Reaction mechanisms of potassium oxysalts based energetic composites

Wenbo Zhou, Jeffery B. DeLisio, Xizheng Wang, Michael R. Zachariah^{*}

ABSTRACT

Ignition temperature is a simple and important parameter that pertains to both the practical aspects of thermite usage as well as a key to exploring reaction mechanisms. In this study, nine aluminum-fueled oxysalt-containing thermites including $\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$, K_2SO_4 , KIO_4 , KIO_3 , KClO_4 , KClO_3 , KBrO_3 , KNO_3 and K_3PO_4 , were investigated. Results from combustion cell tests show that these thermites can be divided into two groups, with the reactive thermites (*e.g.*, $\text{Al-K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$) generating $\sim 10\times$ higher of pressure and $\sim 10\times$ shorter of burn time than the less reactive thermites in the aforementioned list (*e.g.*, $\text{Al-K}_2\text{SO}_4$). Thermal decomposition analysis of these oxysalts at both slow and fast heating rates (0.17 K/s v.s. 10^5 K/s) demonstrates that these oxysalts have a wide range of oxygen release and melting temperatures. On the other hand, the ignition temperatures of the reactive thermites (in Ar and air) are consistent with the temperature of polymorphic phase change of alumina (close to the melting point of Al), indicating that the limiting initiation step of these thermites is the acceleration of outward diffusion flux of Al. In addition, the ignition temperatures of these reactive thermites in vacuum are much higher than those in Ar, suggesting that ignition is based on the interaction between outwardly diffused Al, and generated gas phase O_2 . In contrast, the ignition temperatures of the two less reactive thermites are insensitive to pressure. They ignite at temperatures much higher than the melting point of Al, although lower than the decomposition temperature of the corresponding oxysalts, indicating a condensed phase reaction mechanism. Finally, by employing carbon as a non-melting, non-oxide coated fuel, we found an essentially direct correlation between the oxygen release temperature and the ignition temperature.

KEYWORDS

Ignition temperature; Oxygen release; Melting; Oxysalts; Reaction mechanism

1. Introduction

Since the thermite reaction was first named by Goldschmidt in 1908 [1], the concept has been currently broadened to a variety of exothermic reactions involving a metal reacting with an oxide (metal or non-metal) or an oxysalt [2-5]. Due to its extremely high energy density, the thermite system has been employed in a wide array of applications in the pyrotechnic, metallurgical, and ceramic industries [2-5], which has prompted studies to improve their utilization by tailoring their combustion and ignition behaviours [6-10]. The latter property also involves practical safety concerns related to the large-scale industrial use [11,12]. One important research direction is to improve the ignitibility of thermites, which is affected by many factors (contact area, chemical composition, hygroscopicity, etc.). The most common method is by increasing the contact area between the fuel and oxidizer, which can reduce the diffusion distance of reactive species to permit ignition at lower temperatures [13-17]. This higher degree of intimacy can be achieved by either decreasing the particle size [14-17], better mixing [13,18,19], or increasing the particle porosity [20].

For the most common aluminum-fueled thermite systems, ignition was proposed to be initiated by a solid-state reaction [21]. Subsequent reaction requires movement of reactive species towards each other, although the nature of this movement is subject to considerable debate [22-28]. However, it was previously reported that the prerequisite for ignition is the availability of molten aluminium [21,29]. Based on this speculation, ignition temperatures for a plethora of thermites should be around the melting point of aluminum. However, a recent study by Jian *et al.* [30] shows that there can be large differences in ignition temperatures among different nano-thermite formulations

1
2
3
4 61 involving aluminum and metal oxides. For instance, some formulations (*e.g.*, Al-Bi₂O₃,
5
6 62 Al-SnO₂) have ignition temperatures lower than both the melting point of aluminum, and
7
8 63 the decomposition temperatures of oxidizers, suggesting another condensed phase
9
10 64 reaction mechanism that is not governed by melted aluminium [31]. Similarly, Dean *et*
11
12 65 *al.*[32] examined another nano-thermite formulation (Al-NiO), which produces minimal
13
14 66 gas upon ignition, with a much lower ignition temperature (~400 °C) [33] than either the
15
16 67 melting temperature of Al or the decomposition temperature of NiO. Sullivan *et al.* [34]
17
18 68 implemented in situ rapid heating microscopy to monitor the nano-thermite reaction of
19
20 69 Al-WO₃ and found a reactive sintering phenomenon [35] in which no gas was released,
21
22 70 and the reactants deformed to maximize intimate surface contact. While several thermites
23
24 71 appear to react via this condensed phase route [32-37], ignition temperatures of some
25
26 72 other formulations may exceed the decomposition temperature of metal oxides,
27
28 73 suggesting a different reaction mechanism [30].
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 74 To date, discussions on ignition mechanism of thermites have been limited to
37
38 75 formulations containing various metal oxides (CuO, Fe₂O₃, *etc.*), whereas the ignition
39
40 76 mechanism for thermite formulations containing oxysalts (nitrates [38-40], (per)chlorates
41
42 77 [41-44], (per)iodates [45-47], *etc.*) have not been explicitly studied from a mechanistic
43
44 78 standpoint. Typically, Al-oxysalt thermites exhibit lower ignition temperatures and higher
45
46 79 reaction rates [44,47-49], which are associated with the low oxygen release temperatures
47
48 80 of the corresponding oxysalts. An initial exothermic decomposition of some oxysalts
49
50 81 during heating may also contribute to the low ignition temperature [41,47]. Recently, a
51
52 82 new formulation based on persulfates was found to be more reactive when compared to
53
54 83 other oxysalts [50]. The superiority of oxysalts in thermite performance can likely be
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 84 attributed to the higher oxygen content, and the lower bond energy of the nonmetal-
5
6 85 oxygen pair in oxysalts when compared to the metal-oxygen pair in metal oxides [50]. Of
7
8
9 86 particular interest is whether the ignition temperature (T_{ig}) is a predictable function of the
10
11 87 oxygen release temperature (T_{O_2}), the melting temperature of aluminum ($T_{\text{Al-melt}}$), and the
12
13 88 melting temperature of oxidizer ($T_{\text{oxidizer-melt}}$).
14
15

16 89 In this paper, we systematically investigated the ignition of thermites that involve Al
17
18
19 90 and a variety of potassium oxysalts, in relation to the physiochemical changes of these
20
21 91 components during heating. These studies involved using constant-volume combustion
22
23 92 cell tests, thermal decomposition tests for oxysalts under both slow heating (10 K/min)
24
25
26 93 and ultrafast heating ($\sim 10^5$ K/s) conditions, and ignition tests for both microscale and
27
28 94 nanoscale thermites in air, in Ar and in vacuum. Nano-carbon-fueled thermites containing
29
30 95 similar oxysalts were also used as controls to tweeze out the role of liberated oxygen.
31
32
33
34 96
35
36

37 97 **2. Material and methods**

38 39 40 98 *2.1. Materials* 41 42 43

44 99 Nano-sized aluminum was obtained from Argonide Corporation, and nano-sized
45
46 100 carbon black was obtained from Cobot Corporation. Both materials have an average size
47
48
49 101 of ~ 50 nm. Micro-sized potassium persulfate ($\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$) powders were purchased from
50
51 102 Fluka. All of the other micro-sized oxysalt powders, namely potassium sulfate (K_2SO_4),
52
53 103 potassium nitrate (KNO_3) potassium chlorate (KClO_3), potassium perchlorate (KClO_4),
54
55 104 potassium bromate (KBrO_3), potassium iodate (KIO_3), potassium periodate (KIO_4), and
56
57 105 potassium phosphate (K_3PO_4) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Scanning electron
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

microscopy (SEM, Hitachi, SU-70 FEG-SEM) analysis shows that these as-received potassium oxysalts powders have a wide range of sizes from 1 μm to 100 μm .

The corresponding nine nano-sized potassium oxysalts were prepared by an aerosol spray drying process, as is shown in Scheme 1. In short, 0.02 mol/L water solution of oxysalt was prepared in the atomizer and sprayed into 1 μm droplets by a pressured air flow. Droplets flowed through a diffusion dryer to remove most of the water, followed by complete dehydration by a tube furnace at 150 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for $\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ and at 180 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for the other oxysalts. The dehydrated nanoparticles were finally collected on a Millipore membrane filter with a pore size of 0.4 μm . The average size of nine nano-oxysalts is ~ 0.5 μm . Particle sizes were measured by SEM and statistically analysed from a random selection of 60 particles in SEM images.

To prepare the thermite composite, nano-fuels (Al or C) were mixed with oxysalts (microscale or nanoscale) in a stoichiometric ratio. Accounting for the alumina shell (30%) on the nano-Al, the actual weight of nano-Al added was 1.4 times higher. The mixture was then sonicated in hexane for 30 min and then the solvent was evaporated at room temperature.

Scheme 1. The experimental flow chart including spray drying process for sample preparation, a pressure cell for detecting pressure and optical emission from thermite reaction, and a pulsed wire heating set-up coupled with T-jump TOF-MS and high-speed camera for detecting characteristic temperatures.

2.2. Constant-volume combustion characterization

The analysis of nano-Al/micro-oxysalt thermite reactions was conducted in a constant-volume combustion cell (Scheme 1). Prior to tests, all the thermite samples were dried in vacuum for hours in order to mitigate the adverse influence of hygroscopicity. 25 mg of thermite sample was loaded inside the combustion cell that has an internal spacing of $\sim 13 \text{ cm}^3$. After igniting the sample with a heated nichrome coil, the temporal pressure and optical emission from the thermite reaction were electronically recorded.

2.3. TG-DSC analysis of the decomposition of oxysalts

Thermogravimetry and differential scanning calorimetry (TG-DSC) tests of microscale oxysalts were conducted simultaneously in a SDT Q600 from TA Instruments, USA.

1
2
3
4 140 Around 2 mg of as-received oxysalt powders was loaded into the sample crucible inside
5
6 141 the apparatus and heated at 10 K/min in 100 L/min Ar flow. The TG and DSC heat flow
7
8 142 calibrations were conducted prior to tests. For the TG calibration, two calibration weights
9
10 143 were used to calibrate the beam and weight correction factors. For the DSC heat flow
11
12 144 calibration, the capacity curve of sapphire over the range of 500 - 1800 K, as well as the
13
14 145 heat of fusion of high purity zinc metal, were analysed and compared with the standard
15
16 146 values to generate a calibration cell constant. In the analysis of the TG-DSC results, the
17
18 147 onset temperatures of physicochemical changes (including phase and chemical changes)
19
20 148 are defined as the crossing points of extrapolated curves.
21
22
23
24
25
26 149

27 28 29 150 *2.4. T-jump TOF-MS analysis of the decomposition of oxysalts* 30 31 32

33 151 The decomposition of oxysalts (microscale or nanoscale) at ultrafast heating rates of
34
35 152 4×10^5 K/s was investigated via temperature-jump time-of-flight mass spectrometry (T-
36
37 153 jump TOF-MS, in-house assembled). A concentrated oxysalt powder suspension in
38
39 154 hexane was deposited onto a 76 μm Pt wire uniformly, to a thickness $< 10 \mu\text{m}$ so that the
40
41 155 temperature of the deposit is approximated by the wire temperature. The loaded Pt wire
42
43 156 was then inserted into the MS chamber and rapidly joule-heated to ~ 1400 K by a 3 ms
44
45 157 pulse. The temporal temperature of the wire was measured from the detected current and
46
47 158 voltage according to the Callender–Van Dusen equation [51]. TOF-MS spectra was
48
49 159 collected every 0.1 ms. A detailed experimental description can be found in ref [52]. In
50
51 160 the analysis of the MS results, the onset temperature of a specific species is defined based
52
53 161 on 5% of the maximum intensity of its MS peak.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60 162
61
62
63
64
65

2.5. Ignition tests of thermite reactions

A Vision Research Phantom v12.0 high speed camera (14.9 μ s per frame) was employed to determine the onset of ignition on the wire. By mapping the temporal optical emission from the high-speed imaging, and the temporal wire temperature, the ignition temperatures of thermites were identified as the points where light intensities begin to rise. These experiments were carried out in vacuum, air or Ar.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Reactivities of oxysalts in nano-aluminum-fueled thermites

We begin with the combustion performance of the nine Al-oxysalt thermites, in the combustion cell test. Fig. 1 shows the maximum pressures, pressurization rates, and burn times (the full-width half-maximum of the optical emission curve) for the thermite reactions between nano-Al and micro-oxysalts. Also apparent in Fig. 1 is the very wide range in performance, with the maximum peak pressures for Al-K₂S₂O₈, Al-KClO₃, Al-KIO₄ and Al-KClO₄ being more than six times larger than those of Al-K₂SO₄ and Al-K₃PO₄ (Fig. 1A), indicating that the former four thermites generated more gas during reaction. A similar result in the pressurization rate was shown for these thermite reactions, with the rates in thermites that release extensive gas (Al-K₂S₂O₈, Al-KClO₃, Al-KIO₄ and Al-KClO₄) more than one order of magnitude larger than the rates in less gas generating thermites (Al-K₂SO₄ and Al-K₃PO₄) (Fig. 1B). Not surprisingly, we also observed a general relationship of higher peak pressure or pressurization rate corresponding to a shorter burn time (Fig. 1C). The differences in these reaction characteristics indicate that

the Al-oxysalt thermite reactivity, as is reflected from the scale of pressurization rate and burn time, is correlated to the capability of the relevant oxysalt to generate gas. Based on these results, the nine thermites can be divided into two groups: the reactive thermites (Al-K₂S₂O₈, Al-KIO₄, Al-KIO₃, Al-KClO₄, Al-KClO₃, Al-KBrO₃ and Al-KNO₃) and the less reactive thermites (Al-K₂SO₄ and Al-K₃PO₄).

Fig. 1. Maximum pressure (A), pressurization rate (B), and burn time (C) for thermite reactions between nano-Al and micro-oxysalts. 25 mg thermite sample was employed in each constant volume combustion cell test. Errors bars represent at least two experiments in parallel.

The combustion performance of some of these Al-oxysalt thermites was also assessed by thermodynamic equilibrium calculation as summarized in Table 1. The amount of gas generation, major gas species, adiabatic flame temperature, and reaction heat were calculated using

CHEETAH software for constant pressure and enthalpy. The Al and oxysalts were mixed in a stoichiometric molar ratio, without consideration of additional oxide shell on the Al particles. Table 1 shows that there is a correlation between gas generation and thermite reactivity. While a larger amount of gas generation ($\sim 10^3$ cc/g) corresponds to a higher adiabatic flame temperature (> 3700 K) and reaction heat (< -6 kJ/g) (e.g. KClO_3 and KClO_4), poor gas generation ($\sim 10^2$ cc/g) corresponds to a lower adiabatic flame temperature (< 2100 K) and reaction heat (> -4 kJ/g) (e.g. K_3PO_4 and K_2SO_4). The results on the amount of gas generation are consistent with the peak pressure from the combustion cell test (Fig. 1A), demonstrating that the difference in total gas volumes generated from reactive (Al- KIO_4) and less reactive (Al- K_2SO_4) thermites can be as large as 1 order of magnitude.

Table 1

Summary of the amount of gas generation, major gas species, adiabatic temperature and reaction heat from the Al-oxysalt thermite reactions. Thermodynamic data were calculated based on a constant enthalpy and pressure equilibrium using CHEETAH. The fuel and oxidizer were mixed in a stoichiometric ratio.

Formulations	cc gas/g ^a	Major gas products (mol%)	Adiabatic temperature (K)	Standard reaction heat (kJ/g) ^b
Al+ KNO_3	4.0×10^3	K (49%), N_2 (24%), Al_2O_3 (25%) ^c	3732	-7.6
Al+ KClO_3	4.1×10^3	KCl (55%) ^d , Al_2O_3 (35%) ^c	3791	-9.8
Al+ KClO_4	4.2×10^3	KCl (46%) ^d , Al_2O_3 (44%) ^c	3842	-10.6
Al+ KBrO_3	3.4×10^3	KBr (64%) ^d , Al_2O_3 (34%) ^c	3789	-7.7
Al+ KIO_3	2.5×10^3	KI (79%) ^d , Al_2O_3 (20%) ^c	3686	-5.7
Al+ KIO_4	2.9×10^3	KI (64%) ^d , Al_2O_3 (35%) ^c	3796	-6.9
Al+ K_2SO_4	4.7×10^2	K (66%), SO_2 (27%)	2040	-3.9
Al+ $\text{K}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$ ^e	-	-	-	-5.8
Al+ K_3PO_4 ^e	-	-	-	-0.9

^a cc = cubic centimeters.

^b The standard reaction heat (kilojoules per gram of thermite) was calculated for formulations with fuel/oxide in a stoichiometric ratio in the 1atm, 298K condition. The reaction equations were shown in eq. S1 – S9, and also in Fig. S1. The standard heat of formation for each reaction/product (Table S1) was used to calculate the reaction heat.

^c Al_2O_3 here is not molecular Al_2O_3 . It involves decomposition into several gaseous products (e.g., O_2 , O , AlO).

^d KX ($X=Cl, Br, I$) involve gaseous KX , K , and X .

^e The combustion temperature and gas generation for $K_2S_2O_8$ and K_3PO_4 were not found in CHEETAH.

3.2. Thermal decomposition of oxysalts at slow heating rates (10 K/min)

Since the gas generation appears to be correlated to the reactivity of oxysalt-containing thermites (Fig. 1), the thermal decomposition of neat microscale oxysalt powders was examined to identify the species and the temperature of gas evolution. TG-DSC results (Fig. S1) demonstrate that these oxysalts show different onset temperatures of physicochemical changes. The primary thermal results for onset temperature, gas release, and product identification are summarized in Table 2. In general, all of the nine oxysalts generate O_2 at different stages, with $K_2S_2O_8$ showing the lowest O_2 generation temperature, while K_2SO_4 and K_3PO_4 release O_2 at temperatures several hundreds of Kelvin higher than the other oxysalts. In relation to the corresponding thermite reactions (Fig. 1A), we find that the oxysalt having a low O_2 release temperature usually corresponds to a thermite event with a high pressure and faster overall reaction (also see Fig. S2 for the relationships between O_2 release temperature and peak pressure (Fig. S2A) or pressurization rate (Fig. S2B)). This indicates that the oxygen release temperature is a good metric for evaluating oxysalt-containing thermites.

It is interesting to note that many oxysalts in Table 2 have a melting temperature lower than the O_2 release temperature. Considering the much higher oxygen mobility in a melt,

a melting event commencing prior to thermal decomposition (O₂ release) may also have a potential impact on initiating the thermite reaction.

Table 2

Summary of the thermal decomposition events of oxidizers during heating (10 K/min). The onset temperature and relevant products in each event are listed. The parentheses show the melting phase change of oxidizers.

Oxidizers	Temperature (K)	Events	Products	Temperature (K)	Events	Products
K ₂ S ₂ O ₈	556	Decomposition	K ₂ S ₂ O ₇ , O ₂	725	Decomposition	K ₂ SO ₄ , O ₂ , SO ₂ ^a
K ₂ SO ₄	1357	Melting	K ₂ SO ₄ (l)	1394	Decomposition	K, O ₂ , SO ₂ ^b
KIO ₄	613	Decomposition	KIO ₃ , O ₂	797	Decomposition	KI, O ₂ ^c
KIO ₃	809	Decomposition	KI, O ₂ ^c	-	-	-
KClO ₄	877	Melting	KClO ₄ (l)	895	Decomposition	KCl, O ₂ ^c
KClO ₃	695	Melting	KClO ₃ (l)	745	Decomposition	KCl, O ₂ ^c
KBrO ₃	680	Melting	KBrO ₃ (l)	699	Decomposition	KBr, O ₂ ^c
KNO ₃	601	Melting	KNO ₃ (l)	913	Decomposition	K, O ₂ , NO ₂ ^d
K ₃ PO ₄	1347	Melting	K ₃ PO ₄ (l)	1539	Decomposition	K ₂ O, P, O ₂ ^e

^a The final products from the decomposition of K₂S₂O₈ are referred to [50].

^b The final products from the decomposition of K₂SO₄ are referred to [53].

^c The final products from the decomposition of KIO₃, KIO₄, KClO₃, KClO₄ and KBrO₃ are measured from our TG-DSC results (Fig. S1).

^d The final products from the decomposition of KNO₃ are referred to [54].

^e The final products from the decomposition of K₃PO₄ are referred to [55].

3.3. Thermal decomposition of oxysalts at ultrafast heating rates (4×10^5 K/s)

In order to investigate oxygen release at heating rates that more closely represent a combustion event, T-jump TOF-MS at a heating rate of 4×10^5 K/s was employed, and the onset temperatures for corresponding generated gases were determined. As an example, the overall temporal MS intensity of molecular oxygen released from K₂S₂O₈ is

shown in Fig. 2 together with the temporal temperature trace. In comparison to the result in TG-DSC tests (10 K/min), the O₂ release temperature of microscale K₂S₂O₈ powders increased by only a small amount (~50 K) at ultrafast heating rates (~10⁵ K/s) (Fig. 2). All of the other microscale oxysalts similarly release O₂ at temperatures of 30 – 100 K higher (Fig. 3 and Table S2). The MS results (Fig. 2) enable us to link the O₂ release temperature to the corresponding ignition temperature as will be discussed in the next section.

Fig. 2. Temporal oxygen release from K₂S₂O₈ decomposition, and temporal optical emission from Al-K₂S₂O₈ reaction under ultrafast heating (4×10^5 K/s). The bottom panel shows the temporal temperature trace from the Pt wire. The middle panel shows the temporal trace of optical intensity from the thermite reaction in Ar, with the designated ignition temperature (893 K). The top panel shows the temporal MS intensity of oxygen release from the decomposition of K₂S₂O₈, with the onset temperature at 626 K.

3.4. T-jump ignition temperature

1
2
3
4 274 Ignition temperatures of the nine oxysalts were determined from the optical emission
5
6 275 profiles. For example as shown in Fig. 2, the ignition temperature of nano-Al/micro-
7
8
9 276 $K_2S_2O_8$ in Ar was measured to be 893 K as the onset of optical increase. Given that this
10
11
12 277 ignition temperature is ~ 270 K higher than the O_2 release temperature (Fig. 2), we
13
14 278 previously speculated that the O_2 release is one of the prerequisites for ignition, while it
15
16 279 does not determine the initiation of Al- $K_2S_2O_8$ reaction [50]. We raise an important
17
18
19 280 question in this study as to whether O_2 release prior to ignition is necessary for the
20
21 281 initiation of the other Al-oxysalt reactions.

22
23
24 282 To address this issue, we compared the O_2 release temperatures and ignition
25
26 283 temperatures of all the nine nano-Al/micro-oxysalt thermites in Fig. 3. Fig. 3A shows
27
28
29 284 that although Al- KNO_3 , Al- $KClO_4$, Al- KIO_3 , Al- $KClO_3$, Al- $KBrO_3$, Al- KIO_4 , and Al-
30
31 285 $K_2S_2O_8$ have different O_2 release temperatures, they all ignited around the same
32
33 286 temperature in Ar, and nominally ~ 50 K below the melting point of Al. Furthermore,
34
35
36 287 ignition appears to be insensitive to available gas phase oxygen since the ignition
37
38 288 temperature in Ar and air appear to be similar. The dotted vertical line in the plot (Fig.
39
40
41 289 3A) corresponds to the melting point of aluminum, and it appears that most of the ignition
42
43 290 temperatures are aligned vertically and parallel to the dotted line, and thus do not
44
45 291 correlate with the O_2 release temperature. These results imply that the ignition of the Al-
46
47
48 292 oxysalt thermites is dominated by the accelerated outward diffusion of Al when
49
50 293 approaching its melting point. The generated O_2 concentration locally from the
51
52
53 294 decomposition of oxysalts is much higher than that in air (see supplemental information
54
55 295 for the detailed comparison of the O_2 concentrations in film and in air), so that the effect
56
57
58 296 of O_2 in air is minimal to the ignition temperature of reactive Al-oxysalt thermites (Fig.

3A). However, when ignited in vacuum, these thermites show strikingly increased and distinct ignition temperatures (Fig. 3B). Gaseous oxygen generated from the oxysalt will presumably have little time to interact with Al in vacuum (see supplemental information for the detailed estimation of the O₂ residence time in thermite film both in air and in vacuum), therefore the delayed and thus higher temperature ignition in vacuum should be attributed to condensed phase transfer of oxygen.

The constant ignition temperature for these Al-oxysalt thermites at ~880 K corresponds to a critical phase change in the Al particle shell from amorphous (< 880 K) to γ -alumina [22]. Trunov et al. reported that the density of γ -alumina is larger than amorphous alumina, thus the newly formed γ -alumina at ~880 K was not able to form a continuous dense layer outside the Al particle [22]. This partially covered shell facilitates the accelerated diffusion of Al, resulting in the increased oxidation rate of Al, which from the perspective of ignition, refers to the consistent ignition temperature for the reactive Al-oxysalt thermites at ~880 K.

The reaction behaviour of Al-K₂SO₄ and Al-K₃PO₄ thermites is clearly very different from the other seven thermites. Fig. 3A shows that their ignition temperatures in Ar are more than 100 K higher than the melting temperature of Al. In this case, Al is completely melted and most likely in intimate contact with the oxysalt. Considering that their O₂ release temperatures are even higher than their ignition temperatures, the ignition of Al-K₂SO₄ and Al-K₃PO₄ thermites presumably follows a condensed phase route similar to the aforementioned case for the other thermites in vacuum (Fig. 3B). This postulated condensed phase reaction mechanism for Al-K₂SO₄ and Al-K₃PO₄ thermites is further confirmed by their unchanged ignition temperatures in vacuum (Fig. 3B). However, when

ignited in air, both $\text{Al-K}_2\text{SO}_4$ and $\text{Al-K}_3\text{PO}_4$ thermites show decreased ignition temperatures approaching the melting temperature of Al (Fig. 3A). This is consistent with these oxysalts acting almost as dead weight and the aluminum penetrating the alumina shell above its melting point to ignite with gaseous oxygen.

Fig. 3. (A) Relationship between the oxygen release temperature in neat microscale oxysalt, and the ignition temperature of corresponding nano-Al-fueled thermite in Ar or in air. (B) Relationship between the oxygen release temperature in neat microscale oxysalt, and the ignition temperature of corresponding nano-Al-fueled thermite in Ar or in vacuum. Each temperature test was repeated at least twice. The diagonal solid line stands for a perfect correlation. The vertical dashed line indicates the melting temperature of Al (933 K).

We also conducted similar experiments by decreasing the dimension of oxysalts to nanometer scales so that the contact area between the fuel and oxidizer can be significantly increased. The motivation of this control experiment is to further evaluate

our proposed reaction mechanism that includes reactive gaseous oxygen. Fig. S3 and Table S3 show a consistent correlation between ignition temperatures of the nano-Al/nano-oxysalt thermites in Ar, air and vacuum, similar to Fig. 3 for nano-Al/micro-oxysalt thermites. To understand the effect of the powder size of oxysalts, a typical comparison of the O₂ release temperature and the ignition temperature between nano-Al/nano-oxysalt and nano-Al/micro-oxysalt thermites in Ar was conducted in Fig. 4. Compared with the nano-Al/micro-oxysalt thermites, the nano-Al/micro-oxysalt thermites of Al-K₂SO₄ and Al-K₃PO₄ show more than 50 K reduction both in O₂ release and ignition temperatures. Since both thermites follow a condense phase reaction mechanism, it is reasonable to see the decrease in these characteristic temperatures due to the increase of contact area between Al and oxysalt. In contrast, the ignition temperatures for the other seven thermites are independent of particle size, indicating that it is the reaction of Al and gaseous O₂ that contributes to the combustion initiation (Fig. 4).

Fig. 4. Effect of particle size: relationship between the oxygen release temperature in neat nanoscale and microscale oxysalts, and the ignition temperature of corresponding nano-Al-fueled thermite in Ar. The arrows designate the temperature shifts of both ignition temperatures and oxygen release temperatures for Al-K₂SO₄ and Al-K₃PO₄ thermites when the dimension of oxysalt drops from micrometer scale to nanometer scale.

Our proposed dual-phase reaction mechanism for reactive Al-oxysalts thermites, if correct, would provide a linear correlation between the ignition temperature and the relevant O₂ release temperature. However, since the Al fuel has a alumina shell that limits the transport of Al and O, the thermite ignition is largely influenced by the accelerated Al diffusion, based on the fact that ignition occurs near the melting point of the metallic aluminum core (Fig. 3). The ignition temperatures of the seven reactive Al-oxysalt

thermites were close to the aluminum melting point in both Ar and air (Fig. 3A and Fig. S3A) even though the corresponding oxysalts have different O₂ release temperatures. This indicates that the ignition was controlled by the accelerated outward diffusion of aluminum. However, this result provides no indication as to the nature of the reactive oxygen species that reacts with Al. The fact that in vacuum, where we expect little gas phase oxygen to play a role, the ignition temperature increases suggests that the initiating mechanism transitions from an oxygen gas reaction to a condensed phase oxygen exchange process.

To further explore this point we employ carbon as a fuel, because unlike Al, it has a very high melting temperature, thus no fuel mobility (> 3800 K), and since there is no oxide shell, the fuel is directly accessible to the oxidizer. Fig. 5 and Table S4 shows that in contrast to Al, where ignition was confined to near the melting point of Al regardless of oxidizer species, the reactive nano-carbon/micro-oxysalt thermites (Al-KNO₃, Al-KClO₄, Al-KIO₃, Al-KClO₃, Al-KBrO₃, and Al-KIO₄) have a near perfect linear correlation between ignition and O₂ release temperatures both in Ar and in air. These reactive thermites are incombustible in vacuum, probably due to a lower reactivity of C than Al. These results clearly indicate that the reactive oxygen that leads to ignition with the surface bound carbon is from the released molecular oxygen, thus confirming the Al-O₂(g) reaction mechanism for the reactive oxysalt-containing thermites.

Two exceptions are C-K₂SO₄ and C-K₃PO₄, which are not combustible in Ar, while have higher ignition temperatures than their O₂ release temperatures in air. This result confirms our previous interpretation that the less reactive thermites undergo a condensed phase reaction mechanism.

Fig. 5. Relationship between the oxygen release temperature in neat microscale oxysalt, and the ignition temperature of corresponding nano-carbon-fueled thermite in Ar or in air. Each temperature test was repeated at least twice. The diagonal solid line stands for a perfect correlation. C-K₂SO₄ and C-K₃PO₄ thermites were found to be not combustible in Ar, and thus the relevant ignition temperatures were vacant in the diagram.

3.5. Reaction mechanisms

Here we summarize the experimental results within a framework of some plausible mechanisms as illustrated in Fig 6. In this study we consider mainly four characteristic temperatures. The ignition temperature (T_{ig}), the oxygen release temperature (T_{O_2}), the phase change temperature for the alumina shell ($T_{\gamma-Al_2O_3}$, which is close to the melting temperature of aluminium, $T_{Al-melt}$), and the melting temperature of oxysalt ($T_{oxidizer-melt}$).

The nine Al-oxysalt thermites can be generally divided into two groups. The first group includes Al-K₂S₂O₈, Al-KIO₄, Al-KIO₃, Al-KClO₃ and Al-KBrO₃ as they fall *below the diagonal* in Fig. 3A. These thermites have $T_{O_2} < T_{\gamma-Al_2O_3} = T_{ig} < T_{Al-melt}$, and minimal or lower $T_{oxidizer-melt}$. The ignition for these thermites is driven by the interaction of released O₂ from the oxysalt and the accelerated outwardly diffusing Al atoms when the temperature of the polymorphic phase change in alumina (~880 K, from amorphous to γ -Al₂O₃) is reached (which also approaches $T_{Al-melt}$ at ~930 K) [22]. This phase change at ~880 K features some degree of porosity in the alumina shell due to the increase of alumina density, leading to the enhancement of Al diffusion across the alumina shell, and therefore the initiation of thermite reaction. Two thermites including Al-KNO₃ and Al-KClO₄ have a transformed ignition type subordinate to the first group (Fig. S4), which ignite before oxygen is released. These are the thermites that are found *above the diagonal* in Fig. 3A. In this case, the oxysalt melts prior to ignition ($T_{oxidizer-melt} < T_{\gamma-Al_2O_3} = T_{ig} < T_{O_2}$), thus the thermite must initially be ignited by the interaction of bound oxygen in the molten oxysalt and accelerated outwardly diffused Al at the temperature of polymorphic phase change in the alumina shell (~880 K, close by $T_{Al-melt}$). Once ignition commences, self-heating will generate gaseous oxygen which then will be the predominant reaction mechanism, as the in-vacuum experiments illustrate (Fig. 3B). The second group of thermites includes Al-K₂SO₄ and Al-K₃PO₄ that are *substantially above the diagonal* (Fig. 3A) and thus gas-phase generated oxygen cannot be important. The ignition temperatures for these less reactive thermites are higher than $T_{Al-melt}$, while lower than T_{O_2} (Fig. 3A), suggesting that ignition is determined by the accelerated outward flow of oxygen from the melt oxysalt ($T_{Al-melt} < T_{oxidizer-melt} = T_{ig} < T_{O_2}$). These results

demonstrate that ignition of Al-oxysalt thermites requires both the availability of Al and oxygen at the reaction interface, and is much related to the temperature of polymorphic phase change for alumina ($T_{\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3}$). The first group of thermites has a lower temperature for oxygen availability (either T_{O_2} or $T_{\text{oxidizer-melt}}$) than $T_{\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3}$, thus ignition for these formulations is triggered by the accelerated diffusion of Al ($T_{\text{ig}} = T_{\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3}$). Conversely, the other group of thermites has a higher temperature for oxygen availability (mainly $T_{\text{oxidizer-melt}}$) than $T_{\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3}$, thus the limiting step in triggering is the accelerated diffusion of oxygen ($T_{\text{ig}} = T_{\text{oxidizer-melt}}$).

For the ignition of nano-C with oxidizers, since carbon is solid and has no oxide shell at all the ignition temperatures, ignition is simply controlled by the availability of reactive oxygen from the oxidizers (decomposition or melting) (Fig. 6B). Thus, most of the ignition temperatures are linearly correlated to the relevant O_2 release temperature or melting temperature of oxidizers.

A). Al-oxysalt

Group 1: Gas solid reaction ($T_{O_2} < T_{Y-Al_2O_3} = T_{ig}$)

Group 2: Condensed phase reaction ($T_{Al-melt} < T_{oxidizer-melt} = T_{ig}$)

B). C-oxysalt

Fig. 6. Ignition mechanisms for the Al-oxysalt thermites (A) and C-oxysalt thermites (B).

4. Conclusions

We implemented a study of the reaction mechanisms of oxysalt-containing thermites. Based on their combustion behavior, nine oxysalt-containing thermites were divided into two groups, with the reactive thermites (*e.g.*, $Al-K_2S_2O_8$) showing $\sim 10\times$ higher maximum pressure, and $\sim 10\times$ shorter burn time than the less reactive thermites (*e.g.*, $Al-K_2SO_4$). The difference in ignition temperature (T_{ig}) is attributed to the oxygen release temperature (T_{O_2}), the temperature

of polymorphic phase change for alumina ($T_{\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3}$), and the melting temperature of oxysalt ($T_{\text{oxidizer-melt}}$). The basic results are: (1) T_{ig} of the reactive thermites in Ar and in air are similar to $T_{\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3}$ (close to $T_{\text{Al-melt}}$), while the corresponding T_{ig} in vacuum are higher; (2) T_{ig} of the less reactive thermites in Ar and in vacuum are consistent, and much higher than $T_{\text{Al-melt}}$, although lower than T_{O_2} and $T_{\text{oxidizer-melt}}$ of the corresponding oxysalts. These results indicate that the limiting initiation step of the reactive thermites is the capability of Al atoms to diffuse through the Al_2O_3 shell towards the reactive oxygen at the interface (gaseous or molten), whereas the limiting initiation step of the less reactive thermites is the decomposition or melting of oxysalts to deliver oxygen to the molten Al. Use of nano-carbon-fueled oxysalt thermites confirm that there are two reaction mechanisms for these oxysalt-containing thermites. In terms of the various ignition dependence of heterogeneous solid-gas reaction or condensed state reaction, we proposed a general framework of ignition mechanisms for different oxysalt-containing thermites.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from DOD/DTRA (BRBAA08-Per5-H-2-0065) and the Army Research Office. Garth Egan for his thoughts and discussions on ignition mechanisms.

References

- [1] H. Goldschmidt, Iron Age 82 (1908) 232.
- [2] E.L. Dreizin, Prog. Energ. Combust. Sci. 35 (2009) 141-167.
- [3] R.A. Yetter, G.A. Risha, S.F. Son, Proc. Combust. Inst. 32 (2009) 1819-1838.
- [4] D.G. Piercey, T.M. Klapotke, Centr. Euro. J. Energ. Mater. 7 (2) (2010) 115-129.
- [5] X. Zhou, M. Torabi, J. Lu, R. Shen, K. Zhang, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 6 (2014) 3058-3074.

- [6] Y. Li, J. Yao, Y. Liu, *Surf. Coat. Technol.* 172 (2003) 57-64.
- [7] E.B. Motlagh, J.V. Khaki, M.H. Sabzevar, *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 133 (2012) 757-763.
- [8] P. Lynch, H. Krier, N. Glumac, *Proc. Combust. Instit.* 32 (2009) 1887-1893.
- [9] J.C. Poret, A.P. Shaw, C.M. Csernica, K.D. Oyler, J.A. Vanatta, G. Chen, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.* 1 (2013) 1333-1338.
- [10] K. Jayaraman, K.V. Anand, S.R. Chakravarthy, R.Sarathi, *Combust. Flame* 156 (2009) 1662-1673.
- [11] J. Bouillard, A. Vignes, O. Dufaud, L. Perrin, D. Thomas, *J. Hazard. Mater.* 181 (2010) 873-880.
- [12] B. Siegert, M. Comet, D.Spitzer, *Nanoscale* 3 (2011) 3534-3544.
- [13] L. Menon, S. Patibandla, K. Bhargava Ram, S.I. Shkuratov, D. Aurongzeb, M. Holtz, J. Berg, J. Yun, H. Temkin, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 84 (23) (2004) 4735-4737.
- [14] C.E. Aumann, G.L. Skofronick, J.A. Martin, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B* 13 (1995) 1178-1183.
- [15] A.N. Pivkina, Y.V. Frolov, D.A. Ivanov, *Combust. Exp. Shock Waves* 43 (2007) 51-55.
- [16] A.B. Morgan, J. Douglas Wolf, E.A. Guliants, K.A. Shiral Fernando, W.K. Lewis, *Thermochim. Acta* 488 (2009) 1-9.
- [17] Y. Li, R.K. Kalia, A. Nakano, P. Vashishta, *J. Appl. Phys.* 114 (2013) 134312.
- [18] K. Zhang, C. Rossi, P. Alphonse, C. Tenailleau, S. Cayez, J-Y. Chane-Ching, *Appl. Phys. A* 94 (2009) 957-962.
- [19] F. Severac, P. Alphonse, A. Esteve, A. Bancaud, C. Rossi, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* 22 (2012) 323-329.
- [20] J.Y. Ahn, W.D. Kim, K. Cho, D. Lee, S.H. Kim, *Powder Technol.* 211 (2011) 65-71.
- [21] J.J. Granier, M.L. Pantoya, *Combust. Flame* 138 (2004) 373-383.
- [22] M.A. Trunov, M. Schoenitz, E.L. Dreizin, *Combust. Theory Modelling* 10 (4) (2006) 603-623.
- [23] A. Rai, K. Park, L. Zhou, M.R. Zachariah, *Combust. Theory Modelling* 10 (5) (2006) 843-859.
- [24] M. Schoenitz, B. Patel, O. Agboh, E.L. Dreizin, *Thermochim. Acta* 507-508 (2010) 115-122.
- [25] S. Chowdhury, K. Sullivan, N. Piekiet, L. Zhou, M.R. Zachariah, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 114 (20) (2010) 9191-9195.
- [26] V.I. Levitas, B.W. Asay, S.F. Son, M. Pantoya, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 89 (2006) 071909.

- [27] V.I. Levitas, B.W. Asay, S.F. Son, M. Pantoya, J. Appl. Phys. 101 (2007) 083524.
- [28] V.I. Levitas, M. Pantoya, B. Dikici, Appl. Phys. Lett. 92 (2008) 011921.
- [29] M.L. Pantoya, J.J. Granier, Propellants Explo. Pyrotech. 30 (2005) 53-62.
- [30] G. Jian, S. Chowdhury, K. Sullivan, M.R. Zachariah, Combust. Flame 160 (2013) 432-437.
- [31] K. Sullivan, M.R. Zachariah, J. Propul. Power 26 (3) (2010) 467-472.
- [32] S.W. Dean, M.L. Pantoya, A.E. Gash, S.C. Stacy, L.J. Hope-Weeks, J. Heat Transfer 132 (2010) 111201.
- [33] J.Z. Wen, S. Ringuette, G. Bohlouli-Zanjani, A. Hu, N.H. Nguyen, J. Persic, C.F. Petre, Y.N. Zhou, Nanoscale Res. Lett. 8 (2013) 184
- [34] K.T. Sullivan, W.A. Chiou, R. Fiore, M.R. Zachariah, Appl. Phys. Lett. 97 (13) (2010) 133104.
- [35] K.T. Sullivan, N.W. Piekiet, C. Wu, S. Chowdhury, S.T. Kelly, T.C. Hufnagel, K. Fezzaa, M.R. Zachariah, Combust. Flame 159 (2012) 2-15.
- [36] N.W. Piekiet, G.C. Egan, K.T. Sullivan, M.R. Zachariah, J. Phys. Chem. C 116 (2012) 24496-24502.
- [37] N.W. Piekiet, L. Zhou, K.T. Sullivan, S. Chowdhury, G.C. Egan, M.R. Zachariah, Combust. Sci. Technol. 186 (2014) 1209-1224.
- [38] R. Thiruvengadathan, A. Bezmelnitsyn, S. Apperson, C. Staley, P. Redner, W. Balas, S. Nicolich, D. Kapoor, K. Gangopadhyay, S. Gangopadhyay, Combust. Flame 158 (2011) 964-978.
- [39] W.K. Lewis, B.A. Harruff, J.R. Gord, A.T. Rosenberger, T.M. Sexton, E.A. Gulians, C.E. Bunker, J. Phys. Chem. C 115 (2011) 70-77.
- [40] S. Umbrajkar, M.A. Trunov, M. Schoenitz, E.L. Dreizin, Propul. Explo. Pyrotech. 32 (2007) 32-41.
- [41] M.A. Machado, D.A. Rodriguez, Y. Aly, M. Schoenitz, E.L. Dreizin, E. Shafirovich, Combust. Flame 161 (2014) 2708-2716.
- [42] R.W. Armstrong, B. Bs chung, D.W. Booth, M. Samirant, Nano Lett. 3 (2003) 253-255.
- [43] A.N. Pivkina, Y.V. Frolov, D.A. Ivanov, Combust. Exp. Shock Waves 43 (2007) 51-55.
- [44] C. Wu, K. Sullivan, S. Chowdhury, G. Jian, L. Zhou, M.R. Zachariah, Adv. Funct. Mater. 22 (2012) 78-85.
- [45] K.T. Sullivan, N.W. Piekiet, S. Chowdhury, C. Wu, M.R. Zachariah, C.E. Johnson, Combust. Sci. Technol. 183 (2011) 285-302.

- [46] C.R. Becker, S. Apperson, C.J. Morris, S. Gangopadhyay, L.J. Currano, W.A. Churaman, C.R. Stoldt, *Nano Lett.* 11 (2011) 803-807.
- [47] G. Jian, J. Feng, R.J. Jacob, G.C. Egan, M.R. Zachariah, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 52 (2013) 9743-9746.
- [48] A. Prakash, A.V. McCormick, M.R. Zachariah, *Nano Lett.* 5 (7) (2005) 1357-1360.
- [49] A. Prakash, A.V. McCormick, M.R. Zachariah, *Adv. Mater.* 17 (7) (2005) 900-903.
- [50] W. Zhou, J.B. Delisio, X. Li, L. Liu, M.R. Zachariah, *J. Mater. Chem. A* 3 (2015) 11838-11846.
- [51] P.R.N. Childs, *Practical Temperature Measurement*, Butterworth Heinemann, London, U.K., 2001.
- [52] L. Zhou, N. Piekiet, S. Chowdhury, M.R. Zachariah, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 114 (2010) 14269-14275.
- [53] K.H. Stern, *High Temperature Properties and Thermal Decomposition of Inorganic Salts with Oxyanions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. 2007, p. 66.
- [54] K.H. Stern, *High Temperature Properties and Thermal Decomposition of Inorganic Salts with Oxyanions*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL. 2007, p. 148.
- [55] M.E. Hagerman, V.L. Kozhevnikov, K.R. Poeppelmeier, *Chem. Mater.* 5 (9) (1993) 1211-1215.