples. The Ce/Ce^* values are the lowest ($\sim 0.29 \pm 0.14$) in the
307 spreading ridge-influenced regime (open ocean floor) and trend to successively higher
308 values (~ 1.1) in the active margin limestones with decreasing metalliferous and
309 increasing terrigenous influences (Fig. 7a–c; Table S1). The limestones deposited on
310 the open ocean highs have slightly higher Ce/Ce^* average (~ 0.34) than those on the
311 ocean floors but with a larger range (± 0.28); the limestones on active margins
312 (~ 1.1 – 1) have obviously larger Ce/Ce^* values (~ 0.79) than those on passive margins
313 but can hardly be distinguished from those on inland basins, showing that the passive
314 margin limestones are less affected by terrigenous material (Fig. 7a–c; Table S1).
315 Therefore, the magnitudes of the Ce anomaly in these limestones are controlled
316 dominantly by the amounts of included metalliferous material and direct terrigenous
317 input. Thus, the limestones can be classified into two groups based on the variation
318 trend of the Ce/Ce^* ratios: inland + margins vs. open ocean, depending on proximity
319 of the basin to a continental plate margin (Fig. 7a–c). The former group have
320 obviously higher Ce/Ce^* ratios (lowest limit ~ 0.55) than the latter group (Figs. 7–9).

Furthermore, these two groups separately exhibit some consistence regarding the Ce anomalies; for example, the open ocean limestones have a positive Ce/Ce*–Fe₂O₃ correlation ($r=0.29$) while the inland+ margins limestones form a scatter between Ce/Ce* ratios and Fe₂O₃ concentrations (Fig. 7b; Table S2). The Ce/Ce* ratios of all the limestones generally have a positive correlation with the Al₂O₃ concentrations ($r=0.35$) but have no correlation with the MnO concentrations (Fig. 7a, c). Plots of Ce/Ce* ratios against $\Sigma\text{REE}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ratios to evaluate detrital siliciclastic influence in view of refractory element Al overwhelmingly of detrital siliciclastic provenance (Chen et al., 2015), again point out an obviously negative correlation between Ce anomalies and the detrital siliciclastic abundances ($r=-0.56$; Fig. 8a).

For the marine limestones, the Ce concentrations obviously are positively correlated with Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃ and MnO ($r=0.81, 0.73, 0.67$, respectively), and the Ce anomalies are weakly correlated positively with Al₂O₃ and MnO ($r=0.33, 0.35$, respectively) (Table S2), indicating that Ce in these rocks is controlled by both terrigenous and metalliferous input. In contrast, the Ce concentrations of the inland limestones are strongly positively correlated with TiO₂, Al₂O₃, and K₂O ($r=0.73, 0.74, 0.76$, respectively), and their Ce anomalies are strongly positively correlated with TiO₂, Fe₂O₃, MnO, MgO, K₂O, and P₂O₅ ($r=0.74, 0.64, 0.91, 0.79, 0.69, 0.98$, respectively) (Table S2), reflecting that the Ce anomalies are controlled by multiple factors (such as terrigenous and hydrothermal inputs) although their concentrations are dominated by terrigenous clay particles. For all the limestones, Ce/Ce* is positively correlated with the relative enrichment of LREE over MREE and HREE

($r=0.57$, 0.62 , respectively) (Fig. 9; Table S2). Regression between Ce/Ce^* and $(La/Ce)_n$ (Fig. 9d) shows a statistically significant relationship ($y = 1.0048 x^{-1}$, $r^2=0.9688$). It may relate to the exponential drop-off in sedimentation rate away from spreading ridges during seafloor spreading, which controls rate of uptake of Ce and La, and differing rates of uptake may contribute to trend.

The back-arc basin samples, which have largest burial rate as shown by a >3.3 -km-thick limestone-dominated sedimentary succession deposited during an interval of ~ 20 Myr at the Mid-Cretaceous (Zhang et al., 2004), have the lowest Ce/Ce^* values among the active margin limestones (Table S1), showing that the overall burial rate also is a critical factor that controls the Ce/Ce^* magnitudes as observed in chert and other fine-grained marine sedimentary rocks by Murray et al. (1991a).

4.2.3. Europium anomalies

The inland limestones have the most pronounced Eu enrichment (averagely $Eu/Eu^*=3.46$) with large undulation (± 3.28), that is, different inland basins have apparently various Eu/Eu^* ranges. The foreland limestones have the second largest Eu/Eu^* average of 1.71 ± 0.76 . In contrast, the fore-arc limestones have the lowest Eu/Eu^* values (0.99 ± 0.17) whereas the limestones from other tectonic settings have similar Eu anomalies (averagely 1.12 to 1.24). Of the limestones deposited on open oceanic environments, those on the oceanic highs have obviously higher Eu/Eu^* values (1.24 ± 0.54) than those on the oceanic floors (1.12 ± 0.18) (Fig. 10; Table S1).

For the inland limestones, their Eu concentrations are strongly to weakly

correlated positively with all the major elements except CaO (Table S2), indicating
 that Eu in these rocks is controlled by both terrigenous and metalliferous input. Their
 Eu anomalies are obviously correlated positively with MgO, MnO, P₂O₅, Ce/Ce* (Fig.
 11), and (La/Sm)_n ($r=0.64, 0.59, 0.67, 0.57$, respectively), but negatively correlated
 with (Sm/Yb)_n ($r=-0.52$) (Table S2). The En enrichment in the inland limestones
 could be ascribed to enhanced dissolution from suspended riverine particles and
 higher stability in freshwater solution (Goldstein and Jacobsen, 1988). For the
 foreland limestones, the Eu concentrations are negatively correlated with TiO₂, Al₂O₃,
 Fe₂O₃ (Fig. 10a, b), and K₂O ($r=-0.33, -0.58, -0.48, -0.61$, respectively) but
 positively correlated with MnO (Fig. 10c), MgO, Na₂O, P₂O₅, and CaO ($r=0.67, 0.43,$
 $0.36, 0.44, 0.61$, respectively), and the Eu anomalies are positively correlated with
 CaO, (La/Sm)_n, (La/Yb)_n, and Y/Ho ($r=0.75, 0.45, 0.45, 0.68$ respectively), but
 negatively correlated with TiO₂, Al₂O₃ (Fig. 10a), K₂O, and Ce/Ce* (Fig. 11) ($r=-0.56,$
 $-0.64, -0.59, -0.64$, respectively) (Table S2). Therefore, the positive Eu anomalies
 observed for the foreland limestones in the normalized patterns might be caused by
 feldspars contributed by mafic rocks likely supplied from the ophiolite suites in the
 nearby suture zones (e.g., Zhang et al., 2006b). In addition, these Eu anomalies also
 might be controlled by the amount of bioapatite, which relates to seawater clarity
 advantageous to flourishing of marine invertebrates. For the fore-arc limestones, the
 Eu concentrations are strongly positively correlated with all the major elements
 except CaO, and the Eu anomalies are negatively correlated with Ce/Ce*, (La/Sm)_n,
 and (La/Yb)_n ($r=-0.67, -0.87, -0.64$, respectively), but positively correlated with

(Sm/Yb)_n ($r=0.39$) (Table S2). Compared to the limestones on the oceanic floors ($r=0.41$), the Eu concentrations of the limestone on the oceanic highs are much more strongly correlated positively with the MnO ($r=0.91$), reflecting that the positive Eu anomalies of the limestones may be caused by an increased oceanic input of hydrothermally emanated metalliferous fluids at oceanic highs.

For the relationship between Eu/Eu* and Ce/Ce* ratios, two groups of the limestones can be easily distinguished as well: these two ratios have a distinct positive correlation ($r=0.39$) for the inland+margins limestones while they form a scatter for the open ocean limestones (Fig. 11).

4.2.4. LREEs, MREEs, and HREEs

The limestones again can be classified into two groups based on the consistence of LREEs, MREEs, and HREEs: inland + margins vs. open ocean, reflecting control of REE contents by proximity of the basin to a continental plate margin. For example, the open ocean limestones have a narrow range of LREE/MREE, MREE/HREE, and LREE/HREE ratios ((La/Sm)_n, 0.46–0.96; (Sm/Yb)_n, 0.25–1.96; (La/Yb)_n, 0.23–1.38) whereas the inland + margins limestones display a much larger range ((La/Sm)_n, 0.43–2.18; (Sm/Yb)_n, 0.6–2.98; (La/Yb)_n, 0.7–2.25) (Figs. 12–14; Table S1). The LREE/HREE and MREE/HREE ratios (La/Yb)_n and (Sm/Yb)_n for the open ocean limestones have a distinct positive correlations with Al₂O₃ ($r=0.36$, 0.40) and Fe₂O₃ ($r=0.36$, 0.36) contents, respectively; whereas these four-pair values form scatters for the inland + margins limestones (Figs. 12, 13). However, MREE/HREE ratios

((Sm/Yb)_n) for the inland limestones have a distinct negative correlations with Fe₂O₃ ($r=-0.45$) (Fig. 13c). LREE/MREE ratios ((La/Sm)_n) for the open ocean limestones have a distinct negative correlations with MnO contents ($r=-0.33$) whereas these two-pair values form a scatter for the inland + margins limestones (Fig. 14b).

For the La anomalies, (La/Ce)_n, the limestones are easily distinguished into two groups again: the inland +margins group with low (La/Ce)_n (< 1.5) while the open ocean group generally above this value (1.5, Fig. 9d). Plot of (La/Ce)_n against ratios of terrigenous (indicated by Al₂O₃) and metalliferous (indicated by Fe₂O₃) end-member sources (Murray, 1994) better illustrates such differentiation and that (La/Ce)_n is not correlated with terrigenous or metalliferous sources (Fig. 15). On the three diagrams of La anomalies vs. LREE/MREE, LREE/HREE, MREE/HREE ratios, respectively, the inland + margins limestones and the open ocean limestones are plotted into two distinct fields: these two fields are differentiated from each other not only by distinct (La/Ce)_n values but also by far larger (La/Sm)_n, (La/Yb)_n and (Sm/Yb)_n values of the inland + margins group (Fig. 16). The La anomalies display a strongly negative correlation with the Ce anomalies ($r=-0.76$; Fig. 9d).

There are close relations between the ratios of LREEs, MREEs, and HREEs. For example, the LREE/HREE ratios for all the limestones are strongly positively correlated with the MREE/HREE and LREE/MREE ratios, respectively ($r=0.63, 0.64$) (Fig. 17a, b; Table S2). In contrast, the LREE/MREE ratios for all the limestones are weakly negatively correlated with the MREE/HREE ratios ($r=-0.20$) but these two-pair ratios have strongly negative correlation for the inland +margins limestones

($r=-0.58$) (Fig. 17a, b; Table S2).

For the relationship between the ratios of LREEs, MREEs, and HREEs and the Ce/Ce* ratios, the differentiation are obvious between the inland+margins and open ocean limestones (Fig. 9a–c). For example, (La/Sm)_n are strongly positively but (Sm/Yb)_n are distinctly negatively correlated with Ce/Ce* for the inland+margins limestones ($r=0.79$ and -0.64 , respectively); in contrast, these two pairs form a scatter for the open ocean limestones (Fig. 9a–c; Table S2).

To summarize, the limestones deposited in open ocean environments (floors and highs) are characterized by three main features: pronounced Ce depletion (Ce/Ce*, 0.33 ± 0.14), HREE enrichment ((Yb/La)_n, 1.49 ± 0.21), and positive La anomaly, and thus they display a remarkably similar righty-inclined pattern in the normalized spidergrams (Fig. 3). Such REE pattern is quite similar to typical seawater REE pattern (e.g., de Baar et al., 1991; Bau and Dulski, 1996), indicating that scavenging from seawater is a dominant mechanism for these limestones to obtain their REEs from open ocean environments. However, minor of them are of slight MREE enrichment, which can be attributed to preferential adsorption of LREEs and HREEs to Mn- and Fe-oxyhydroxides, respectively (e.g., Shields and Webb, 2004).

The limestones sampled from various marginal basin environments cannot be easily distinguished between each other in the normalized patterns (Fig. 4). Generally, they have MREE-bulge signatures ((Sm/La)_n, 1.01 ± 0.21 ; (Sm/La)_n, 1.31 ± 0.57), which are likely due to preferential, nonquantitative MREE uptake of sedimentary apatite as viewed from the weak negative (La/Sm)_n–P₂O₅ correlation ($r=-0.32$) and weak

positive $(\text{Sm/Yb})_n$ - P_2O_5 correlation ($r=0.25$) and/or post-depositional REE exchange with non-detrital components and uptake of REE from host sediments (e.g., Byrne et al., 1996; Shields and Webb, 2004). Plots of $(\text{La/Sm})_n$, $(\text{Sm/Yb})_n$ and $(\text{La/Yb})_n$ ratios against $\Sigma\text{REE}/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ ratios illustrate the influence of detrital siliciclastic matter on the relative abundances of various REE fractions (Fig. 18).

The limestones collected from two inland basins display sharply contrasting REE+Y patterns: those from the Baishi basin have quite flat or slight MREE-bulge pattern whereas those from the Wuli basin have pronounced Eu positive anomalies (Eu/Eu^* , 3.74–13.01) and strong or weak Y positive anomalies (Fig. 5). The flat distribution of the Baishi limestones signifies predominantly terrigenous siliciclastic influence; in contrast, the Eu peaks of the Wuli limestones could be a consequence of plagioclase enrichment. However, the positive Y anomalies of some Wuli limestones (Fig. 5), and thus their super-chondritic Y/Ho ratios, are incompatible with the viewpoint that such signature is a characteristic of open ocean water (e.g., Kawabe et al., 1991; Bau, 1996; Bau and Dulski, 1996; Bau et al., 1996).

4.3. Trace elements

Similar to the REEs, the trace elements for the inland + margins limestones are generally controlled by detrital siliciclastic fractions viewed by their positive correlations with Al_2O_3 , TiO_2 , and Fe_2O_3 ($r=0.31$ – 0.98), except for Sr, Nb and Ta due to their potential diagenetic mobility. In contrast, the trace elements for the open ocean limestones display positive correlations with MnO ($r=0.45$ – 0.70) but scatters or

weak correlations with other major elements (Table S2), again pointing out their control by Mn-oxyhydroxides.

For the high-strength-field elements (HSFEs; e.g., Th, U, Sc, Zr, Hf, Ga, Na, Ta) and the transitional trace elements (e.g., Co, Cr, Ni, Cu, Zn), their concentrations in the limestones are quite similar and are generally lower by one order of magnitude when compared to the PAAS (Table S1). However, the contents of the large-ion-lithophile elements (LILEs) in these limestones display obvious undulations with a large range. For example, the concentrations of Sr are similar to or higher by one order of magnitude than the PAAS whereas those of Rb and Ba vary from lower by two orders of magnitude than or similar to the PAAS (Table S1). Among the limestones deposited in various tectonic environments, the inland limestones have the lowest Sr contents and the open ocean limestones have the lowest Rb concentrations while the continental margin limestones possess the lowest Ba concentrations (Table S1), therefore the limestones from these various settings occupy distinct fields in the Rb–Sr–Ba triangular diagram (Fig. 19).

The oceanic floor limestones have the lowest contents (generally lower by more than one order of magnitude) of all the trace elements except for Sr (Table S1) because of its diagenetic mobility. Among the limestones deposited in inland and margin basins, the foreland basin limestones have the largest contents of trace ferromagnesian elements Cr, Co, Sc and V (Table S1), which could be attributed the likely terrigenous supply of ophiolitic fragments in the central Qiangtang orogen (Zhang et al., 2006a, b). However, these limestones have intermediate contents of Ni, perhaps due to its diagenetic mobility.

5. Geochemical proxies of sedimentary environments of limestones

Much progress has been achieved in determining the genesis of limestone through limestone biostratigraphy (e.g., Wilson, 1975), but general proxies by which depositional environments of limestone can be determined are not yet available. Such information will provide crucial constraints regarding the formation of sedimentary basins and tectonic environments. Trace element geochemistry has been proved to be a powerful tool in deciphering the plate tectonic regimes of magmatic rocks, and the provenance types of siliciclastic rocks and the tectonic settings of the sedimentary basins they were deposited, as well as the depositional environment of chert successions (e.g., Murray et al., 1990, 1991a, 1991b, 1992; Murray, 1994; Cullers, 2002; Zhang, 2004; Zhang et al., 2007). Various studies have documented that trace elements including REEs in carbonates tend to be relatively stable through diagenesis, metamorphism or weathering (e.g., Banner et al., 1988; Holser, 1997; Webb and Kamber, 2000; Nothdurft et al., 2004; Frimmel, 2009; Nagarajan et al., 2011) and their patterns are a key to the average provenance compositions (Taylor and McLennan, 1985; Wani and Mondal, 2010; Nagarajan et al., 2011), since REEs are substituted for Ca^{2+} in the carbonate lattice (Zhong and Mucci, 1995) and the REE + Y concentrations in diagenetic fluids are very low (10^{-6} to 10^{-4} ppm) (Sholkovitz et al., 1989; Banner and Hanson, 1990). The limestones in this study largely display subparallel patterns, indicative of weak diagenetic influences. Consequently, REEs, HFSEs and other immobile elements such as Al are considered to be most suited for tectonic setting determinations (see Murray et al., 1990; Murray, 1994).

REEs are most powerful tool in determining the depositional environments of the limestones (e.g., Murray et al., 1990, 1991a, 1991b, 1992, 1994; Toyoda et al., 1990;

MacLeod and Irving, 1996; Holser, 1997; Madhavaraju and Ramasamy, 1999; Madhavaraju et al., 2010, 2016). Plots between Ce/Ce^* , $(La/Yb)_n$, $(La/Sm)_n$, $(Sm/Yb)_n$, and $(La/Ce)_n$ can easily distinguish the limestones deposited in open ocean setting from those deposited in continental margins and inland lakes, those deposited in open oceanic floors from those deposited in oceanic highs (Figs. 7–9, 11, 15–18), and those deposited in passive margin from those deposited in inland and active margin environments (Fig. 9a–c). By means of characteristic high Eu anomalies of the inland freshwater limestones, they can be differentiated from the marine limestones in use of plots related to Eu/Eu^* (Figs. 8b, 11). Plot of ratios of immobile ferromagnesian vs. felsic HFSEs (La/Sc vs. Zr/Ti) can also distinguish the inland freshwater limestones from the marine limestones (Fig. 20).

For the unaltered limestones of this study, LILEs can also provide useful information on the sedimentary environments that the limestones were deposited. For example, the triangular Rb–Sr–Ba diagram can well distinguish among the inland freshwater limestones, the continental marginal limestones, and the open ocean limestones (Fig. 19). In addition, the inland freshwater limestones, based on their low Sr/Ba and Sr/Rb ratios, are distinguished from the continental margin seawater limestones in the Sr/Ba vs. Sr/Rb diagram (Fig. 21).

High, superchondritic Y/Ho ratios (i.e., 44–74) have been considered as a proxy for marine carbonates by previous authors, because Yttrium is not removed from seawater as efficiently as is its geochemical twin Ho (e.g., Bau, 1996). However, Johannesson et al. (2006) illustrated seawater-like REE data for ground-waters from central Mexico and proved that such signature is not unique to the marine environment. In particular, four of our Eocene Wuli freshwater limestones display superchondritic Y/Ho ratios (> 44 , Fig. 5). Therefore, we do not tend to recommend

Y/Ho ratios as proxy for marine limestones, in particular, when the limestones are impure.

6. Tests of geochemical proxies

We here apply the proxies presented above to 39 available literature limestone successions worldwide, ranging in age from Neoarchaeon to Holocene (Table 2), to test the reliability of proxies (Fig. 22a–d). These literature successions include limestones deposited in inland freshwater basin, passive margin, active continental margins and open ocean basins, which have been well constrained by geologic and geochemical studies, and thus provide a full range of tectonic settings where limestones were deposited. Our examinations illustrate that the geochemical proxies of limestones based on immobile elemental ratios can provide reliable and useful constraints on the limestone depositional environment. For space limitation, we here exemplified the applicability of these proxies to the literature limestone suites listed in Table 2 in two diagrams about $(La/Ce)_n$ and Ce/Ce^* ratios (Fig. 22a, b), a $La/Sc-Zr/Ti$ diagram (Fig. 22c) and a $Sr/Rb-Sr/Ba$ diagram (Fig. 22d). Plots of these literature geochemical data in the $(La/Ce)_n-Ce/Ce^*$ diagrams easily distinguish the margins+inland limestones from the open ocean limestones; clearly, the diagrams using other REE ratios (e.g., $(La/Yb)_n$, $(La/Sm)_n$, $(Sm/Yb)_n$) (e.g., Figs. 9a, 9b, 16b, 16c, 17) also are reliable for such tectonic setting discrimination of various limestone suites. In addition, plots of available literature data in the $La/Sc-Zr/Ti$ diagram can differentiate the seawater margins limestones from the freshwater inland limestones (Fig. 22c). However, plots of literature data in the $Sr/Rb-Sr/Ba$ diagram (Fig. 22d) produce large uncertainty; for example, plots of literature geochemical data of Precambrian passive margin pure limestones (e.g., Klein and Beukes, 1989; Bolhar and

Van Kranendonk, 2007; Nagarajan et al., 2011; Sen and Mishra, 2015) generally fall in the inland freshwater limestone area (unshown) and those of Phanerozoic margins impure limestones (e.g., Cullers, 2002; Abedini and Calagari, 2015; Madhavaraju et al., 2016) fall across the inland-margins limestone areas, although some of Phanerozoic margins pure limestones (e.g., Cullers, 2002; El Hefnawi et al., 2010; Madhavaraju et al., 2016) fall in the right area (Fig. 22d). Consequently, the proxies using LILEs should be used with great caution.

Because the proxies are principally established based upon the immobile elemental ratios, applications to the impure limestones with high non-CaCO₃ composition up to 40 wt. % (Table 2) demonstrates the validity of discrimination for tectonic environment (Fig. 22a–c). This is illustrated by the similar outcomes of discrimination utilizing impure limestones and pure limestones deposited in the Late Cretaceous active continental margin of western America (Ft. Hays, Colorado; Cullers, 2002), in the Neoproterozoic passive margin of southern India (Bhima Basin, Karnataka; Nagarajan et al., 2011), in the Aptian–Albian active continental margin (back-arc basin?) of western Mexico (Madhavaraju et al., 2010), in the Early Cretaceous Baja California fore-arc basin of Mexico (Madhavaraju et al., 2016), in the Paleoproterozoic passive margin of South Africa (Tsikos et al., 2001) and so on (Fig. 22a–c).

We note that these immobile elemental geochemical proxies also are applicable to dolomitized limestones and dolostones (Fig. 22a–c). For example, the Early Proterozoic dolostones from the northern margin of the North China craton display nearly no Ce anomalies, which, along with low (La/Ce)_n ratios (0.7–0.8) (Tang et al., 2009), affirms a continental margin environment for their accumulation (Fig. 22a, b). In contrast, most of the Ediacaran–Cambrian dolostones from Oman have REE

continental margin signatures of slightly negative Ce anomalies and low $(La/Ce)_n$ ratio (Fig. 22a, b. Schroder and Grotzinger, 2007), consistent with the conclusions drawn based on geologic and geochemical studies (Schroder and Grotzinger, 2007).

In addition, the geochemical proxies of immobile elemental ratios even can apply to the marbles formed at various metamorphic grades (Fig. 22a–c). For example, the granulite-facies marble from the Lower Proterozoic Hapschan Series, eastern Anabar Shield, Siberia, has Ce/Ce^* and $(La/Ce)_n$ ratios of 0.75–1 and 1.02–1.64, respectively (Ce^* obtained by linear interpolation between shale-normalized La and Sm values, in view of absence of Sm values). Its protolith likely accumulated in a continental margin environment based on the limestone geochemical proxies (Fig. 22a–c), consistent with the inference from extensive studies of geology, geochemistry and isotopes (Condie et al., 1991). Even in the well-known Dabie and Sulu UHP belts, the eclogite-facies marbles display distinct REE continental margin signatures (Fig. 22a, b), compatible with the verdict based on studies of regional geology (Tang et al., 2006; Xia et al., 2012).

Application of the criteria presented above to the limestone successions whose depositional environments are under debate are particularly useful and can shed new light on the depositional setting of these special successions. For example, there is intense debate on depositional setting of stromatolitic carbonates of the Neoarchaean Fortescue Group, Pilbara Craton, western Australia, and interpretations are contrasted by lacustrine (e.g., Bolhar and Van Kranendonk, 2007) vs shallow-marine settings (e.g., Sakurai et al., 2005). This is a key issue to better understanding what geological factors controlled suitable habitats for early life (Bolhar and Van Kranendonk, 2007). Application of our immobile elemental geochemical proxies to the Pilbara carbonate

dataset of Bolhar and Van Kranendonk (2007) points to an inland, lacustrine sedimentary environment for the Neoarchaeon stromatolitic carbonates (Fig. 22a–c); in particular, they are of high Zr/Ti ratios (Fig. 22c), consistent with a freshwater basin setting. Another example is taken from the South China block. It has been intensely debated whether there is Paleo-Tethyan branch within the South China block (e.g., Hsü, et al., 1990; Zhang and Cai, 2009). The geochemistry of the Mid–Late Permian Laibin limestones within the South China block provides further information towards resolving their depositional environment and South China tectonics. According to the dataset provided by Qiu et al. (2013), the limestones display low Ce/Ce* values (prevalently < 0.4) but high (La/Ce)_n ratios (> 4), indicative of an open ocean depositional environment (Fig. 22a, b). This inference is consistent with their deep-water characteristics that are revealed by abundant radiolarian fossils in the limestones (Qiu and Wang, 2010) and interbedded cherts of hydrothermal origin with distinct open ocean geochemical signatures (Qiu and Wang, 2011). Therefore, the possibility of a Paleo-Tethyan branch within the South China block cannot be overlooked.

In view that only post-mid-Mesozoic limestones are used for the establishment of the geochemical proxies in this study, special attention is paid to examine applicability of the criteria for Paleozoic and older limestones (Table 2). Such test is important since a major shift occurred in limestone sedimentation from the platforms in Proterozoic, Paleozoic and Early Mesozoic time to the open oceans by Late Mesozoic through to modern times (e.g., Arvidson et al., 2006; Ries et al., 2010) with the advent of calcareous plankton (e.g. coccolithophorids). This shift may cause changes in relative sedimentation rates of platforms versus open oceans from Paleozoic to Late Mesozoic time, which might affect adsorption of REEs and minor

elements from seawater through burial rate variation and seawater exposure times (e.g. Murray et al., 1991). In addition, the secular shifts occurred in CaCO_3 mineral polymorph dominance between aragonite and calcite in the Phanerozoic (e.g., Ries et al., 2010), which could be a factor to affect the limestone geochemistry. Our examinations on 23 limestone successions of Neoproterozoic through early Mesozoic time (Table 2) indicate that these proxies based on immobile elemental ratios are well applicable to such older limestone suites (Fig. 22a–c). This is perhaps because the limestone geochemistry was principally determined by the tectonic environments in which the limestones were deposited and maybe was not liable to the changes of both limestone lithofacies and CaCO_3 mineral polymorphs.

7. Average chemical compositions of limestones

Limestones exhibit a large variation in their bulk composition. Estimates of average geochemical compositions of limestone are still lacking and, to our knowledge, only an available estimate of major and trace element compositions of the Phanerozoic marine limestone was given by Condie et al. (1991) albeit without any detail. Such estimate is essential for its implications for sedimentary environments and seawater evolution, particularly considering that a wealth of high-quality analyses of limestones (e.g., Armstrong-Altrin et al., 2003; Frimmel, 2009; Madhavaraju et al., 2010, 2016; Fu et al., 2011; Nagarajan et al., 2011; Loope et al., 2013; Qiu et al., 2013; Tian et al., 2014; Sen and Mishra, 2015) have become available in the publications in recent years. As tectonic environment is the primary control on limestone composition as discussed above, the average compositions of limestones of four main tectonic

settings, along with some estimate of uncertainty (standard deviations), are calculated (Table 3). We also try to give a preliminary estimate of the composition of all the limestones, but this estimate should be quite rough because it is not calculated based on the voluminous ratios of the limestones deposited in various environments. Only those samples with the total detritus < 10 wt.% are included in the computation (Table S3). Following Murray (1994), total iron was converted to Fe_2O_3 if it was reported as FeO or both ferric and ferrous values were given in the literature; data below the detection limit in several cases had been incorporated into the calculations by using a value of one-half of the detection limit.

The average composition of the open oceanic limestones is characterized by lowest Al_2O_3 , Fe_2O_3 and Ce concentrations but highest La and other REE concentrations; therefore, these limestones have most strongly negative Ce anomalies (averagely $\text{Ce}/\text{Ce}^* = 0.32$) and most strongly positive La anomalies (averagely, $(\text{La}/\text{Ce})_n = 6.07$) (Table 3). Among the limestones deposited in the passive and active margins and inland freshwater lakes, the passive marginal limestones have obviously lower Al_2O_3 concentrations, slightly lower negative Ce anomalies, and slightly higher La anomalies; the inland freshwater limestones are highlighted by their high Eu/Eu^* ratios (averagely 3.74). Nevertheless, these three kinds of limestones have almost indistinguishable Fe_2O_3 and REE concentrations and other REE ratios (Table 3).

8. Conclusions

- We have analyzed the major, trace and rare earth elements of six Tibetan

limestone successions deposited in oceanic plateau, passive continental margin, active continental margin (fore-arc basin, back-arc basin and foreland basin) and continental inland freshwater basin to provide a data base for our initial geochemical characterizations.

- In combination with the Deep Sea Drilling Project and Ocean Drilling Program (DSDP and ODP) literature geochemical data of limestones deposited in open ocean environments, we delineate the geochemical characteristics of the limestones accumulated in various plate tectonic settings.

- The limestone geochemistry is well controlled by the distance away from the continent and the topographic elevation as well as the volcanism, that is, by the tectonic environments of the basins. The inland + margins limestones geochemically depend on the terrigenous clasts while the open ocean limestones on the hydrothermal Fe–Mn-oxyhydroxides.

- The REE ratios (i.e., $(La/Ce)_n$, Ce/Ce^*) as well as other immobile elemental ratios (e.g., Zr/Ti , La/Sc) of limestones are good proxies of all four depositional regimes. Rb–Sr–Ba triangular diagram is also useful for distinguishing the four tectonic settings. Applications of these immobile geochemical proxies to 39 literature limestone successions demonstrate their validity, independent of diagenetic modification, metamorphism and high siliciclastic amount (≤ 40 wt.%).

- The average composition of the limestones deposited in four various depositional environments are presented, and the open oceanic limestones are differentiated

from the other three groups of limestones while the latter are lesser distinguished.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (grants 41372228, 40572137, 40072075) and Chinese Academy of Sciences (grant 118900EA12). We are grateful to J.F. Gao, L. Zhang and M.Q. Zhang for analytical support, to Y.Z. Zhou for helpful discussion, to A.D. Miall for kind editorial handling, and to D. Morrow and an anonymous reviewer for constructive and thoughtful review comments, which greatly improved the manuscript.

References

- Abedini, A., Calagari, A.A., 2015. Rare earth element geochemistry of the Upper Permian limestone: the Kanigorgeh mining district, NW Iran. *Turkish Journal of Earth Sciences* 24, 365–382.
- Allen, P.A., Allen, J.R., 2005. *Basin analysis: principles and applications*. Second Edition, Oxford, Blackwell, 549 p.
- Alonso-Zarza., A.M., 2003. Palaeoenvironmental significance of palustrine carbonates and calcretes in the geological record. *Earth-Science Reviews* 60, 261–298.
- Armstrong-Altrin, J.S., Verma, S.P., Madhavaraju, J., Lee, Y., Ramasamy, S., 2003. Geochemistry of upper Miocene Kudankulam limestones, southern India. *International Geology Review* 45, 16–26.
- Arvidson, R.S., Collier, M., Davis, K.J., Vinson, M.D., Amonette, J.E., Luttge, A.,

739 2006. Magnesium inhibition of calcite dissolution kinetics. *Geochimica et*
 740 *Cosmochimica Acta* 70, 583–594.

741 Banner, J.L., Hanson, G.N., 1990. Calculation of simultaneous isotopic and trace
 742 element variations during water-rock interaction with applications to carbonate
 743 diagenesis. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 54, 3123–3137.

744 Banner, J.L., Hanson, G.N., Meyers, W.J., 1988. Rare earth element and Nd isotopic
 745 variations in regionally extensive dolomites from the Burlington–Keokuk
 746 Formation (Mississippian): Implications for REE mobility during carbonate
 747 diagenesis. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 58, 415–432.

748 Bau, M., 1996. Controls on the fractionation of isovalent trace elements in magmatic
 749 and aqueous systems: Evidence from Y/Ho, Zr/Hf, and lanthanide tetrad effect.
 750 *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology* 123, 323–333.

751 Bau, M., Dulski, P., 1996. Distributions of yttrium and rare-earth elements in the
 752 Penge and Kuruman iron-formation, Transvaal Supergroup, South Africa.
 753 *Precambrian Research* 79, 37–55.

754 Bau, M., Koschinsky, A., Dulski, P., Hein, J.R., 1996. Comparison of the partitioning
 755 behaviours of yttrium, rare earth elements, and titanium between hydrogenetic
 756 marine ferromanganese crusts and seawater. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*
 757 60, 1709–1725.

758 Bellanca, A., Masetti, D., Neri, R., 1997. Rare earth elements in limestone/marlstone
 759 couplets from the Albian–Cenomanian Cismon section (Venetian region, northern
 760 Italy): assessing REE sensitivity to environmental changes. *Chemical Geology*

761 141, 141–152.

762 Bertram, C.J., Elderfield, H., 1993. The geochemical balance of the rare earth
 763 elements and neodymium isotopes in the oceans. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica*
 764 *Acta* 57, 1957–1986.

765 Bhatia, M.A., Crook, K.A.W., 1986. Trace element characteristics of graywackes and
 766 tectonic setting discrimination of sedimentary basins. *Contributions to Mineralogy*
 767 *and Petrology* 92, 181–193.

768 Bolhar, R., Van Kranendonk, M.J., 2007. A non-marine depositional setting for the
 769 northern Fortescue Group, Pilbara Craton, inferred from trace element
 770 geochemistry of stromatolitic carbonates. *Precambrian Research* 155, 229–250.

771 Byrne, R.H., Liu, X., Schijf, J., 1996. The influence of phosphate co-precipitation on
 772 rare earth distributions in natural waters. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 60,
 773 3341–3346.

774 Chen, J.B., Algeo, T.J., Zhao, L.S., Zhen, Z.Q., Cao, L., Zhang, L., Yi, Y., 2015.
 775 Diagenetic uptake of rare earth elements by bioapatite, with an example from
 776 Lower Triassic conodonts of South China. *Earth-Science Reviews* 149, 181–202.

777 Condie, K.C., Wilks, M., Rosen, D.M., Zlobin, V.L., 1991. Geochemistry of
 778 metasediments from the Precambrian Hapschan Series, eastern Anabar Shield,
 779 Siberia. *Precambrian Research* 50, 37–47.

780 Cullers, R.L., 2002. Implications of elemental concentrations for provenance, redox
 781 conditions, and metamorphic studies of shales and limestones near Pueblo, CO,
 782 USA. *Chemical Geology* 191, 305–327.

- 783 De Baar, H.J.W., Schijf, J., Byrne, R.H., 1991. Solution chemistry of the rare earth
784 elements in seawater. *European Journal of Solid State Inorganic Chemistry* 28,
785 357–373.
- 786 Devi, K.R., Duarah, B.P., 2015. Geochemistry of Ukhrul Limestone of Assam–Arakan
787 Subduction Basin, Manipur, Northeast India. *Journal of Geological Society of*
788 *India* 85, 367–376.
- 789 Dickinson, W.R., Suczek, C.A., 1979. Plate tectonics and sandstone compositions.
790 *American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin* 63, 2164–2182.
- 791 Durr, S., 1996. Provenance of Xigaze forearc clastic rocks (Cretaceous, south Tibet).
792 *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 108, 669–691.
- 793 El Hefnawi, M.A., Mashaly, A.O., Shalaby, B.N., Rashwan, M.A., 2010. Petrography
794 and geochemistry of Eocene limestone from Khashm Al-Raqaba area, El-Galala
795 El-Qibliya, Egypt. *Carbonates Evaporites* 25, 193–202
- 796 Elderfield, H., Greaves, M.J., 1982. The rare earth elements in seawater. *Nature* 296,
797 214–219.
- 798 Frimmel, H.E., 2009. Trace element distribution in Neoproterozoic carbonates as
799 palaeoenvironmental indicator. *Chemical Geology* 258, 338–353.
- 800 Frisch, W., Meschede, M., Blakey, R., 2011. *Plate Tectonics*. Springer, p. 212.
- 801 Fu, X.G., Wang, J., Zeng, Y.H., Tan, F.W., He, J.L., 2011. Geochemistry and origin of
802 rare earth elements (REEs) in the Shengli River oil shale, northern Tibet, China.
803 *Chemie der Erde* 71, 21–30.
- 804 Gao, J.F., Lu, J.J., Lai, M.Y., Lin, Y.P., Pu, W., 2003. Analysis of trace elements in

805 rock samples using HR-ICPMS. *Journal of Nanjing University (Natural Sciences)*
806 39, 844– 850.

807 Goldstein, S.J., Jacobsen, S.B., 1988. Rare earth elements in river waters. *Earth and*
808 *Planetary Science Letters* 89, 35–47.

809 Holser, W.T., 1997. Evaluation of the application of rare-earth elements to
810 paleoceanography. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 132,
811 309–323.

812 Hsü, K.J., Li, J.L., Chen, H.H., Wang, Q.C., Sun, S., Sengör, A.M.C., 1990. Tectonics
813 of South China: key to understanding west Pacific geology. *Tectonophysics* 183,
814 9–39.

815 Hu, X., Wang, Y.L., Schmitt, R.A., 1988. Geochemistry of sediments on the Rio
816 Grande Rise and the redox evolution of the South Atlantic Ocean. *Geochimica et*
817 *Cosmochimica Acta* 52, 201–209.

818 Johannesson, K.H., Hawkins Jr., D.L., Cortés, A., 2006. Do Archaean chemical
819 sediments record ancient seawater rare earth element patterns? *Geochimica et*
820 *Cosmochimica Acta* 70, 871–890.

821 Kamber, B.S., Webb, G.E., 2001. The geochemistry of late Archaean microbial
822 carbonate: Implications for ocean chemistry and continental erosion history.
823 *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 65, 2509–2525.

824 Kawabe, I., Kitahara, Y., Naito, K., 1991. Non-chondritic Yttrium/Holmium ratio and
825 lanthanide tetrad effect observed in pre-Cenozoic limestones. *Geochemical*
826 *Journal* 25, 31–44.

- 827 Kerr, A.C., 2014. Oceanic plateaus, Second ed. In: Holland, H.D., Turekian, K.K.
828 (Eds.), *Treatise on Geochemistry* vol. 4. Elsevier, Oxford, pp. 631–667.
- 829 Klein, C., Beukes, N.J., 1989. Geochemistry and sedimentology of a facies transition
830 from limestone to iron-formation deposition in the Early Proterozoic Transvaal
831 Supergroup, South Africa. *Economic Geology* 84, 1733–1774.
- 832 Leeder, M.R., Smith, A.B., Yin, J.X., 1988. Sedimentology and palaeoenvironmental
833 evolution of the 1985 Lhasa to Golmud geotraverse. *Philosophical Transactions of*
834 *the Royal Society, London A* 327, 107–143.
- 835 Liu, G., Einsele, G., 1994. Sedimentary history of the Tethyan basin in the Tibetan
836 Himalayas. *Geologische Rundschau* 83, 32–61.
- 837 Liu, Y.G., Schmitt, R.A., 1984. Chemical profiles in sediment and basalt samples from
838 deep sea drilling project Leg 74. Hole 525A, Walvis Ridge. *Initial Reports DSDP*
839 74, 713–730.
- 840 Liu, Y.G., Miah, M.R.U., Schmitt, R.A., 1988. Cerium: A chemical tracer for
841 paleo-oceanic redox conditions. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 52,
842 1361–1371.
- 843 Liu, Y.G., Schmitt, R.A., 1990. Cerium anomalies in western Indian Ocean Cenozoic
844 carbonates, LEG 115. *Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program, Scientific*
845 *Results* 115, 709–714.
- 846 Loope, G.R., Kump, L.R., Arthur, M.A., 2013. Shallow water redox conditions from
847 the Permian–Triassic boundary microbialite: The rare earth element and iodine
848 geochemistry of carbonates from Turkey and South China. *Chemical Geology* 351,

849 195–208.

850 MacLeod, K.G., Irving, A.J., 1996. Correlation of cerium anomalies with indicators of
851 paleoenvironment. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 66, 948–955.

852 Madhavaraju, J., González-León, C.M., Lee, Y.I., Armstrong-Altrin, J.S.,
853 Reyes-Campero, L.M., 2010. Geochemistry of the Mural Formation
854 (Aptian–Albian) of the Bisbee Group, Northern Sonora, Mexico. *Cretaceous*
855 *Research* 31, 400–414.

856 Madhavaraju, J., Loser, H., Lee, Y.I., Santacruz, R.L., Pi-Puig, T., 2016.
857 Geochemistry of Lower Cretaceous limestones of the Alisitos Formation, Baja
858 California, Mexico: Implications for REE source and paleo-redox conditions.
859 *Journal of South American Earth Sciences* 66, 149–165.

860 Madhavaraju, J., Ramasamy, S., 1999. Rare earth elements in limestones of
861 Kallankurichchi Formation of Ariyalur Group, Tiruchirapalli Cretaceous, Tamil
862 Nadu. *Journal of the Geological Society of India* 54, 291–301.

863 Mazumdar, A., Tanaka, K., Takahashi T., Kawabe, I., 2003. Characteristics of rare
864 earth element abundances in shallow marine continental platform carbonates of
865 Late Neoproterozoic successions from India. *Geochemical Journal* 37, 277–289.

866 Michel, H.V., Asaro, F., Alvarez, W., Alvarez, L.W., 1985. Elemental profile of
867 iridium and other elements near the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary in Hole 577B.
868 *Initial Reports DSDP* 86, 533–538.

869 Murray, R.W., Buchholtz Ten Brink, M.R., Jones, D.L., Gerlach, D.C., Russ III, G.P.,
870 1990. Rare earth element as indicators of different marine depositional

871 environments in chert and shale. *Geology* 18, 268–271.

872 Murray, R.W., Buchholtz Ten Brink, M.R., Gerlach, D.C., Russ III, G.P., Jones, D.L.,
873 1991a. Rare earth, major and trace elements in chert from the Franciscan Complex
874 and Monterey Group, California: Assessing REE sources to fine-grained marine
875 sediments. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 55, 1875–1895.

876 Murray, R.W., Buchholtz Ten Brink, M.R., Gerlach, D.C., Russ III, G.P., Jones, D.L.,
877 1991b. Rare earth elements in Japan Sea sediments and diagenetic behavior of
878 Ce/Ce*, results from ODP Leg 127. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 55,
879 2453–2466.

880 Murray, R.W., Buchholtz Ten Brink, M.R., Gerlach, D.C., Russ III, G.P., Jones, D.L.,
881 1992. Interoceanic variation in the rare earth, major, and trace element
882 depositional chemistry of chert: Perspectives gained from the DSDP and ODP
883 record. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 56, 1897–1913.

884 Murray, R.W., 1994. Chemical criteria to identify the depositional environment of
885 chert: general principles and applications. *Sedimentary Geology*, 90, 213–232.

886 Nagarajan, R., Madhavaraju, J., Armstrong-Altrin, J.S., Nagendra, R., 2011.
887 Geochemistry of Neoproterozoic limestones of the Shahabad Formation, Bhima
888 Basin, Karnataka, southern India. *Geosciences Journal* 15, 9–25.

889 Nath, B.N., Roelandts, I., Sudhakar, M., Plueger, W.L., 1992. Rare Earth Element
890 patterns of the Central Indian Basin sediments related to their lithology.
891 *Geophysical Research Letters* 19, 1197–1200.

892 Nath, B.N., Bau, M., Ramalingeswara Rao, B., Rao, C. M., 1997. Trace and rare earth

893 elemental variation in Arabian Sea sediments through a transect across the oxygen
 894 minimum zone. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 61, 2375–2388.

895 Nothdurft, L.D., Webb, G.E., Kamber, B.S., 2004. Rare earth element geochemistry of
 896 Late Devonian reefal carbonates, Canning Basin, Western Australia: confirmation
 897 of a seawater REE proxy in ancient limestones. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica*
 898 *Acta* 68, 263–283.

899 Qiu, Z., Wang, Q.C., 2010. Middle and Upper Permian Sedimentary microfacies in
 900 the Tieqiao section (Laibin, Guangxi, China). *Acta Sedimentologica Sinica* 2010,
 901 28, 1020–1036.

902 Qiu, Z., Wang, Q.C., 2011. Geochemical evidence for submarine hydrothermal origin
 903 of the Middle–Upper Permian chert in Laibin of Guangxi. *Science China Earth*
 904 *Sciences* 54, 1011–1023.

905 Qiu, Z., Wang, Q.C., Yan, D.T., 2013. Geochemistry of the Middle to Late Permian
 906 limestones from the marginal zone of an isolated platform (Laibin, South China).
 907 *Science China Earth Sciences* 56, 1688–1700.

908 Ries, J.B., 2010. Review: geological and experimental evidence for secular variation
 909 in seawater Mg/Ca (calcite-aragonite seas) and its effects on marine biological
 910 calcification. *Biogeosciences* 7, 2795–2849.

911 Ruhlin, D.E., Owen, R.M., 1986. The rare earth element geochemistry of
 912 hydrothermal sediments from the East Pacific Rise: Examination of a seawater
 913 scavenging mechanism. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 50, 393–400.

914 Sakurai, R., Ito, M., Ueno, Y., Kitajima, K., Maruyama, S., 2005. Facies architecture

915 and sequence-stratigraphic features of the Tumbiana Formation in the Pilbara
 916 Craton, northwestern Australia: implications for depositional environments of
 917 oxygenic stromatolites during the Late Archean. *Precambrian Research* 138,
 918 255–273.

919 Schroder, S., Grotzinger, J.P., 2007. Evidence for anoxia at the Ediacaran–Cambrian
 920 boundary: the record of redox-sensitive trace elements and rare earth elements in
 921 Oman. *Journal of the Geological Society, London* 164, 175–187.

922 Sen, S., Mishra, M., 2015. Geochemistry of Rohtas limestone from Vindhyan
 923 Supergroup, central India: Evidences of detrital input from felsic source.
 924 *Geochemistry International* 53, 1107–1122.

925 Shields, G.A., Webb, G.E., 2004. Has the REE composition of seawater changed over
 926 geological time? *Chemical Geology* 204, 103–107.

927 Sholkovitz, E.R., Piepgras, D.J., Jacobsen, S.B., 1989. The pore water chemistry of
 928 rare elements Buzzard Bay sediments. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 53,
 929 2847–2856.

930 Siby, K., Nath, B.N., Ramaswamy, V., Naman, D., Gnaneshwar Rao, T. Kamesh Raju,
 931 K.A., Selvaraj, K., and Chen, C.T.A., 2008. Possible detrital, diagenetic and
 932 hydrothermal sources for Holocene sediments of the Andaman backarc basin.
 933 *Marine Geology* 247, 178–193.

934 Tang, H.S., Chen, Y.J., Wu, G., Yang, T., 2009. Rare earth element geochemistry of
 935 carbonates of Dashiqiao Formation, Liaohe Group, eastern Liaoning province:
 936 Implications for Lomagundi Event. *Acta Petrologica Sinica* 25, 3075–3093.

937 Tang, J., Zheng, Y.F., Wu, Y.B., Gong, B., 2006. Zircon SHRIMP U–Pb dating, C and
938 O isotopes for impure marbles from the Jiaobei terrane in the Sulu orogen:
939 Implication for tectonic affinity. *Precambrian Research* 144, 1–18.

940 Tarduno, J.A., McWilliams, M., Debiche, M.G., Sliter, W.V., Blake Jr., M.C., 1985.
941 Franciscan Complex Calera limestones: Accreted remnants of Farallon Plate
942 oceanic plateau. *Nature* 317, 345–347.

943 Taylor, S.R., McLennan, S.M., 1985. *The Continental Crust: Its Composition and*
944 *Evolution*. Blackwell, Cambridge, p. 312.

945 Tian, Y., Zhao, X.M., Wang, L.Z., Tu, B., Xie, G.G., Zeng, B.F., 2014. Geochemical
946 characteristics and its paleoenvironmental implication of Permian Qixia
947 Formation in Shizhu, Chongqing. *Acta Sedimentologica Sinica* 32, 1035–1045.

948 Tlig, S., M'Rabet, A., 1985. A comparative study of the Rare Earth Element (REE)
949 distributions within the lower Cretaceous dolomites and limestones of Central
950 Tunisia. *Sedimentology* 32, 897–907.

951 Toyoda, K., Nakamura, Y., Masuda, A., 1990. Rare earth elements of Pacific pelagic
952 sediments. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 54, 1093–1103.

953 Tsikos, H. Moore, J.S., Harris, C., 2001. Geochemistry of the Palaeoproterozoic
954 Mooidraai Formation: Fe-rich limestone as end member of iron formation
955 deposition, Kalahari Manganese Field, Transvaal Supergroup, South Africa.
956 *Journal of African Earth Sciences* 32, 19–27.

957 Van Kranendonk, M.J., Webb, G.E., Kamber, B.S., 2003. New geological and trace
958 element evidence from 3.45Ga stromatolitic carbonates in the Pilbara Craton:

959 support of a marine, biogenic origin and for a reducing Archaean ocean.
 960 *Geobiology* 1, 91–108.

961 Wang, C.S., Zhao, X.X., Liu, Z.F., Lippert, P.C., Graham, S.A., Coe, R.S., Yi, H.S.,
 962 Zhu, L.D., Liu, S., Li, Y.L., 2008. Constraints on the early uplift history of the
 963 Tibetan Plateau. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
 964 *States of America* 105, 4987–4992.

965 Wang, L., Wang, X.Q., Li, J., 1999. Qiabulin formation stratigraphy and analysis of
 966 tectonic setting in Xigaze, Tibet. *Geoscience* 13, 281–286.

967 Wang, Y.L., Liu, Y.G., Schmitt, R.A., 1986. Rare earth element geochemistry of south
 968 Atlantic deep sediments, Ce anomaly change at ~54 My. *Geochimica et*
 969 *Cosmochimica Acta* 50, 1337–1355.

970 Wani, H., Mondal, M.E.A., 2010. Petrological and geochemical evidence of the
 971 Paleoproterozoic and the Meso–Neoproterozoic sedimentary rocks of the Bastar
 972 craton, Indian Peninsula: Implications on paleoweathering and Proterozoic crustal
 973 evolution. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* 38, 220–232.

974 Webb, G.E., Kamber, B.S., 2000. Rare earth elements in Holocene reefal microbialites:
 975 a new shallow seawater proxy. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 64,
 976 1557–1565.

977 Wilson, J.L., 1975. *Carbonate Facies in Geologic History*. Springer–Verlag, p. 471.

978 Xia, B., Yuan, Y.J., Zhang, Y.Q., Wang, M., Xia, L.Z., Li, H., Wang, F.Y., Zhang, H.,
 979 Wu, W.P., 2012. Zircon U–Pb ages of marble from Shuanghe, Qianshan County
 980 and their geological significance. *Acta Geologica Sinica* 86, 619–625.

981 XZBGM (Xizang Bureau of Geology and Mineral Resources), 1993. Regional
 982 Geology of Xizang Autonomous Region, China. Beijing, Geological Publishing
 983 House, p. 707.

984 Zhang, K.J., 2000. Cretaceous paleogeography of Tibet and adjacent areas (China):
 985 Tectonic implications. *Cretaceous Research* 21, 23–33.

986 Zhang, K.J., 2004. Secular geochemical variations of the Lower Cretaceous
 987 siliciclastic rocks from central Tibet (China) indicate a tectonic transition from
 988 continental collision to back-arc rifting. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 229,
 989 73–89.

990 Zhang, K.J., Cai, J.X., 2009. NE-trending Hepu–Hetai dextral shear zone in southern
 991 China: Penetration of the Yunkai Promontory of South China into Indochina.
 992 *Journal of Structural Geology* 31, 737–748.

993 Zhang, K.J., Xia, B.D., Liang, X.W., 2002. Mesozoic–Paleogene sedimentary facies
 994 and paleogeography of Tibet, western China: Tectonic implications. *Geological*
 995 *Journal* 37, 217–246.

996 Zhang, K.J., Xia, B.D., Wang, G.M., Li, Y.T., Ye, H.F., 2004. Early Cretaceous
 997 stratigraphy, depositional environment, sandstone provenance, and tectonic setting
 998 of central Tibet, western China. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 116,
 999 1202–1222.

1000 Zhang, K.J., Cai, J.X., Zhang, Y.X., Zhao, T.P., 2006a. Eclogites from central
 1001 Qiangtang, northern Tibet (China) and tectonic implications. *Earth and Planetary*
 1002 *Science Letters* 245, 722–729.

- Zhang, K.J., Zhang, Y.X., Xia, B.D., He, Y.B., 2006b. Temporal variations of the Mesozoic sandstone composition in the Qiangtang block, northern Tibet (China): Implications for provenance and tectonic setting. *Journal of Sedimentary Research* 76, 1035–1048.
- Zhang, K.J., Zhang, Y.X., Li, B., Zhong, L.F., 2007. Nd isotopes of siliciclastic rocks from Tibet, western China: Constraints on the pre-Cenozoic tectonic evolution. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 256, 604–616.
- Zhang, K.J., Zhang, Y.X., Tang, X.C., Xia, B., 2012. Late Mesozoic tectonic evolution and growth of the Tibetan plateau prior to the Indo–Asian collision. *Earth-Science Reviews* 114, 236–249.
- Zhang, K.J., Xia, B., Zhang, Y.X., Liu, W.L., Zeng, L., Li, J.F., Xu, L.F., 2014. Central Tibetan Meso-Tethyan oceanic plateau. *Lithos* 210–211, 278–288.
- Zhao, H.W., Jones, B., 2013. Distribution and interpretation of rare earth elements and yttrium in Cenozoic dolostones and limestones on Cayman Brac, British West Indies. *Sedimentary Geology* 284–285, 26–38.
- Zhong, S., Mucci, A., 1995. Partitioning of rare earth elements (REEs) between calcite and seawater solutions at 25°C and 1 atm, and high dissolved REE concentrations. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 59, 443–453.

Figure and Table Captions

Fig. 1. (A) Schematic global plate tectonic map, reproduced from the General Bathymetric Chart of the Oceans world map 2014 (www.gebco.net), showing the

open oceanic sites referenced in this study. The characters in parentheses denote the references: H–Hu et al., 1988; L1–Liu et al., 1988; L2–Liu and Schmitt, 1984; L3–Liu and Schmitt, 1990; M–Michel et al., 1985. (B) Schematic tectonic map of Tibet, western China (after Zhang et al., 2012), showing the sampling sites in this study. Age abbreviations: *T*–Tertiary; *K2*–Late Cretaceous; *K1*–Early Cretaceous; *J2*–Middle Jurassic.

Fig. 2. Positive correlations of Al_2O_3 with Fe_2O_3 and MnO of the limestones deposited in open ocean environments of the Pacific, Atlantic, and Indian Oceans, central Tibetan Meso-Tethyan oceanic plateau and Tibetan inland and continental margin environments. Data plotted are listed in Table S1. For the sample locations, see Fig. 1a and b. Data sources: Pacific Ocean floor–Liu et al., 1988; Indian Ocean floor–Liu and Schmitt, 1990; Rgr–Hu et al., 1988; Stsk–Michel et al., 1985; Wlv–Liu and Schmitt, 1984; the others are from this study.

Fig. 3. REE +Y concentrations of limestones deposited in open ocean environments of the Pacific, Atlantic, and Indian Oceans and central Tibetan Meso-Tethyan oceanic plateau, normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Data plotted are listed in Table S1. For the sample locations, see Fig. 1a and b. Data sources: Pacific Ocean floor–Liu et al., 1988; Indian Ocean floor–Liu and Schmitt, 1990; Rio Grande Rise–Hu et al., 1988; Walvis Ridge–Liu and Schmitt, 1984; Shatsky Rise–Michel et al., 1985; Meso-Tethyan ocean plateau (GZ in Fig. 1b)–this

study.

Fig. 4. REE+Y concentrations of limestones deposited in continental margin environments, Tibet, western China, normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). For the sample locations, see Fig. 1b. Data plotted are listed in Table S1 and are from this study.

Fig. 5. REE +Y concentrations of limestones deposited in inland freshwater environment, Tibet, western China, normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). For the sample locations, see Fig. 1b. Data plotted are listed in Table S1 and are from this study.

Fig. 6. La vs. Al_2O_3 , Fe_2O_3 , MnO and P_2O_5 of limestones deposited in various depositional environments. Data plotted are listed in Table S1. For the sample locations, see Fig. 1a and b. Data sources: Pacific Ocean floor–Liu et al., 1988; Indian Ocean floor–Liu and Schmitt, 1990; Rgr–Hu et al., 1988; Stsk–Michel et al., 1985; Wlv–Liu and Schmitt, 1984; the others are from this study.

Fig. 7. Ce/Ce* vs. Al_2O_3 , Fe_2O_3 , MnO and P_2O_5 of limestones deposited in various depositional environments. Ce/Ce* is normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Data plotted are listed in Table S1. Data sources as in Fig. 6.

Fig. 8. $\Sigma\text{REE}/\text{Al}$ vs. Ce/Ce^* and Eu/Eu^* of limestones deposited in various depositional environments, normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Data plotted are listed in Table S1. Data sources as in Fig. 6.

Fig. 9. Ce/Ce^* vs. $(\text{La}/\text{Yb})_n$, $(\text{La}/\text{Sm})_n$, $(\text{Sm}/\text{Yb})_n$ and $(\text{La}/\text{Ce})_n$ of limestones deposited in various depositional environments, normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Data plotted are listed in Table S1. Data sources as in Fig. 6.

Fig. 10. Eu/Eu^* vs. Al_2O_3 , Fe_2O_3 , MnO and P_2O_5 of limestones deposited in various depositional environments, Eu/Eu^* is normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Data plotted are listed in Table S1. Data sources as in Fig. 6.

Fig. 11. Eu/Eu^* vs. Ce/Ce^* of limestones deposited in various depositional environments, normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Data plotted are listed in Table S1. Data sources as in Fig. 6.

Fig. 12. Al_2O_3 vs. $(\text{La}/\text{Sm})_n$, $(\text{Sm}/\text{Yb})_n$, and $(\text{La}/\text{Yb})_n$ of limestones deposited in various depositional environments, normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Data plotted are listed in Table S1. Data sources as in Fig. 6.

Fig. 13. Fe_2O_3 vs. $(\text{La}/\text{Sm})_n$, $(\text{Sm}/\text{Yb})_n$, and $(\text{La}/\text{Yb})_n$ of limestones deposited in various depositional environments, normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan,

1985). Data plotted are listed in Table S1. Data sources as in Fig. 6.

Fig. 14. MnO vs. (La/Sm)_n, (Sm/Yb)_n, and (La/Yb)_n of limestones deposited in various depositional environments, normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Data plotted are listed in Table S1. Data sources as in Fig. 6.

Fig. 15. Al₂O₃/(Al₂O₃+ Fe₂O₃) vs. (La/Ce)_n of limestones deposited in various depositional environments, normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Data plotted are listed in Table S1. Data sources as in Fig. 6.

Fig. 16. (La/Ce)_n vs. (La/Sm)_n, (Sm/Yb)_n, and (La/Yb)_n of limestones deposited in various depositional environments, normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Data plotted are listed in Table S1. Data sources as in Fig. 6.

Fig. 17. (La/Yb)_n vs. (La/Sm)_n vs. (Sm/Yb)_n of limestones deposited in various depositional environments, normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Data plotted are listed in Table S1. Data sources as in Fig. 6.

Fig. 18. ΣREE/Al vs. (La/Sm)_n, (Sm/Yb)_n, and (La/Yb)_n of limestones deposited in various depositional environments, normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985). Data plotted are listed in Table S1. Data sources as in Fig. 6.

Fig. 19. Rb–Sr–Ba triangular diagram of limestones deposited in various depositional environments. Data plotted are listed in Table S1. Data sources as in Fig. 6.

Fig. 20. Zr/Ti vs. La/Sc of Tibetan limestones deposited in inland freshwater basin and continental margin environments. Data plotted are listed in Table S1 and are from this study.

Fig. 21. Sr/Ba vs. Sr/Rb diagram of Tibetan limestones deposited in inland freshwater basin and continental margin environments. Data plotted are listed in Table S1 and are from this study.

Fig. 22. Tests of geochemical proxies for the plate tectonic settings in which the limestones were deposited. (a) is from Fig. 16a, (b) from Fig. 9c, (c) from Fig. 20 and (d) from Fig. 21. The numbers in these diagrams represent the same serial numbers of literature limestone sites as listed in Table 2; Nos. 1–6, active continental margin; Nos. 7–23, passive continental margin; Nos. 24–26, open ocean; Nos. 27–29, inland; No. 30—under debate; Nos. 31–36, dolostone; Nos. 37–39, marble; Nos. 1–7, 9, 14, 18, 20, 22, and 30 contain impure limestones (non-CaCO₃ >10 wt.%). See Table 2 for the details about the age, tectonic setting and data sources of these limestone suites. The yellow and white areas in (d) denote the impure and pure limestones, respectively.

1135 **Table 1.** Plate tectonic classification of basins in which limestones deposited.

1136

1137 **Table 2.** Tectonic setting classification of various limestone suites for test of
1138 geochemical proxies.

1139

1140 **Table 3.** Average geochemical compositions of limestones deposited in various
1141 tectonic environments.

1142

1143

1144

1145

1146

1147

1148

1149

1150

1151

1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

open ocean

floors X Pacific + Indian

highs ⚙ GZ ◇ Wlv ○ Stsk ▷ Rgr

inland ▷ D1030 ■ WLB

margins

backarc ★ WGZ forearc ● QB

foreland X PO1 passive + GB

1157

1158

1159

1160

1161

1162

1163

1164

1165

1166

1167

1168

1169

1170

1171

1172

1173

1174

open ocean

floors × Pacific + Indian

highs ⚙ GZ ◇ Wlv ○ Stsk △ Rgr

margins

backarc ★ WGZ *forearc* ● QB

foreland × PO1 *passive* + GB

inland △ D1030 □ WLB

open ocean

floors X Pacific + Indian

highs * GZ ◇ Wlv ○ Stsk △ Rgr

margins

backarc ★ WGZ *forearc* ● QB

foreland X PO1 *passive* + GB

inland △ D1030 □ WLB

1177

1178

1179

1180

1181

1182

1183

1184

1185

Table 1 Plate tectonic classification of basins for limestone

Tectonic setting	Dominant depositional basin	Nature of crust	Characteristics of environment	Source of trace elements	Studied basin	Reference
<i>Continental margin</i>						
Unilaterally-confined coastal basin/passive continental margin	peri-cratonic depocenters	normal continental crust	extensional, pericratonic, stable	terrigenous clasts, seawater adsorption, hydrothermal plume	Late Cretaceous Himalaya (Dingri, GB in Fig.1b)	Liu and Einsele (1994)
	back-arc (rift) basin	extended continental crust	extensional, close to volcanic arc		Mid-Cretaceous Lhasa block (Cuoqin, WGZ in Fig.1b)	Zhang et al. (2004)
	fore-arc basin	transitional crust	extensional, confined by volcanic arc and subduction zone		Cretaceous Gangdese fore-arc (Xigaze, QB in Fig.1b)	Dürr (1996); Wang et al. (1999)
	peripheral or retroarc foreland basin	thickened continental crust	contractional, close to mountain belt		Jurassic Qiangtang block (Yanshiping, PO1 in Fig.1b)	Leeder et al. (1988); Zhang et al. (2006b)
<i>Open ocean</i>						
Oceanic floor	oceanic floor above carbonate compensation depth	normal oceanic crust	stable	particulates from hydrothermal plume, seawater adsorption	Indian Ocean/ Pacific Ocean (Fig.1a)	Liu and Schmitt (1984, 1990), Michel et al. (1985), Wang et al. (1986), Liu et al. (1988)
Oceanic high	oceanic island, oceanic plateau, aseismic ridge	thickened oceanic crust	patch reefs, liable to oceanic plateau volcanism		Mid-Cretaceous Mesotethys oceanic plateau (Gaize, GZ in Fig.1b); Walvis Ridge (Wlv in Fig.1a) and Rio Grande Rise (Rgr in Fig.1a) of South Atlantic Ocean; Shatsky Rise of Pacific Ocean (Stsk in Fig.1a)	Zhang et al. (2014), Michel et al. (1985), Hu et al. (1988), Liu and Schmitt (1984)
<i>Land</i>						
Continental interior	inland lake	extended continental crust	enclosed, contractional	terrigenous clasts, freshwater adsorption	Tertiary (Wuli, WLB in Fig.1b) and Early Cretaceous (Baishi, P1030 in Fig.1b) Songpan-Ganzi terrane	Leeder et al. (1988); XZBGM (1993); Wang et al. (2008)

Table 2. Tectonic setting classification of various limestone suites for test of geochemical proxies

No.	Tectonic setting	Age	Reference
<i>Active continental margin</i>			
1 ^a	Ft. Hays Limestone, Colorado, USA	Late Cretaceous	Cullers, 2002
2 ^a	limestone, Ruteh Formation, Kanigorgeh, NW Iran	Late Permian	Abedini and Calagari, 2015
3 ^a	Rohtas Limestone, Semri Group, Son Valley, Central India	Early Mesoproterozoic	Sen and Mishra, 2015
4 ^{a, b}	Ukhrul Limestone of Assam-Arakan Basin, Manipur, NE India	Late Cretaceous to Eocene	Devi and Duarah, 2015
5 ^a	Alisitos Formation, Baja California, Mexico	Early Cretaceous	Madhavaraju et al., 2016
6 ^a	Mural Formation, Bisbee Group, Northern Sonora, Mexico	Aptian–Ibrian	Madhavaraju et al., 2010
<i>Passive continental margin</i>			
7 ^a	Transvaal Supergroup, South Africa	Early Proterozoic	Klein and Beukes, 1989
8	Changxing Formation, Yangtze Craton, southern China	Early Triassic (~240 Ma)	Liu et al., 1988
9 ^a	Shahabad Formation, Bhima Basin, Karnataka, southern India	Neoproterozoic	Nagarajan et al., 2011
10	Galicja Margin, North Atlantic	Cenozoic–Upper Jurassic	Liu et al., 1988
11	Great Barrier Reef, Australia	Holocene	Webb and Kamber, 2000
12	Stromatolitic carbonates, Campbellrand platform, South Africa	Late Archean (~2.52 Ga)	Kamber and Webb, 2001
13	Orbata–Serdj Formations limestones, Central Tunisia	Early Cretaceous	Tlig and M'Rabet, 1985
14 ^b	limestone, Khashm Al-Raqaba area, El-Galala El-Qibliya, Egypt	Eocene	El Hefnawi et al., 2010
15	Krol and Bilara limestones, NW India	Late Neoproterozoic	Mazumdar et al., 2003
16	Microbialite, Demirtas, Turkey	Permian–Triassic transition	Loope et al., 2013
17	Microbialite, Cili, south China	Permian–Triassic transition	Loope et al., 2013
18 ^a	Kudankulam limestones, southern India	Late Miocene	Armstrong-Altrin et al., 2003
19	Reefal carbonates, Lennard Shelf, Canning Basin, Western Australia	Late Devonian	Nothdurft et al., 2004
20 ^a	Mooidraai Formation Fe-rich limestone, Kalahari Manganese Field, Transvaal Supergroup, South Africa	Palaeoproterozoic	Tsikos et al., 2001
21	Stromatolitic carbonates, Pilbara Craton, Australia	Archean (~3.45 Ga)	Van Kranendonk et al., 2003
22 ^a	Yinkeng, Helongshan and Nanlinghu Formations, Chaohu, southern China	Latest Permian–Lower Triassic	Chen et al., 2015
23	Qixia Formation, Shizhu, Chongqing, southern China	Permian	Tian et al., 2014
<i>Open ocean</i>			
24	Cayman Brac	Cenozoic	Zhao and Jones, 2013
25	Cismon limestone/marlstone couplets, Venetian, northern Italy	Albian–Cenomanian	Bellanca et al., 1997
26	Laytonville Limestone, central Franciscan Melange, western USA	Late Cretaceous (~95 My)	Liu et al., 1988
<i>Inland</i>			
27	Fortescue Group, Pilbara Craton, western Australia	Neoarchean (2.78–2.63 Ga)	Bolhar and Van Kranendonk, 2007
28	Stromatolitic carbonate from the lacustrine Green River Formation, western United States	Eocene	Bolhar and Van Kranendonk, 2007
29	Lacustrine Kebar Formation, Central Tunisia	Early Cretaceous	Tlig and M'Rabet, 1985
<i>Under debate</i>			
30 ^a	Limestone, Tieqiao section, Laibin, Guangxi province, south China	Middle to Late Permian	Qiu et al., 2013
<i>Dolostone</i>			
31	Orbata–Serdj Formations limestones, Central Tunisia (passive margin)	Early Cretaceous	Tlig and M'Rabet, 1985
32	Orbata–Serdj Formations limestones, Central Tunisia (inland)	Early Cretaceous	Tlig and M'Rabet, 1985
33	Transect from well BB-3 through well MM NW-7 to well ALNR-1, Oman (passive margin)	Ediacaran–Cambrian boundary	Schroder and Grotzinger, 2007
34	Cili, south China (passive margin)	Permian–Triassic transition	Loope et al., 2013

35	Semri Group, Son Valley, Central India (active margin)	Early Mesoproterozoic	Sen and Mishra, 2015
36	Dashiqiao Formation, Liaohe Group, eastern Liaoning province,north China (active margin)	Early Proterozoic (~2.2 Ga)	Tang et al., 2009
<hr/>			
	<i>Marble</i>		
37	Marble, Hapschan Series, eastern Anabar Shield, Siberia (passive margin)	Early Proterozoic (~2.4 Ga)	Condie et al., 1991
38	Impure marbles, Jiaobei terrane, Sulu UHP orogen, China (passive margin)	Middle Neoproterozoic (~786 Ma)	Tang et al., 2006
39	Impure marbles, Shuanghe, Dabie UHP orogen, China (passive margin)	Paleozoic	Xia et al., 2012

Notes : a, impure limestones (non-CaCO₃ >10 wt.%) are included; b, there are no data of rare-earth elements.

Table 3. Average geochemical compositions of limestones deposited in various tectonic environments.

	open ocean		passive margins		active margins		margins		marine		Inland		all	
	average	standard	average	standard	average	standard	average	standard	average	standard	average	standard	average	standard
	deviation		d		deviation		deviation		deviation		deviation		deviation	
	n=174		n=135		n=102		n=237		n=411		n=16		n=427	
	wt. %													
Al ₂ O ₃	0.47	0.55	0.44	0.61	0.81	0.89	0.60	0.77	0.54	0.69	0.89	0.34	0.56	0.68
Fe ₂ O ₃	0.26	0.29	0.46	0.74	0.51	0.37	0.48	0.61	0.39	0.51	0.41	0.54	0.39	0.51
MnO	0.04	0.04			0.09	0.09					0.03	0.02		
	ppm													
Cr	5.99	7.99			9.60	7.81					9.06	5		
Sc	1.67	1.52			1.89	8.84					1.46	0.57		
La	12.47	10.72	3.63	4.60	3.69	3.49	3.66	4.15	7.34	8.76	3.48	2.38	7.19	8.64
Ce	5.73	5.72	6.16	8.87	6.68	6.97	6.38	8.09	6.11	7.20	6.68	4.65	6.13	7.12
Nd	12.07	11.75	3.18	3.96	3.47	4.07	3.30	4.00	6.96	9.24	2.03	1.85	6.78	9.12
Sm	2.40	2.43	0.65	0.74	0.67	0.65	0.66	0.70	1.39	1.86	0.44	0.41	1.35	1.84
Eu	0.61	0.64	0.14	0.17	0.17	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.34	0.49	0.30	0.25	0.34	0.48
Tb	0.41	0.42	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.23	0.32	0.07	0.07	0.22	0.32
Yb	1.37	1.39	0.26	0.22	0.31	0.27	0.28	0.24	0.74	1.06	0.21	0.14	0.72	1.05
Lu	0.18	0.18	0.04	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.14	0.03	0.02	0.10	0.14
Normalized to PAAS (Taylor and McLennan, 1985)														
Eu/Eu*	1.19	0.47	1.01	0.20	1.27	0.47	1.12	0.37	1.15	0.41	3.91	3.74	1.25	0.97
Ce/Ce*	0.32	0.25	0.76	0.16	0.86	0.23	0.80	0.20	0.60	0.32	1.09	0.18	0.62	0.33
[La/Ce] _n	6.07	5.06	1.42	0.41	1.21	0.25	1.33	0.36	3.34	4.05	1.09	0.04	3.25	4.00
[La/Sm] _n	0.85	0.22	0.86	0.20	0.84	0.36	0.85	0.28	0.85	0.26	1.26	0.46	0.87	0.28
[Sm/Yb] _n	0.89	0.20	1.12	0.59	1.04	0.41	1.08	0.52	1.00	0.43	1.03	0.24	1.00	0.42
[La/Yb] _n	0.77	0.34	0.95	0.65	0.88	0.45	0.92	0.57	0.86	0.49	1.25	0.43	0.87	0.49