1   **Shear velocity structure of the crust and upper mantle of**
2   **Madagascar derived from surface wave tomography**

3

4   Martin J. Pratt¹, Michael E. Wyssession¹, Ghassan Aleqabi¹, Douglas A. Wiens¹, Andrew
5   A. Nyblade², Patrick Shore¹, Gérard Rambolamanana³, Fenitra Andriampenomanana³,
6   Tsiriandrimanana Rakotondraibe³, Robert D. Tucker⁴, Guilhem Barruol⁵, Elisa
7   Rindraharisaona⁶

8

9   1. Washington University in St. Louis, MO, USA

10   2. Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA, USA

11   3. Institut Observatoire et Geophysique d'Antananarivo, Madagascar

12   4. University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

13   5. Laboratoire GéoSciences Réunion, Université de la Réunion, Institut de Physique
14   du Globe de Paris, UMR CNRS 7154, Université Paris Diderot, F-97744 Saint
15   Denis, France

16   6. Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum (GFZ), Germany

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Abstract

The crust and upper mantle of the Madagascar continental fragment remained largely unexplored until a series of recent broadband seismic experiments. An island-wide deployment of broadband seismic instruments has allowed the first study of phase velocity variations, derived from surface waves, across the entire island. Late Cenozoic alkaline intraplate volcanism has occurred in three separate regions of Madagascar (north, central and southwest), with the north and central volcanism active until <1 Ma, but the sources of which remains uncertain. Combined analysis of three complementary surface wave methods (ambient noise, Rayleigh wave cross-correlations, and two-plane-wave) illuminate the upper mantle down to depths of 150 km. The phase-velocity measurements from the three methods for periods of 8–182 s are combined at each node and interpolated to generate the first 3-D shear-velocity model for sub-Madagascar velocity structure. Shallow (upper 10 km) low-shear-velocity regions correlate well with sedimentary basins along the west coast. Upper mantle low-shear-velocity zones that extend to at least 150 km deep underlie the north and central regions of recent alkali magmatism. These anomalies appear distinct at depths <100 km, suggesting that any connection between the zones lies at depths greater than the resolution of surface-wave tomography. An additional low-shear velocity anomaly is also identified at depths 50–150 km beneath the southwest region of intraplate volcanism. We interpret these three low-velocity regions as upwelling asthenosphere beneath the island, producing high-elevation topography and relatively low-volume magmatism.

1. Introduction

Though Madagascar is a large island with a geologic history extending far back into the Archean (Collins, 2006; Tucker et al., 2011), its crust and mantle structure have been largely unexplored by seismic methods until now. Despite recent surface geologic mapping, culminating in the high-resolution geologic map of Roig et al. (2012), the lack of subsurface observations has prevented an accurate interpretation of the geologic and tectonic histories of the region. For example, prior to the current studies, the crustal thickness of the island had only been inferred from a gravity survey (Fournon and Roussel, 1994) and more recently by receiver function techniques applied at the few permanent seismic stations (Rindraharisaona et al., 2013).

One of the more unusual aspects of Madagascan geology is the occurrence of several episodes of Cenozoic volcanism in the central (Itasy/Ankaratra) and northern regions (Nosy Be/Massif D'Ambre) of the island (Emerick and Duncan, 1982; Nougier et al., 1986; Tucker and Conrad, 2008) (Fig. 1). These two regions have been referred to in the literature as the Northern Madagascar Alkaline Province (NMAP) and the Central Madagascar Alkaline Province (CMAP). A third outcrop of late Cenozoic volcanism was also identified in the southwestern part of the island by Bardintzeff et al. (2010), which we will refer to as the SMAP. Several ideas have previously been put forward to explain this anomalous volcanic activity (Emerick and Duncan, 1982; Nougier et al., 1986). However, without the imaging provided by broadband seismological investigations, hypotheses concerning the origin of this magmatic activity could not be tested. It was in the context of this challenge that the seismic imaging presented here was carried out through the 2-year deployment of an island-wide network of 26 broadband seismometer

71 locations, complemented by six seismometers deployed in neighboring Mozambique as
72 part of the MACOMO (MAdagascar COMores MOZambique) experiment (Wyssession et
73 al., 2011). The experiment was simultaneously accompanied by the German
74 SELASOMA seismic deployment of twenty-seven broadband stations along a SW–NE
75 profile in the south of Madagascar (Tilmann et al., 2012) and by a terrestrial deployments
76 of ten broadband stations in the frame of the RHUM-RUM experiment; five along the SE
77 coast of Madagascar and five in the surrounding Eparses Islands (Barruol and Sigloch,
78 2012).

79 Previous broadband seismological work within Madagascar was carried out using
80 four permanent broadband seismometer stations at ABPO (GSN), FOMA (GEOSCOPE),
81 SBV and VOI (GEOFON) (Rindraharisaona et al., 2013). Small deployments of short-
82 period seismometers were also carried out by researchers from the University of
83 Antananarivo, through these short-period sensors were only sensitive to local seismicity
84 in the Itasy and Ankaratra region (e.g. Rindraharisaona et al., 2013), and were not able to
85 resolve deep and large-scale structures. The global model Crust1.0 (Laske et al., 2013)
86 includes a representation of Madagascar with a 1° parameterization that varies between a
87 maximum crustal thickness of ~42 km along the backbone of the island, where the
88 topography is highest, to a minimum crustal thickness of ~30 km along the western coast.
89 This model improved upon crustal-thickness models that were based on gravity studies,
90 which suggested crustal-thickness variations of 25–35 km (Rakotondraompiana et al.,
91 1999). Upper mantle observations beneath Madagascar had previously only been
92 constrained by receiver-function and teleseismic surface-wave shear-velocity inversions
93 for each of the four permanent stations (Rindraharisaona et al., 2013), which also

concluded that the Madagascan lithosphere is relatively thin compared with East Africa, and that the lowest asthenospheric shear velocities lie beneath some of the highest topography around the central Itasy region.

In this study, we utilize an island-wide deployment of seismometers that is able to explore the crust and upper mantle seismic velocities of Madagascar using phase velocity measurements derived from both ambient noise and teleseismic surface wave analyses, providing good structural resolution to depths of up to 200 km. Resolving below this depth will require a subsequent analysis of teleseismic body wave tomography. We apply a linearized shear-velocity inversion to the measured phase velocities to produce the first complete regional shear-velocity model of Madagascar.

2. Geologic Setting

Madagascar has an unusual geologic history that has primarily shaped its crustal and lithospheric structures through a Pan-African amalgamation of Precambrian terranes that were positioned at the suture of Eastern and Western Gondwana (Collins, 2006, and references therein). These tectonic terranes include a fragment of the Archean Western Dharwar craton (Antongil-Masaora Terrane along the eastern coast) (Pacquette et al., 2003), which is a series of Proterozoic terranes containing remnant shear zones (Antananarivo terrane, throughout the Madagascan highland plateaus) (Fig. 1) (Tucker et al., 2007, and references therein).

Madagascar rifted away from Africa along with India ~165–130 Ma, during the break-up of Gondwana (Rabinowitz et al., 1983; Coffin and Rabinowitz, 1987). Throughout this time, volcanic rocks were emplaced across many regions of Madagascar

(Fig. 1). This rifting thinned the crust along the west coast of Madagascar, allowing syntectonic sedimentary basins to form there. The east coast of Madagascar was shaped by the subsequent rifting of the Indian subcontinent that moved northwards along a transform fault from 95 Ma to 84 Ma (e.g., Gibbons et al., 2013), and the whole island has remained tectonically stable since then.

Madagascar was subject to widespread flood basalts (now found at the surface primarily around the periphery of the island) that erupted voluminously but briefly during this time, ~95–85 Ma ago, and may have once covered the island (Storey et al., 1995). This is commonly linked to the migration of Madagascar/India over the Marion hot spot (Storey et al., 1995; Torsvik et al., 1998), leading to fracturing of the overriding plate, rapid emplacement of mantle-derived tholeiitic basalt and crustal-derived dacite/alkali rhyolite magmas, and eventual fragmentation of India from Madagascar.

The enigmatic igneous provinces of much more recent alkaline intraplate volcanism, including the large NMAP (3800 km²) and CMAP (6000 km²) areas, are shown in Fig. 1. Radiometric ⁴⁰Ar/³⁹Ar ages show that the igneous rocks are principally 25–1 Ma old (Emerick and Duncan, 1982; Nougier et al., 1986; Tucker and Conrad, 2008), but began as early as 50 Ma. In certain regions of the north (around Nosy Be and the Massif d'Ambre) and in the center of the island (in the Itasy and Ankaratra regions west of the capital, Antananarivo) volcanism has extended into the Holocene (Collins, 2006). The SMAP volcanic activity, found in a comparatively small region of southwestern Madagascar, near Toliara, has isotopic ages of ~9 Ma, similar to some of the CMAP volcanics (Bardintzeff et al., 2009).

139   A first hypothesis to explain the Madagascan intraplate volcanism connects it to
140 the more active Comoros volcanic alignment to the northwest (Emerick and Duncan,
141 1982), which extends SE-to-NW from Mayotte Island to the Grande Comore Island and
142 the active Karthala volcano. A second hypothesis relates both the Comoros and
143 Madagascar volcanism to the southern termination of the East African Rift and to the
144 eastward motion of the Somalian plate relative to Nubia. Although the geometry of the
145 southern termination of the Somalian plate is still debated (Saria et al., 2014; Stamps et
146 al., 2015), the diffuse termination of the East African Rift may extend through the
147 Comoros volcanic alignment and into the intraplate Madagascar volcanism (Michon,
148 2016). A third hypothesis could involve deep connections to a lower mantle African
149 superplume (Ebinger and Sleep, 1998), which would generate a large-scale mantle
150 upwelling (Forte et al., 2010) that spreads radially in the upper mantle beneath Southern
151 Africa. This upwelling could bring hot asthenospheric mantle to the Mozambique
152 Channel region, generating volcanism within Madagascar. A fourth hypothesis involves
153 fracture zones created during continental separation (Nougier et al., 1986). These bands
154 of weakness may be reactivated through periods of regional lithospheric extension,
155 allowing local asthenospheric upwelling and volcanic activity. The regional fracture
156 zones have a similar orientation to the N–S trending Davie Ridge, believed to be the
157 transform fault guiding Madagascar southwards during the Cretaceous (Coffin and
158 Rabinowitz, 1987) and which has been the site of recent seismic reactivation (Grimison
159 and Chen, 1988). Similarly orientated remnant structures from the amalgamation of
160 Madagascar in the Precambrian, such as the Ranotsara shear zone, may also provide areas
161 of crustal and/or lithospheric weakness for tectonic extension to exploit. These four

hypotheses are not completely independent and may interact concurrently to produce intraplate volcanism.

At regional scales, seismicity is clearly aligned along the Davie Ridge, along the Comoros volcanic alignment and beneath Madagascar. Seismicity within Madagascar shows highest concentrations in the center of the island (Rindraharisaona et al., 2013, and references therein). The majority of these small ($M4$) events have extensional focal mechanisms, reflecting a regional E–W extensional stress regime (Grimison and Chen, 1988; Rindraharisaona et al., 2013), which suggests that the whole region may correspond to a diffuse, extensional plate boundary. The seismicity appears to align in bands approximately N140E that align with mapped normal fault structures and are often associated with the occurrence of hot springs, suggesting high regional heat-flow rates. Rindraharisaona et al. (2013) also used a joint inversion of receiver functions and surface waves to study the upper mantle at the sites of the four permanent stations. They found that the center of the island, beneath the Global Seismic Network (GSN) station ABPO, is underlain by relatively seismically slow upper mantle material, which may suggest an asthenospheric upwelling in this region, although both the spatial and depth extent of the feature was not well resolved.

Tectonic studies of the CMAP and Alaotra-Ankara graben system have shown that these areas are actively extending, resulting in high erosional gullies known locally as lavakas. In places, these features cut into Neogene rocks suggesting that uplift may have been occurring for the past 10–15 Ma (Cox et al., 2010). The topography of Madagascar is unusually elevated for old, continental crust, with large areas of the central and northern parts of the island generally elevated ~ 1 km above sea level, but more than

2 km in places. This is especially unusual considering that Madagascar is unlikely to have experienced extensive compressional tectonics since at least ~140 Ma ago, following its separation from Africa (e.g., Rabinowitz et al., 1983). Examinations of river profiles have suggested that Madagascar has experienced active uplift since the early Miocene (Roberts et al., 2012). Comparisons can be made to other uplifted broad plateaus such as the Massif Central in France (Chevrot et al., 2014), which has also undergone recent intraplate volcanism, and the Hangay Dome of Mongolia (e.g., Petit et al., 2008), where crustal thickness is 40–50 km and the elevation may be accommodated by a thinner lithosphere.

3. Data and Methods

The MACOMO project deployed 36 broadband instruments (9 Guralp CMG-3Ts, 10 Trillium 120PAs, 17 Streckeisen STS-2s, each with a Quanterra Q330 datalogger) at 26 locations within Madagascar (including some repeat locations due to instrument failures) and at 6 locations within Mozambique. Broadband seismometers were operated for two years at 10 Madagascar locations, starting in October 2011, supplemented by an additional 16 broadband stations that operated for one year, starting in August 2012. All stations were removed in August 2013 and data are available at the IRIS data management center under code XV (Wyssession et al., 2011). Contemporaneous with the MACOMO deployment were two other regional broadband deployments. The RHUM-RUM (Réunion Hotspot and Upper Mantle - Réunions Unterer Mantel) project mainly deployed ocean bottom seismographs to the east and south of Madagascar, but also installed five land stations in southeastern Madagascar and five island stations on the Iles

208 Eparses around Madagascar. RHUM-RUM data is under the FDSN code YV at the
209 French RESIF data portal and will be publically available at the end of 2017
210 (<http://seismology.resif.fr>) (Barruol and Sigloch, 2012). In addition, the Seismological
211 Signatures in the Lithosphere/Asthenosphere system of Southern Madagascar
212 (SELASOMA) experiment installed 25 broadband stations in a linear deployment across
213 southern Madagascar to examine the crustal structure of Madagascar as it crosses the
214 Ranotsara shear zone and other tectonic features (FDSN network code ZE 2012–2014;
215 Tilmann et al., 2012). These stations fill the gaps in the MACOMO station coverage. The
216 present study uses the 10 RHUM-RUM land and island stations, 7 of the SELASOMA
217 broadband stations and the 4 permanent broadband stations deployed on Madagascar:
218 ABPO (IU GSN, 2007–present); VOI and SBV (GE GEOFON, 2009–present; GEOFON
219 Data Center (1993)); and FOMA (G GEOSCOPE, 2008–present) (see Fig. 1 and
220 Supplementary Table S1).

221 Three complementary methods are used to analyze surface wave phase velocities:

- 222 1) Ambient noise tomography, following Bensen et al. (2007), is used over relatively
223 short periods (0–40 s) and is therefore best for shallow (upper 30 km)
224 investigations.
- 225 2) A station-to-station cross-correlation approach recently developed by Jin and
226 Gaherty (2015) is used for the intermediate period range of 20–100 s.
- 227 3) The two-plane-wave analysis of Yang and Forsyth (2006) is used over a period
228 range of 18–182 s, which provides good resolution to depths of 150–200 km.

The phase-velocity dispersion observations from these three methods are combined at each nodal point of the model and inverted for a three-dimensional shear-velocity model using the methodology of Herrmann and Ammon (2002).

3.1 Ambient Noise Tomography

Now a standard seismological technique, ambient noise tomography (ANT) relies upon the observation that the average seismic noise field reveals surface-wave particle motions with propagation velocities that are frequency-dependent (e.g., Larose et al., 2005). Seismic ground noise records from a station pair can be cross-correlated to furnish an approximation of the elastic impulse response (Green's function) as if one of the stations is a virtual source. Rayleigh wave dispersive properties of the extracted Green's functions from cross-correlations of ambient-noise records at two stations or more are used to produce tomographic images (e.g., Shapiro and Campillo, 2004).

The method of Bensen et al. (2007) is followed here, using vertical-component time series from 1 July 2011 to 1 August 2013. The instrument responses are removed from the time series, which are then cut into 12-hr windows with an overlap of 8 hr. The time series windows are cross-correlated among all stations using a frequency-domain normalization, and then stacked to produce station-to-station Green's functions from which Rayleigh waves are extracted. The FTAN software package of Levshin et al. (1992) is used to analyze the dispersion of each interstation Green's function. These functions are then mapped over a 0.5° grid of nodes using the tomographic inversion method of Barmin et al. (2001), producing 1-D dispersion curves for phase and group velocities at periods 8–40 s.

252

253   **3.2 Cross-Correlation of Teleseismic Surface Waves**

254   For teleseismic surface waves from earthquake sources, the Automated Surface Wave
255   Phase Velocity Measuring System (ASWMS) of Jin and Gaherty (2015) uses a cross-
256   correlation of fundamental-mode surface waves to calculate station-to-station phase
257   velocities as

$$C(t) = S_1 \star W_S S_2 \quad [1]$$

258   where S_1 is the seismogram at a particular station, W_S is a window function isolating the
259   surface wave energy at a neighboring station S_2 , and $C(t)$ contains the lag information
260   for all coherent signals. $C(t)$ is windowed and narrow-band filtered; low and high cut-off
261   frequencies are $\pm 10\%$ of the center frequencies. The raw phase velocity is found by
262   minimizing the misfit between a predicted wavelet and the narrow-band-filtered cross-
263   correlogram. For the detailed methodology see Jin and Gaherty (2015). This technique
264   has had limited applications to temporary regional deployments such as the combined
265   Madagascar deployment used in this study, and is applied here to provide a test to its
266   potential across such a network.

267   The data involve Rayleigh-wave observations from 182 teleseismic events of
268    $M_w > 6$ (7 Oct 2011 to 30 Aug 2013) that are more than 30° away from Madagascar so
269   that a plane wave assumption is valid (Supplementary Fig. S1). Station pairs chosen for
270   the correlation were limited to 50–500 km in distance to minimize cycle skipping. We
271   produce 2D phase-velocity maps using Eikonal tomography (following references in Jin
272   and Gaherty, 2015) at 15 periods between 20 s and 100 s for a series of 0.5° nodes across
273   Madagascar.

274

275   **3.3 The Two-Plane-Wave Method**

276   To extend the phase velocity range to longer periods (out to 182 s), and therefore increase
277   the depth of the model resolution, we apply the two-plane-wave (TPW) method of Yang
278   and Forsyth (2006) to Rayleigh waves from 183 teleseismic events. For a regional
279   surface-wave inversion, the incoming displacement (U) of the Rayleigh wavefield at
280   frequency ω , recorded at a seismic station, can be represented by the sum of two plane
281   waves (Forsyth and Li, 2005):

$$U_z(\omega) = A_1(\omega)e^{-i(\mathbf{k}_1 \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t)} + A_2(\omega)e^{-i(\mathbf{k}_2 \cdot \mathbf{x} - \omega t)} \quad [2]$$

282   where A and $\mathbf{k}$ are the amplitude and horizontal wavenumber vector of each of the plane
283   waves and $\mathbf{x}$ is a position vector for each station relative to the reference station.

284   Vertical components of Rayleigh waveforms are narrow-band Butterworth-
285   filtered at twenty-five periods between 18 s and 182 s, in frequency bands of $\pm 10\%$ of the
286   center frequency. The observed fundamental-mode Rayleigh waves are identified and
287   windowed using the 3-D CUB2 velocity model of Ritzwoller et al. (2003). The windows
288   are tapered to minimize edge effects and we apply a quality-control signal-to-noise ratio
289   cut-off of 5. Each window is converted into the frequency domain to obtain amplitude
290   and phase information. The model grid is parameterized with 437 grid nodes with a node
291   spacing of 0.8° at the center of the grid and 1.2° at the edges in order to allow the
292   estimated phase velocities to absorb effects that are not explained by the sum of two
293   plane waves.

294   The parameters of the incoming two plane waves are first estimated using a
295   simulated annealing method based on the initial phase-velocity model. Second, the plane-

296 wave parameters and phase-velocity parameters at each node are solved simultaneously
297 with a generalized linear inversion. The model parameters are updated at each iteration
298 and the inversion stops when the maximum number of iterations is reached or the
299 convergence condition is achieved. Following a similar procedure to Li and Burke
300 (2006), we iterate the model values a maximum of 10 times, set the characteristic
301 wavelength for averaging and calculating spatial sensitivity to be 100 km, and assign the
302 *a priori* error for each node velocity to be 0.15 km/s. This allows the model to minimize
303 edge effects caused by a heterogeneity that cannot be represented by two plane waves.

305   **3.4 Combined Shear-Velocity Inversion**

306 The 1-D dispersion curves at each of the model nodes from each of the three different
307 methods of analysis are combined to form a single model. For frequency bands that
308 overlap between the different methods, the resulting maps show general similarities in the
309 overall patterns of phase velocity variations (Supplementary Fig. S2). Differences in
310 phase velocity maps between each method are likely due to ray coverage and
311 interpolation methods implemented by each approach. The method of Herrmann and
312 Ammon (2002) is used to fit the combined dispersion with 1-D vertical velocity models
313 by allowing thin (2–5 km) layers to change in velocity value but not thickness. For
314 overlapping periods of the ASWMS and TPW methods we weight the inversion based on
315 the standard deviation of the observations.

316   The initial model is loosely based on AK135 (Kennett et al., 1995), with a
317 constant shear velocity throughout the crust and upper mantle of 4.48 km/s and a set of
318 twenty-five 2-km-thick layers. As little knowledge of crustal structure is currently known

in Madagascar, we smooth over all layers. The phase-velocity dispersion curves that are calculated for each 1-D model are found to provide an excellent fit after 50 iterations.

A shear-velocity discontinuity is inserted in order to more realistically mimic a Moho velocity increase. We make the assumption that the Moho is consistent throughout Madagascar and equal to 4.125 km/s. This value is based on the only previous study of receiver function analysis in Madagascar using the 4 permanent seismic stations in Madagascar (ABPO, SBV, VOI, FOMA) (Rindraharisaona et al. 2013). We apply a 0.14 km/s jump at this assumed depth of the Moho, accommodating this offset by reducing the shear velocity in the lower crust by 0.042 km/s and raising the upper mantle layer velocities by 0.098 km/s.

The iterative inversion process is run again using the new 1-D models with a Moho velocity step as a starting model. To retain the Moho in the final model, the inversion is run with a higher damping parameter in the layer just below the Moho.

4. Results

4.1 Ambient Noise Tomography

The ANT spatial resolution tests are determined by forward-modelling a delta function using the process discussed in Section 3.1 (Barmin et al. 2001), and are influenced by the station spacing, with shorter periods requiring a closer station spacing. Results of the resolution tests are shown in Supplementary Fig. S3 and show good spatial resolution of seismic features of <50 km width across Madagascar at all periods.

The resulting ANT Rayleigh wave velocities are a product of Earth structure at relatively shallow depths (~10–50 km), and correlate well with the known surface

geology. Fig. 2 shows a subset of phase-velocity slices from the ANT results. At 10 s, which largely samples structures at depths of 2–20 km, there are two notable low-phase-velocity regions (<3.15 km/s) on the west coast of Madagascar. A third, small low-velocity region can be seen at the very north end of the island. These three seismic features correlate well with the locations of three sedimentary basins along the west coast. At periods >30 s, low phase velocities become concentrated in three regions: the central (CMAP), northern (NMAP), and southwestern (SMAP) provinces. At 20–40 s period, the 2D maps show smearing of the phase velocities over the Mozambique Channel. This is due to limited crossing rays in the channel from the lack of available stations. At these periods, relatively fast velocities are generally observed in the Mozambique Channel compared to Madagascar, as would be expected from the differences in crustal thickness and composition.

4.2 Automated Surface Wave Phase Velocity Measuring System

For each period band, the consistency of the phase-velocity measurement at each node can be characterized by a standard deviation and is shown in Supplementary Fig. S4. The highest variations in phase velocity occur at shorter periods, suggesting that the limit of resolution has been reached, given the station spacing. Standard deviations of <0.1 are found over most of Madagascar for the majority of period bands within 25–100 s.

A subset of the ASWMS phase velocity maps at several different periods are shown in Fig. 3. In the 20 s map, the backbone of the island exhibits relatively low phase velocities when compared with the rest of Madagascar and the surrounding oceanic crustal regions. At phase-velocity periods of 30–40 s, significant low-velocity regions are

observed beneath the CMAP and NMAP. These low-velocity regions are seen throughout the rest of the period bands up to 100 s. A relatively strong low-velocity band at periods of 40–100 s is also apparent in southwestern Madagascar beneath the SMAP volcanic region. This anomaly appears to lie between two high-velocity zones and has a similar orientation to both the Davie Ridge and the Ranotsara shear zone.

4.3 Two-Plane-Wave Tomography

To provide an analysis of the size of features resolvable by the TPW tomography method, a resolution matrix is calculated at each period and convolved with a checkerboard of positive and negative anomalies (Supplementary Fig. S5). For each period, multiple tests allow the determination of the smallest checker size that can be recovered by the resolution matrix. The shortest periods can resolve features 177 km in width, increasing to 355 km at the longest periods.

A subset of the resulting TPW 2D phase velocity maps are shown in Fig. 4. From 30 s to 77 s, two distinct relatively low-velocity zones underlie the CMAP and NMAP regions. A third low-velocity region underlies the SMAP region at periods of 40–77 s. The northern low-velocity region appears to remain disconnected from the central and southern regions at periods <124 s. These results show similar features to those of the ANT and ASWMS results: a central low-phase-velocity region correlates with the surface CMAP volcanics, a northern low-phase-velocity region correlates with the NMAP volcanics, and a southern low-phase-velocity region correlates with the SMAP volcanic region at periods >40 s.

4.4 Shear-Velocity Inversion

After amalgamating all of the 1-D model nodes, a 3-D model for the entire region with a 0.5° node spacing is obtained through interpolation (Supplementary Fig. S6). Depth slices are plotted relative to the mean of the slice to highlight the variations at each depth. In addition, slices relative to the Preliminary Reference Earth model (PREM) (Dziewonski and Anderson 1981) are shown in Fig. 6 (the reference model is plotted in Supplementary Fig. S7 and has been adapted within the top 40 km to highlight crustal variations).

Determining a shear-wave velocity model through a 1-D inversions at model nodes has limitations. For example, the velocities at each node are not directly influenced by the neighboring nodes. However, the smoothness of the final model suggests that the velocity variations observed are qualitatively robust. The RMS of the misfit between the inverted model dispersion curves and the final observed dispersion curves (Fig. 5) shows values less than 0.3 km/s across the majority of Madagascar rising to 0.6 km/s in the Mozambique Channel, where resolution is lost at shorter periods. The inclusion of an *a priori* Moho in the final round of inversion provides a more realistic velocity step at the base of the crust, and also shows a slight decrease when we include this Moho step (Fig. 5). The inferred Moho depth is relatively consistent with the surface topography: thickest throughout the Antananarivo terrane (~40–50 km), and thinning towards the west coast and to the north (~20–25 km) (Supplementary Fig. S8). However, alternative methods for determining crustal thickness other than surface wave phase velocities are beyond the scope of this paper.

Sedimentary basins are clearly observed as regions of relatively slow shear velocities along the west coast at 10 km in depth; the southern Morondava basin is the

broadest and slowest (Supplementary Fig. S9). These shallow seismic structures are most sensitive to the ANT-method results, as both the ASWMS and TPW methods cannot resolve such short periods. The surface geologic boundary of sedimentary cover clearly separates the slow velocities of the sedimentary basins from the relatively fast Precambrian basement rocks to the east. In comparison, in the 30-km-deep map the color scheme inverts, showing relatively slow shear velocities along the backbone of the island and fast velocities to the west. This depth slice crosses an eastward-dipping Moho discontinuity, revealing the slow continental crustal root beneath the Madagascan highlands and fast mantle velocities beneath the Mozambique Channel and shallow western Madagascar crust. In the upper mantle (50–150 km depth), three distinct low-velocity regions are observed beneath the NMAP, CMAP, and SMAP volcanic regions of the island. These low-shear-velocity regions are independent and unconnected at depths <100 km, although both the northern and central zones appear to migrate west and northwards with increasing depth.

5. Discussion

5.1 The Crust

Shear-velocity variations across Madagascar show a variety of features that can be related to the surface geology. At shallow depths, the features that most stand out are the three west-coast sedimentary basins. These appear as the low-velocity anomalies in Fig. 2 and Fig. 5 at the shortest periods and shallowest depths. The Antsiranana Basin is the shallowest and narrowest of the three, and the Mahajanga Basin is deeper and broader, though both are likely to extend to less than 5 km in depth. The Morondava basin is by

434 far the deepest and broadest of the three, and may be up to ~10 km deep (Supplementary
435 Fig. S9). Surface waves are not the optimal means of measuring shallow basin structures,
436 which are better resolved with receiver functions from *P* and *S* body waves, as well as
437 active-source seismic methods. Receiver functions have been analyzed from the
438 MACOMO data (Fenitra Andriampenanana pers. comm.) and show that the thickness
439 of sedimentary rocks extends up to 8 km deep along the western edges of Madagascar,
440 thinning to 3–6 km at their eastern boundary. The receiver functions suggest that the
441 thickest sedimentary sequences are with the Morondava and Mahajanga Basins, but that
442 even for the Antsiranana Basin to the north the sediment layers likely exceed 5 km.
443 Nonetheless, the surface wave inversions show that the Morondava and Mahajanga
444 Basins, and to a lesser degree the Antsiranana Basin, are major structural features that
445 dominate the seismic structures of the Madagascan west coast.

446   At the shortest periods, the central mountainous spine of Madagascar is relatively
447 fast in comparison to surrounding valleys. This is consistent with the crystalline
448 Archaean rocks exposed there. The pattern of seismic velocities quickly reverses with
449 depth, however, because of the slower seismic velocities of the Madagascan Highlands
450 continental root in comparison to the mantle velocities beneath the thinned crust of the
451 west-coast basins. This is clearly observed in the transition between 10 and 50 km (Fig. 6
452 and Supplementary Fig. S6): at 10 km depth, the Morondava basin appears as a large
453 low-velocity feature because of the very thick sedimentary basin that formed
454 syntectonically as Madagascar was rifting away from Africa. However, at 30-km-depth,
455 these anomalies have begun to reverse. The N–S mountains are still continental crustal
456 material, whereas beneath the Morondava basin, where the crustal thickness is likely

around 23–33 km (Fenitra Andriampenenomanana pers. comm.), the thin crust may have been replaced by denser and seismically faster mantle rock.

The Moho depths are estimated by applying a jump in shear velocity at 4.125 km/s. This approach works well in allowing the inversion to generate a more reasonable velocity model. However, in the CMAP it is likely that the Moho depth is misplaced, as the inversion attempts to reduce the velocity jump applied to the smoothed model during the second round of iterations (Supplementary Fig. S8b). To the degree that the 1-D models within the CMAP area produce a low-shear-velocity zone (<4.2 km/s) at upper mantle depths, the depth range that a suitable Moho could exist increases. As such, caution is needed when interpreting the crustal thickness measurements, particularly within the CMAP region.

5.2 The Upper Mantle

The dominant seismic anomalies just below the crust are two regions of very slow seismic velocities at the top of the upper mantle. These anomalies are best seen in the deeper maps of the joint model (Fig. 5d and 5e) and in the cross-sections of the relative shear-velocity model (Fig. 7), which has been saturated at a minimum of 4.1 km/s in order to observe the much smaller mantle anomalies. The two upper mantle slow-velocity anomalies are observed beneath the central and northern regions of the island. These anomalies directly underlie the locations of known recent volcanic activity of the CMAP and the NMAP regions. At depths of 80–100 km a negative shear-velocity anomaly of around -4% is observed relative to PREM (Fig. 6). This is consistent with other such

anomalies at these depths, where there is little or no mantle lithosphere (e.g., Petit et al., 2008).

A third notable low-velocity region is situated to the south of the island at depths of 50–100 km (Fig. 7 C–C'). This feature appears separated from the CMAP low-velocity region at depths of 75–150 km by a zone of fast shear velocity (~4.5 km/s, Fig. 6f–h, 7 C–C' and D–D'), although there may be some low velocity connection to the western edge of the CMAP low-velocity region at ~50 km (Fig. 6e). It is also noted that this low-velocity region lies beneath the outcrop of Cenozoic volcanic rocks at Ankilioaka, which have been dated at ~9 Ma (Bardintzeff et al., 2010).

5.3 Regional Interpretation of Upper Mantle Low Velocity Zones

The extension of these low-velocity anomalies to depths greater than 150 km requires additional techniques such as the inclusion of body-wave tomography, and it is therefore unclear as to whether these low-velocity regions are the result of active or passive upwelling. Global models in this particular region are too coarse to see any fine detail that is required to help resolve this issue. Nonetheless, the structures that are resolvable can begin to allow some inferences as to the source or sources of Madagascar's intraplate volcanism. For example, fast phase velocities at long periods (40–100 s, Fig. 3) and great depths (50–100 km; see Fig. 7 D–D') beneath the region just to the south of the CMAP may suggest the delamination and removal of mantle lithosphere through negative buoyancy forces. The removal of sub-CMAP mantle lithosphere would allow for the replacement by asthenospheric mantle rising beneath the CMAP. Subsequent isostatic adjustment would result in elevated topography that is observed along the backbone of

Madagascar. An alternative hypothesis to the existence of these low shear velocities would be the presence of remnants of Cretaceous hotspot activity from the Marion hotspot (Torsvik et al., 1998). However, current active uplift rates inferred from river profiles (Roberts et al., 2012) suggest that the high topography is a recent feature, more likely resulting from the thermal uplift of more recently emplaced sub-lithospheric low-density rocks. The presence of low velocity mantle beneath the SMAP region may provide an explanation to the relatively high topography of the Morondava basin (Fig. 1, Supplementary Fig. S9). Further modeling of dynamic topography is required, and river profile records appear to show that topography in the Morondava basin also may have developed since 8 Ma.

If there is a connection at depth to an active plume source, the most likely direction is from the Comoros volcanic alignment to the northwest. Recent analysis has suggested that the Comoros volcanic alignment is not related to a deep mantle hotspot (Michon, 2016). The emerging idea is that volcanism and areas of high heat flow in this region are strongly related to African tectonics. The central and northern low-velocity anomalies are clearly distinct from each other at shallow depths, separated by a strong and deep fast-velocity region. There does not appear to be any sub-lithospheric flow connecting these two regions. The velocity model loses resolution to the east of Madagascar, but at its deepest, the model displays a possible low-velocity region extending northwest from both the north and central regions, possibly connecting them with the Comoros region. This would be in agreement with an African plume-source model (Ebinger and Sleep, 1998; Nyblade et al., 2000; Lin et al., 2005), which involves having a central plume beneath East-Central Africa spread out beneath the African

lithosphere, supplying the magma for other shallow, regional hot spot volcanoes. In the Ebinger and Sleep (1998) model, plume material flows shallowly beneath the lithosphere and comes from the northwest, suggesting that volcanism began in the Comoros and the NMAP before the more southerly CMAP (as the leading edge of the plume material moved southeastward from Kenya/Tanzania). In the Forte et al. (2010) model, plume material is proposed to rise from beneath south Africa and to spread towards the NE beneath the western Indian Ocean. This suggests that the Madagascar volcanism is not directly generated by an upwelling source beneath the Comoros entrained by the mantle flow but instead, that both volcanism could represent the effects of the southern termination of the East African Rift, as proposed by Michon (2015).

An active upwelling source is not ruled out with the limited geochemical studies on the volcanic regions. Bardintzeff et al. (2010) conclude that the Madagascar volcanic regions may indeed share a similar source but with differing levels of crustal mixing. The northern volcanic rocks may exhibit a slightly more depleted signature than the central volcanics, but all could be attributed to an ocean island basalt source. The enrichment in trace elements for the recent CMAP and NMAP, as well as the small SMAP region, is about double that of the older, 93.5 Ma volcanic rocks from the Morondava basin, which are from the Marion hotspot (Wen, 2006).

In response to inadequacies in the Emerick and Duncan (1982) model, Nougier et al. (1986) proposed a fracture-zone model that relied on the reactivation of remnant faults and shear zones formed by tectonic processes as Madagascar rifted away from Africa. This model allows for the passage of alkali-enriched material to be concentrated along zones parallel to the Davie Ridge. Although this model allows for a connection to the

Comorean plume, it does not discount the idea that the source could be a more localized upwelling, possibly in the form of metasomatized mantle enriched in incompatible elements (e.g., Pilet et al., 2004). The results here do not discount this hypothesis; however, it seems that current extension rates within Madagascar and the deep nature of the low-velocity anomalies makes this model less likely.

From the shear-velocity model proposed here, we interpret the high elevations of the Madagascan highlands to be due to a thin, and even absent, mantle lithosphere beneath an average-thickness (40 km) continental crust. The cause of this thinning appears to be much more than the current extensional stress regime allows. Thermo-mechanical removal of mantle lithosphere may provide an alternative hypothesis to explain our shear velocities, but also the recent dynamic uplift of topography, and the negative Bouguer gravity anomaly (Bonvalot et al., 2012). The presence of delaminated, cold lithosphere at depths below 150 km could potentially be imaged using receiver functions and regional body wave tomography.

6. Conclusions

Surface-wave-derived phase-velocity data have, for the first time, allowed a more detailed interpretation of shear velocities in the crust and upper mantle beneath Madagascar. Sedimentary basins are observed to extend to depths of around 10 km beneath the west coast using these surface-wave methods, in agreement with other body-wave based studies. Regions of low upper-mantle shear velocities are shown to lie beneath both the CMAP and NMAP, the locations of recent intraplate volcanism. A third low-velocity region lies at upper mantle depths beneath the SMAP in the southwestern

region of Madagascar and appears not to extend up into the crust. Any deeper connections among these low-velocity regions and their extensions into the mantle transition zone cannot be interpreted using surface-wave methods employed here. The elevated topography of the Madagascar highlands around the CMAP may be explained by buoyant, low-velocity asthenosphere. The cause of this feature can be explained by the localized removal of mantle lithosphere beneath the CMAP allowing sub-lithospheric mantle to flow in from the north, however, we do not rule out a deeper source of mantle heating. Body-wave tomography and anisotropy studies will lend evidence to help explain the occurrence of these low-velocity regions and the potential for an active, deep-rooted source.

Acknowledgements

We thank Peter Shearer, Nathan Simmons and one anonymous reviewer for providing productive comments that helped improve this manuscript. We would like to acknowledge the IRIS/PASSCAL instrument center for providing instrumentation for the MACOMO project. The Missouri Botanical Gardens provided invaluable guidance regarding site selection and security. Thanks also go to Frederik Tilmann and Karin Sigloch for providing additional datasets and comments, and to Franklin Koch for help deploying stations. Funding for the MACOMO project was provided by NSF award EAR-0838426. RHUM-RUM seismological deployments were supported by the French CNRS-INSU (Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers), program SYSTER, by the CNRS-INEE (Institut National Ecologie et Environnement), the TAAF (Terres Australes et Antarctiques Françaises), by the French ANR (Agence Nationale de la Recherche,

project ANR-11-BS56-0013), and by the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) in Germany. RHUM-RUM equipments were provided by the Alfred Wegener Institut (AWI) in Bremerhaven, Germany, and by RESIF-SISMOB in Grenoble, France.

References

- Amante, C., Eakins, B.W., 2009. ETOPO1 1 Arc-Minute Global Relief Model: Procedures, Data Sources and Analysis. NOAA Technical Memorandum NESDIS NGDC-24. National Geophysical Data Center, NOAA. doi:10.7289/V5C8276M.
- Bardintzeff, J-M., Liegeois, J-P, Bonin, B., Bellon, H., Rasamimanana, G., 2010. Madagascar volcanic provinces linked to the Gondwana break-up: Geochemical and isotopic evidences for contrasting mantle sources. *Gondwana Res.* 18, 295–314.
- Barmin, M.P., Ritzwoller, M.H., Levshin, A.L. 2001. A Fast and Reliable Method for Surface Wave Tomography. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* 158, 1351–1375.
- Barruol, G., Sigloch, K., RHUM-RUM group, 2012. RHUM-RUM experiment, 2011–2015, code YV (Réunion Hotspot and Upper Mantle – Réunion’s Unterer Mantel) funded by ANR, DFG, CNRS-INSU, IPEV, TAAF, instrumented by DEPAS, INSU-OBS, AWI and the Universities of Muenster, Bonn, La Réunion; RESIF - Réseau Sismologique et géodésique Français. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15778/RESIF.YV2011>
- Bensen, G.D., Ritzwoller, M.H., Barmin, M.P., Levshin, A.L., Lin, F-C., Moschetti, M.P., Shapiro, N.M., Yang, Y., 2007. Processing seismic ambient noise data to obtain reliable broad-band surface wave dispersion measurements. *Geophys. J. Int.* 169, 1239–1260.

617 Bonvalot, S., Balmino, G., Briais, A., Kuhn, M., Peyrefitte, A., Vales, N., Biancale, R.,
 618 Gabalda, G., Moreaux, G., Reinquin, F, Sarrailh, M., 2012. World Gravity Map,
 619 1:50000000 map, Eds. : BGI-CGMW-CNES-IRD, Paris. © CGMW-BGI-CNES-
 620 IRD.

621 Chevrot, S., et al., 2014. High-resolution imaging of the Pyrenees and Massif Central
 622 from the data of the PYROPE and IBERARRAY portable array deployments. *J.*
 623 *Geophys. Res. Solid Earth*, 119, 6399–6420.

624 Coffin, M.F., Rabinowitz, P.D., 1987. Reconstruction of Madagascar and Africa:
 625 Evidence from the Davie Fracture Zone and Western Somali Basin. *J. Geophys.*
 626 *Res.* 92, doi:10.1029/JB092iB09p09385.

627 Collins, A.S., 2006. Madagascar and the amalgamation of Central Gondwana. *Gondwana*
 628 *Res.* 9, 3–16

629 Cox, R., Zentner, D.B., Rakotondrazafy, A.M., Rasoazanamparany, C.F., 2010.
 630 Shakedown in Madagascar: Occurrence of lavakas (erosional gullies) associated
 631 with seismic activity. *Geology*, 38(2), 179–182.

632 Dziewonski, A.M., Anderson, D.L., 1981. Preliminary reference Earth model. *Phys.*
 633 *Earth Planet Inter.* 25, 297–356.

634 Ebinger, C.J., Sleep, N.H., 1998. Cenozoic magmatism throughout east Africa resulting
 635 from impact of a single plume. *Nature*, 395, 788–791.

636 Emerick, C.M., Duncan, R.A., 1982. Age progressive volcanism in the Comores
 637 Archipelago, western Indian Ocean and implications for Somali plate tectonics.
 638 *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 60, 415–428.

639 Forsyth, D.W., Li, A., 2005. Array analysis of two-dimensional variations in surface
 640 wave phase velocity and azimuthal anisotropy in the presence of multipathing
 641 interference. In *Seismic Earth: Array Analysis of Broadband Seismograms* (eds A.
 642 Levander and G. Nolet), American Geophysical Union, Washington, D. C.
 643 doi: 10.1029/157GM06.

644 Forte, A.M., Quéré, S., Moucha, R., Simmons, N.A., Grand, S.P., Mitrovica, J.X.,
 645 Rowley, D.B., 2010. Joint seismic-geodynamic-mineral physical modelling of
 646 African geodynamics: A reconciliation of deep-mantle convection with surface
 647 geophysical constraints. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 295, 329–341.

648 Fournon, J.-P., Roussel, J., 1994. Imaging of the Moho depth in Madagascar through the
 649 inversion of gravity data: geodynamic implications. *Terra Nov.* 6, 512–519.

650 Gibbons, A.D., Whittaker, J.M., Müller, R.D., 2013. The breakup of East Gondwana:
 651 Assimilating constraints from Cretaceous ocean basins around India into a best-fit
 652 tectonic model. *J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth* 118, 808–822.

653 Grimison, N.L., Chen, W-P., 1988. Earthquakes in the Davie Ridge-Madagascar region
 654 and the southern Nubian-Somalian plate boundary. *J. Geophys. Res.* 93,
 655 doi:10.1029/JB093iB09p10439.

656 Herrmann, R.B., Ammon, C.J. 2002. Computer programs in seismology version 3.20:
 657 Surface waves, receiver functions, and crustal structure, St. Louis University,
 658 Missouri. Available at <http://mnw.eas.slu.edu/People/RBHerrmann>.

659 Jin, G., Gaherty, J.B., 2015. Surface wave phase-velocity tomography based on
 660 multichannel cross-correlation. *Geophys. J. Int.* 201, 1383–1398.

661 Kennett, B.L.N., Engdahl, E.R., Buland, R., 1995. Constraints on seismic velocities in the
 662 Earth from traveltimes. *Geophys. J. Int.* 122, 108–124.

663 Larose, E., Derode, A., Clorennec, D., Margerin, L., Campillo, M., 2005. Passive
 664 retrieval of Rayleigh waves in disordered elastic media. *Phys. Rev. E* 72, 046607.

665 Laske, G., Masters, G., Ma, Z., Pasyanos, M., 2013. Update on CRUST1.0 - A 1-degree
 666 Global Model of Earth's Crust. EGU Gen. Assem. 2013.

667 Levshin, A.L., Ratnikova, L., Berger, J., 1992. Peculiarities of surface wave propagation
 668 across Central Eurasia. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.* 82, 2464–2493.

669 Li, A., Burke, K., 2006. Upper mantle structure of southern Africa from Rayleigh wave
 670 tomography. *J. Geophys. Res.* 111, B10303, doi:10.1029/2006JB004321.

671 Lin, S-C., Kuo, B-Y., Chiao, L-Y., van Keken, P.E., 2005. Thermal plume models and
 672 melt generation in East Africa: A dynamic modeling approach. *Earth Planet. Sci.*
 673 *Lett.* 237, 175–192.

674 Michon, L., 2016. The volcanism of the Comores archipelago integrated at a regional
 675 scale. In: Bachèlery, P., Lénat, J.-F., Di Muro, A., Michon, L. (Editors), *Active*
 676 *Volcanoes of the Southwest Indian Ocean: Piton de la Fournaise and Karthala.*
 677 *Active Volcanoes of the World.* Springer-Verlag Berlin and Heidelberg. pp. 333–
 678 344.

679 Nougier, J., Cantagrel, J.M., Karche, J.P., 1986. The Comores archipelago in the western
 680 Indian Ocean: volcanology, geochronology and geodynamic setting. *J. African*
 681 *Earth Sci.* 5, 135–144.

682 Nyblade, A.A., Owens, T.J., Gurrola, H., Ritsema, J., Langston, C.A., 2000. Seismic
683 evidence for a deep upper mantle thermal anomaly beneath East Africa. *Geology*
684 28, 599–602.

685 Pacquette, J-L., Moine, B., Rakotondrazafy, M., 2003. ID-TIMS using the step-wise
686 dissolution technique versus ion microprobe U-Pb dating of metamict Archean
687 zircons from NE Madagascar. *Precambrian Research* 121, 523–538.

688 Petit, C., Tiberi, C., Deschamps, A., Déverchère, J., 2008. Teleseismic traveltimes,
689 topography and the lithospheric structure across central Mongolia. *Geophys. Res.*
690 *Lett.* 35(L11301), doi: 10.1029/2008GL033993.

691 Pilet, S., Hernandez, J., Bussy, F., Sylvester, P.J., 2004. Short-term metasomatic control
692 of Nb/Th ratios in the mantle sources of intra-plate basalts. *Geology* 32, 113–116.

693 Rabinowitz, P.D., Coffin, M.F., Falvey, D., 1983. The Separation of Madagascar and
694 Africa. *Science* 220(4592), 67–69.

695 Rakotondraompiana, S.A., Albouy, Y., Piqué, A., 1999. Modèle de lithosphère pour l'île
696 de Madagascar (océan Indien occidental): nouvelle interprétation des données
697 gravimétriques. *J. African Earth Sci.* 28, 961–973.

698 Rindraharisaona, E.J., Guidarelli, M., Aoudia, A., Rambolamanana, G., 2013. Earth
699 structure and instrumental seismicity of Madagascar: Implications on the
700 seismotectonics. *Tectonophysics* 594, 165–181. doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2013.03.033.

701 Ritzwoller, M.H., Shapiro, N.M., Levshin, A.L., Bergman, E.A., Engdahl, E.R., 2003.
702 Ability of a global three-dimensional model to locate regional events, *J. Geophys.*
703 *Res.* 108(B7), 2353, doi:10.1029/2002JB002167.

704 Roberts, G.G., Paul, J.D., White, N., Winterbourne, J., 2012. Temporal and spatial
705 evolution of dynamic support from river profiles: A framework for Madagascar,
706 *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 13:Q04004, doi:10.1029/2012GC004040.

707 Roig, J.Y., Tucker, R.D., Delor, C., Peters, S.G., Théveniaut, H. 2012. Carte géologique
708 de la République de Madagascar à 1/1000000. Ministère des Mines, PGRM,
709 Antananarivo, République Madagascar, 1 Color sheet.

710 Saria, E., Calais, E., Stamps, D.S., Delvaux, D., Hartnady, C., 2014. Present-day
711 kinematics of the East African Rift. *J. Geophys. Res.* 119, 3584–3600.

712 Shapiro, N.M., Campillo, M., 2004. Emergence of broadband Rayleigh waves from
713 correlations of the ambient seismic noise. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 31,
714 doi:10.1029/2004GL019491.

715 Stamps, D.S., Iaffaldano, G., Calais, E., 2015. Role of mantle flow in Nubia-Somalia
716 divergence. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 42, 290–296.

717 Storey, M., Mahoney, J.J., Saunders, A.D., Duncan, R.A., Kelley, S.P., Coffin, M.F.,
718 1995. Timing of hotspot related volcanism and breakup of Madagascar and India.
719 *Science* 267, 852–855.

720 Tilmann, F., Yuan, X., Rümpker, G., Rindraharisaona, E., 2012. SELASOMA project,
721 Madagascar 2012–2014. Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum GFZ. Seismic
722 Network. doi:10.14470/MR7567431421.

723 Torsvik, T.H., Tucker, R.D., Ashwal, L.D., Eide, E.A., Rakotosolofo, N.A., de Wit, M.J.,
724 1998. Late Cretaceous magmatism in Madagascar: paleomagnetic evidence for a
725 stationary Marion hotspot. *Earth and Planet. Sci. Lett.* 64, 221–232.

- 726 Tucker, R.D., Kusky, T.M., Buchwaldt, R., Handke, M.J., 2007. Neoproterozoic nappes
727 and superimposed folding of the Itremo Group, west-central Madagascar. *J. of*
728 *Gondwana Research* 12, 32–56.
- 729 Tucker, R.D., Conrad, J. 2008. $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ geochronology of Mesozoic and younger
730 igneous rocks of central and northern Madagascar, in Final Report of the BGS-
731 USGS Consortium to the Government of Madagascar, World Bank Project UK-04-
732 0100, Chapter 7.
- 733 Tucker, R.D., Roig, J-Y., Macey, P.Y., Delor, C., Amelin, Y., Armstrong, R.A.,
734 Rabarimanana, M.H., Ralison, A.V., 2011. A new geological framework for south-
735 central Madagascar, and its relevance to the “out-of-Africa” hypothesis.
736 *Precambrian Res.* 185, 109–130.
- 737 Wyssession, M.E., Wiens, D.A., Nyblade, A.A., 2011. Investigation of sources of
738 intraplate volcanism using PASSCAL broadband instruments in Madagascar, The
739 Comores, and Mozambique. *International Federation of Digital Seismograph*
740 *Networks. Other/Seismic Network.* doi:10.7914/SN/XV_2011.
- 741 Wen, L., 2006. A compositional anomaly at the Earth's core–mantle boundary as an
742 anchor to the relatively slowly moving surface hotspots and as source to the
743 DUPAL anomaly. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 246, 138–148.
- 744 Yang, Y., Forsyth, D.W., 2006. Regional tomographic inversion of the amplitude and
745 phase of Rayleigh waves with 2-D sensitivity kernels. *Geophys. J. Int.* 166, 1148–
746 1160.

749

Fig. 1: Topographic map of the Madagascar/Mozambique region. Simplified geology for Madagascar is adapted from Roig et al. (2012), showing Precambrian metamorphic terranes consisting of archean cratonic fragments, the Bemarivo Group, and the untextured Antananarivo Group. Phanerozoic sediments cover much of the west of Madagascar. Cenozoic volcanic areas are as marked, as are Precambrian shear zones and the post-Miocene Alaotra-Ankay graben structure. Initials are place names referred to in the text: A – Antananarivo, CM – Cap Masoala, Mh – Mahanoro, Mn – Mananjary, Ta – Tamatave, To – Toliara. Station locations are shown for the MACOMO, RHUM-RUM, and SELASOMA seismic projects used in this study. Known active hotspots currently lie beneath Grande Comore and Réunion. The Davie ridge is an inferred transform fault controlling the relative movement of Madagascar with respect to Africa during the Mesozoic. Plate boundaries are after Stamps et al. (2015). Elevation and bathymetry are from ETOPO1 (Amante and Eakins, 2009).

763

Fig. 2: Phase-velocity maps produced from the ANT method. Values are omitted where spatial resolution exceeds 200 km. Smearing between stations at longer periods is seen beneath the west coast due to a lack of crossing paths to island stations. Low phase-velocity regions at 10 s correlate with sedimentary basins. Velocity variations at 40 s also map well with respect to Madagascar volcanism.

Fig. 3: Phase-velocity maps produced by the ASWMS method. These periods are mainly sensitive to the lower-crust and mantle velocity structure and show low-velocity regions that correlate well with the intraplate volcanism. At 20 s there is a clear variation in the Mozambique Channel velocities compared to those of Madagascar due to the faster, more oceanic-type crust. A third low-velocity region is observed in the southwest of Madagascar at longer periods.

Fig. 4: A subset of phase-velocity maps produced by the TPW method. Two low-velocity zones again map beneath regions of intraplate volcanism. A third low-velocity region is also shown in the southwest of Madagascar at 40–70 s. It is noted that low-velocity regions appear to extend towards the Comoros, although the coverage in the Mozambique Channel is limited.

Fig. 5: Maps of RMS shear-velocity between observed and modelled dispersion for both the initial smooth inversion (a) and the secondary inversion that includes a Moho discontinuity at 4.125 km/s (b). Selected phase-velocity dispersion observations and modeled dispersion curves and the corresponding 1-D shear-velocity inversion (c–f) at locations marked on (b). Gray lines denote the smooth model inversion and the colored lines display the secondary inversion. Marker symbols denote method used for the phase-

793 velocity measurement: ANT (triangles), ASWMS (squares) and TPW (circles). Tectonic
794 boundaries and recent volcanoes are marked as previous. Locations are chosen based on
795 geologic diversity: Antananarivo Group – Precambrian metamorphic basement showing
796 relative fast phase velocities at short periods and thick (~35–40 km) crust; Morondava
797 Basin – slow phase velocities at short periods due to sedimentary cover; NMAP –
798 northern volcanic region; CMAP – central volcanic region showing significantly slower
799 phase-velocity observations at intermediate periods.

Fig. 6: Relative shear velocities with respect to PREM. The reference model has been modified in the top 40 km (Supplementary Fig. S7) in order to highlight velocity variations such as sedimentary basins and Moho topography. The absolute velocity model is shown in Supplementary Fig. S6.

Fig. 7: Cross-sections through the shear-velocity model with respect to PREM shown in Fig. 6 to 200 km depth. Sections are shown on the geologic map, white circles mark the 0 km position of the profiles, and red circles are at 200 km intervals. Vertically exaggerated elevation profiles are shown based on ETOPO1 (Amante and Eakins, 2009), and the dashed line marks the change in scale. The color scale is consistent for all cross-sections. Upper-mantle low-velocity regions are observed beneath higher-elevated areas and specifically beneath regions of Cenozoic volcanism (A–A', B–B'). Profile C–C' traverses southern Madagascar where, at the southwestern end beneath the SMAP, lies an upper-mantle low-velocity region that is observable at 50 km in depth, but becomes much stronger with greater depth.