1 **Roots, Rock, and Regolith: Biomechanical and Biochemical Weathering by Trees**

2 **and its Impact on Hillslopes – A Critical Literature Review**

3 Łukasz Pawlik^{1*}, Jonathan D. Phillips², Pavel Šamonil³

4 ¹ Institute of Geography, Pedagogical University of Cracow, ul. Podchorążych 2, 30-084 Kraków, Poland,

5 lpawlik@up.krakow.pl

6 ² Tobacco Road Research Team, Department of Geography, University of Kentucky, Lexington, KY 40506-0027,

7 U.S.A., jdp@uky.edu

8 ³ Department of Forest Ecology, The Silva Tarouca Research Institute for Landscape and Ornamental Gardening,

9 Lidická 25/27, 602 00 Brno, Czech Republic, pavel.samonil@vukoz.cz

10 * Corresponding author

11

12 **Abstract:** The role of trees and forests as a critical component of the biosphere and critical zone, and of the

13 Earth system more generally, is widely appreciated. Less known and acknowledged are the

14 geomorphological functions of tree roots, though their importance has been widely referred to in soil

15 studies, paleopedology and palaeobotany. Tree roots and their impact on weathering processes and soil

16 production were incorporated in the *Devonian plant hypothesis* and tree root casts served as a key

17 evidence of recognition of past soils in geology, sedimentology and palaeopedology. However, knowledge

18 of biomechanical and biochemical weathering induced by vascular plant roots (mainly trees) has been

19 rarely utilized in geomorphic studies. Biogeomorphic and pedologic studies in recent decades have

20 highlighted the importance of tree uprooting, in which roots play a primary role, in soil development,

21 regolith disturbance and bedrock mining. Other important functions of roots were also recognized, e.g.:

22 soil displacement by growing roots, infilling of stump holes and root cavities, root groove development,

direct and indirect effects taking place in the rhizosphere and mycorrhizosphere (mainly biochemical weathering of minerals, support by microbial communities and symbiotic fungi), and changes in porosity, permeability and hydrology of soils in the root zone. However, further studies are urgently needed because many aspects of biochemical and biomechanical weathering are not well understood. This is especially true with respect to taxa-specific impacts. Variations in root architectures, edaphic settings, ecological relationships, and geographic ranges result in substantially different biogeomorphic impacts of different tree species. Additionally, the same species in different environmental settings may have different effects.

Key words: tree roots, biomechanical weathering, biochemical weathering, tree uprooting, ecosystem engineering

43	1. Introduction
44	2. Early views on the role of plants in geomorphic and soil processes
45	3. Biology of tree roots
46	3.1. Functions of tree roots
47	3.2. Types of tree roots and root system dynamics
48	3.3. Rhizosphere – a niche of high microbial activity
49	3.4. Mycorrhizosphere and mycorrhizal “rock-eating” fungi
50	4. Biological weathering
51	4.1. Biomechanical weathering
52	4.1.1. Bedrock mining
53	4.1.2. Bedrock fracturing and wedging
54	4.2. Biochemical weathering
55	4.2.1. Indirect effects on biochemical weathering
56	4.3. Other effects: mass displacement, stump infilling
57	5. Tree roots as ecosystem engineering
58	6. Tree roots and global environmental changes
59	6.1. Early evolution of terrestrial plant roots
60	6.2. The rise of trees and global environmental changes
61	6.3. Root-bedrock interactions and landscape evolution
62	7. Biodeterioration – invasive roots and damage to infrastructure and buildings
63	8. Conceptual model of tree roots interactions with rocks and regolith
64	9. Conclusions, knowledge gaps and future studies
65	Acknowledgements
66	References

68 **1. Introduction**

69 Trees interact with their environment in complex ways, and this phenomenon has been a
70 subject of interest for more than a century. While much of this work was motivated by interests in
71 silviculture, agriculture, and forest ecology, we focus here on Earth science perspectives. As
72 early as the late 19th century the attention of forest soil scientists and geologists was focused on
73 their most hidden part – tree roots. It was hypothesized that roots “(...) *act substantially like*
74 *subsoil plows*” (Shaler, 1892; p. 271). After a century of research Earth scientists concluded, for
75 instance, that *root growth and animal burrowing disrupt bedrock that is weathered but*
76 *structurally intact, creating a loose material free to move downslope.*” (Dietrich and Perron,
77 2006; p. 412). Both statements are important and address the same issue but from different points
78 of view: 1) soil or regolith and 2) hillslope evolution. Soil geomorphology and
79 biogeomorphology made an attempt to combine these points of view while focusing on scale
80 differences of phenomena involved in the process of soil/regolith and landscape evolution. For
81 instance, soil mixing and various forms of disrupted horizonation are common features observed
82 in soils, described for instance in the Charles Darwin’s last, ‘worm’ book (Darwin, 1881; Johnson
83 and Schaetzl, 2015) and early forest soil reports (e.g. Lutz and Griswold, 1939). From the
84 hillslope perspective, disturbances induced by trees through their root systems, often contribute to
85 downslope movement of weathering products and soil material and thus act as factors of hillslope
86 morphodynamics. These are common issues in soil and geomorphic studies but they are
87 frequently omitted in biological analysis (Pregitzer, 2008; Hodge et al., 2009).

88 In this context we ask whether the present state of geomorphic knowledge allows us to
89 formulate any clear statement about weathering induced by growing tree roots. Yatsu’s (1988)

treatise on weathering doubted the process is possible at all (see also Pawlik, 2013). This paper seeks to identify the effects of tree roots on rock weathering, and assess their general importance at the global scale. If the process of the physical and chemical weathering induced by tree roots is significant it should have far reaching consequences for geomorphic systems (e.g. modulating sediment flux and balance). Because interactions between biotic and abiotic environmental factors are increasingly incorporated in geologic and geomorphic studies the answer to the abovementioned question should be a valuable contribution to such disciplines as biogeomorphology (Viles, 1988; Viles et al., 2008; Corenblit et al., 2011), ecogeomorphology (Hupp and Osterkamp, 2013), geoecology (Trofimov and Kurilenko, 2015) and geobiology (Brantley et al., 2011).

Additionally, past views on trees and their role in environmental evolution and functioning are highly contrasting and can be a source of unclear messages (e.g. Yatsu, 1988). First, we have to distinguish a variety of disciplines that study or incorporate into their own analysis knowledge on the roles, functioning and physical, chemical and biological effects of roots. Equally important is the scale of analyses and the level of generalization of the key functions of tree roots.

Geomorphologists and engineers have generally viewed trees and their root systems as a factor of hillslope and river bank stabilization, with retarding effects on erosion and mass wasting processes (e.g., Gilbert, 1880; Jahn, 1989; O’Loughlin, 2005; Steinacher et al., 2009; Buma and Johnson, 2015). However, if we take into account another biomechanical process – tree uprooting – the problem becomes even more complex (Schäetzel et al., 1989a, b; Phillips et al., 2015; Šamonil et al., 2010; Finke et al., 2013; Pawlik, 2013; Pawlik et al., 2013, 2016). Recently some authors point to a dualistic function of trees after taking into account their long-lasting effect on soils during their undisturbed growing and sudden biotransport of material and disruption of regolith during uprooting (Almond et al., 2008; Hughes et al., 2009). The geomorphic efficacy of

uprooting is closely related to root properties, and this factor has been raised to the rank of a phenomenon modulating the evolution of landscapes (Gabet and Mudd, 2010; Roering et al., 2010). Uprooting, an example of proisotropic pedoturbation, can cause soil transport, biomechanical weathering and both upbuilding or reduction of soil thickness and is considered a predominantly regressive pathway of soil development (Johnson, Watson-Stegner, 1987; Johnson et al., 1987; Amundson et al., 2015).

In this paper we try to focus on a fundamental function of trees – rock weathering by expanding tree root systems (Johnson, 1993). We will review literature about tree roots acting as a biogeomorphological force driving processes within the boundary zone between regolith and bedrock, a part of the Critical Zone (Amundson et al., 2007; Lin, 2010; Brantley et al., 2011). Additionally, we will explore tree roots/bedrock/regolith interactions and their mutual dependences.

2. Early views on the role of plants in geomorphic and soil processes

In the history of geomorphological research trees have been analyzed in a variety of environmental contexts and spatio-temporal scales. Traditionally, forest communities are considered as one of the vascular plant formations that effectively minimize erosional processes and support hillslope and river bank stability over short and long time periods. They also effectively contribute to soil strength, reducing probability of shallow landsliding (O'Loughlin, 2005; Marston, 2010).

However, from the beginning of geological and geomorphological studies researchers pointed to more than one critical function of plants. For instance, Gilbert (1880, p. 95) observed that “*Plants often pry apart rocks by the growth of their roots (...)*” but also that „*(...) the general*

effect of vegetation is to retard erosion (...)” (p. 99). This observation was supported by Merrill (1906) who agreed that “both plants and animals aid to some extent in the work of rock disintegration” (p. 180). The author noticed that “roots, (...), serve to enlarge the rifts (...)” and this process facilitates access of rain water, leading to farther rock deterioration (p. 180).

Proof of early attention to apparent effects of roots on bedrock weathering can be found in impressive descriptions and drawings presenting tree roots physically disrupting places they occupied. For instance, Stephens (1843) described an elm tree growing on an ancient wall where “(...) fibres crept into cracks and crevices, and became shoots and branches, which, as the trunk rose, in struggling to rise with it, unsettled and overturned the wall, and still grew, carrying up large stones fast locked in their embraces, (...)” (p. 392-395) (Fig. 1).

A far more detailed early theory of soil production by root activity was given by geologist Nathaniel Shaler (1892) in his influential “*The origin and nature of soils*”. He found the following root-rock interactions (Fig. 2):

1. during their growth roots pushes the soil outward and may ‘*exercise a powerful wedging action*’;
2. many generations of large roots of forest trees and the movement during their growth may ‘*grind the particles of soil against each other*’;
3. roots penetrate crevices and grow in them, disrupting the rock mass;
4. ‘*small roots penetrate fissures and break up the decayed portion of the mass*’ and this process promotes chemical weathering;
5. initial roots ‘*break up the rock and open its structure*’, leaving easier access for the next roots.

A similar view of root growth processes and influence on soils was recognized by Shaler’s student, William Morris Davis, who hypothesized that trees can contribute to soil creep (Davis,

1899; see also King and Schumm, 1980) (Fig. 3). The idea was reiterated recently, but based on the additional assumption that growing tree roots push the soil upward, so that after root decay the mass is displaced downslope (Gabet et al., 2003; Field and Little, 2009). Together with other biologically induced diffusive hillslope processes (e.g. tree uprooting, animal burrowing) it has been included in a concept of biogenic creep (Lehre, 1987; Wilkinson et al., 2009) and biological saltation (Biot, 1966). Hypothetically, due to multiple tree uprooting events (repeated soil turnover) soil transport (creep-like soil material movement) might have appeared even on completely flat surfaces (!) (see Gabet et al., 2003). Additionally, the direction of tree uprooting events in relation to topography can even cause upslope soil movement (Šamonil et al., 2016).

3. Biology of tree roots

3.1. Functions of tree roots

Considering biomechanical effects of tree roots and their geomorphic significance, we first have to see them from biological perspective. *“Trees, like all plants growing in the wild, must solve a host of problems using their root systems”* (Pregitzer, 2008), and this fundamental issue has important consequences for soil and regolith properties. Trees belong to phanerophyt plant life-form (Raunkiær, 1934) and thus their bodies are adapted to face extreme meteorological conditions. They need to withstand periods of drought as well as very low temperatures, competition with other tree species, injuries from animals, other falling trees, lightning, etc. There is no doubt root systems play a major role in overcoming these stress factors and/or recovery after a disturbance. Along with this general function root systems (Kramer and Boyer, 1995; Little and Field, 2003; Pregitzer, 2008; Hodge et al., 2009):

1. anchor trees to a substrate (although roots of many tree species do not develop stabilizing reaction wood and thick walls of latewood unless exposed to light, Fayle, 1976; Schweingruber, 2007)
2. store nonstructural carbohydrates (simple sugars, starch and fructans) and use them as a source of the energy;
3. transport the soil solution both up and down (water solution can move in any direction in the plant-soil continuum through a process called hydraulic redistribution, which means the passive conduits of roots and stems move water towards the lowest water potential, Nadezhdina et al., 2010), and transport water and other compounds;
4. fix atmospheric nitrogen, together with their associated symbiotic bacteria and fungi, (nitrogen-fixing and rhizobial bacteria) an essential nutrients required for growth.

Thanks to the firm anchorage trees can contribute the functions 2-4 (above). And this ‘system’ makes “*plants geochemical pumps that remove bio-essential elements from the soil solution...*” (Anderson et al., 2007; p. 327). Nutrients and other elements are protected against faster removal from the soil and regolith in humid regions by their pumping and cycling in active root zone (Lucas, 2001), which in some cases can even cause changes in mineral composition of soil (Lucas et al., 1993). If deepening of gradually leached soil horizons, such as eluvial podzolic horizons, cross the depth of active tree roots, the following pedogenesis can hardly be reversible (e.g. Šamonil et al., 2016). Although upwards direction of solution is prevailing in plant-soil continuum, all directions of solution movement are possible (Nadezhdina et al., 2010). This function is genetically controlled but it is also modulated by water and nutrient availability, as well as substrate and soil properties. Simply speaking: external morphology of roots is related to their environment (Zwieniecki and Newton, 1995).

3.2. Types of tree roots and root system dynamics

Roots are multicellular organs characterized by gravitropic response, endogenous branching root hairs and a protective root cap (Kenrick and Strullu-Derrien, 2014). Due to gravitropism (geotropism) roots grow downward and anchor to the soil, regolith and/or bedrock, providing stability essential for further growth. Some trees develop quickly growing taproots that may reach depths of 10-30 m (Stone and Kalisz, 1991). When stable, plants through root systems can further control secular soil production and processes. Anchoring to a substrate is a domain of woody tree roots (Fig. 4). Non-woody roots are short-lived (up to a year) and are responsible for nutrient and water uptake. In a broader context three main categories of roots can be distinguished (Hodge et al., 2009):

1. primary roots which can be a starting point for a single-axis root system or taproot system, with dominant vertical growth due to gravitropism (Fig. 4);
2. nodal (or adventitious) roots differentiate from organs other than roots (e.g. rhizomes, stems *etc.*, for instance the Banyan tree *Ficus benghalensis* with 3300 aerial roots, Kolkata, India);
3. lateral roots which originate from the branching of a parent axis.

Root hairs play a key role in nutrient uptake because they increase the total root surface area and shorten the distance that ions and water must cover to reach root surfaces. Transport is facilitated by the fact that root hairs can penetrate small pores ($>5\text{-}20\text{ }\mu\text{m}$), not available for roots, and also because they develop in a great number from 20 to 500 cm^{-2} of root surface on roots of trees (Kramer and Boyer, 1995).

Traditional views of Earth scientists on root system dynamics were mainly through a prism of observed microscale morphological changes, past or present, for instance: forms of root plates of fallen trees, tree throw mounds, stone rings in places of completely decomposed tree stumps

(Phillips and Marion, 2006), and, when fossilized, ‘tree root casts’ (Mossa and Schumacher, 1993). However, this evidence was also frequently neglected or, if documented, wrongly interpreted as for example an effect of karst or frost processes (e.g. Embleton-Hamann, 2004). Obviously, the study of the root system development and its consequences on the above and belowground ecosystems is a natural subject of analysis for biologists and this knowledge is a prerequisite for understanding trees influence on geomorphic systems. Biologists refer to root system dynamics as changes in the main properties of the root system architecture (shape and structure) but also anatomical differentiation of roots on the level of individual cells. Hence root system dynamics may include (Hodge et al., 2009):

1. development of new main axes (primary or adventitious)
2. branching – the development of lateral and adventitious roots that represent adaptive response for resource availability or the need to secure anchorage (Atkinson et al., 2014)
3. axial growth – involves two properties of a root, its length and trajectory, which contributes to the dynamic colonization of new soil zones. It occurs from the distal end (root tip) by cell division resulting in root elongation. The root axial growth and its trajectory is forced by a sort of tropism (e.g. gravitropism, hydrotropism, P tropisms *etc.*), and it is believed that the root cap plays a major role in this phenomenon by sensing different stimulants. Another interesting property of axial growth is return of root tips to their original direction of growth after passing around obstacles, a behavior known as exotropism (Kramer and Boyer, 1995).
4. radial growth – can be responsible for increased axial transport properties (particularly axial hydraulic conductivity), increased mechanical strength and anchorage, storage capacity and protection against predation, drought or pathogens.

5. root senescence and decay – root mortality and turnover are important processes in the development and function of root systems, particularly in perennial plants. Replacement of decaying roots by more efficient new ones (i.e. root turnover) may account for c. 30% of global terrestrial net primary production (Kramer and Boyer, 1995; Jackson et al., 1997; Hodge et al., 2009).

Root system dynamics is also greatly influenced by channels left by decayed old roots (Stone and Kalisz, 1991; Phillips and Marion, 2005). Using these channels new roots enter the soil which physical properties, mainly bulk density and water permeability, due to the past development of tree roots, are significantly improved. Another positive feedback can be created by earthworm activity. Earthworms form channels that provide pathways for root growth, and, as in the case of root channels, they improve aeration and increase the infiltration of water. These two positive feedbacks contradict the opinion that tree roots can be uniformly distributed (Kramer and Boyer, 1995).

External processes which act upon a tree can also stimulate changes in root anatomy and structure, or influence development of new roots. Geomorphic processes play a special role here and two such cases include: 1) burial of tree stems by, for instance, flood or aeolian sediments or debris flow material (Sigafos, 1964; Alestalo, 1971; Strunk, 1997), and 2) exposure of tree roots by e.g. erosion or shallow landsliding (Gärtner, 2007). In the first instance adventitious roots develop directly from buried tree stem. They can appear in several sequences (layers), one above another, indicating subsequent burial episodes. When the burial is sufficiently deep the original root system die and their functions are replaced by secondary adventitious roots (Alestalo, 1979, p. 45). Because development of adventitious roots after burial can be immediate (in spruces) or delayed up to 5 years (other species) their identification is a well-established dating tool in dendrogeomorphology (Gärtner and Heinrich, 2013; Stoffel et al., 2013). Exposed

tree roots are also frequently used as dating technique because during gradual exposition anatomical changes occur and are similar to those recorded in stems or branches and they are easily detected (Hitz et al., 2008; Stoffel et al., 2013; Wrońska-Walach, 2014). In both cases roots and/or their structural and anatomical changes serve as very precise geoindicators (Schroder, 2010).

3.3. Rhizosphere – a niche of high microbial activity

When analyzing root system dynamics we cannot omit the rhizosphere (from Greek ‘rhizo’ meaning ‘root’ and Greek ‘sphaira’; the term defined by Lorenz Hiltner in 1904 (Hartmann et al., 2008)), the space, a niche of high microbial activity, cylindrical in shape, around actively growing roots which contains the volume of soil that is influenced by root activity (Yatsu, 1988; Gobran et al., 1998; Little et al., 2004; Gregory, 2006; Amundson et al., 2007; Hodge et al., 2009; Lambers et al., 2009; Sokolova, 2011, 2015; McNear Jr., 2013). The rhizosphere supports microorganisms’ growth (e.g. 10^9 bacteria population in one gram of rhizosphere soil; Yatsu (1988)) and thus stimulates biochemical processes in the root zone (Kramer and Boyer, 1995; Little and Field, 2003). This narrow space is thought to extend up to >10-20 mm from the root surface and it is generally limited to interactions from 1) mm scale of microbial populations and immobile nutrients to 2) tens of mm scale for volatile compounds and gases released from roots (Gregory, 2006, 2010; Sokolova, 2011).

Rhizospheric processes include (Gregory, 2006):

1. rhizodeposition – C compounds released from living roots into the soil (Lambers et al., 2009), e.g. exudation of low molecular weight (LMW) organic acids and enzymes which

influence the release of nutrients; and release of gases, e.g. CO₂ (Gobran et al., 1998; see Yatsu, 1988, p. 368, for a complete list of organic compounds in root exudates);

2. enhanced chemical weathering by LMW organic acids.

Probably the first exhaustive description of biochemical interactions taking place in the rhizosphere in an Earth science textbook was by Yatsu (1988). Before, as he noted, geology and geomorphology books rarely referred to the importance of rhizospheric processes in weathering. Since Yatsu (1988) scientists have described in detail rhizosphere effects on the mineral stability and alteration, soil solution composition and nutrients availability, soil formation processes (pedogenesis) and the biogeochemistry of elements that could be either beneficial or toxic to themselves or other soil biota (Hinsinger et al., 2006; Collignon et al., 2011; McGahan et al., 2014; Rahimzadeh et al., 2015). Lambers et al. (2009) considered rhizosphere processes in the long run of evolutionary perspective to be a central to biogeochemical cycles, soil formation and Earth history.

3.4. Mycorrhizosphere and mycorrhizal “rock-eating” fungi

Mycorrhiza is a sort of symbiosis between plant roots and fungi (also ‘*fungus-root*’, from Greek ‘*myco*’ meaning ‘*fungi*’ and ‘*Rhiza*’ meaning ‘*root*’ (Alizadeh, 2011)), and is based on a network of fungal hyphae penetrating the surrounding soil, regolith and bedrock but also extending into the host plant in a form growing into intercellular spaces (ectomycorrhizal) or directly entering to the root cortex and cells (endomycorrhiza) (Bornyasz et al., 2005; Lehto and Zwiazek, 2011; McNear Jr., 2013).

Mycorrhizal fungi play additional roles in nutrient and water acquisition; a mechanism present in almost all terrestrial ecosystems (Jongmans et al., 1997; Witty, et al., 2003; Hodge et al., 2009; Lambers et al., 2009; van der Heijden et al., 2015). Sometimes mycorrhizas are associated with chemical weathering of primary minerals such as feldspars and hornblendes (Jongmans et al., 1997), and are even considered as the most important soil fungi type in terms of mineral weathering and dissolution of insoluble metal compounds (Burford et al., 2003). A similar process has been postulated by van Breemen (2000a, b) who concluded that the mycorrhizal ‘rock-eating’ fungi may contribute to the formation of bleached E horizons of Albic Podzols (WRB 2014) through local dissolution of Al silicates by strongly complexing LMW organic acids at their hyphal tips causing creation of micropores in soils.

Mycorrhizal fungi develop at least three ways of direct co-existence with plant roots; with the most important synergetic effects occurring within the rhizosphere (Sokolova, 2011). Soil fungi can live: 1) inside the cortex (the outermost layer of a root), 2) on the surface of the root, or 3) around the epidermal cells i.e. a single-layer of cells covering roots. However, an additional mode of e.g., nitrate and phosphate acquisition by mycorrhizas is developed through the hyphae of these fungi that grow out from the roots into the soil (van der Heijden et al., 2015).

Four main types of mycorrhizal fungi can be distinguished: 1) arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM); 2) ectomycorrhizal (EM); 3) orchid; and 4) ericoid mycorrhiza (Table 1).

The ectomycorrhizal (EM) hyphae living in a symbiosis with the roots of trees (e.g. *Quercus* or *Pinus*) can penetrate weathered granitic bedrock and stimulate microcline and biotite weathering (van Breemen, 2000a, b). In addition to increasing weathering, EM hyphae contribute to transport of Al in soils which can intensify pedogenesis, especially podzolisation (van Scholl 2008). As a result, EM could support formation of so called ‘basket’ or ‘egg cup’ podzols under tree trunks (Bloomfield, 1953; Schaetzl, 1990), but EM participation on this process has not been

proved so far. The so called ectomycorrhizosphere acts as an effective medium for water transportation to the main roots (Witty et al., 2003; Sokolova, 2011). In this context it is important to mention that some plants with thick roots rely on mycorrhizal fungi to a much greater extent than plants with fine roots (van der Heijden et al., 2015). For instance, in boreal forests, even if composed of only a few tree species, the diversity of EM fungi can be high and reach several hundreds of fungal species coexisting in a single forest. Mycorrhizas are frequently interconnected in space and can be shared between neighboring trees and plant species (e.g. Ingleby et al. 2007). As many as 6,000 plant species form associations with EM fungi (mainly trees) but this number is greatly overwhelmed when arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) is concerned. AM are hosted by c. 200,000 plant species (van der Heijden et al., 2015), however the direct weathering impact on minerals is far less known and sometimes doubted when compared to EM fungi (Taylor et al., 2009) (Table 1). However, one exception is AM in symbiosis with *Thuja occidentalis*, which can result in surface etch pitting of feldspars. This effect is far greater than AM and EM in the case of other trees. Here, it is supposed that a large amount of LMW organic acids are taken from its litter (Taylor et al., 2009).

4. Biological weathering

Biological weathering has a long history of study and its general meaning and functions are widely recognized. Most commonly it is understood as a set of processes by which biota (microorganisms, animals and higher plants) and their decomposition products alter chemically or physically parent rock or regolith (Yatsu, 1988; p. 285; Burford et al., 2003; p. 1128; Field and Little, 2009; Brantley et al., 2011; p. 4). It is, however, also difficult to distinguish between the

effects of purely physical, chemical and biological weathering (Dixon, 2004) because they frequently act synergistically.

Full evaluation of weathering effects induced by trees requires a distinction between biochemical (bioweathering) and biomechanical weathering, and both must be considered on several levels of spatio-temporal scales motivated by: 1) ecosystem parameters (e.g. climate, geology); 2) disturbance regime of a site; 3) genetically and environmentally stimulated properties of trees (e.g. longevity, root system type); 4) rhizospheric processes, and 5) type of mycorrhizal association (symbiosis). These ‘natural frames’ of biological weathering caused by trees and tree roots starts with tree germination and continue as direct and indirect effects through the entire life span of the tree. Indirect effects are also detectable long after the tree death and can stimulate further changes in soils and regolith (root channels, infilled tree stumps, pit-mound relief *etc.*).

4.1. Biomechanical weathering of bedrock

Biomechanics is a description of biological systems such as humans, animals, plants, organs and cells from mechanistic point of view (Hatze, 1974). In geomorphology and soil science terms such as ‘biomechanical processes’, ‘biomechanical effects’ and ‘biomechanical weathering’ are already in use (Johnson, 1993; Phillips and Marion, 2006; Phillips et al., 2008a, b; Šamonil et al. 2010; Pawlik, 2013) and they normally refer to the ability of living organisms to physically change soils, regolith and bedrock. Biomechanical processes are commonly associated with soil mixing and bioturbations (including faunal turbations and floral turbations), rock fracturing and wedging, and sometimes with biotransport. They are normally analyzed at the scale of pedon or bigger but in biogeomorphology and zoogeomorphology the scale is largely dependent on the

size of the engineering organism itself and its abundance (e.g. individual tree, ants, termites, pocket gophers *etc.*).

Biomechanical interactions between tree roots and bedrock are more obvious when growing roots encounter gaps in or weaker portion of the rock: e.g. elongated fissures, chemically altered joints *etc.* When weathered, the bedrock zone can be entered through fractures as quickly as 2-3 years; the time documented for *P. ponderosa* on <1m deep soil (Witty et al., 2003; p. 398). However, does the story continue? Are growing and expanding tree roots opening joints and cracks in fresh or weakly weathered bedrock? If yes, in what conditions; under the soil cover, within joints in rock outcrops?

Here we refer specifically to the widening of joints or rock partings by the radial pressure of root growth, resulting in the splitting of rock masses. This mechanism is far from obvious, mainly due to the monitoring constraints, and sometimes doubted (Yatsu, 1988). Even so, several observations have been already made which pointed to such possibility (Little and Field, 2003), and tree roots can frequently be observed growing in bedrock joints that appear to have been widened. For instance, Little and Field (2003) speculated on bedrock breaking along small cracks caused by growing plant roots. Root and trunk growth is clearly capable of displacing even large rock fragments where the roots can grow under or along the rock (e.g., Phillips and Marion, 2006), but the tensile strength of most unweathered rock greatly exceeds the radial pressure than growing roots can achieve. Maximum radial pressures of root growth range from 0.51 to 0.9 MPa (Bennie, 1991; Lambers et al., 2008), while the tensile strength of crustal rocks ranges from 1 to 25 MPa (Yatsu, 1988; Selby, 1993). Pores in rocks are frequently too small (<100 μm) for roots to enter (Zwieniecki and Newton, 1995). It has been found that sometimes roots are concentrated as mats within fractures (Witty et al., 2003). Larger (woody) roots, while growing in rock

fissures, adopt morphologically to such unfavorable conditions developing flattened cortex (Zwieniecki and Newton, 1995; Gregory, 2006).

Several observations exist which suggest that roots enhance rock weathering, but that this is controlled by rock body properties, mainly the existing network of joints, cracks and fissures (Zwieniecki and Newton, 1995; Little and Field, 2003; Phillips et al., 2008a; Phillips, 2016a). Additionally, the process of biomechanical reworking of discontinuities in bedrock is not a standalone mechanism, and if it exists, is largely accompanied by biochemical effects of rhizospheric and mycorrhizal processes (see Section 3.3 and 3.4). However, both processes have been rarely studied. Newly exposed rock surfaces can be quickly (within a few years) covered by vegetation and, for instance, tree roots, root hairs and fungal hyphae preferably exploit open joints (Phillips et al., 2008a). Similar opportunistic behavior is known for microorganisms and mycorrhizal fungi which penetrate (or create) micro-spaces on and within mineral grains (crystals) (Burford et al., 2003) through:

1. penetration by fungal hyphae (along crystal planes);
2. burrowing and tunneling of minerals in consequence of organic acids and chelators impact produced by mycorrhizas, fungal hyphae and rhizospheric microbes.

Once roots have entered rock via a joint or crack, biochemical weathering is enhanced by moisture fluxes along the root, root respiration, LMW acid production, and rhizosphere processes. Though root growth pressure cannot break up intact, unweathered rock, via radial growth roots tend to fill partings widened by biochemical weathering, thus keeping moisture and rhizosphere processes in contact with the rock.

One important contribution on biomechanical weathering comes from botanists who studied limestone cliffs and documented numerous cases of rock fragments detached from the cliff by

expanding roots of *Taxus baccata* (Jackson and Sheldon, 1949). Tree roots used discontinuities and softer, more weathered, parts of limestone and their action contributed to the cliff recession. This may be the only example of a study devoted to biomechanical weathering caused by tree roots. We are not aware of other similar research and undoubtedly further investigation is needed on different type of bedrock and under impact of different tree species.

Treethrow dynamics briefly mentioned above are the most important biomechanical processes in forest ecosystems. Approximately a quarter or even a third of all trees are uprooted at the end of their life cycle in temperate old-growth forests (Šamonil et al. 2013). Although the role of tree uprooting in soil formation on the pedon scale was repeatedly extensively reviewed (Schaetzl et al., 1989a, b; Ulanova, 2000; Šamonil et al., 2010; Pawlik 2013), its effect on the landscape scale as well as implications to biogeomorphology and long-term forest ecosystem dynamics is still unclear. Where tree roots are in contact with the bedrock, uprooting can locally intensify weathering and deepening of soils by ‘mining’ bedrock fragments (Phillips et al., 2008b, 2015; Roering et al., 2010; Pawlik et al., 2013, 2016). Geophysical measurement by ground penetrating radar in beech-dominated old-growth forest in the Czech Republic supported this idea also on stand scale (Šamonil et al. unpublished). Although soil mixing or soil profile inversion (Schaetzl, 1986; Butler and Malanson, 1990; Šamonil et al. 2015) by uprooting clearly locally resets pedogenesis on a pedon scale, long-term soil development on landscape scale in areas occupied by treethrows could be more advanced than in less disturbed areas because of changing weathering and leaching rates in soils (Šamonil et al., 2014, 2015).

4.2. Biochemical weathering

Biochemical weathering (bioweathering) is a biotically mediated chemical weathering process of rocks and minerals (Burford et al., 2003; Fei et al., 2014). It is believed that this type of weathering is more important than mechanical degradation for several reasons. For instance, microorganisms (e.g. bacteria, fungi) are widespread, can survive under the most extreme conditions (e.g. hot springs, cold deserts), and are very opportunistic, inhabiting niches that are hardly available for other organisms (rock cracks and fissures) (Burford et al., 2003). Examples of such organisms include endoliths, which colonize micro-discontinuities in rocks (chasmoendoliths and cryptoendoliths) and even bore into the interior of rocks (euendoliths) (Friedmann, 1980; Blackhurst et al., 2005). In a far more hospitable conditions, under the soil cover, the hyphae of fungi associated with tree roots penetrate soil pores smaller than 5-20 μm , normally unavailable for root hairs (Taylor et al., 2009). Hence, it is very effective way by which plant roots, relying on the fungal hyphae, expand the space available for biochemical weathering.

Effects of biochemical weathering include changes in mineral crystals microtopography by pitting and etching on their surfaces, and even complete dissolution of mineral grains (Burford et al., 2003). Many examples of pores, 3-10 μm in width, were found in feldspars and hornblendes in podzol E soil horizons and granitic bedrock (under *Pinus sylvestris* and *Picea abies*). It is believed that they were formed by mycorrhizal or saprotrophic fungi (Jongmans et al., 1997).

Buurman and Jongmans (2002, 2005), for example, complemented existing fulvate, proto-imogolite, and fulvatebicarbonate theories of podzolization describing mobilization, transport and precipitation of organo-metallic-silicate complexes by biological activity of tree roots. They found predominance of root derived organic matter in some Podzols and concluded that the root activity and decay can play a crucial role in Podzol development, i.e. deepening of transition between eluvial E and illuvial Bhs horizons. Significant amounts of root derived organic matter in Podzols was formerly observed by De Coninck (1980). Skjemstad et al. (1992) highlighted

effects of root exudates in podsolization rather than the effect of root decay. Podzolization seems to be narrowly connected with microbial activity. According to Lundstrom et al. (1995) and Buurman and Jongmans (2002, 2005), the removal of metals by dissolved organic carbon in originating eluvial E horizon is followed by microbial decay of formerly accumulated organic matter no longer protected by Al and Fe complexes. Microbial activity can drive gradual deepening of Podzols in time. Abrupt deepening of Podzols in space on the finest spatial scale of decimeters or meters can be linked to, apart from preferential water flow, decomposition of organic material accumulated within treethrow pits or decomposition of uprooted, sometimes buried trunks (Schaetzl et al. 1989a; Šamonil et al. 2010, 2015).

Plants, their mycorrhizal fungi and microbes living in the rhizosphere are able to contribute to chemical weathering phenomena through the following mechanisms (Taylor et al., 2009):

1. exudation of reactive species (e.g. H^+) and LMW organic chelators (e.g. oxalate, citrate and malate, which are the strongest chelators of trivalent metals such as Al^{3+} and Fe^{3+} , Landeweert et al., 2001) (also known as ‘rock-eating fungi’, Jongmans et al., 1997; van Breemen et al., 2000a, b):
 - a. organic acids enhance chemical weathering of mainly mafic minerals (e.g. amphibole, pyroxene) (Drever, 1994);
 - b. mycorrhizal hyphae penetrate aluminosilicate minerals through complex solution of organic acids;
 - c. carbon-rich exudates support large communities of rhizospheric bacteria and fungi which actively accelerate weathering of minerals (Landeweert et al., 2001);
2. increased pCO_2 (partial CO_2) of soil solution due to plant respiration (resulting in decreased pH)

3. organic matter decomposition products increase concentration of high molecular weight (HMW) organic acids and LMW organic chelators in the soil solution;
4. evapotranspiration, which stirs “the water cycle engine” bearing base cations and other nutrients to plants;
5. stabilization of the soil body which allows undisturbed activity of roots and their mycorrhizas.

Organic acids are released through root tips, however weathering of soil minerals is also enhanced by fungi hyphae (mycelium) which grow from the root tips, enclose mineral particles and penetrate mineral interlayer spaces (Landeweert et al., 2001). EM fungi hyphae develop additional organs, called rhizomorphs, which elongate root tips into the soil by as much as 10 cm (Taylor et al., 2009). Also, EM act as biosensors and they can distinguish different grain sizes and mineralogies (Leake et al., 2008) suggesting EM ability for selective rock weathering. All these properties make mycorrhizal fungi associated with tree roots a very effective system of chemical alteration of rocks and minerals.

4.2.1. Indirect effects on biochemical weathering

Direct effects of root and rhizospheres, and the associated microbial communities on weathering are often important, such as production of organic acids, chelation, and activities of endolithic microbes. Many important direct effects are described above, associated with biochemical weathering in the root zone. However, indirect effects on weathering may also be important.

Trees can affect soils, regolith and bedrock through their indirect input of water, organic matter and bioconstructions in places presently or previously occupied by roots. After tree roots

find their way through substrate into the fractured bedrock they commence to play a role of transmission pathways for moisture, nutrients, microorganisms and heat. This is especially important in a very shallow and immature soils where tree roots penetrate only slightly weathered bedrock (Schwinning, 2013). Because of these factors roots are a key agent of further soil development.

Bioconstructions include rhizoliths, which are structures formed around or in places occupied by the root when root tissue is substituted by precipitated mineral compounds, e.g. calcium (Goudie, 1996; Lipar and Webb, 2015). Rhizoliths include root moulds (tubular voids), casts (filled root moulds), tubules (cemented cylinders around root moulds), rhizcretions (mineral accumulation around roots, Kindle, 1925) and root petrifications (when plant tissue is replaced by mineral matter). Recognition of rhizoliths, casts, tubules and root petrifications including also biogenic calcretes are an important tool in paleobotanical and paleopedological studies, for example in stratigraphic correlations and interpretations (see Retallack, 2001; Stein et al., 2012; Brlek et al., 2014).

Roots influence soil porosity and permeability by pushing the soil vertically and horizontally as they develop and find their way through the soil and explore new nutrient and water resources, which can result in so called root mounds on surface (Phillips and Marion, 2006; Hoffman and Anderson 2014). Agitation of plants, particularly trees, during the storms, which promotes root movement in soils can be seen as another agent significantly influencing physical soil properties (Gabet et al. 2003). When roots decay they leave root channels that are then used as pathways for free water movement (Gaiser, 1952) (Fig. 5). Some influences cause by roots of various size can be similar to effects made by burrowing animals in terms of soil founalturbation and transport, and water and nutrient regimes. It has been suggested that vertical channels might be interconnected by lateral channels forming far more complex systems of water transmittance in

soil (Gaiser, 1952). It is evident in a very porous immature soils developed in karst areas (Martin, 2006; Phillips, 2016a). Such conditions inevitably influence the presence of rhizospheres (Schwinning, 2013).

Root grooves are weathering features directly associated with coarse roots. Grooves develop along living roots in contact with rock due to chemical weathering associated with moisture moving along the root, respiration and transpiration by the root, organic acids, and associated effects of microbes (Wall and Wilford, 1966; Sweeting, 1973; Bull and Lavery, 1982; Trudgill, 1985; Taborosi, 2002). Such features may be an initial point of self-reinforcing mechanisms of further rock dissolution, especially in carbonate rocks, where these features are most common (Phillips, 2016a). Existing root grooves act as pathways of rapid rain water percolation. These spaces are a subject of enlargement and can be repeatedly occupied by future generations of roots.

4.3. Other effects: mass displacement, stump infilling

Tree uprooting and the resulting pit-mound microtopography, and effects on soil hydrology, have traditionally been considered the main biomechanical effects of trees on soil. However, at least two other effects are significant: mass displacement by trunk and root growth, and infilling of cavities associated with decomposed or burnt stumps. Although tree uprooting was repeatedly found to be the most important biomechanical process driven by trees in temperate forests (in terms of volume of moved soil material), infilling of decomposed tree stumps is even more frequent.

Root biomass is generally proportional to above ground biomass, so large trees comprise a significant amount of soil mass and volume, particularly in the upper soil layers. The ability of

radial pressure of wood growth to displace mass is indicated by the displacement of rock fragments up to boulder size (and, in urban settings, pavements). Thus, even where no uprooting occurs, trees perform a significant amount of bioturbation (Lutz and Griswold, 1939; Lutz, 1958; Phillips and Marion, 2006; Wilkinson et al., 2009; Hoffman and Anderson, 2013).

Trees that die (or are cut) without uprooting leave surface depressions when the stumps eventually decompose or burn. In beech-dominated old-growth forest between 60 and 80% of trees are broken at the end of life cycle (Šamonil et al. 2013). The depressions may fill with material slumping or eroding from the surrounding soil, sediment transported downslope, organic litter, or a combination. This stump infilling process has significant impacts on soil spatial variability and hillslope mass fluxes, but has been studied in detail in only a few cases (Phillips and Marion, 2006; Shouse and Phillips, 2016).

5. Tree roots as ecosystem engineering

Ecosystem engineering reflects effects of organisms on the abiotic environment where organisms have disproportionate impacts relative to their numbers or size, and where the effects influence habitat, resource availability or mass and energy fluxes (Jones et al., 1994, 1997; Jones, 2012). When ecosystem engineering organisms influence selective pressure it constitutes niche construction (Odling-Smee et al., 2003; Matthews et al., 2014). Ecosystem engineering and niche construction indicate reciprocal effects of trees and geomorphic processes on each other, and in the latter case raise the possibility of coevolution (Phillips, 2016b). Binkley and Giardina (1998) specifically related tree root influences on soil and water chemistry, surface erosion and deposition, and soil mixing and bioturbation to various levels of interaction, from indirect one-way influences to coevolution.

As discussed above, tree roots have substantial impact on weathering and regolith formation, some of which result in conversion of bedrock to regolith, or local deepening/thickening of regolith. These effects include:

1. penetration of joints, fractures, and bedding planes (hereafter just joints, for brevity) in both weathered and unweathered bedrock;
2. roots in rock joints facilitate chemical weathering via moisture flux along roots and root channels, root and rhizosphere respiration, formation of organic acids, and hosting of microbial and other biotic activity;
3. radial pressure of root growth widens joints, and maintains biological contact with the interior joint surfaces;
4. adhesion of roots to rock via root hairs and mycorrhizae and the encompassing of rock fragments by roots allows for loosening of joint blocks during tree shaking by wind, and bedrock “mining” by tree uprooting.

These deepening effects can be considered biogeomorphic ecosystem engineering because they increase the habitat size and suitability for trees and other organisms that require or benefit from thicker soil. Root-rock interactions are only beginning to be understood from geomorphological and hydrological perspectives. However, in general roots grow into or through fractured rock to access water, and in some cases there appear to be ecological advantages to the plant strategy of accessing rock fissures relative to soil (Schwinning, 2010). Trees vary greatly in their general root architecture, typical rooting depths, and phenotypic plasticity in adapting to different substrate conditions (Stone and Kalisz, 1991). Soil deepening is probably followed by releasing of relationship between local soil depth and individual tree species occurrence.

Soil and regolith thickness is an important factor in habitat suitability for deep-rooted plants and a number of soil fauna. There is therefore evidence of positive feedback between local soil

deepening by trees and reoccupation of these locally deeper patches after tree mortality (Phillips, 2008; 2009a; Shouse and Phillips, 2016). In karst landscapes, weathering-related feedback relationships linked to ecosystem engineering by tree roots are described by Crowther (1987), Susteric et al. (2009), Schwinning (2010), Estrada-Medina et al. (2013), and Nie et al. (2014). In general, these involve geomorphically controlled or influenced edaphic effects (e.g., topography, microclimate, substrate) on vegetation. These result in varying vegetation patterns in relation to karst landforms, and these differing plant communities (and associated microbial communities) result in differential dissolution, which feeds back to the landforms. Ecosystem engineering by trees, particularly with respect to weathering and regolith deepening, is discussed in the context of niche construction and related concepts by Binkley and Giardina (1998), Phillips (2009a, b, 2015, 2016b) and Verboom and Pate (2013). In general, these studies suggest that biogeomorphic ecosystem engineering by trees is common. Niche construction is more difficult to prove, but there are clear examples in some cases. Coevolution and extended composite phenotypes associated with tree-soil-landform interactions is even more difficult to prove, but strong circumstantial evidence exists. Despite the limited evidence for taxa-specific eco-evolutionary dynamics, general evidence of coevolution of vascular plants, trees, and forests on the one hand, and regoliths on the other, is clear, as outlined in the next section.

6. Tree roots and global environmental changes

6.1. Early evolution of terrestrial plant roots

The land plants (embryophytes) may have originated in the Middle Ordovician 470-450 Ma, but these were small, non-vascular and morphologically undifferentiated in terms of their roots, stems and leaves (Kenrick and Crane, 1997; Algeo and Scheckler, 1998; Kenrick and Strullu-

Derrien 2014). The evolution of roots, as organs of different anatomical structure, physiological functions and tropism (gravitropism) than shoots, followed the advance of vascular plants in the Late Silurian and Early Devonian, but was delayed by 15 million years (during the Pragian 411-408 Ma, Algeo and Scheckler (1998), or Emsian 408-393 Ma; Raven and Edwards, 2001) after the first appearance of tracheophytes (vascular plants) (Retallack, 2001; Raven and Edwards, 2001). The phylogenetic context of terrestrialization during Paleozoic times was described by Gerrienne et al. (2016). Roots probably evolved from rhizomes (subterranean stems) of vascular plants in the Early Devonian and root hairs may have developed from rhizoids of early plants to improve plant soil connectivity and nutrient flow (Kenrick and Crane, 1997; Brundrett, 2002). Underground rhizome systems developed in response to different environmental requirements to: optimize nutrient uptake, allow mycorrhizal fungi coevolution, and provide mechanical support for plant stability. In more advanced stages some rhizomes became thinner and longer to provide better connectivity with soil mass and increase absorption of solutes (Brundrett, 2002). Similar needs were probably supported by symbiotic mycorrhizal fungi evolving since the Middle Ordovician 460-450 Ma (Kenrick and Strullu-Derrien, 2014). The early roots were short (<20 cm in the Eifelian-Givetian, 393-383 Ma; but see also Elick et al. (1998) for the evidence of roots nearly 1 m deep from the Emsian stage 408-393 Ma) but extended to 80-100 cm in the Frasnian-Famennian stage 383-360 Ma (Algeo and Scheckler, 1998). The expanding root sizes were commonly correlated with changes in weathering rates and processes (Algeo et al., 1995; Algeo and Scheckler, 1998).

6.2. The rise of trees and global environmental changes

We have no doubt trees are a key component of the global environment. They modulate global greenhouse effects, control water cycling, and strongly influence erosion and accumulation (e.g.

Allen et al., 2010; Neill et al., 2005). Additionally, from the present perspective, tree roots are most frequently seen as a factor of soil stabilization. Those functions of trees have been acknowledged for decades. However, on a global scale processes attributed to land plant roots are increasingly viewed as a key driving factor of climate change since the Devonian (Le Hir et al., 2011; Doughty et al., 2014). Prior the Devonian, the soils, if developed at all, were probably shallow, originated by soil microbes (Retallack, 2001). Trees, together with other tracheophytes, were able to change global environments and were even considered as a force accelerating the Late Devonian mass extinction (Algeo et al., 1995). The so called “*Devonian plant hypothesis*” (Algeo et al., 1995; Algeo and Scheckler, 1998) has been built mainly on the most important attributes of trees; i.e. growth of deep root systems and physical and chemical changes taking place in their root zone, accelerating weathering processes, atmospheric carbon binding in soils and climate cooling (Algeo and Scheckler, 1998; Beerling and Berner, 2005).

It is commonly acknowledged that vascular plants, and most importantly deep-rooted trees, enhanced silicate mineral weathering, lowering of atmospheric CO₂ and global climate changes in the Devonian (Algeo and Scheckler, 1998; Berner, 1998, Algeo et al., 2001; Goudie and Viles, 2012). The Devonian period was a time of evolution, diversification and spatial redistribution of vascular plants, and trees may have performed a key role in these changes. As vascular plants developed root-like structures and, later, true root systems, allowed plant stabilization and enhanced their ability to extract moisture and nutrients from the soil and regolith. Erwin (2008) argues that the gradual evolution of root systems and organisms themselves has been accompanied by increasing role of ecosystem engineering in terrestrial systems since the early Phanerozoic times. Positive feedbacks through environmentally arranged selection have affected macroevolutionary patterns and diversity. They have not only persisted but even reinforced over geologic time.

From the evolutionary perspective a key phase was development of vascular tissue; water-conducting cells (tracheids) in the Late Silurian to Early Devonian (Kenrick and Crane, 1997), and secondary tissues (wood and phloem, between the Pragian and the Givetian) which allowed arborescence (tree-sized stature) (Algeo and Scheckler, 1998) (Fig. 6). Trees evolved independently in several major groups (Kenrick and Crane, 1997) by the Middle Devonian 385 Ma (Stein et al., 2012), and wider distribution of tree-sized plants was possible after they developed the seed habit in the Famennian, between 372-360 Ma (Algeo et al., 1995; 2001). By the end of the Devonian stem diameter of tree-like plants increased logarithmically from 3 mm to 1.5 m and their height from a few cm up to ≈ 30 m in the Givetian 388-383 Ma (Algeo and Scheckler, 1998; Algeo et al., 2001; Beerling and Berner, 2005).

Rooting depth is positively correlated with the tree size and this led to the conclusion that larger vascular plants through their roots systems were more effective in soil production (Algeo and Scheckler, 1998). This assumption supports consideration of trees as a key factor of changes in the Devonian (but see Goddris et al., 2014, for additional explanation).

Millions of years of evolution of microbes and lower plants undoubtedly had significant influence on rock weathering processes. Without a soil mantle, even immature, thin and chemically only weakly altered, rhizospheric processes could be only minimally active, and the role of soil as an important medium of plant development is thought to be a key factor of global changes (Retallack, 1997; Amundson et al., 2015). However, pre-Devonian proto-soils are thought to be formed by microbial communities with minimal or without active role of early roots (Algeo and Scheckler, 1998; Retallack, 2001) and the early land plants with shallow anchorage systems (if any) may have been far less effective (>10 -fold) in mineral weathering than trees which evolved later (Quirk et al., 2015). Retallack (1997) argued that at the end of the Silurian rhizosphere were ‘impressively dense and deep’. In the light of the present results (see Sections

3.3 and 4.2) we cannot neglect the ability of rhizospheric processes to chemically change minerals and rocks. The same applies to mycorrhizal fungi but with two important differences. First, mycorrhizas (mycorrhizosphere) have a wider spatial redistribution than rhizospheres, and second, they appeared much earlier, in the Ordovician (AM fungi) (Brundrett, 2002).

Deep-rooted trees and their rhizospheres lead to the following effects (Lambers et al., 2009):

1. mechanical deterioration of rocks and subsequent changes in water cycle;
2. carbon input into regolith, soil horizon development and positive influence on microorganisms in the rhizosphere;
3. chemical changes in the rhizosphere; e.g. pH lowering due to cation uptake by roots (Doughty et al., 2014); '*rhizoturbations*' sensu Algeo and Scheckler (1998).

Many authors attribute more effective chemical weathering to mycorrhizal fungi (Pagani et al., 2009; Doughty et al., 2014; Morris et al., 2015; Quirk et al., 2015). They also point to mechanical breakdown of minerals, creating more surface for chemical action (mineral dissolution).

Also, on the global scale intensive chemical weathering on lands would lead to much greater nutrient loads of rivers that could enhance marine production and led to anoxic events in the Late Devonian (Algeo and Scheckler, 1998; Taylor et al., 2009).

6.3. Root-bedrock interactions and landscape evolution

There is a positive feedback between soil and regolith stabilization caused by plants and weathering processes induced by their roots. Vegetation is an important factor in the theory of soil science and hillslope and fluvial geomorphology (e.g. Schaetzl and Thompson, 2015). Tree roots, because of their various functions described in the previous sections, may modulate local and regional relief when appropriate spatial and temporal scale is reached. For instance, soil

stabilization function was a key surficial effect by the Devonian, when a shift from sheet-braided style rivers to meandering rivers may have been a consequence of the important innovation by plants of deep root systems (Davies and Gibling, 2010). In river valleys they caused valley-sides and river bank stabilization, but after colonizing uplands, the primary effect of vascular plants was biomechanical and biochemical weathering of bedrock; the assumption underpinning the *Devonian plant hypothesis*.

Modification of landscapes takes place through secular processes of soil production under tree cover, with bioturbations and lateral soil and regolith transport in a form of tree uprooting frequently engaged (Gabet and Mudd, 2010; Roering et al., 2010). During uprooting trees are able to uplift in their root systems even larger fragments of fractured bedrock (Phillips et al., 2008b; Pawlik et al., 2013) and Lutz (1960) observed movement of rock fragments of 4 tons due to treethrow. This is an additional mechanism of biomechanical weathering and biotransport that is commonly not taken into account in general statements about soil production in the past. Other biomechanical processes associated with vegetation as an important geomorphic factor were also frequently omitted in theories of landscape evolution (Johnson, 2002; Phillips, 2009b). These are not gradual effects operating over millennial timescales, but sudden changes of forest floor microtopography (for instance, effects of tree uprooting). The process which takes a moment can leave microtopographical imprints in the form of pit-and-mound microrelief which may last for several thousand years (Šamonil et al., 2013). Longevity of treethrow pit-mound microtopography as well as erosional effects of uprooting processes are highly dependent on soil texture. We can generally conclude that the increasing amount of coarse sand and gravels in soils promotes longevity of these features and decreases their erosional potential (Šamonil et al. 2010, 2016).

Direct fracturing of bedrock by growing tree roots has been postulated in the past and accompanied by descriptive figures and impressive pictures of split rocks (Fig. 7). However, in such assumptions at least two facts have to be considered: 1) roots tend to concentrate into top soil horizon, 2) biochemical processes probably act first and after that available space is occupied by enlarging tree roots.

An interesting example of long-term landscape evolution comes from the Dinaric and Alpine karst area of Slovenia (Gams, 1966). The author found higher rates of dissolution of carbonate substrate under trees and this caused spatial differentiation of tors development, first in subterranean conditions, under soil mantle, and lately, when soil cover was removed, as subaerial forms (Fig. 8) (see also Pitty, 1971, for explanation). Somewhat similar positive feedback has been found in Northern Limestone Alps, in Austria, but as an effect of another process. In this study area tree uprooting event was followed by intensified dissolution within treethrow pit on limestone leading to surprising enlargement (deepening and widening) of this post-disturbance microtopographical form (Embleton-Hamann, 2004).

Another example of slow changes in rock properties caused by roots comes from volcanic rocks of arid Baha California, Mexico, colonized by different species of cacti (Bashan et al., 2002). The authors argued that plants in such extreme arid conditions were able to enhanced soil production by breaking up small and large rocks within the time period of several years. However, also in this case the process of mechanical deterioration of rocks was not exhaustively documented and evidently physical changes were accompanied by biochemical action taking place in the root zones of cacti and a wild fig tree (Puente et al., 2004).

Jackson and Sheldon (1949) were probably the first researchers who pointed to an importance of mechanical action of tree roots and its contribution to limestone cliff recession (Fig. 9). However, they did not consider the impact of this process in a wider landscape scale. When

extrapolated on a regional scale we hypothesize that the process of biomechanical fracturing of rock fragments from the cliff face can be significant and play primary role in cliff development. We do not know other studies, except Jackson and Sheldon (1949) contribution, to support the hypothesis, however our own observations from Arkansas and Kentucky (USA), Carpathians and Sumava Mts. (both in the Czech Republic) and Sudetes and Gorce Mts. (Poland) prove that biomechanical weathering of rock walls caused by tree roots should be taken into account in geomorphic analysis of weathering and landscape evolution (Fig. 10).

7. Biodeterioration – invasive roots and damage caused to infrastructure and buildings

Much basic information on tree roots behavior and their ability to weather bedrock can be drawn from their observations in artificial environments. Tree roots frequently damage urban underground infrastructure or historical buildings (e.g. Caneva et al., 2009). This is called *biodeterioration*, i.e. the process of biotic attack when an undesirable change in the properties of a material is caused by the activity of organisms (Hueck, 1968; after Yatsu, 1988, p. 348). This definition applies also to other organisms.

Numerous examples from around the world bring evidence of serious damage caused by tree roots with the most striking examples of Angkor Temple in Cambodia and many others (Fig. 11). The most important biomechanical effects caused by roots in the built environment include (Perry, 1982; Almeida et al., 1994; Kumar and Kumar, 1999; Allsopp et al., 2004):

1. growth and radial thickening of roots along existing weak zones between blocks and bricks (Fig. 12);
2. in clay soils roots may decrease moisture and cause soil shrinkage leading to damage to the foundation of nearby buildings;

3. roots may penetrate and block drains and water supply pipes.

8. Conceptual model of tree roots interactions with rocks and regolith

Living functions of tree roots and their evidently opportunistic behavior, which supports tree growth and species long-term existence even in the most inhospitable environment or after a severe disturbance, have geomorphic significance at spatio-temporal scales from mineral to biosphere. Conversely, geomorphic processes (hillslope instability, erosion, mass movements) can modulate tree and root growth or influence development of new tree stems and roots. Tree roots influence biological weathering in a complex way, thus we propose a conceptual model encompassing major agents of this fundamental geomorphic process. In general, the model indicates that tree roots through their growth, activity and persistence directly and indirectly influence biological weathering, playing a significant part in soil production and landscape denudation (Fig. 13).

9. Conclusions, knowledge gaps and future studies

Biological weathering by tree roots, the main subject of this paper, is considered as a set of cascading processes with changing direct and indirect effects along a life cycle of vascular and non-vascular roots and rhizoids, accompanied by rhizospheric processes and mycorrhizal associations. Tree roots are important element of biogeomorphic systems and their palaeogeographical meaning has been widely recognized and incorporated in the *Devonian plant hypothesis*. However, a full appreciation of geomorphic processes associated with tree roots is still constrained by the lack of their thorough understanding and, in consequence, cannot be fully incorporated into models of different geomorphic systems and soil evolution. While biological and ecological functions of tree roots have been exhaustively studied and their theory applied in other closely related analyses, the functions of tree roots have been rarely recognized in geomorphic studies, and normally limited to the two fundamental effects: hillslope and river bank

837 stabilization, and protection against erosion. Increasingly tree uprooting has been well studied
838 and incorporated in the theory of soil evolution and biogeomorphic studies. However, this is not
839 the only process induced by tree roots and there exist several issues which need research
840 attention. These include:

- 841 1. effectiveness and rates of biomechanical weathering (rock fracturing and root wedging) of
842 bedrock of different geologic substrates caused by different tree species;
- 843 2. soil displacement by growing tree roots and its importance for soil biotransport and
844 sediment flux in a short- and long-terms perspective not only on local scale but also on
845 scale of the landscape;
- 846 3. timeframes and effectiveness of biochemical weathering in the rhizosphere and
847 mycorrhizosphere and most importantly a significance of this process for geomorphology,
848 biogeomorphology and eco-evolution dynamics;
- 849 4. further studies on tree uprooting in different environmental conditions and vegetation
850 types or even biomes (the relevant studies are nearly absent from tropical forests);
- 851 5. further studies on root development, decay and root stump infilling in different
852 environmental conditions;
- 853 6. recognition of tree root disturbances and their influences as ecosystem engineering;
- 854 7. taxa-specific studies of biogeomorphic effects;
- 855 8. changes in structure of mutual tree-soil interactions due to human interventions in the
856 landscape;
- 857 9. the role of other organisms, particularly Fungi and Bacteria in tree-soil interactions and
858 ecosystem engineering by trees.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our thanks to Sigita Sabaliauskaite and Vít Zoufalý for permission to use their photographs from Angkor, Cambodia. This study was supported by a grant of the Polish National Science Foundation (ŁP and PS; project UMO-2014/15/D/ST10/04123) and partly by the Czech Science Foundation (PS; project GACR 16-15319S).

References

- Alestalo, J., 1971. Dendrochronological interpretation of geomorphic processes. *Fennia*. 105, 1-140.
- Algeo, T.J., Scheckler, S.E., 1998. Terrestrial-marine teleconnections in the Devonian: links between the evolution of land plants, weathering processes and marine anoxic events. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B* 353, 113-130.
- Algeo, T.J., Berner, R.A., Maynard, J.B., Scheckler, S.E., 1995. Late Devonian oceanic anoxic events and biotic crises: “Rooted” in the evolution of vascular land plants? *GSA Today*. 5, 63-66.
- Algeo, T.J., Scheckler, S.E., Maynard, J.B., 2001. Effects of the middle to late Devonian spread of vascular land plants on weathering regimes, marine biotas, and global climate. in: Gensel P., Edwards D. (Eds.), *Plants invade the land: evolutionary and environmental perspectives*. Columbia University Press, pp. 213-236.
- Alizadeh, O., 2011. Mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Advanced Studies in Biology*. 3, 273-281.
- Allen, C.D., Macalady, A.K., Alison, K., Chenchouni, H., Bachelet, D., McDowell, N., Vennetier, M., Kitzberger, T., Rigling, A., Breshears, D.D., Hogg, E.H., Gonzalez, P.,

882 Fensham, R., Zhang, Z., Castro, J., Demidova, N., Lim, J.H., Allard, G., Running, S.W.,
883 Semerci, A., Cobb, N., 2010. A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality
884 reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. *Forest Ecology and Management*. 259, 660-
885 684.

886 Allsopp, D., Seal, K.J., Gaylarde, Ch.C., 2004. Introduction to biodeterioration. Cambridge
887 University Press, Cambridge, UK.

888 Almeida, M.T., Mouga, T., Barracosa, P., 1994. The weathering ability of higher plants. The case
889 of *Ailanthus altissima* (Miller) Swingle. *International Biodeterioration & Biodegradation*. 333-
890 343.

891 Almond, P.C., Roering, J.J., Hughes, M.W., Lutter, F.S., Leboutteiller, C., 2008. Climatic and
892 anthropogenic effects on soil transport rates and hillslope evolution, in: “Sediment Dynamics
893 in Changing Environments”, Christchurch, New Zealand, December 2008, IAHS Publ. 325,
894 417-424.

895 Amundson, R., Richter, D.D., Humphreys, G.S., Jobbágy, E.G., Gaillardet, J., 2007. Coupling
896 between biota and Earth materials in the Critical Zone. *Elements*. 3, 327-332.

897 Amundson, R., Heimsath, A., Owen, J., Yoo, K., Dietrich, W.E., 2015. Hillslope soils and
898 vegetation. *Geomorphology*. 234, 122-132.

899 Anderson, S.P., von Blanckenburg, F., White, A.F., 2007. Physical and chemical controls on the
900 Critical Zone. *Elements*. 3, 315-319.

901 Atkinson, J.A., Rasmussen, A., Traini, R., Voß, U., Sturrock, C., Mooney, S.J., Wells, D.M.,
902 Bennett, M.J., 2014. Branching out in roots: uncovering form, function, and regulation. *Plant*
903 *Physiology*. 166, 538-550.

904 Bashan, Y., Li, C.Y., Lebsky, V.K., Moreno, M., de-Bashan, L.E., 2002. Primary colonization of
905 volcanic rocks by plants in Arid Baja California, Mexico. *Plant Biology*. 4, 392-402.

906 Beerling, D.J., Berner, R.A., 2005. Feedbacks and the coevolution of plants and atmospheric
 907 CO₂. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 102, 1302-1305.

908 Bennie, A.T., 1991. Growth and mechanical impedance, in: Waisel, Y., Eshel, A., Kafkafi, U.
 909 (Eds.) *Plant roots: The hidden half*. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York.

910 Berner, R.A., 1998. The carbon cycle and CO₂ over Phanerozoic time: the role of land plants.
 911 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London B* 353, 75-82.

912 Binkley, C., Giardina, D., 1998. Why do tree species affect soils? The warp and woof of tree–soil
 913 interactions. *Biogeochemistry*. 42, 89–106.

914 Birot, P., 1966. *General physical geography*. George G. Harrap & Co. Ltd., London, U.K.

915 Blackhurst, R.L., Genge, M.J., Kearsley, A.T., Grady, M.M., 2005. Cryptoendolithic alteration of
 916 Antarctic sandstone: Pioneers or opportunists?, *J. Geophys. Res.* 110, E12S24,
 917 doi:10.1029/2005JE002463.

918 Bloomfield, C. 1953. A study of podzolization. Part II. The mobilization of iron and aluminum
 919 by the leaves and bark of *Agathis australis* (Kauri). *Journal of Soil Science*. 4, 17–23.

920 Bornyasz, M.A., Graham, R.C., Allen, M.F., 2005. Ectomycorrhizae in a soil-weathered granitic
 921 bedrock regolith: Linking matrix resources to plants. *Geoderma*. 126, 141-160.

922 Van Breemen, N., Finlay, R., Lundström, U., Jongmans, A.G., Giesler, R., Olsson, M., 2000a.
 923 Mycorrhizal weathering: A true case of mineral plant nutrition? *Biogeochemistry*. 49, 53-67.

924 Van Breemen, N., Lundström, U., Jongmans, A.G., 2000b. Do plants drive podsolization via rock-
 925 eating mycorrhizal fungi? *Geoderma*. 94, 163-171.

926 Brantley, S.L., Megonigal, J.P., Scatena, F.N., Balogh-Brunstad, Z., Barnes, R.T., Bruns, M.A.,
 927 Van Cappellen, P., Dontsova, K., Hartnett, H.E., Hartshorn, A.S., Heimsath, A., Herndon, E.,
 928 Jin, L., Keller, C.K., Leake, J.R., McDowell, W.H., Meinzer, F.C., Mozdzer, T.J., Petsch, S.,
 929 Pett-Ridge, J., Pregitzer, K.S., Raymond, P.A., Riebe, C.S., Shumaker, K., Sutton-Grier, A.,

930 Walter, R., Yoo, K., 2011. Twelve testable hypotheses on the geobiology of weathering.
 931 Geobiology, doi: 10.1111/j.1472-4669.2010.00264.x.

932 Brlek, M., Korbar, T., Kosir, A., Glumac, B., Gritzelj, A., Otonicar, B. 2014. Discontinuity
 933 surfaces in Upper Cretaceous to Paleogene carbonates of central Dalmatia (Croatia):
 934 Glossifungites ichnofacies, biogenic calcretes, and stratigraphic implications. *Facies*. 60, 467-
 935 487.

936 Brundrett, M.C., 2002. Coevolution of roots and mycorrhizas of land plants. *New Phytologist*.
 937 154, 275-304.

938 Bull, P.A., Lavery, M., 1982. Observations on phytokarst. *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie*. 26,
 939 437-457.

940 Buma, B., Johnson, A.C., 2015. The role of windstorm exposure and yellow cedar decline on
 941 landslide susceptibility in southeast Alaskan temperate rainforests. *Geomorphology*. 228, 504-
 942 511.

943 Bunnett, R.B., 1965. *Physical geography in diagrams*. Longman, Harlow, England.

944 Burford, E.P., Fomina, M., Gadd, G.M., 2003. Fungal involvement in bioweathering and
 945 biotransformation of rocks and minerals. *Mineralogical Magazine*. 67, 1127-1155.

946 Butler, D.R., Malanson, G.P., 1990. Non-equilibrium geomorphic processes and patterns on
 947 avalanche paths in the northern Rocky Mountains, U.S.A. *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie*. 34,
 948 257-270.

949 Buurman, P., Jongmans, A.G. 2002. Podzolization – an additional paradigm. *Edafologia*. 9, 107-
 950 114.

951 Buurman, P., Jongmans, A.G. 2005. Podzolization and soil organic matter dynamics. *Geoderma*.
 952 125, 71–83.

953 Caneva, G., Galotta, G., Cancellieri, L., Savo, V., 2009. Tree roots and damages in the Jewish
 954 catacombs of Villa Torlonia (Roma). *Journal of Cultural Heritage*. 10, 53-62.

955 Collignon, C., Calvaruso, C.C., Turpault, M.P., 2011. Temporal dynamics of exchangeable K, Ca
 956 and Mg in acidic bulk soil and rhizosphere under Norway spruce (*Picea abies* Karst.) and
 957 beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) stands. *Plant and Soil*. 349, 355-366.

958 Corenblit, D., Baas, A.C.W., Bornette, G., Darrozes, J., Delmotte, S., Francis, R.A., Gurnell,
 959 A.M., Julien, F., Naiman, R.J., Steiger, J., 2011. Feedbacks between geomorphology and biota
 960 controlling Earth surface processes and landforms: A review of foundation concepts and
 961 current understandings. *Earth-Science Reviews*. 106, 307-331.

962 Crowther, J., 1987. Ecological observations in tropical karst terrain, west Malaysia. III. Dynamics
 963 of the vegetation-soil-bedrock system. *Journal of Biogeography*. 14, 157-164.

964 Darwin, C., 1881. The formation of vegetable mould, through the action of worms, with
 965 observation on their habits. J. Murray, London.

966 Davies, N.S., Gibling, M.R., 2010. Cambrian to Devonian evolution of alluvial systems: The
 967 sedimentological impact of the earliest land plants. *Earth-Science Reviews*. 98, 171-200.

968 Davis, W.M., 1899. The Geographical Cycle. *Geographical Journal*. 14, 481-504.

969 De Coninck, F., 1980. Major mechanisms in formation of spodic horizons. *Geoderma*. 24, 101-
 970 128.

971 Dietrich, W.E., Perron, J.T., 2006. The search for a topographic signature of life. *Nature*. 439,
 972 411-418.

973 Dixon, J.C., 2004. Weathering, in: Goudie, A.S. (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Geomorphology*.
 974 Routledge, London and New York, pp. 1108-1112.

975 Doughty, Ch.E., Taylor, L.L., Girardin, C.A.J., Malhi, Y., Beerling, D.J., 2014. Montane forest
 976 root growth and soil organic layer depth as potential factors stabilizing Cenozoic global
 977 change. *Geophysical Research Letters*. 41, doi: 10.1002/2013GL058737.

978 Elick J.M., Driese S.G., Mora C.I., 1998. Very large plant and root traces from the Early to
 979 Middle Devonian: Implications for early terrestrial ecosystems and atmospheric p(CO₂).
 980 *Geology*, 26, 2 143-146.

981 Embleton-Hamann, C., 2004. Processes responsible for the development of a pit and mound
 982 microrelief. *Catena*. 57, 175-188.

983 Erwin, D.H. 2008. Macroevolution of ecosystem engineering, niche construction and diversity.
 984 *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*. 23, 304-310.

985 Estrada-Medina, H., Graham, R.C., Allen, M.F., Jiménez-Osornio, J.J., Robles-Casoico, S., 2013.
 986 The importance of limestone bedrock and dissolution karst features on tree root distribution in
 987 northern Yucatán, México. *Plant and Soil*. 362, 37-50.

988 Fayle, D.C.F. 1976. Stem sway effect ring width and compression wood formation in exposed
 989 root bases. *Forest Science*. 22, 193-194.

990 Fei, S., Phillips, J.D., Shouse, M., 2014. Biogeomorphic impacts of invasive species. *The Annual*
 991 *Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*. 45, 69-87.

992 Field, J., Little, D., 2009. Regolith and biota, in: Scott, K.M., Pain, C.F. (Eds.), *Regolith Science*.
 993 Csiro Publishing, Springer, Australia, New Zealand.

994 Finke, P.A., Vanwalleghem, T. Opolot, E., Poesen, J., Deckers, J., 2013. Estimating the effect of
 995 tree uprooting on variation of soil horizon depth by confronting pedogenetic simulations to
 996 measurements in a Belgian loess area. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Earth Surface*. 118,
 997 2124-2139.

998 Friedmann, E.I., 1980. Endolithic microbial life in hot and cold deserts. *Origins of Life*. 10, 223-
999 235.

1000 Gabet, E.J., Mudd, S.M., 2010. Bedrock erosion by root fracture and tree throw: a coupled
1001 biogeomorphic model to explore the humped soil production function and the persistence of
1002 hillslope soils. *Journal of Geophysical Research—Earth Surface*. 115, F04005.

1003 Gabet, E.J., Reichman, O.J., Seabloom, E.W., 2003. The effect of bioturbation on soil processes
1004 and sediment transport. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*. 31, 249-273.

1005 Gaiser, R.N., 1952. Root channels and roots in forest soils. *Soil Science Society Proceedings*. 16,
1006 62-65.

1007 Gams, I., 1966. Factors and dynamics of corrosion of the carbonatic rocks in the Dinaric and
1008 Alpine karst of Slovenia (Yugoslavia) (in Slovene, with English summary). *Geografski*
1009 *Vestnik*. 37, 11-68.

1010 Gärtner, H., 2007. Tree roots – methodological review and new development in dating and
1011 quantifying erosive processes. *Geomorphology*. 86, 243-251.

1012 Gärtner, H., Heinrich, I., 2013. Dendrogeomorphology. in: Elias, S.A., (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of*
1013 *Quaternary Science*. Elsevier B.V., Volume 2, pp. 91-103.

1014 Gerrienne, P., Servias, T., Vecoli, M. 2016. Plant evolution and terrestrialization during
1015 Palaeozoic times – The phylogenetic context. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*. in
1016 press. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.revpalbo.2016.01.004>.

1017 Gilbert, G.K., 1880. Report on the geology of the Henry Mountains. Dept. of the Interior, US
1018 Geographical and Geological Survey of the Rocky Mountain Region, Washington, D.C.

1019 Gobran, G.R, Clegg, S., Courchesne, F,. 1998. Rhizospheric processes influencing the
1020 biogeochemistry of forest ecosystems. *Biogeochemistry*. 42, 107-120.

1021 Goddris, Y., Donnadieu, Y., Le Hir, G., Lefebvre, V., Nardin, 2014. The role of
1022 palaeogeography in the Phanerozoic history of atmospheric CO₂ and climate. *Earth-Science*
1023 *Reviews*. 128, 122-138.

1024 Goudie, A.S., 1996. Organic agency in calcrete development. *Journal of Arid Environments*. 32,
1025 103-110.

1026 Goudie, A.S., Viles, H.A., 2012. Weathering and global carbon cycle: Geomorphological
1027 perspectives. *Earth-Science Reviews*. 113, 59-71.

1028 Gregory, P.J., 2006. *Plan roots. Growth, activity and interaction with soils*. Blackwell Publishing,
1029 Oxford, UK, 330 pp.

1030 Gregory, P.J., 2010. A history of rhizosphere research – roots to a solution. 19th World Congress
1031 of Soil Science, Soil Solutions for a Changing World, 1-6 August 2010, Brisbane, Australia,
1032 Published on DVD.

1033 Hartmann, A., Rothballer, M., Schmid, M., 2008. Lorenz Hiltner, a pioneer in rhizosphere
1034 microbial ecology and soil bacteriology research. *Plant Soil*. 312, 7-14.

1035 Hatze, H., 1974. The meaning of the term biomechanics. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 7, 189-190.

1036 Van der Heijden, M.G.A., Martin F.M., Selosse M.-A., Sanders I.R., 2015. Mycorrhizal ecology
1037 and evolution: the past, the present, and the future. *New Phytologist*. 205, 1406-1423.

1038 Hinsinger, P., Plassard, C., Jaillard, B. 2006. Rhizosphere: A new frontier for soil
1039 biogeochemistry. *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*. 88, 210-213.

1040 Hitz, O.M., Grtner, H., Heinrich, I., Monbaron, M., 2008. Application of ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*
1041 L.) roots to determine erosion rates in mountain torrents. *Catena*. 72, 248-258.

1042 Hodge, A., Berta, G., 2009. Plant root growth, architecture and function. *Plant and Soil*. 321,
1043 153-187.

1044 Hoffman, B.S.S., Anderson, R.S., 2013. Tree root mounds and their role in transporting soil on
 1045 forested landscapes. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*. 39, 711-722.

1046 Hueck, H.J., 1968. The biodeterioration of materials, an appraisal, in A.H. Walters and J.J.
 1047 Elphick (Eds.), *Biodeterioration of material, microbiological and allied aspects*, pp. 6-12,
 1048 Elsevier publishing co.

1049 Hughes, M.W., Almond, P.C., Roering, J.J., 2009. Increased sediment transport via bioturbation
 1050 at the last glacial-interglacial transition. *Geology*. 37, 919-922.

1051 Hupp, C.R., Osterkamp, W.R., 2013. Vegetation ecogeomorphology, dynamic equilibrium, and
 1052 disturbance, in: Shroder, J., (Editor in Chief), Butler, D.R., Hupp, C.R. (Eds.), *Treatise on*
 1053 *Geomorphology*. Academic Press, San Diego, CA, vol. 12, *Ecogeomorphology*, pp. 94-106.

1054 Ingleby, K., Wilson, J., Munro, R.C., Cavers, S., 2007. Mycorrhizas in agroforestry: spread and
 1055 sharing of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi between trees and crops: complementary use of
 1056 molecular and microscopic approaches. *Plant and Soil*. 294, 125-136.

1057 Jackson, G., Sheldon, J., 1949. The vegetation of magnesian limestone cliffs at Markland Grips
 1058 near Sheffield. *Journal of Ecology*. 37, 38-50.

1059 Jackson, R.B., Mooney, H.A., Schulze, E.-D., 1997. A global budget for fine root biomass,
 1060 surface area, and nutrient contents. *Proc. Natl. Aca. Sci. USA*, 94, 7362-7366, doi:
 1061 10.1073/pnas.94.14.7362.

1062 Jahn, A., 1989. The soil creep on soils in different altitudinal and ecological zones of Sudetes
 1063 Mountains. *Geografiska Annaler Series A Physical Geography*. 1, 161-170.

1064 Johnson, D.L., 1993. Biomechanical processes and the Gaia paradigm in a unified pedo-
 1065 geomorphic and pedo-archaeologic framework: dynamic denudation, in: Foss, J.E., Timpson,
 1066 M.E., Morris, M.W. (Eds.), *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Pedo-
 1067 Archaeology*. Special Publication, 93-103, pp. 41-67.

1068 Johnson, D.L., 2002. Darwin would be proud: bioturbation, dynamic denudation, and the power
 1069 of theory in science. *Geoarchaeology: An International Journal*. 17, 7-40.

1070 Johnson, D.L., Watson-Stegner, D., 1987. Evolution model of pedogenesis. *Soil Science*, 143, 5,
 1071 349-366.

1072 Johnson, D.L., Schaetzl, R.J., 2015. Differing views of soil and pedogenesis by two masters:
 1073 Darwin and Dokuchaev. *Geoderma*. 237-237, 176-189.

1074 Johnson, D.L., Watson-Stegner, D., Johnson, D.N., Schaetzl, R.J., 1987. Proisotropic and
 1075 proanisotropic processes of pedoturbation. *Soil Science*. 143, 4, 278-292.

1076 Jones, C.G., 2012. Ecosystem engineers and geomorphological signatures in landscapes.
 1077 *Geomorphology*. 157, 75-87.

1078 Jones, C.G., Lawton, J.H., Shachak, M. 1994. Organisms as ecosystem engineers. *Oikos*. 69,
 1079 373–386.

1080 Jones, C.G., Lawton, J.H., Shachak, M. 1997. Positive and negative effects of organisms as
 1081 physical ecosystem engineers. *Ecology*. 78, 1946–1957.

1082 Jongmans, A.G., van Breemen, N., Lundström, U., van Hees, P.A.W., Finlay, R.D., Srinivasan,
 1083 M., Unestam, T., Giesler, R., Melkerud, P.-A., Olsson, M., 1997. Rock-eating fungi. *Nature*.
 1084 389, 682-683.

1085 Kenrick, P., Crane, P.R., 1997. The origin and early evolution of plants on land. *Nature*. 389, 33-
 1086 39.

1087 Kenrick, P., Strullu-Derrien, C., 2014. The origin and early evolution of roots. *Plant Physiology*.
 1088 166, 570-580.

1089 Kindle, E.M., 1925. A note on rhizcretions. *The Journal of Geology*. 33, 744-746.

1090 King, P.B., Schumm, S.A. (Eds.), 1980. The physical geography (geomorphology) of William
 1091 Morris Davis. Geo Books, 217 pp.

1092 Kramer, P.J., Boyer, J.S., 1995. Water relations of plants and soils. Academic Press, San Diego,
1093 London, 482 pp.

1094 Kumar, R., Kumar A.V., 1999. Biodeterioration of stone in tropical environments – an overview.
1095 Getty Conservation Institute, Los Angeles, 88 pp.

1096 Lambers, H., Chapin III, F.S., Pons, T.L., 2008. Plant Physiological Ecology (2nd Ed). Springer,
1097 604 p.

1098 Lambers, H., Mougel, C., Jaillard, B., Hinsinger, P., 2009. Plant-microbe-soil interactions in the
1099 rhizosphere: an evolutionary perspective. *Plant Soil*, 321, 83-115.

1100 Landeweert, R., Hoffland, E., Finlay, R.D., Kuyper, T.W., van Breeman, N., 2001. Linking plants
1101 to rocks: ectomycorrhizal fungi mobilize nutrients from minerals. *Trends in Ecology and*
1102 *Evolution*. 16, 248-253.

1103 Leake, J.R., Duran, A.L., Hardy, K.E., Johnson, I., Beerling, D.J., Banwart, S.A., Smits, M.M.,
1104 2008. Biological weathering in soil: the role of symbiotic root-associated fungi biosensing
1105 minerals and directing photosynthate-energy into grain-scale mineral weathering.
1106 *Mineralogical Magazine*. 72, 85-89.

1107 Le Hir, G., Donnadieu, Y., Goddris, Y., Meyer-Berthaud, B., Ramstein, G., Blakey, R.C., 2011.
1108 The climate change caused by the land plant invasion in the Devonian. *Earth and Planetary*
1109 *Science Letters*. 310, 203-212.

1110 Lehre, A.K., 1987. Rates of soil creep on colluvium-mantled hillslopes in north-central
1111 California, in: Beschta, R., Blinn, T., Grant, C., Ice, G., Swanson, F. (Eds.), *Erosion and*
1112 *Sedimentation in the Pacific Rim*. IAHS Press, Wallingford, pp. 91-100.

1113 Lehto, T., Zwiazek, J.J., 2011. Ectomycorrhizas and water relations of trees: a review.
1114 *Mycorrhiza*. 21, 71-90.

1115 Lin, H., 2010. Earth's Critical Zone and hydropedology: concepts, characteristics, and advances.
 1116 Hydrology and Earth System Sciences. 14, 25-45.

1117 Lipar, M., Webb, J.A., 2015. The formation of the pinnacle karst in Pleistocene Aeolian
 1118 calcarenites (Tamala Limestone) in southwestern Australia. Earth-Science Reviews. 140, 182-
 1119 202.

1120 Little, D. A., Field, J.B., 2003. The rhizosphere, biology and the regolith, in: Roach, I.C., (Ed.),
 1121 Advances in Regolith, CRC LEME, pp. 271-274.

1122 Little, D.A., Field, J.B., Welch, S.A., 2004. The life and times of tree roots: elemental dynamics
 1123 in the rhizosphere of co-occurring trees in a mixed-species dry sclerophyll forest, in: Roach,
 1124 I.C. (Ed.), Regolith, CRC LEME, pp. 225-229.

1125 Lucas, Y., 2001. The Role of Plants in Controlling Rates and Products of Weathering: Importance
 1126 of Biological Pumping. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences. 29, 135–163.

1127 Lucas, Y., Luizao, F.J., Chauvel, A., Rouiller, J., Nahon, D., 1993. The relation between
 1128 biological activity of the rain forest and mineral composition of soils. Science. 260, 521–523.

1129 Lundstrom, U.S., van Breemen, N., Jongmans, A.G. 1995. Evidence for microbial decomposition
 1130 of organic acids during podsolization. Eur. J. Soil Sci. 46, 489-496.

1131 Lutz, H.J., 1958. Geology and soil in relation to forest vegetation. Proceedings, First North
 1132 American Forest Soils Conference, East Lansing, MI, p. 75-85.

1133 Lutz, H.J., 1960. Movement of rocks by uprooting of forest trees. American Journal of Science.
 1134 258, 752-756.

1135 Lutz, H., Griswold, F.S., 1939. The influence of tree roots on soil morphology. American Journal
 1136 of Science. 237, 389–400.

1137 Marston, R.A., 2010. Geomorphology and vegetation on hillslopes: Interactions, dependencies,
 1138 and feedback loops. Geomorphology. 116, 206-217.

1139 Martin, L.L., 2006. Effects of forest and grass vegetation on fluviokarst hillslope hydrology,
 1140 Bowman's Bend, Kentucky. PhD dissertation, University of Kentucky, Lexington. Available
 1141 at: http://uknowledge.uky.edu/gradschool_diss/362/
 1142 Matthews, B., de Mester, L., Jones, C.G., Ibelings, B.W., Bouma, T.J., Nuutinen, V., van de
 1143 Koppel, J., Odling-Smee, J., 2014. Under niche construction: an operational bridge between
 1144 ecology, evolution, and ecosystem science. *Ecological Monographs*. 84, 245-263.
 1145 McGahan, D.G., Southard, R.J., Zasoski, R.J. 2014. Rhizosphere effects on soil solution
 1146 composition and mineral stability. *Geoderma*. 226, 340-347.
 1147 McNear Jr., D.H., 2013. The rhizosphere – roots, soil and everything in between. *Nature*
 1148 *Education Knowledge*. 4(3):1. ([http://www.nature.com/scitable/knowledge/library/the-](http://www.nature.com/scitable/knowledge/library/the-rhizosphere-roots-soil-and-67500617)
 1149 [rhizosphere-roots-soil-and-67500617](http://www.nature.com/scitable/knowledge/library/the-rhizosphere-roots-soil-and-67500617))
 1150 Merrill, G.P., 1906. A treatise on rocks, rock-weathering and soils. The MacMillian Company,
 1151 New York.
 1152 Morris, J.L., Leake, J.R., Stein, W.E., Berry, C.M., Marshall, J.E.A., Wellman, C.H., Milton,
 1153 J.A., Hillier, S., Mannolini, F., Quirk, J., Beerling, D.J., 2015. Investigating Devonian trees as
 1154 geo-engineers of past climates: linking palaeosols to palaeobotany and experimental
 1155 geobiology. *Palaeontology*. 1-15, doi: 10.1111/pala.12185.
 1156 Mossa, J., Schumacher, B.A., 1993. Fossil tree casts in south Louisiana soils. *Journal of*
 1157 *Sedimentary Petrology*. 63, 4, 707-713.
 1158 Nadezhdina, N., David, T.S. David, J.S., Ferreira, M.I., Dohnal, M., Tesar, M., Gartner, K.,
 1159 Leitgeb, E., Nadezhdin, V., Cermak, J., Jimenez, M.S., Morales, D. 2010. Trees never rest: the
 1160 multiple facets of hydraulic redistribution. *Ecohydrology*. 3, 431-444.

1161 Neill, C., Steudler, P.A., Garcia-Montiel, D.C., Melillo, J.M., Feigl, B.J., Piccolo, M.C., Cerri,
 1162 C.C. 2005. Rates and controls of nitrous oxide and nitric oxide emissions following
 1163 conversion of forest to pasture in Rondonia. *Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems*. 71, 1-15.
 1164 Nie, Y., Chen, H., Wang, K., Ding, Y., 2014. Rooting characteristics of two widely distributed
 1165 woody plant species growing in different karst habitats of southwest China. *Plant Ecology*.
 1166 215, 1099-1109.
 1167 Odling-Smee, F.J., Laland, K.N., Feldman, M.W., 2003. *Niche Construction. The Neglected*
 1168 *Process in Evolution*. Princeton University Press, 473 p.
 1169 O'Loughlin, C., 2005. The protective role of trees in soil conservation. *New Zealand Journal of*
 1170 *Forestry*. 49, 9-15.
 1171 Pagani, M., Caldeira, K., Berner, R., Beerling, D.J., 2009. The role of terrestrial plants in limiting
 1172 atmospheric CO₂ decline over the past 24 million years. *Nature*. 460, 85-U94.
 1173 Pawlik, Ł., 2013. The role of trees in the geomorphic system of forested hillslopes – A review.
 1174 *Earth-Science Reviews*. 126, 250-265.
 1175 Pawlik, Ł., Migoń, P., Owczarek, P., Kacprzak, A., 2013. Surface processes and interactions with
 1176 forest vegetation on a steep mudstone slope, Stolowe Mountains, SW Poland. *Catena*. 109,
 1177 203-216.
 1178 Pawlik Ł., Migoń P., Szymanowski M., 2016. Local- and regional-scale biomorphodynamics due
 1179 to tree uprooting in semi-natural and manager montane forests of the Sudetes Mountains,
 1180 Central Europe. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*. DOI: 10.1002/esp.3950.
 1181 Perry, T.O., 1982. The ecology of tree roots and the practical significance thereof. *Journal of*
 1182 *Arboriculture*. 8, 197-211.
 1183 Phillips, J.D., 2008. Soil system modeling and generation of field hypotheses. *Geoderma*. 145,
 1184 419-425.

1185 Phillips, J.D. 2009a. Soils as extended composite phenotypes. *Geoderma*, 149, 143-151.

1186 Phillips, J.D., 2009b. Biological energy in landscape evolution. *American Journal of Science*.

1187 309, 271-309.

1188 Phillips, J.D., 2016a. Biogeomorphology and contingent ecosystem engineering in karst

1189 landscapes. *Progress in Physical Geography*. DOI: 10.1177/0309133315624641.

1190 Phillips, J.D., 2016b. Landforms as extended composite phenotypes. *Earth Surface Processes*

1191 and *Landforms*. 41, 16-26.

1192 Phillips, J.D., Marion, D.A., 2005. Biomechanical effects, lithological variations, and local

1193 pedodiversity in some forest soils of Arkansas. *Geoderma*. 124, 73-89.

1194 Phillips, J.D., Marion, D.A., 2006. The biomechanical effects of trees on soils and regoliths:

1195 beyond treethrow. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*. 96, 233-247.

1196 Phillips, J.D., Turkington, A.V., Marion, D.A., 2008a. Weathering and vegetation effects in early

1197 stages of soil formation. *Catena*. 72, 21-28.

1198 Phillips, J.D., Marion, D.A., Turkington, A.V., 2008b. Pedologic and geomorphic impacts of a

1199 tornado blowdown event in a mixed pine-hardwood forest, *Catena*. 75, 278-287.

1200 Phillips, J.D., Marion, D.A., Yocum, C., Mehlhope, S.H., Olson, J.W. 2015. Geomorphological

1201 impacts of a tornado disturbance in a subtropical forest. *Catena*. 125, 111-119.

1202 Pitty, A.F., 1971. *Introduction to geomorphology*. Methuen & Co Ltd., London, U.K.

1203 Pregitzer, K.S., 2008. Tree root architecture: form and function. *New Phytologist*. 180, 562-564.

1204 Pregitzer, K.S., DeForest, J.L., Burton, A.J., Allen, M.F., Ruess, R.W., Hendrick, R.L., 2002.

1205 Fine root architecture of nine North American trees. *Ecological Monographs*. 72, 2, 293-309.

1206 Puente, M.E., Bashan, Y., Li, C.Y., Lebsky, V.K., 2004. Microbial populations and activities in

1207 the rhizoplane of rock-weathering desert plants. 1. Root colonization and weathering of

1208 igneous rocks. *Plant Biology*. 6, 629-642.

1209 Quirk, J., Leake, J.R., Johnson, D.A., Taylor, L.L., Saccone, L., Beerling, D.J., 2015.
 1210 Constraining the role of early land plants in Palaeozoic weathering and global cooling. *Proc.*
 1211 *R. Soc. B* 282: 20151115. <http://dx.doi/10.1098/rspb.2015.1115>.
 1212 Rahimzadeh, N., Khormali, F., Olamaee, M., Amini, A., Dordipour, E. 2015. Effect of canola
 1213 rhizosphere and silicate dissolving bacteria on the weathering and K release from indigenous
 1214 glauconite shale. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*. 51, 973-981.
 1215 Raunkiaer, C., 1934. *The Life Forms of Plants and Statistical Plant Geography*, being the
 1216 collected papers of C. Raunkiaer. Translated by H. Gilbert-Carter, A. Fausbøll, and A. G.
 1217 Tansley. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
 1218 Raven, J.A., Edwards, D., 2001. Roots: evolutionary origins and biogeochemical significance.
 1219 *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 52, 381-401.
 1220 Retallack, G.J., 1997. Early forest soils and their role in Devonian global change. *Science*. 276,
 1221 583-585.
 1222 Retallack, G.J., 2001. *Soils of the past. An introduction to paleopedology*. Blackwell Science Ltd.
 1223 Roering, J.J., Marshall, J., Booth, A.M., Mort, M., Jin, Q., 2010. Evidence for biotic controls on
 1224 topography and soil production. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 298, 183-190.
 1225 Šamonil, P., Král, K., Hort, L., 2010. The role of tree uprooting in soil formation: A critical
 1226 literature review. *Geoderma*. 157, 65-79.
 1227 Šamonil, P., Schaetzl, R.J., Valtera, M., Golias, V., Baldrian, P., Vasickova, I., Adam, D., Janik,
 1228 D., Hort, L., 2013. Crossdating of disturbances by tree uprooting: Can treethrow
 1229 microtopography persist for 6,000 years? *Forest Ecology and Management*. 307, 123-135.
 1230 Šamonil, P., Vašíčková, I., Daněk, P., Janík, D., Adam, D., 2014. Disturbances can control fine-
 1231 scale pedodiversity in old-growth forests: is the soil evolution theory disturbed as well?
 1232 *Biogeosciences*. 11, 5889-5905. DOI: 10.5194/bg-11-5889-2014.

1233 Šamonil, P., Daněk P., Schaetzl, R.J., Vašíčková I., Valtera, M., 2015. Soil mixing and genesis as
 1234 affected by tree uprooting in three temperate forests: Soil mixing and evolution as affected by
 1235 tree-throw. *European Journal of Soil Science*. 66, 589-603.

1236 Šamonil P., Valtera M., Schaetzl R.J., Adam D., Vašíčková I., Daněk P., Janík D., Tejnecký V.
 1237 2016. Impacts of old, comparatively stable, treethrow microtopography on soils and forest
 1238 dynamics in the northern hardwoods of Michigan, USA. *Catena*. 140, 55–65.

1239 Schaetzl, R.J., 1986. Complete soil profile inversion by tree uprooting. *Physical Geography*. 7,
 1240 181-189.

1241 Schaetzl, R.J., 1990. Effects of treethrow microtopography on the characteristics and genesis of
 1242 Spodosols, Michigan, USA. *Catena*. 17, 111–126.

1243 Schaetzl, R.J., Thompson, M.L., 2015. *Soils – Genesis and Geomorphology*. 2nd edition,
 1244 Cambridge University Press, 795 pp.

1245 Schaetzl, R.J., Johnson, D.L., Burns, S.F., Small, T.W., 1989a. Tree uprooting: review of
 1246 terminology, process, and environmental implications. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*.
 1247 19, 1-11.

1248 Schaetzl, R.J., Burns, S.F., Johnson, D.L., Small, T.W., 1989b. Tree uprooting: review of impacts
 1249 on forest ecology. *Vegetatio*. 79, 165-176.

1250 van Scholl, L., Kuyper, T.W., Smits, M.M., Landeweert, R., Hoffland, E., van Breemen, N. 2008.
 1251 Rock-eating mycorrhizas: their role in plant nutrition and biogeochemical cycles. *Plant and*
 1252 *Soil*. 303, 35-47.

1253 Schroder, J.F., 2010. Dendrogeomorphology Beginnings and Futures: A Personal Reminiscence.
 1254 in: Beniston, M., (Ed.), *Advances in Global Change Research*, Springer Science+Business
 1255 Media B.V., Volume 41, pp. vii-x.

1256 Schweingruber, F.H., 2007. *Wood structure and environment*. Springer, Berlin, GE, 279 pp.

- 1257 Schwinning, S., 2010. The ecohydrology of roots in rocks. *Ecohydrology*. 3, 238-245.
- 1258 Schwinning, S., 2013. Do we need new rhizosphere models for rock-dominated landscapes? *Plant*
1259 *Soil*. 362, 25-31.
- 1260 Selby, M.J., 1993. *Hillslope Materials and Processes* (2nd Ed.). Oxford University Press, 451 pp.
- 1261 Shaler, N.S., 1892. The origin and nature of soils. 12th Annual Report of the Director, 1890-1891,
1262 U.S. Geological Survey, Washington.
- 1263 Shouse, M.A., Phillips, J.D., 2016. Soil deepening by trees and the effects of parent material.
1264 *Geomorphology* (accepted for publication).
- 1265 Sigafos, R.S., 1964. Botanical evidence of floods and flood-plain deposition. U.S. Geol. Surv.
1266 Prof. Pap. 485-A, p. 35.
- 1267 Skjemstad, J.O., Waters, A.G., Hanna, J.V., Oades, J.M., 1992. Genesis of podzols on coastal
1268 dunes in southern Queensland: IV. Nature of the organic fraction as seen by ¹³C nuclear
1269 magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Australian Journal of Soil Research*. 30, 667– 681.
- 1270 Sokolova, T.A., 2011. The role of soil biota in the weathering of minerals: a review of literature.
1271 *Eurasian Soil Science*. 44, 56-72.
- 1272 Sokolova, T.A., 2015. Specificity of soil properties in the rhizosphere: analysis of literature data.
1273 *Eurasian Soil Science*. 48, 968-980.
- 1274 Stein, W.E., Berry, C.M., Hernick, L.V., Mannolini, F., 2012. Surprisingly complex community
1275 discovered in the mid-Devonian fossil forest at Giboia. *Nature*. 483, 78-81.
- 1276 Steinacher, R., Medicus, G., Felling, W., Zangerl, Ch., 2009. The influence of deforestation on
1277 slope (in-) stability. *Austrian Journal of Earth Sciences*. 102, 2, 90-99.
- 1278 Stephens, J.L., 1843. *Incidents of travel in Yucatan* (1st volume). New York, Harper and
1279 Brothers.

1280 Stoffel, M., Luckman, B.H., Butler, D.R., Bollschweiler, M., 2013. Dendrogeomorphology:
 1281 Dating Earth-Surface Processes with Tree Rings. In: Schroder, J.F., (Ed.), Treatise on
 1282 Geomorphology. San Diego: Academic Press, Volume 12, pp. 125-144.
 1283 Stone, E.L., Kalisz, P.J., 1991. On the maximum extent of tree roots. Forest Ecology and
 1284 Management. 46, 59-102.
 1285 Strunk, H., 1997. Dating of geomorphological processes using dendrogeomorphological methods.
 1286 Catena. 31, 137-151.
 1287 Sustersic, F., Rejsek, K., Misic, M., Eichler, F., 2009. The role of loamy sediment (terra rossa) in
 1288 the contest of steady state karst surface lowering. Geomorphology. 106, 35-45.
 1289 Sweeting, M.M., 1973. Karst Landforms. Columbia University Press, New York., 362 pp.
 1290 Taborosi, D., 2002. Biokarst on a tropical carbonate island: Guam, Mariana Islands. Theoretical
 1291 and Applied Karstology. 15, 73-91.
 1292 Taylor, L.L., Leake, J.R., Quirk, J., Hardy, K., Banwart, S.A., Beerling, D.J., 2009. Biological
 1293 weathering and the long-term carbon cycle: integrating mycorrhizal evolution and function
 1294 into the current paradigm. Geobiology. 7, 171-191.
 1295 Trofimov, V.T., Kurilenko, V.V., 2015. Ecological functions of the abiotic spheres of the Earth:
 1296 the content and significance for the formation of a new theoretical basis of geoecology.
 1297 Moscow University Geology Bulletin. 70, 3, 270-279.
 1298 Trudgill, S., 1985. Limestone geomorphology. Longman, London.
 1299 Ulanova, N.G., 2000. The effects of windthrow on forests at different spatial scale: a review.
 1300 Forest Ecology and Management. 135, 155-167.
 1301 Verboom, W.H., Pate, J.S., 2013. Exploring the biological dimensions to pedogenesis with
 1302 emphasis on the ecosystems, soils, and landscapes of southwestern Australia. Geoderma. 211–
 1303 212: 154–183.

1304 Viles, H.A., 1988. Organisms and karst geomorphology, in: Viles, H.A., (Ed.),
 1305 Biogeomorphology. Oxford: Basil Blackwell, UK, pp. 319-35.
 1306 Viles, H.A., Naylor, L.A., Carter, N.E.A., Chaput, D., 2008. Biogeomorphological disturbance
 1307 regimes: progress in linking ecological and geomorphological systems. *Earth Surface*
 1308 *Processes and Landforms*. 33, 1419-1435.
 1309 Wall, J.D.R., Wilford, G.E., 1966. Two small-scale solution features on limestone outcrops in
 1310 Sarawak, Malaysia. *Annals of Geomorphology*. 10, 90-94.
 1311 Wilkinson, M.T., Richards, P.J., Humphreys, G.S., 2009. Breaking ground: Pedological,
 1312 geological, and ecological implications of soil bioturbation. *Earth-Science Reviews*. 97, 257-
 1313 272.
 1314 Witty, J.H., Graham, R.C., Hubbert, K.R., Doolittle, J.A., Wald, J.A., 2003. Contributions of
 1315 water supply from the weathered bedrock zone to forest soil quality. *Geoderma*. 114, 389-400.
 1316 WRB, 2014. World Reference Base for Soil Resources, International soil classification system
 1317 for naming soils and creating legends for soil maps. World Soil Resources Reports No. 106,
 1318 FAO, Rome.
 1319 Wrońska-Wałach, D., 2014. Differing responses to extreme rainfall events in headwater areas
 1320 recorded by wood anatomy in roots (Gorce Mountains, Poland). *Catena*. 118, 41-54.
 1321 Yatsu, E., 1988. Nature of weathering – An introduction. Sozosha, Japan.
 1322 Zwieniecki, M.A., Newton, M., 1995. Roots growing in rock fissures: their morphological
 1323 adaptation. *Plant and Soil*. 172, 181-187.
 1324

1325 Table 1. Mycorrhizal fungi types (Landeweert et al., 2001; Brundrett, 2002; Taylor et al., 2009;
 1326 van der Heijden et al., 2015).

Name	Abbreviation	Estimated appearance in associations with all plant species	Number of plant species forming associations with mycorrhizal fungi	Geological history (first appearance)	Chemical weathering effects
INDIRECT EFFECTS ON WEATHERING					
arbuscular mycorrhiza (vasicular-arbuscular mycorrhizas)	AM (VAM)	74-80%	200 000	450-460 million years ago	1. secretion of organic acids or chelators needed for mineral dissolution has not been proved 2. indirect effects through glomalin (the compound which increases soil quality, retention of water and by promoting soil particle aggregation decrease soil erosion)
DIRECT EFFECTS ON WEATHERING					
ectomycorrhizal	EM (ECM)	2-3%	6000 (e.g. pines, beeches, oaks, birches and alders)	135-220 million years ago	1. LMW exudates; the most important for weathering are oxalates and citrates 2. EMs bacteria produce siderophores; strong Fe chelator
NOT CONSIDERED IN THIS PAPER					
orchid mycorrhiza	-	9%	20 000-35 000	?	-
ericoid mycorrhiza	-	1%	3900	80 million years ago	-

1327

1328

Figure 1. Root deterioration of an ancient wall, the Malayan ruins at Kabah, Mexico (Stephens, 1843; p. 393; see also Viles, 1988; p. 337).

Figure 2. Nathaniel Shaler's view on soil properties influenced by tree roots (Shaler, 1892; p. 270).

Figure 3. One of the possible processes involved in soil creep as explained by William Morris Davis (King and Schumm, 1980). Original figure's caption: "*Soil creep produced by growth of tree roots. A) During growth, soil is forced downhill. B) During decay, soil from uphill moves into the cavity (from a blackboard sketch).*"

Figure 4. Main types of tree roots.

Figure 5. A hypothetical imprint left in soil by growing and decayed plant roots which influence permeability and hydrological connectivity with lower soil horizons and bedrock (the figure based on Gaiser, 1952, p. 63; modified).

Figure 6. The main palaeobotanical events considering the evolution of plants and plant roots (authors' compilations based on Kenrick and Crane, 1997; Algeo and Scheckler, 1998; Raven and Edwards, 2001; Stein et al., 2012; Kenrick and Strullu-Derrien, 2014). Imaginations of rhizoids, rhizomes and roots taken from Brundrett (2002).

Fig. 7. Potential local biomechanical effects of growing tree roots on bedrock (from Bunnett, 1965).

Fig. 8. Conceptual model of tors development under tree cover (from Gams, 1966, see also Pitty, 1971).

Fig. 9. Rock cliff development under biomechanical impact of growing tree roots (modified after Jackson and Sheldon, 1949).

Fig. 10. Examples of biomechanical effects of tree roots (all photographs: authors): 1A and 1B – chinkapin oak (*Quercus muehlenbergii*), limestone; 1C – American sycamore (*Platanus occidentalis*); 2A and 2C – European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*), limestone; 2B – European hornbeam (*Carpinus betulus*), shale; 3A and 3C – Norway spruce (*Picea abies*), mudstone; 3B – Norway spruce (*Picea abies*), sandstone.

Fig. 11. Angkor Temple in Cambodia overgrown by large trees of *Ficus sp.* (upper photographs were taken in 2012 by Sigita Sabaliauskaite, lower photographs were taken in 2004 by Vít Zoufalý; all photographs are used with permission of the Authors).

Fig. 12. Uprooted tree with bricks in a root plate. The tree was growing on the wall of an abandoned old house in the Table Mts., SW Poland. During uprooting event it caused damage of the wall. (Photo: Ł. Pawlik, 2015)

Fig. 13. Conceptual model of biological weathering caused by tree roots and its direct and indirect effects on soil production and denudation. Dashed arrows indicate biological weathering *sensu lato*.

1366

1367

FIG. 7.—Effect of roots of trees on the formation of soil.

1368

1369

A

B

1370

1371

TREE ROOTS

WOODY ROOTS

1. mechanically support the tree
2. store energy reserves
3. transport liquids with soluble substances

NON-WOODY ROOTS

1. absorb water and elements
2. composed of very little lignin in cell walls
3. live for a short time (weeks to a year)

ROOT HAIRS

Extensions
of epidermal cells

MYCORRHIZAE

Organs composed
of tree and fungus tissue

1372

1373

1374

1375

1378

1379

1380

1381

1382

1383

Kentucky, USA

Czech Republic

Poland

1384

1385

1386

1387

TREE ROOTS