1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

Glacial cooling as inferred from marine temperature proxies $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ and $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K'}}$

Sze Ling Ho^{1*} and Thomas Laepple¹

¹Alfred Wegener Institute, Helmholtz Centre for Polar and Marine Research,
Telegrafenberg A43, D-14473 Potsdam, Germany.

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +49 (331) 288-2187; Fax: +49 (331) 288-2122;

Email address: Sze.Ling.Ho@awi.de

Keywords: Last Glacial Maximum; Tropics; Sea surface temperature; Multiproxy;

PMIP3; $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K'}}$; $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$

Abstract

Knowledge of the magnitude of the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) cooling is a useful constraint for estimating the climate sensitivity used in projecting future climate change. Proxy comparison, especially that between the alkenone-based $U_{37}^{K'}$ and the archaeal tetraether-based TEX_{86} , has been increasingly applied in paleoceanographic studies as a measure to better constrain proxy-derived temperature estimates. In this study, we compile and compare published multiproxy ($U_{37}^{K'}$ and TEX_{86}^H) records of glacial cooling measured on the same sediment cores. In spite of the diversity in oceanographic and sedimentation settings spanned by the study sites, we find that the TEX_{86}^H -derived mean tropical LGM cooling is approximately twice as strong as that suggested by the $U_{37}^{K'}$ and MARGO estimates. The extent of proxy discrepancy varies with the application of various regional calibrations, but the mean TEX_{86}^H -inferred cooling remains stronger than that inferred from $U_{37}^{K'}$. To understand the discrepancy between proxies, we examine the seasonal and water column structure of LGM cooling simulated by state-of-the-art climate models. We find that dissimilar magnitudes of proxy-derived glacial cooling cannot be fully explained by proxies reflecting temperature of different seasons or different water depths, if the recording season and depth are assumed to stay constant through time. A hypothetical shift in recording season and/or depth between the Holocene and the LGM could in theory cause the proxy discrepancy, but this hypothesis cannot be constrained due to a lack of information on lipid production and export in the water column during the LGM. Alternatively, the systematic proxy discrepancy, which persists across diverse oceanographic settings, may imply that the commonly applied proxy calibrations for reconstructing past temperatures are fundamentally biased. As evidenced by the

48 improved consistency between $U_{37}^{K'}$ and TEX_{86}^H -based estimates of LGM cooling after
49 we applied a global subsurface (0–200 m) temperature calibration for TEX_{86}^H , it is
50 plausible that the TEX_{86}^H signal originates from deeper in the water column than
51 typically assumed for the proxy calibration.

1. Introduction

The climate of the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) has been studied extensively, by means of proxy reconstructions and climate model simulations. LGM sea surface temperature estimates reconstructed from various proxies, which were compiled through community efforts such as CLIMAP (CLIMAP Project members, 1976) and MARGO (Waelbroeck et al., 2009), have been used extensively for validating climate models and constraining the climate sensitivity: a parameter relevant for the projection of future climate changes.

Previous studies have shown that the magnitude of tropical temperature change between the LGM and present day is a key parameter to constrain climate sensitivity (Hargreaves et al., 2012; Schmittner et al., 2011), i.e., the change in global surface temperature given a doubling of the atmospheric CO₂ concentration. Unfortunately, the reconstructed LGM cooling in this region was found to be proxy specific (Waelbroeck et al., 2009). Thus, an understanding of the reliability of the proxies and their differences is essential. A direct way to assess the validity of temperature estimates is to compare multiple temperature proxies from the same cores, but as the number of such datasets is limited, systematic studies comparing the regional glacial cooling are still lacking.

Earlier efforts in LGM mapping, such as CLIMAP, relied heavily on temperature estimates derived from microfossil assemblages (CLIMAP Project Members, 1976). As a result of intensive development and improvement of geochemical proxies, such as the alkenone-based U_{37}^K ($U_{37}^K = [C_{37:2}] / ([C_{37:2}] + [C_{37:3}])$; Prahl and Wakeham, 1987) and the Mg/Ca of planktonic foraminifera (Elderfield and Ganssen, 2000), these proxies are now routinely used to reconstruct sea surface temperature (SST) and these proxy data have been integrated in the recent

MARGO database. More recently, the archaeal glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraethers (GDGTs) – based TEX_{86} (Schouten et al., 2002), and its modified counterparts, such as $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ ($\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}} = \text{Log}_{10}\{([\text{GDGT-2}]+[\text{GDGT-3}]+[\text{Crenarchaeol regioisomer}]) / ([\text{GDGT-1}]+[\text{GDGT-2}]+[\text{GDGT-3}]+[\text{Crenarchaeol regioisomer}])\}$; Kim et al., 2010), were developed. They have been increasingly applied to reconstruct seawater temperature, especially in the tropics. Since TEX_{86} records have only recently become available, this proxy has not yet been integrated into previous mapping efforts of the LGM.

The aim of this manuscript is twofold; to present the first compilation of LGM cooling from $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ records and to examine the reliability of the cooling estimates by comparing $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ with $U_{37}^{\text{K'}}$, analyzed in tandem on the same sediment cores. As a remarkable difference was found between $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ - and $U_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ - inferred LGM cooling, we use climate model simulations to test whether specific depth or season of production of the proxy source organisms could explain the observed discrepancy.

2. Approach

We compiled all published multiproxy records containing both $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ and $U_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ analyzed on the same core, and spanning at least the late Holocene (0–4 kyr BP) and the LGM (19–23 kyr BP, Table 1 and Figure 1). Nine out of the twelve sites are in the tropics (defined here as the latitudinal band between 30°N and 30°S), while the remaining three sites are from the subtropics (between 30°S and 40°S, and 30°N and 40°N).

To directly compare proxy derived temperature estimates between sites, we applied a single calibration for each proxy type. We opted for the most commonly

applied global core-top calibrations: Müller et al. (1998) for $U_{37}^{K'}$ and Kim et al. (2010) for TEX_{86}^H . The calibration of Müller et al. (1998) is identical, within uncertainty bounds, to the calibration of Prah1 et al. (1988), which is based on a laboratory culture experiment. Both the $U_{37}^{K'}$ and TEX_{86}^H global core-top calibrations are based on the linear correlation of the spatial pattern of sedimentary index values with climatological annual mean SSTs. We note that none of the $U_{37}^{K'}$ data in our compilation approaches the alkenone unsaturation limit of 1 (i.e. when $[C_{37:3}]$ is below detection limit), therefore the time-slice LGM cooling estimate calculated from these data are unlikely to be underestimated for approaching the upper limit of the proxy calibration (Pelejero and Calvo, 2003).

The definition of the LGM time-slice adopted here, namely 19–23 kyr BP, follows that of the CLIMAP (CLIMAP Project members, 1976) and MARGO compilations (Waelbroeck et al., 2009). Since the youngest part of most sediment records in our compilation is older than the pre-industrial (PI) period, we define the LGM cooling as the temperature anomaly between the time-slice of late Holocene 0–4 kyr BP and the LGM. This definition differs from the MARGO definition wherein the anomaly between the proxy-based LGM time-slice temperature and the instrumental-based climatological annual mean SST is reported. Our method of comparing proxy-based Holocene to proxy-based LGM values reduces biases associated with the seasonal and/or subsurface signal in proxy-derived temperature estimates, given that both the LGM and Holocene section are recording a similar season and water depth range.

As the youngest part of the sediment records in our compilation do not correspond to the same time interval, the different reference periods at different sites

may lead to an additional uncertainty. However, according to the reconstructed tropical Holocene temperature evolution (Marcott et al., 2013), this uncertainty will be less than 0.2 °C (i.e. the difference between the mean of 0–4 kyr BP [-0.03 °C] and at 4 kyr BP [0.17 °C] relative to AD1961–1990 mean). We note that single measurements are susceptible to non-climate effects (e.g. Laepple and Huybers, 2013) and intrinsic climate variability, hence are not representative of the entire time period. To account for this uncertainty, we calculated the standard error of the mean of each time-slice and provide the square root of the squared sum as the total error (assuming independence of the variations in each time-slice). When fewer than three samples were available in a time-slice, we used the mean standard deviation of all other records as an estimate of the sample-to-sample variability.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Magnitude of the LGM cooling

The reconstructed LGM cooling at the single sites range from 0.1 °C to 10.4 °C for $U_{37}^{K'}$, and from 2.2 °C and 12.5 °C for TEX_{86}^H (Figure 2, and Table 2). Both proxies show a similar spatial pattern of glacial cooling ($r = 0.68$, $p < 0.01$) with a stronger cooling at the subtropical sites.

While the spatial pattern of LGM to Holocene temperature anomaly is similar between the proxies, the magnitude of the cooling is strongly proxy dependent. At eleven out of the twelve sites, the TEX_{86}^H -derived glacial cooling is stronger than that suggested by the $U_{37}^{K'}$ (Figure 1). This pervasive feature ($p = 0.006$, sign-test assuming independence between sites) is unexpected given the diverse oceanographic regimes and depositional settings spanned by the study sites. The average LGM cooling based

on $U_{37}^{K'}$ and TEX_{86}^H is 3.1 °C and 5.2 °C, respectively. Within the 30°S – 30°N latitudinal band, the mean LGM cooling is 1.7 °C for $U_{37}^{K'}$ and 3.5 °C for TEX_{86}^H .

The mean cooling reconstructed from $U_{37}^{K'}$ for our tropical sites (1.7 °C) is within the range of $U_{37}^{K'}$ -inferred LGM cooling estimates for the tropics (2 °C; Rosell-Melé et al., 2004) and for the Eastern Equatorial Pacific (1.4 °C; Dubois et al., 2009). Furthermore, compared to the TEX_{86}^H -derived tropical cooling, our mean $U_{37}^{K'}$ -derived tropical cooling also agrees better with the MARGO estimate of 1.5 °C, which is mainly based on foraminiferal assemblages. As the LGM cooling inferred from this proxy could be biased by the habitat depth of the foraminifera (Telford et al., 2013), the MARGO cooling might be underestimated. However, re-calibrating the foraminiferal transfer function against 30–50 m to correct for the habitat depth will likely not increase the tropical cooling by more than 0.5 °C (Telford, 2014).

Composite records of $U_{37}^{K'}$ and Mg/Ca of *G. ruber*, consisting of multiple records from the eastern and western tropical Pacific, also suggest LGM cooling of no more than 2.5 °C (Timmermann et al., 2014). Furthermore, at the two sites in our compilation where concurrent foraminiferal Mg/Ca-derived and organic proxy-derived temperature records are available (namely MD96-2048 and KY07-04-01; the latter site is situated at the same location as site MD98-2195 (Kubota et al., 2010)), the magnitude of LGM cooling inferred from the inorganic geochemical proxy is more comparable to that of $U_{37}^{K'}$ than TEX_{86}^H (Table 2).

While all the foregoing estimates are closer to our $U_{37}^{K'}$ estimate, a tropical LGM cooling of 3–4 °C, comparable in magnitude to that of the TEX_{86}^H , is not unprecedented – especially as estimated from single site reconstructions. A cooling

estimate of 3–4 °C was previously reported for the west Pacific warm pool, based on a consortium of proxies, including the clumped isotopes of planktic foraminifera and coccoliths (Tripathi et al., 2014), the Mg/Ca ratio of planktic foraminifera (de Garidel-Thoron et al., 2007), and the coral Sr/Ca (Beck et al., 1992). However, it is unclear whether these estimates are site- and/or region-specific. More data are needed to demonstrate the representativeness of these reconstructions for the tropics.

Given the substantial difference between the $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ - and $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ -based estimate of glacial cooling, it is important to resolve this issue before relying too heavily on these values in further applications, such as for the estimation of climate sensitivity. In the following sections, we will discuss several possible ways to reconcile the differences in the $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ - and $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ -based LGM cooling estimates. These possibilities include the choice and uncertainty of the calibrations, and the hypothesis that both proxies reflect different seasons and water depths where glacial cooling might not be of the same magnitude.

3.2. Can the discrepancy be explained by the calibration choice?

Most of the $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ and $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ calibrations commonly used in paleoclimate reconstruction are based on the linear regressions between sedimentary proxy values and overlying SSTs. Thus, the magnitude of LGM cooling reconstructed using these linear calibrations is scaled directly by the slope of the regressions. In most of the original studies wherein proxy records in our compilation are reported, $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ -based reconstruction were based on the calibrations of Müller et al. (1998) and Prahl et al. (1988). There are instances where regional calibrations were preferred by the authors (Table 1). One example is the South China Sea calibration of Pelejero and Grimalt (1997); the calibration was used in the original studies at sites ODP1147 (Li et al.,

2013) and MD97-2151 (Yamamoto et al., 2013). For $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$, global calibrations are often preferred over regional calibrations, especially the latest version proposed by Kim et al. (2010). An exception is the study of Li et al. (2013), where a tropical TEX_{86} calibration (Schouten et al., 2003) was applied to reconstruct seawater temperature at site ODP 1147. Using the site-specific $U_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ and $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ calibration choice of the authors in the original publications (Table 1 and 3) results in essentially the same magnitude of tropical LGM cooling (1.8 °C for $U_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ and 3.5 °C for $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$) as inferred from the Müller et al. (1998) $U_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ calibration (1.8 °C) and the Kim et al. (2010) $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ calibration (3.5 °C), thus cannot explain the different cooling estimates between $U_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ and $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$.

To examine whether the above-mentioned proxy discrepancy can be potentially reconciled by the choice of alternative calibrations, we explore the possible range of LGM cooling by taking from the literature the $U_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ calibration that results in the strongest temperature change and the $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ calibration that results in the weakest temperature change. Among regional $U_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ calibrations (Table 3), the tropical Indian Ocean calibration from Sonzogni et al. (1997) yields the strongest $U_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ -derived tropical LGM cooling (2.4 °C), which is 1.6 times stronger than the MARGO multiproxy estimate of 1.5 °C (for the 30°S–30°N band). Although the estimate based on the Sonzogni et al. (1997) calibration is the closest to the $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ -derived cooling of 3.5 °C, the use of this calibration at our study sites is not supported by regional $U_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ calibration studies in other tropical regions, including the South China Sea (Pelejero and Grimalt, 1997) and the Equatorial Eastern Pacific (Kienast et al., 2012), i.e. the regions where a majority of the sediment records in our compilation are

located. Both of these studies found $U_{37}^{K'}$ -SST sensitivities (the slope of the linear regression) that are comparable to the global calibration.

A better agreement in cooling estimates inferred from $U_{37}^{K'}$ and TEX_{86}^H can be achieved by applying a subsurface (0–200 m water depth) global TEX_{86}^H calibration (Kim et al., 2012), instead of the commonly used surface calibration (Kim et al., 2010). The subsurface calibration reduces the tropical LGM cooling from 3.5 °C to 2.6 °C, which fits within 0.9 °C with that suggested by the global $U_{37}^{K'}$ calibration (Müller et al., 1998) and would be close to a revised MARGO multiproxy estimate (Telford, 2014). Whether or not this 0.9 °C difference between $U_{37}^{K'}$ and TEX_{86}^H is significant depends on the (unknown) properties of the proxy uncertainty. The standard error of estimates (SEE) derived from core-top calibrations are 1.5 °C for the Müller et al (1998) calibration and 2.2 °C for the Kim et al. (2012) calibration. While these error estimates can be directly used to assess the uncertainty in the comparison of surface sediment proxy values to modern SST it is unclear how this uncertainty may translate into the past, especially because the spatial and temporal covariance of the error is virtually unknown.

One may assume that proxy errors are independent in time and space, and in between proxies, as adopted by several recent studies (e.g., Fedorov et al., 2013; Marcott et al., 2013; Shakun et al., 2012). Under this assumption, error estimates for the temperature estimate of each time-slice are obtained by dividing the SEE by the square-root of the number of data points for each time-slice. This results in an uncertainty (1sd) of the LGM-late Holocene difference of 0.24 °C for $U_{37}^{K'}$ and 0.35 °C for TEX_{86}^H , rendering the 0.9 °C proxy difference in mean tropical LGM cooling significant. On the other extreme, assuming a complete dependency of the proxy

errors in time and space, i.e., the error of the average of different samples in time and space is as large as the error of a single sample (1.5 °C for $U_{37}^{K'}$ and 2.2 °C for TEX_{86}^H) the proxy difference of 0.9 °C cannot be considered significant. In reality the true uncertainty likely lies between the two extremes assumed here but a mechanistic understanding of processes causing the proxy uncertainty is required to provide better error estimates.

3.3. Can seasonal production and habitat depth reconcile proxy discrepancy?

3.3.1. Different seasonal production and habitat depth of TEX_{86}^H and $U_{37}^{K'}$

Discrepancies between proxies are often explained by invoking ecological differences, especially in terms of the seasonal production and the habitat depth of the proxy source organism (e.g., Leduc et al., 2010; Table 1). In most cases, the $U_{37}^{K'}$ -derived temperature estimates are interpreted as reflecting temperature of surface mixed layer, of the annual mean or specific months, while the TEX_{86}^H data are considered to be reflecting either the subsurface water temperature or in rare cases, SST of specific months (Table 1). These interpretations can be in part justified by the ecology of the source organisms of the proxies. The source organisms of $U_{37}^{K'}$, namely haptophyte algae such as *E. huxleyi*, are limited to the euphotic zone where abundant sunlight is available for photosynthesis. This is not the case for TEX_{86}^H , whose source organism i.e. marine Thaumarchaeota, are known to occur throughout the water column (e.g. Karner et al., 2001), and in some cases the GDGTs are found to be more abundant at the subsurface than the photic zone (Sinninghe Damsté et al., 2002; Wuchter et al., 2006).

In paleoceanographic studies, interpretation of proxy data according to their ecological niche is typically constrained via the comparison of the proxy signals in surface sediments with in-situ or climatological data at the site. However, in most cases multiple explanations exist for the same surface sediment signals; for instance, a colder-than-annual-mean-SST estimate can be explained as reflecting subsurface water temperature or alternatively as sea surface temperature from colder seasons. Furthermore, in most cases, proxy data are often converted to temperature estimates using calibrations based on proxy values in surface sediments calibrated to climatological or instrumental SST, leading to a circular reasoning.

To examine whether the abovementioned seasonality and habitat depth hypotheses can result in the observed, proxy specific LGM cooling, we would need to know the depth and seasonal structure of the glacial cooling. As no observational estimates are available for the LGM, we rely on climate model simulations from the CMIP5/PMIP3 project (Braconnot et al., 2012; Taylor et al., 2012). Ocean temperature fields from preindustrial (PI) and LGM simulations of five state-of-the-art atmosphere-ocean general circulation models (AOGCMs) are analyzed by examining the monthly mean temperature at various water depths, averaged over the last 50 years of the time-slices. The five AOGCMs used here are CCSM4, CNRM-CM5, GISS-E2-R, MPI-ESM-P and MRI-CGCM3. We focus our analysis on the multi-model ensemble mean instead of single model simulation, as the former was found to be the most reliable in studies of past and future climate (e.g. Laepple et al., 2008; Lohmann et al., 2013). Furthermore, we refrain from interpreting the simulated LGM cooling in absolute values. Instead, we analyze the relative glacial cooling of different months (reported as the ratio to the annual mean cooling) and different water

depths (reported as the ratio to the sea surface cooling), as these results of relative cooling should be more robust than the absolute simulated cooling.

We first analyze the hypothesis that both proxies show a different cooling as they record different seasons. In the multi-model ensemble mean, the LGM cooling of specific months (e.g. LGM June SST – PI June SST) at the sites is at most 1.25 times stronger than the annual mean LGM cooling (Figure 3a). Therefore, if $U_{37}^{K'}$ is indeed reflecting the annual mean SST as often assumed, the discrepancy between $U_{37}^{K'}$ and TEX_{86}^H is unlikely to be solely attributed to TEX_{86}^H recording seasonal or monthly mean SST. Assuming no change in the recorded season/month between the late Holocene and LGM, even if $U_{37}^{K'}$ and TEX_{86}^H each record the seasons/months with the strongest and the weakest LGM cooling, respectively, the resultant amplitude of this extreme scenario is still insufficient to reconcile the differences between proxies.

The second hypothesis is that the stronger TEX_{86}^H -derived cooling is caused by the TEX_{86}^H signal reflecting subsurface temperatures. To test this hypothesis, we examine the simulated water column structure of the glacial cooling (Figure 3b). The climate models suggest substantial spatial heterogeneity in the vertical cooling structure: at around one third of the sites (i.e. NIOP905, SO42-74KL, MD06-2048, GeoB 7702-3 and GeoB 7926-2) the subsurface cooling is stronger than the surface cooling, whereas six sites show a weaker cooling at the subsurface and one site show uniform cooling across the water column. This spatial variability is not surprising, considering the diversity of the oceanographic regimes and sedimentation settings spanned by our study sites. The strongest subsurface cooling, typically occurring at the deepest water depth examined except at site GeoB 7926-2, is on average 1.5 times stronger than that at the sea surface, but the ratio never approach the ratio of TEX_{86}^H -

cooling over $U_{37}^{K'}$ -cooling. Notably, diverging cooling patterns in the water column between sites result in a mean profile (black line in Figure 3b) that suggests a rather homogenous mean cooling throughout the water column. While the details of the seasonal and depth structure of the cooling differ between the individual models, the main features, i.e. the heterogeneous spatial pattern and a homogenous cooling in the water column when averaged across sites, are found in all the single simulations. These features clearly contradict the observed discrepancy between TEX_{86}^H and $U_{37}^{K'}$, which shows a homogeneous spatial pattern (11 of 12 sites show stronger TEX_{86}^H -derived cooling), and a different mean value when averaged across sites. Thus, the model simulations argue against the depth hypothesis as the cause for the systematic discrepancy between TEX_{86}^H and $U_{37}^{K'}$.

Further evidence against the depth hypothesis comes from Mg/Ca-derived temperature estimates measured on surface-dwelling foraminifera and subsurface-dwelling foraminifera. In both, the South China Sea and the Equatorial Eastern Pacific, the Mg/Ca data suggest that the magnitude of LGM cooling at the subsurface is either comparable (difference of 0.3 °C; Pena et al., 2008) or more attenuated compared to that at the sea surface (subsurface cooling weaker by 2 to 2.5 °C; Steinke et al., 2011, 2010), but not stronger as it would be needed to explain the stronger TEX_{86}^H -cooling.

3.3.2. Temporal shifts in seasonal production over glacial-interglacial cycle?

The seasonal and water column structure in LGM simulations suggests that the systematic discrepancy between proxies is unlikely to stem solely from proxies recording temperature of different seasons and water depths, assuming that both the

recording season and water depth stay constant over time. Nevertheless, a shift in recording season from summer to winter and/or a shift to deeper depth during LGM could potentially amplify the magnitude of LGM cooling, and vice versa. For instance, a shift in alkenone production from spring in the Holocene to summer in the LGM was invoked to explain warmer-than-Holocene $U_{37}^{K'}$ -derived SSTs in the subpolar regions where the alkenone production during the LGM was assumed to be limited by the availability of light (Harada et al., 2006; Rosell-Melé and Comes, 1999). In general, light availability is not a limiting factor for primary production in the tropics, and a compilation of modern day alkenone flux time series shows no evidence of alkenone flux being driven by latitudinal light availability (Rosell-Melé and Prahl, 2013), implying that the $U_{37}^{K'}$ -derived LGM cooling in our compilation is unlikely to be biased by this factor. Furthermore, the small amplitude of the tropical seasonal cycle would dampen the effects of seasonality changes relative to mid and high latitudes.

Compared to haptophyte and alkenones, less is known about the archaea and the transport of their lipids to the seafloor, making it more challenging to estimate the season and depth of both the production and the export of archaeal lipids during glacial stages. In contemporary marine settings, the marine archaea seem to thrive when the primary productivity is low (Galand et al., 2010; Massana et al., 1998; Murray et al., 1998), and probably do not dwell at the sea surface because they are inhibited by high light level (Merbt et al., 2012). However, it is still unclear what primarily controls the depth and season of origin in sedimentary TEX_{86} signal. The seasonal archaeal production and the timing of carbon flux to the seafloor are probably two of the main controls, but their relative importance is expected to vary with oceanographic settings (see review by Schouten et al., 2013).

The seasonality and the export depth of the nanoplankton (of which the producers of alkenones are a subset) or picoplankton (of which the producers of GDGTs are a subset) during LGM could plausibly be constrained using models with integrated biogeochemical cycle as shown for foraminiferal proxies (Fraile et al., 2009). However, relying on such models to interpret proxy adds another layer of uncertainty especially given the current lack of understanding of the marine archaeal ecology and GDGT export mechanisms. As evidenced by the global compilation of alkenone sediment trap data (Rosell-Melé and Prahl, 2013), there is also the issue of whether or not the seasonality of the lipid flux may be directly transferred to sediments, as the seasonal signal carried by lipids can be modified during the complex sedimentation process.

In theory, the impact of a temporal shift in habitat depth or season of the proxy recorder would differ in magnitude depending on the habitat depth of the organism. This is because the vertical gradients and amplitude of seasonal cycle diminishes with water depth, so the deeper the source organism dwells the less sensitive the proxy is to seasonality/depth changes. If the $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ signals in the archaeal lipids are set at subsurface water depths, the impact of a temporal shift in recording season and/or habitat depth will be less severe on $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ records than on $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ records, which purportedly reflect SST. Nevertheless, a shift in recording season and/or depth would render a quantitative interpretation of the relative changes in proxy derived temperature estimates questionable, especially in terms of the often adopted approach of inferring water column structure changes using the difference between $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ and $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ estimates.

3.4. Towards reconciling $U_{37}^{K'}$ and TEX_{86}^H discrepancy

Given the model evidence and the large range of temperatures, latitudes and oceanographic settings spanned by the study sites, the observed systematic difference between glacial cooling magnitude inferred from TEX_{86}^H and $U_{37}^{K'}$ is unlikely to be attributable to the TEX_{86}^H recording stronger or $U_{37}^{K'}$ recording weaker climate change. Instead, the simplest solution consistent with our finding would be that the present calibrations are biased, towards overestimating the amplitude of TEX_{86}^H -derived temperature changes and/or underestimating that of $U_{37}^{K'}$.

Judging from the many uncertainties in TEX_{86}^H calibration (Schouten et al. 2013), it is plausible that a larger proportion of the offset can be attributed to the TEX_{86}^H -SST calibration than the relatively well-constrained $U_{37}^{K'}$ -SST calibration (see Section 3.1). Expanding the spatial coverage of the global TEX_{86} -SST calibrations does not substantially change the slope, indicating the robustness of the spatial representativeness of the existing database (Ho et al., 2014). Regressing global index values with different seasonal SST will change the intercept but not the slope of the linear regression (e.g. Müller et al., 1998). One possibility, as suggested by the reduced discrepancy in proxy comparison when using the subsurface calibration (Kim et al., 2012), is to further explore the correlation of TEX_{86}^H with subsurface water temperatures. As the latitudinal subsurface temperature gradients are reduced compared to that at the surface, such calibration targets can reduce the slope of regression, thereby also the amplitude of reconstructed temperatures. In addition, the proposed calibration should also be assessed in terms of consistency with downcore multiproxy evidence on a global scale and preferably on various time-scales.

4. Summary

We compiled glacial cooling estimates from $U_{37}^{K'}$ and TEX_{86}^H records measured on the same sediment cores. A comparison of these proxy records, in terms of the anomaly between time-slices of 0–4 kyr BP and 19–23 kyr BP, shows that the tropical LGM cooling suggested by TEX_{86}^H is twice as strong as the $U_{37}^{K'}$ -cooling. Considering available tropical cooling estimates from other proxies and alternative calibrations, and drawing from the climate model-simulated seasonal and water depth structure, we argue that the systematic discrepancy between proxies is unlikely to stem alone from proxies recording different season or water depth. Judging from the large range of oceanographic regimes and depositional settings spanned by the study sites, it is also unlikely that the proxy discrepancy in LGM cooling stems from a concomitant shift of both proxies in recording season / depth during LGM. Instead, we contend that the seemingly overestimated magnitude of LGM cooling derived from the widely used TEX_{86}^H -SST global calibration is plausibly due to a biased slope of the regression, which may be improved by calibrating the index values to temperatures of water levels deeper than currently considered in the global calibration.

5. Acknowledgments

We are grateful to authors who made their data publicly available via NCDC and Pangaea, which greatly speed up the compilation work. We would like to thank M. Yamamoto, J.H. Kim, H. Shaari, C. Huguet, M. Zhao, L. Pena, S. Steinke, and T. Caley for sharing their published data. We gratefully acknowledge J. Groeneveld, G. Mollenhauer and the COMPARE (Comparing Ocean Models with Paleo-Archives) 2013 LGM Tropical Cooling workshop participants for insightful discussion, and T.

Roy for improving the readability of this paper. Constructive reviews from two anonymous reviewers helped to further improve the manuscript. We acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme's Working Group on Coupled Modelling, which is responsible for CMIP, and we thank the climate modeling groups for producing and making available their model output. For CMIP the U.S. Department of Energy's Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison provides coordinating support and led development of software infrastructure in partnership with the Global Organization for Earth System Science Portals. This work is supported by the Initiative and Networking Fund of the Helmholtz Association (grant VH-NG-900).

References

- Beck, J.W., Edwards, R.L., Ito, E., Taylor, F.W., Recy, J., Rougerie, F., Joannot, P.,
Henin, C., 1992. Sea-Surface Temperature from Coral Skeletal
Strontium/Calcium Ratios. *Science* 257, 644–647.
doi:10.1126/science.257.5070.644
- Braconnot, P., Harrison, S.P., Kageyama, M., Bartlein, P.J., Masson-Delmotte, V.,
Abe-Ouchi, A., Otto-Bliesner, B., Zhao, Y., 2012. Evaluation of climate
models using palaeoclimatic data. *Nat. Clim. Change* 2, 417–424.
doi:10.1038/nclimate1456
- Caley, T., Kim, J.-H., Malaizé, B., Giraudeau, J., Laepple, T., Caillon, N., Charlier,
K., Rebaubier, H., Rossignol, L., Castañeda, I.S., Schouten, S., Sinninghe
Damsté, J.S., 2011. High-latitude obliquity as a dominant forcing in the
Agulhas current system. *Clim. Past* 7, 1285–1296. doi:10.5194/cp-7-1285-
2011
- Castañeda, I.S., Schefuß, E., Pätzold, J., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., Weldeab, S.,
Schouten, S., 2010. Millennial-scale sea surface temperature changes in the
eastern Mediterranean (Nile River Delta region) over the last 27,000 years.
Paleoceanography 25. doi:10.1029/2009PA001740
- CLIMAP Project members, 1976. The Surface of the Ice-Age Earth. *Science* 191,
1131–1137. doi:10.1126/science.191.4232.1131
- De Garidel-Thoron, T., Rosenthal, Y., Beaufort, L., Bard, E., Sonzogni, C., Mix,
A.C., 2007. A multiproxy assessment of the western equatorial Pacific
hydrography during the last 30 kyr. *Paleoceanography* 22, PA3204.
doi:10.1029/2006PA001269

471 Dubois, N., Kienast, M., Normandeau, C., Herbert, T.D., 2009. Eastern equatorial
 472 Pacific cold tongue during the Last Glacial Maximum as seen from alkenone
 473 paleothermometry. *Paleoceanography* 24, PA4207.
 474 doi:10.1029/2009PA001781

475 Elderfield, H., Ganssen, G., 2000. Past temperature and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ of surface ocean waters
 476 inferred from foraminiferal Mg/Ca ratios. *Nature* 405, 442–445.
 477 doi:10.1038/35013033

478 Fedorov, A.V., Brierley, C.M., Lawrence, K.T., Liu, Z., Dekens, P.S., Ravelo, A.C.,
 479 2013. Patterns and mechanisms of early Pliocene warmth. *Nature* 496, 43–49.

480 Fraile, I., Schulz, M., Mulitza, S., Merkel, U., Prange, M., Paul, A., 2009. Modeling
 481 the seasonal distribution of planktonic foraminifera during the Last Glacial
 482 Maximum. *Paleoceanography* 24, PA2216. doi: 10.1029/2008PA001686

483 Galand, P.E., Gutiérrez-Provecho, C., Massana, R., Gasol, J.M., Casamayor, E.O.,
 484 2010. Inter-annual recurrence of archaeal assemblages in the coastal NW
 485 Mediterranean Sea (Blanes Bay Microbial Observatory). *Limnol. Oceanogr.*
 486 55, 2117–2125. doi:10.4319/lo.2010.55.5.2117

487 Harada, N., Ahagon, N., Sakamoto, T., Uchida, M., Ikehara, M., Shibata, Y., 2006.
 488 Rapid fluctuation of alkenone temperature in the southwestern Okhotsk Sea
 489 during the past 120 ky. *Glob. Planet. Change* 53, 29–46.
 490 doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.01.010

491 Hargreaves, J.C., Annan, J.D., Yoshimori, M., Abe-Ouchi, A., 2012. Can the Last
 492 Glacial Maximum constrain climate sensitivity? *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 39, n/a–
 493 n/a. doi:10.1029/2012GL053872

494 Ho, S.L., Mollenhauer, G., Fietz, S., Martínez-García, A., Lamy, F., Rueda, G.,
 495 Schipper, K., Méheust, M., Rosell-Melé, A., Stein, R., Tiedemann, R., 2014.

496 Appraisal of TEX₈₆ and thermometries in subpolar and polar regions.
 497 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 131, 213–226. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2014.01.001
 498 Huguet, C., Kim, J.-H., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., Schouten, S., 2006. Reconstruction of
 499 sea surface temperature variations in the Arabian Sea over the last 23 kyr
 500 using organic proxies (TEX₈₆ and U₃₇^{K'}). *Paleoceanography* 21, PA3003.
 501 doi:10.1029/2005PA001215
 502 Ijiri, A., Wang, L., Oba, T., Kawahata, H., Huang, C.-Y., Huang, C.-Y., 2005.
 503 Paleoenvironmental changes in the northern area of the East China Sea during
 504 the past 42,000 years. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 219, 239–261.
 505 doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2004.12.028
 506 Karner, M.B., DeLong, E.F., Karl, D.M., 2001. Archaeal dominance in the
 507 mesopelagic zone of the Pacific Ocean. *Nature* 409, 507–510.
 508 Kienast, M., MacIntyre, G., Dubois, N., Higginson, S., Normandeau, C., Chazen, C.,
 509 Herbert, T.D., 2012. Alkenone unsaturation in surface sediments from the
 510 eastern equatorial Pacific: Implications for SST reconstructions.
 511 *Paleoceanography* 27, PA1210. doi:10.1029/2011PA002254
 512 Kim, J.-H., Romero, O.E., Lohmann, G., Donner, B., Laepple, T., Haam, E.,
 513 Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., 2012. Pronounced subsurface cooling of North
 514 Atlantic waters off Northwest Africa during Dansgaard–Oeschger
 515 interstadials. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 339–340, 95–102.
 516 doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2012.05.018
 517 Kim, J.-H., van der Meer, J., Schouten, S., Helmke, P., Willmott, V., Sangiorgi, F.,
 518 Koç, N., Hopmans, E.C., Damsté, J.S.S., 2010. New indices and calibrations
 519 derived from the distribution of crenarchaeal isoprenoid tetraether lipids:

520 Implications for past sea surface temperature reconstructions. *Geochim.*
 521 *Cosmochim. Acta* 74, 4639–4654. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2010.05.027
 522 Kubota, Y., Kimoto, K., Tada, R., Oda, H., Yokoyama, Y., Matsuzaki, H., 2010.
 523 Variations of East Asian summer monsoon since the last deglaciation based on
 524 Mg/Ca and oxygen isotope of planktic foraminifera in the northern East China
 525 Sea. *Paleoceanography* 25, PA4205. doi:10.1029/2009PA001891
 526 Laepple, T., Huybers, P., 2013. Reconciling discrepancies between $U^{K'}$ and Mg/Ca
 527 reconstructions of Holocene marine temperature variability. *Earth Planet. Sci.*
 528 *Lett.* 375, 418–429. doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2013.06.006
 529 Laepple, T., Jewson, S., Coughlin, K., 2008. Interannual temperature predictions
 530 using the CMIP3 multi-model ensemble mean. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 35,
 531 L10701. doi:10.1029/2008GL033576
 532 Leduc, G., Schneider, R.R., Kim, J.-H., Lohmann, G., 2010. Holocene and Eemian
 533 sea surface temperature trends as revealed by alkenone and Mg/Ca
 534 paleothermometry. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 29, 989–1004. doi:
 535 10.1016/j.quascirev.2010.01.004
 536 Li, D., Zhao, M., Tian, J., Li, L., 2013. Comparison and implication of TEX_{86} and
 537 $U^{K'}$ temperature records over the last 356kyr of ODP Site 1147 from the
 538 northern South China Sea. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 376, 213–
 539 223. doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2013.02.031
 540 Lohmann, G., Pfeiffer, M., Laepple, T., Leduc, G., Kim, J.-H., 2013. A model–data
 541 comparison of the Holocene global sea surface temperature evolution. *Clim*
 542 *Past* 9, 1807–1839. doi:10.5194/cp-9-1807-2013
 543 Lopes dos Santos, R.A., Spooner, M.I., Barrows, T.T., De Deckker, P., Sinninghe
 544 Damsté, J.S., Schouten, S., 2013. Comparison of organic ($U^{K'}$, TEX_{86}^H ,

545 LDI) and faunal proxies (foraminiferal assemblages) for reconstruction of late
 546 Quaternary sea surface temperature variability from offshore southeastern
 547 Australia. *Paleoceanography* 28, 377–387. doi:10.1002/palo.20035
 548 Marcott, S.A., Shakun, J.D., Clark, P.U., Mix, A.C., 2013. A Reconstruction of
 549 Regional and Global Temperature for the Past 11,300 Years. *Science* 339,
 550 1198–1201. doi:10.1126/science.1228026
 551 Massana, R., Taylor, L.T., Murray, A.E., Wu, K.Y., Jeffrey, W.H., DeLong, E.F.,
 552 1998. Vertical distribution and temporal variation of marine planktonic
 553 archaea in the Gerlache Strait, Antarctica, during early spring. *Limnol.*
 554 *Oceanogr.* 43, 607–617.
 555 Merbt, S.N., Stahl, D.A., Casamayor, E.O., Martí, E., Nicol, G.W., Prosser, J.I., 2012.
 556 Differential photoinhibition of bacterial and archaeal ammonia oxidation.
 557 *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 327, 41–46. doi:10.1111/j.1574-6968.2011.02457.x
 558 Müller, P.J., Kirst, G., Ruhland, G., von Storch, I., Rosell-Melé, A., 1998. Calibration
 559 of the alkenone paleotemperature index U37K' based on core-tops from the
 560 eastern South Atlantic and the global ocean (60°N–60°S). *Geochim.*
 561 *Cosmochim. Acta* 62, 1757–1772. doi:10.1016/S0016-7037(98)00097-0
 562 Murray, A.E., Preston, C.M., Massana, R., Taylor, L.T., Blakis, A., Wu, K., DeLong,
 563 E.F., 1998. Seasonal and spatial variability of bacterial and archaeal
 564 assemblages in the coastal waters near Anvers Island, Antarctica. *Appl.*
 565 *Environ. Microbiol.* 64, 2585–2595.
 566 Pelejero, C., Calvo, E., 2003. The upper end of the UK'37 temperature calibration
 567 revisited. *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.* 4(2), 1014, doi:
 568 10.1029/2002GC000431

569 Pelejero, C., Grimalt, J.O., 1997. The correlation between the UK37 index and sea
570 surface temperatures in the warm boundary: The South China Sea. *Geochim.*
571 *Cosmochim. Acta* 61, 4789–4797.

572 Pena, L.D., Cacho, I., Ferretti, P., Hall, M.A., 2008. El Niño–Southern Oscillation–
573 like variability during glacial terminations and interlatitudinal teleconnections.
574 *Paleoceanography* 23, PA3101. doi:10.1029/2008PA001620

575 Prah, F.G., Muehlhausen, L.A., Zahnle, D.L., 1988. Further evaluation of long-chain
576 alkenones as indicators of paleoceanographic conditions. *Geochim.*
577 *Cosmochim. Acta* 52, 2303–2310.

578 Prah, F.G., Wakeham, S.G., 1987. Calibration of unsaturation patterns in long-chain
579 ketone compositions for palaeotemperature assessment. *Nature* 330, 367–369.

580 Rosell-Melé, A., Bard, E., Emeis, K.-C., Grieger, B., Hewitt, C., Müller, P.J.,
581 Schneider, R.R., 2004. Sea surface temperature anomalies in the oceans at the
582 LGM estimated from the alkenone- $U_{37}^{K'}$ index: comparison with GCMs.
583 *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 31. doi:10.1029/2003GL018151

584 Rosell-Melé, A., Comes, P., 1999. Evidence for a warm Last Glacial Maximum in the
585 Nordic Seas or an example of shortcomings in UK'37 and UK37 to estimate
586 low sea surface temperature. *Paleoceanography* 14, 770–776.

587 Rosell-Melé, A., Prah, F.G., 2013. Seasonality of temperature estimates as inferred
588 from sediment trap data. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 72, 128–136. doi:
589 10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.04.017

590 Schmittner, A., Urban, N.M., Shakun, J.D., Mahowald, N.M., Clark, P.U., Bartlein,
591 P.J., Mix, A.C., Rosell-Mele, A., 2011. Climate Sensitivity Estimated from
592 Temperature Reconstructions of the Last Glacial Maximum. *Science* 334,
593 1385–1388. doi:10.1126/science.1203513

594 Schouten, S., Hopmans, E.C., Forster, A., van Breugel, Y., Kuypers, M.M., Damsté,
 595 J.S.S., 2003. Extremely high sea-surface temperatures at low latitudes during
 596 the middle Cretaceous as revealed by archaeal membrane lipids. *Geology* 31,
 597 1069–1072.

598 Schouten, S., Hopmans, E.C., Schefuß, E., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., 2002.
 599 Distributional variations in marine crenarchaeotal membrane lipids: a new tool
 600 for reconstructing ancient sea water temperatures? *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 204,
 601 265–274. doi:10.1016/S0012-821X(02)00979-2

602 Schouten, S., Hopmans, E.C., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., 2013. The organic
 603 geochemistry of glycerol dialkyl glycerol tetraether lipids: A review. *Org.*
 604 *Geochem.* 54, 19–61. doi:10.1016/j.orggeochem.2012.09.006

605 Shaari, H. bin, Yamamoto, M., Irino, T., 2013. Enhanced upwelling in the eastern
 606 equatorial Pacific at the last five glacial terminations. *Palaeogeogr.*
 607 *Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 386, 8–15. doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2013.03.022

608 Shaari, H.B., Yamamoto, M., Irino, T., Oba, T., 2013. Nutricline shoaling in the
 609 eastern Pacific warm pool during the last two glacial maxima. *J. Oceanogr.* 70,
 610 25–34. doi:10.1007/s10872-013-0209-1

611 Shakun, J.D., Clark, P.U., He, F., Marcott, S.A., Mix, A.C., Liu, Z., Otto-Bliesner, B.,
 612 Schmittner, A., Bard, E., 2012. Global warming preceded by increasing
 613 carbon dioxide concentrations during the last deglaciation. *Nature* 484, 49–54.

614 Shintani, T., Yamamoto, M., Chen, M.-T., 2008. Slow Warming of the Northern
 615 South China Sea during the Last Deglaciation. *Terr. Atmospheric Ocean. Sci.*
 616 19, 341. doi:10.3319/TAO.2008.19.4.341(IMAGES)

617 Shintani, T., Yamamoto, M., Chen, M.-T., 2011. Paleoenvironmental changes in the
 618 northern South China Sea over the past 28,000 years: A study of TEX86-

619 derived sea surface temperatures and terrestrial biomarkers. *J. Asian Earth*
 620 *Sci., Quaternary Paleoclimate of the Western Pacific and East Asia: State of*
 621 *the Art and New Discovery* 40, 1221–1229. doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2010.09.013
 622 Sinninghe Damste, J.S., Rijpstra, W.I.C., Hopmans, E.C., Prahl, F.G., Wakeham,
 623 S.G., Schouten, S., 2002. Distribution of Membrane Lipids of Planktonic
 624 Crenarchaeota in the Arabian Sea. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 2997–3002.
 625 doi:10.1128/AEM.68.6.2997-3002.2002
 626 Sonzogni, C., Bard, E., Rostek, F., Dollfus, D., Rosell-Melé, A., Eglinton, G., 1997.
 627 Temperature and salinity effects on alkenone ratios measured in the surface
 628 sediments from the Indian Ocean. *Quat. Res.* 47, 344–355.
 629 Steinke, S., Glatz, C., Mohtadi, M., Groeneveld, J., Li, Q., Jian, Z., 2011. Past
 630 dynamics of the East Asian monsoon: No inverse behaviour between the
 631 summer and winter monsoon during the Holocene. *Glob. Planet. Change* 78,
 632 170–177. doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.06.006
 633 Steinke, S., Mohtadi, M., Groeneveld, J., Lin, L.-C., Löwemark, L., Chen, M.-T.,
 634 Rendle-Bühning, R., 2010. Reconstructing the southern South China Sea upper
 635 water column structure since the Last Glacial Maximum: Implications for the
 636 East Asian winter monsoon development. *Paleoceanography* 25, PA2219.
 637 doi:10.1029/2009PA001850
 638 Taylor, K.E., Stouffer, R.J., Meehl, G.A., 2012. An Overview of CMIP5 and the
 639 Experiment Design. *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.* 93, 485–498.
 640 doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1
 641 Telford, R.J., 2014. Re-evaluating tropical LGM planktonic foraminifera assemblage-
 642 based sea-surface temperature reconstructions. figshare. doi:
 643 10.6084/m9.figshare.1014289

644 Telford, R.J., Li, C., Kucera, M., 2013. Mismatch between the depth habitat of
 645 planktonic foraminifera and the calibration depth of SST transfer functions
 646 may bias reconstructions. *Clim. Past* 9, 859–870. doi:10.5194/cp-9-859-2013
 647 Timmermann, A., Sachs, J., Timm, O., Accepted. Assessing divergent SST behavior
 648 during the last 21 ka derived from alkenones and *G. ruber*-Mg/Ca in the
 649 Equatorial Pacific. *Paleoceanography*. doi: 10.1002/2013PA002598
 650 Tripathi, A.K., Sahany, S., Pittman, D., Eagle, R.A., Neelin, J.D., Mitchell, J.L.,
 651 Beaufort, L., 2014. Modern and glacial tropical snowlines controlled by sea
 652 surface temperature and atmospheric mixing. *Nat. Geosci.* 7, 205–209.
 653 doi:10.1038/ngeo2082
 654 Waelbroeck, C., Paul, A., Kucera, M., Rosell-Melé, A., Weinelt, M., Schneider, R.,
 655 Mix, A.C., Abelmann, A., Armand, L., Bard, E., Barker, S., Barrows, T.T.,
 656 Benway, H., Cacho, I., Chen, M.-T., Cortijo, E., Crosta, X., de Vernal, A.,
 657 Dokken, T., Duprat, J., Elderfield, H., Eynaud, F., Gersonde, R., Hayes, A.,
 658 Henry, M., Hillaire-Marcel, C., Huang, C.-C., Jansen, E., Juggins, S., Kallel,
 659 N., Kiefer, T., Kienast, M., Labeyrie, L., Leclaire, H., Londeix, L., Mangin,
 660 S., Matthiessen, J., Marret, F., Meland, M., Morey, A.E., Mulitza, S.,
 661 Pflaumann, U., Pisias, N.G., Radi, T., Rochon, A., Rohling, E.J., Saffi, L.,
 662 Schäfer-Neth, C., Solignac, S., Spero, H., Tachikawa, K., Turon, J.-L., 2009.
 663 Constraints on the magnitude and patterns of ocean cooling at the Last Glacial
 664 Maximum. *Nat. Geosci.* 2, 127–132. doi:10.1038/ngeo411
 665 Wuchter, C., Schouten, S., Wakeham, S.G., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., 2006. Archaeal
 666 tetraether membrane lipid fluxes in the northeastern Pacific and the Arabian
 667 Sea: Implications for TEX₈₆ paleothermometry. *Paleoceanography* 21,
 668 PA4208. doi:10.1029/2006PA001279

669 Yamamoto, M., Kishizaki, M., Oba, T., Kawahata, H., 2013. Intense winter cooling of
670 the surface water in the northern Okinawa Trough during the last glacial
671 period. *J. Asian Earth Sci.* 69, 86–92. doi:10.1016/j.jseaes.2012.06.011
672 Yamamoto, M., Sai, H., Chen, M.-T., Zhao, M., 2013. The East Asian winter
673 monsoon variability in response to precession during the past 150 000 yr.
674 *Clim. Past* 9, 2777–2788. doi:10.5194/cp-9-2777-2013
675

Figure captions

Figure 1: Location of the study sites in our compilation and the comparison of LGM cooling derived from $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ and $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K'}}$. Sites with stronger $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ -cooling are indicated by blue circles, whereas the site with a stronger $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ -cooling is indicated by the red circle. The definition of tropics used in this manuscript (30°S – 30°N) is shown by the dashed horizontal lines.

Figure 2: Last Glacial Maximum cooling at the study sites derived from $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ and $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K'}}$, plotted against latitude. Dashed lines indicate the mean cooling at our study sites located within the latitudinal band of 30°N and 30°S , as inferred from $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ (black) and $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ (red), in comparison with the MARGO estimates (blue, solid line). Error bars are the standard error of the mean.

Figure 3: Seasonal and water depth structure in the PMIP3 multi-model ensemble mean of the LGM – preindustrial time-slices, expressed as the relative cooling (a) between the annual mean SST and monthly mean SST, and (b) between the temperature at the sea surface and the temperature at subsurface water depths. Thick gray lines indicate the observed ratio between the mean $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ -derived LGM cooling and the mean $\text{U}_{37}^{\text{K'}}$ -derived LGM cooling. Subtropical sites are indicated by dashed lines, whereas tropical sites are indicated by solid lines.

Figure 1
[Click here to download Figure: fig1_r1_11sep.pdf](#)

Figure 2
[Click here to download Figure: fig2_r1_diff symbols.pdf](#)

Figure 3
[Click here to download Figure: fig3_r1_12sep.pdf](#)

Table 1: Proxy calibrations applied at the multiproxy sites and their interpretation by the original authors.

Site	Latitude (°N)	Longitude (°E)	Water depth (m)	TEX ₈₆ ^H calibration	Interpretation of TEX ₈₆ ^H data	U ^K ₃₇ calibration	Interpretation of U ^K ₃₇ data	Reference
NIOP905	10.78	51.93	1567	*Schouten et al. (2002)	Annual mean SST	Müller et al. (1998)	Annual mean SST	Huguet et al. 2006
SO42-74KL	14.32	57.33	3212	*Schouten et al. (2002)	Annual mean SST	Müller et al. (1998)	Annual mean SST	Huguet et al. 2006
GeoB7926-2	20.22	-18.45	2500	Kim et al. (2012)	Subsurface (0-200m)	Müller et al. (1998)	Annual mean SST	Kim et al., 2012
MD96-2048	-26.17	34.02	660	Kim et al. (2010)	Annual mean SST	Prahl et al. (1988)	Annual mean SST	Caley et al., 2011
MD97-2146	20.12	117.38	1720	Kim et al. (2010)	Non-blooming season (Summer at the site)	Prahl et al. (1988)	Annual mean SST	Shintani et al., 2008 Shintani et al., 2011
MD97-2151	8.73	109.87	1598	Jia et al. (2012)	Subsurface (30-125m) T	Pelejero and Grimalt (1997)	Winter SST	Yamamoto et al., 2013b
ODP1147	18.84	116.55	3246	*Schouten et al. (2003)	Subsurface (75m) T	Pelejero and Grimalt (1997)	Upper mixed layer T	Li et al. 2013
ODP1239	-0.67	-82.08	1414	Kim et al. (2010)	Integrated surface+thermocline T	Prahl et al. (1988)	Annual mean SST	Shaari et al., 2013a
ODP1241	5.84	-86.44	2027	Kim et al. (2010)	Integrated surface+thermocline T	Prahl et al. (1988)	Nutricline T	Shaari et al., 2013b
GeoB7702-3	31.65	34.07	562	*Kim et al., (2008)	Summer T	Conte et al. (2006)	Late spring/early autumn	Castañeda et al., 2010
MD98-2195	31.64	128.94	746	Kim et al. (2010)	T of summer thermocline	Prahl et al. (1988)	Spring SST	Ijiri et al., 2005 Kubota et al., 2010 Yamamoto et al., 2013a
MD03-2607	-36.96	137.41	865	Kim et al. (2010)	Winter SST	Müller et al. (1998)	Annual mean SST	Lopes dos Santos et al., 2013

Abbreviations: SST for sea surface temperature; T for temperature.

* TEX₈₆ calibration

Table 2: Compilation of Last Glacial Maximum time-slice cooling inferred from $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$, U_{37}^{K} and foraminiferal Mg/Ca.

		Tropical sites (30°S–30°N)									Subtropical sites (>30°N or >30°S)		
		NIOP 905	SO42 -74KL	GeoB 7926-2	MD96 -2048	MD97 -2146	MD97 -2151	ODP 1147	ODP 1239	ODP 1241	GeoB 7702-3	MD98 -2195	MD03 -2607
Proxy													
U_{37}^{K}	0–4kyrBP ¹ <i>n</i>	17	17	9	2	14	10	2	8	2	12	27	9
	0–4kyrBP T (°C)	26.15	27.10	20.93	27.87	27.23	27.34	26.35	25.32	26.16	22.23	24.36	18.59
	19–23kyrBP <i>n</i>	16	11	23	3	5	11	1	2	2	4	29	12
	19–23kyrBP T (°C)	25.43	25.08	21.18	25.74	24.76	25.61	22.81	22.46	26.10	11.86	20.73	10.82
	² LGM ΔT (°C)	-0.72	-2.02	0.26	-2.13	-2.47	-1.73	-3.53	-2.86	-0.06	-10.37	-3.63	-7.77
	³ Error (°C)	0.16	0.11	0.24	0.62	0.49	0.46	0.91	0.57	0.74	0.41	0.35	0.32
$\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$	0–4kyrBP ¹ <i>n</i>	17	17	8	2	14	10	2	8	2	12	10	9
	0–4kyrBP T (°C)	26.93	27.81	21.90	26.65	28.49	28.36	26.21	25.51	27.62	26.41	21.40	18.78
	19–23kyrBP <i>n</i>	13	11	23	3	6	14	1	2	2	4	5	12
	19–23kyrBP T (°C)	24.40	25.64	19.48	24.12	23.50	25.09	21.18	22.35	22.52	16.79	8.88	9.73
	² LGM ΔT (°C)	-2.53	-2.17	-2.42	-2.53	-4.99	-3.27	-5.03	-3.16	-5.10	-9.62	-12.52	-9.04
	³ Error (°C)	0.17	0.27	0.50	0.83	0.46	0.37	1.43	0.95	1.14	0.85	1.42	0.69
Mg/Ca (<i>G. ruber</i>)	0–4kyrBP ¹ <i>n</i>				3							⁴ 34	
	0–4kyrBP T (°C)				25.00							⁴ 26.02	
	19–23kyrBP <i>n</i>				7							^{4,5} 6	
	19–23kyrBP T (°C)				23.16							^{4,5} 21.75	
	² LGM ΔT (°C)				-1.84							-4.27	
	³ Error (°C)				0.93							1.04	
U_{37}^{K} LGM ΔT - $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ LGM ΔT		1.81	0.15	2.68	0.4	2.52	1.54	1.5	0.3	5.04	-0.75	8.89	1.27
Mg/Ca LGM ΔT - U_{37}^{K} LGM ΔT					0.29							-0.64	
Mg/Ca LGM ΔT - $\text{TEX}_{86}^{\text{H}}$ LGM ΔT					0.69							8.25	

¹*n* denotes the number of samples.
²LGM ΔT = (19–23kyrBP T) – (0–4kyrBP T)
³Standard error caused by the finite number of samples in time-slices. Error associated with calibration is discussed in section “Approach”.
⁴Mg/Ca measured on core KY-04-01 retrieved from the same coordinates.
⁵Time-slice is defined as 18–23kyrBP due to the unavailability of data older than 19kyrBP.

Table 3: Dependence of the $U_{37}^{K'}$ - and TEX_{86}^H -inferred mean tropical (30°N-30°S) LGM cooling estimate on the choice of the sediment core-top calibration.

Proxy	Calibration Type	Calibration Reference	Mean LGM cooling (°C)
$U_{37}^{K'}$	Global	Müller et al., 1998	1.7
	South China Sea (SCS)	Pelejero and Grimalt, 1997	1.8
	Eastern Equatorial Pacific (EEP)	Kienast et al., 2012	1.8
	Tropical Indian Ocean	Sonzogni et al., 1997	2.4
	Assorted (as reported in original publications)	See Table 1	1.8
TEX_{86}^H	Global (Calibrated against sea surface temperature at 0m)	Kim et al., 2010	3.5
	Global (Calibrated against seawater temperature of integrated depth of 0-200m)	Kim et al., 2012	2.6
	Assorted (as reported in original publications)	See Table 1	3.5