Vibrational Scaling Factors for Transition Metal Carbonyls

M. K. Assefa,[†] J. L. Devera,[‡] A. D. Brathwaite,[‡] J. D. Mosley[†] and M. A. Duncan^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602, U. S. A.

[‡]College of Science and Mathematics, University of the Virgin Islands, St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.,
00802

*Email: maduncan@uga.edu

Phone : +1-706-542-1998; Fax: +1-706-542-1234

Abstract

Vibrational frequencies for a selected set of transition metal carbonyl complexes are computed with various forms of density functional theory (B3LYP, BP86, M06, M06-L), employing several different basis sets. The computed frequencies for the carbonyl stretches are compared to the experimental values obtained from gas phase infrared spectra of isolated neutrals and ions. Recommended carbonyl-stretch scaling factors which are developed vary significantly for different functionals, but there is little variation with basis set. Scaled frequencies compared to experimental spectra for cobalt and tantalum carbonyl cations reveal additional variations in multiplet patterns and relative band intensities for different functionals.

1. Introduction

Metal carbonyls are ubiquitous throughout inorganic chemistry and homogeneous catalysis, and are also used in practical technology such as photolithography [1-6]. Vibrational spectroscopy in the C–O stretch is well established to probe the structure and bonding in these systems [7]. Conventional metal carbonyls have been studied with IR absorption and Raman spectroscopy [8-19]. Unsaturated and ionized systems have been studied in cryogenic rare-gas matrix isolation environments [20]. In recent developments, ionized complexes have been produced and studied in the gas phase with mass spectrometry and infrared laser photodissociation spectroscopy [21-33]. Throughout these various experimental studies, computational work has investigated the geometries, electronic structure and bonding properties of carbonyl systems [3,4,20-33]. These calculations are necessarily harmonic, and vibrational spectra are scaled to account for anharmonicity. Unfortunately, widely used vibrational scaling factors were developed primarily for organic molecules using higher frequency vibrational modes (e.g., C–H stretches) [34-37] and these factors may not work well for lower frequency modes of inorganics. Moreover, density functional theory methods used most often for transition metal systems provide very different frequencies depending on the functional and basis sets employed [38-40]. To address these issues, we have performed a systematic study of vibrational scaling for transition metal carbonyl complexes. We focus on the C–O stretch vibrations where the most experimental data is available. We limit the study to gas phase data, where the effects of solvents, counter ions, or matrix environments are eliminated. We present here a study using the DFT methods B3LYP, BP86, M06, and M06-L, all applied to an experimental data set of 20 complexes including well-known neutrals and ions with resolved infrared bands. Recommended scaling factors for each method are determined.

The vibrational frequencies in transition metal carbonyls are usually compared to the fundamental stretch vibration of the isolated CO molecule, which occurs at 2143 cm^{-1} [41]. The well-known effects of σ donation and π back-bonding govern the charge-transfer between ligand and metal, causing significant shifts of the C–O stretching frequency upon metal binding [3,4,7,20,24]. The resulting frequencies are highly diagnostic for the nature of the bonding; the number and pattern of IR active modes provides specific structural information. In "classical" carbonyls, π back-bonding is more important than σ donation, resulting in a shift of the C–O stretch to lower frequency (a red shift) than that of the isolated CO molecule. In "non-classical" carbonyls, usually those with filled d shells, back donation is less and shifts to higher frequencies (blue shifts) result. These effects are documented for many complexes, and computational studies support the charge-transfer basis for the interpretation. Carbonyl vibrations also vary substantially with the electronic state and spin of the system [24,28].

Measured vibrational band patterns, in combination with the predictions of theory, are often successful in assigning structures and electronic configurations to new metal carbonyls. However, homoleptic carbonyls with symmetric structures often have only a single IR-active C–O stretch. Distinguishing between symmetric structures (e.g., square planar vs tetrahedral), or between two spin configurations of symmetric structures, requires reliable and un-biased vibrational scaling. These effects were investigated previously at lower levels of theory for a data set containing mixed gas-phase and condensed-phase data [42]. The scale factors developed here employ the DFT functionals commonly used in present-day research and apply them to spectra measured only for isolated complexes in the gas phase. Hopefully these data be useful for future computational and experimental studies of inorganic systems.

2. Experimental

The harmonic C–O stretching frequencies of transition metal carbonyl ions and neutrals were computed using the Gaussian 09 computational package [43] and density functional theory (DFT). The B3LYP, BP86, M06 and M06-L functionals were used with the LANL2DZ, LANL2TZ and Def2-TZVP basis sets for the metal atoms and ions and the TZP basis set for carbon and oxygen. Effective core potentials (ECP) were used for all calculations. For each functional/basis set combination, optimized structures and the corresponding unscaled C–O stretching frequencies were determined. The average scaling factor needed to bring each theoretical C–O frequency into agreement with the experimental data was determined for each functional/basis set combination. For each computational model and for each observed vibrational band in the data set (Table 1), we computed the ratio between the experimental and computed frequencies. The distribution of ratios across vibrations (but within one computational model) were then characterized by a mean value. Then the computed frequencies were multiplied by this mean value and the result subtracted from the observed frequency to obtain a distribution of predictive errors associated with the mean scaling factor. This error distribution is characterized by a root-mean-square (RMS) value. For comparison to selected experimental data, the theoretical spectra are given a $\sim 10\text{ cm}^{-1}$ FWHM linewidth.

3. Results and Discussion

Tables 1–4 present the C–O stretch vibrational frequencies resulting from computational studies with different functionals and basis sets for various metal carbonyl neutral and ion complexes. These tables also present the data for the B3LYP, BP86, M06 and M06-L functionals, respectively. This set of carbonyl complexes was chosen because infrared spectra have been reported for each system in the gas phase, in the absence of any perturbations from

1
2
3
4 solvents, counter ions or matrix environments. In each case, the structures determined were
5
6 found to have no imaginary frequencies, and were consistent with those reported in the previous
7
8 experimental and computational work. The carbonyl stretch frequencies shown in these tables
9
10 are the harmonic values resulting directly from the computations.
11
12
13

14 Table 5 presents the optimized values for the vibrational scaling factors determined by
15
16 averaging over the factor required for each of the complexes in the data set to bring the
17
18 experimental and computed data into agreement. The experimental data measured for gas phase
19
20 neutral complexes using absorption spectroscopic methods typically have uncertainties less than
21
22 1 cm^{-1} [8-19], whereas the newer ion data measured with photodissociation spectroscopy have
23
24 larger uncertainties of $1\text{--}2\text{ cm}^{-1}$ arising from the predissociation linewidths [21-33]. However,
25
26 for this analysis, we use the reported frequencies for all of these data with equal weighting. As
27
28 shown in the table, there is significant variation in the scaling factors derived for different
29
30 functionals, but virtually no difference for the values of a given functional with different basis
31
32 sets. The values for the B3LYP and M06-L functionals are both near 0.97, with a slightly
33
34 smaller value for the M06 functional and a value slightly larger than 1.0 for BP86. We
35
36 previously derived a scaling factor of 0.971 for the B3LYP/LANL2DZ functional/basis
37
38 combination using a more limited set of the known neutral carbonyls $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$, $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$, and
39
40 $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$ [31]. This value is quite similar to that derived here using a much larger set of
41
42 experimental data. In the only other previous work, Yu, Srinivas and Schwartz derived a value
43
44 of 0.955 for B3LYP with a much smaller basis set [42], while investigating a mixture of gas-
45
46 phase and condensed-phase experimental systems.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

55 It is also interesting to compare the scaling factors derived here for metal carbonyls to
56
57 those determined previously for higher frequency vibrations. Radom and coworkers performed
58
59 systematic studies of the C–H stretches for data sets of organic molecules [34,35]. The basis sets
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 were different from those used here, but at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d,p) level they derived a factor
5
6 of 0.9689, quite close to the values determined here for the B3LYP data. It has been noted in
7
8 previous studies that different scaling factors are often required for high frequency versus low
9
10 frequency vibrations, with a break-point often selected at about 2000 cm⁻¹ [36,37]. The carbonyl
11
12 stretch vibrations lie close to this cutoff, and so it might be expected that a different scaling
13
14 factor would be required here. However, the factor derived here for the carbonyl stretches is
15
16 virtually the same as that recommended for the higher frequency vibrations.
17
18
19
20

21 In addition to the average scaling factors, it is also interesting to compare the
22
23 performance of different functionals for vibrational *patterns* in the carbonyl stretching region.
24
25 For this investigation, we have chosen the carbonyl stretch spectra for two representative systems
26
27 which each exhibit multiplet patterns in this region rather than a single vibrational band.
28
29 Co(CO)₅⁺ is the fully-coordinated complex for this metal, with an 18-electron configuration, and
30
31 the trigonal bipyramid structure [23]. It is isoelectronic to and has the same structure as
32
33 Fe(CO)₅. It has two distinct C–O stretches corresponding nominally to the axial and equatorial
34
35 ligands. Ta(CO)₇⁺ is another example of a fully coordinated complex with an 18-electron
36
37 configuration. It has the capped-octahedral structure, with five distinct C–O stretches [24].
38
39 Figures 1 and 2 show the experimental spectra for these two complexes compared to the spectra
40
41 predicted by theory using different functionals and the same basis set (Def2-TZVP). In each
42
43 case, the spectra are scaled using the optimized scaling factor for that functional and basis set.
44
45
46
47
48
49

50 As shown in Figure 1, all functionals find two distinct carbonyl stretches for the
51
52 Co(CO)₅⁺ complex, but the intensity ratios of the two bands are somewhat different for each
53
54 functional, as are the relative spacings of the bands. The experimental spacing between the two
55
56 bands is 10 cm⁻¹, which is reproduced best by BP86 (9 cm⁻¹) and M06-L (12 cm⁻¹). The spacing
57
58 with M06 is much lower (7 cm⁻¹) and that with B3LYP is much higher (17 cm⁻¹). All functionals
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 find the lower frequency band to be more intense, but BP86 has the best relative intensities.
5
6 Similar variations are found for the $\text{Ta}(\text{CO})_7^+$ spectrum. All functionals find a five-band pattern
7
8 that is a recognizable match to the experiment, and all find the band second from lowest
9
10 frequency (2067 cm^{-1} in the experiment) to be the most intense. However, all four functionals
11
12 predict the multiplet containing the four most intense peaks to be more closely spaced than it
13
14 actually is. All four find the 2168 cm^{-1} band to be much weaker in relative intensity than it
15
16 actually is. In this case, B3LYP best reproduces the overall multiplet width and relative
17
18 intensities, whereas M06-L provides the poorest match to the experiment. These multiplet
19
20 spacings and relative intensity data clearly show that it takes more than a single frequency
21
22 scaling factor to reproduce the true effects of anharmonicity on carbonyl vibrations.
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 There is much discussion in the quantum chemistry literature about the advantages and
30
31 disadvantages of different computational methods. Density functional theory is often noted to
32
33 give poorer results than other methods such as MP2 or CCSD(T), with respect to the
34
35 computation of molecular energetics. However, it is now well understood that DFT is the
36
37 method of choice for studies of transition metal complexes and materials because of its reduced
38
39 demands on computational resources [38-40]. There has also been much discussion about
40
41 different DFT functionals and which of these give the best molecular energetics [38-40].
42
43 However, there has been much less attention given to the performance of different DFT
44
45 functionals with respect to vibrational spectroscopy. The present study is by no means
46
47 comprehensive. It does not examine metal-ligand stretch vibrations which occur at much lower
48
49 frequencies and have been the subject of other studies [44]. However, it does examine the
50
51 important class of homoleptic metal carbonyls, which are representative of metal-ligand
52
53 complexes. In this selected group of systems, we find that the application of scaling factors does
54
55 produce theoretical vibrations for several different functionals and basis sets that provide
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 qualitative agreement with measured spectra. However, the details of multiplet patterns and
5
6 relative intensities are more challenging to describe and it is not clear that any of the present
7
8 functionals has a clear advantage over the others in this respect.
9

10 11 12 13 14 **4. Conclusions**

15
16 Computational studies are carried out on a data set of 20 transition metal carbonyl neutral
17
18 and cation complexes for which vibrational spectra have been measured in the gas phase. Four
19
20 representative DFT functionals are investigated with three different basis sets each. The
21
22 structures and lowest electronic states obtained for these complexes are all consistent with earlier
23
24 results. The computed vibrational frequencies for these complexes are compared to those
25
26 measured experimentally, and the combined results are used to derive recommended vibrational
27
28 scaling factors for each method. We find only a small variation with different basis sets for each
29
30 method, but a more significant variation in scaling factors for different functionals. Surprisingly,
31
32 the scaling factors determined are not very different from those derived previously for higher
33
34 frequency vibrations of organic molecules. It therefore seems safe to assume that DFT methods
35
36 other than those used here could also employ scaling factors derived previously for higher
37
38 frequency vibrations. Although the agreement with experiment is good when the presently
39
40 derived scaling factors are employed, there is still significant variation in the spacings and
41
42 relative intensities when multiplet band patterns are examined. On the basis of the limited data
43
44 investigated in this way, none of the four functionals has a clear advantage in performance over
45
46 the others in the description of vibrational spectra.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge generous support for this work from the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Chemical, Geological, and Biosciences (grant no. DE-FG02-96ER14658). A. D. B and J. L. D. wish to acknowledge salary support from the National Science Foundation through grant no. HRD-1505095.

References

- [1] M. Wrighton, "The photochemistry of metal carbonyls," *Chem. Rev.* 74 (1974) 401.
- [2] J. E. Huheey, E. A. Keiter, R. L. Keiter, *Inorganic Chemistry Principles of Structure and Reactivity*, Harper Collins, New York, 1993.
- [3] F. Aubke, C. Wang, "Carbon monoxide as a σ -donor ligand in coordination chemistry," *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 137 (1994) 483.
- [4] G. Frenking, N. Fröhlich, "The nature of the bonding in transition-metal compounds," *Chem. Rev.* 100 (2000) 717.
- [5] R. H. Crabtree, *The Organometallic Chemistry of the Transition Metals*, 5th ed., Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2009.
- [6] I. B. Bersuker, *Electronic Structure and Properties of Transition Metal Compounds*, 2nd ed., Wiley, Hoboken, NJ, 2010.
- [7] K. Nakamoto, *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, Parts A and B*, 5th ed., John Wiley, New York, 1997.
- [8] S. L. Shufler, H. W. Sternberg, R. A. Friedel, "Infrared spectrum and structure of chromium hexacarbonyl, $\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$," *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 78 (1956) 2687.
- [9] M. F. O'Dwyer, "Infrared spectra and normal coordinate analysis of iron pentacarbonyl," *J. Molec. Spec.* 2 (1958) 144.
- [10] H. Stammerich, K. Kawai, Y. Tavares, P. Krumholz, J. Behmoiras, S. Bril, "Raman spectra of metal carbonyl compounds. II. Raman spectra, structure, and force constants of cobalt and iron tetracarbonylate anions," *J. Chem. Phys.* 32 (1960) 1482.
- [11] W. F. Edgell, J. I. Lyford, "Laser Raman and infrared spectra of the tetrahedral $\text{Co}(\text{CO})_4^-$ ion," *J. Chem. Phys.* 52 (1970) 4329.

- [12] G. Bouquet, M. Bigorgne, "Constantes de force du nickel carbonyle $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$," Spectrochim. Acta Part A 27 (1971) 139.
- [13] L. H. Jones, R. S. McDowell, M. Goldblatt, "Potential constants of iron pentacarbonyl from vibrational spectra of isotopic species," J. Chem. Phys. 57 (1972) 2050.
- [14] B. Beagley, D. G. Schmidling, "A re-evaluation of the molecular structure of iron pentacarbonyl," J. Molec. Struct. 22 (1974) 5466.
- [15] P. L. Bogdan, E. Weitz, "A transient infrared spectroscopy study of coordinatively unsaturated ruthenium carbonyls," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111 (1989) 3163.
- [16] P. L. Bogdan, E. Weitz, "A transient infrared spectroscopy study of coordinatively unsaturated osmium carbonyl compounds," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 112 (1990) 639.
- [17] Y. Ishikawa, C. E. Brown, P. A. Hackett, D. M. Rayner, "Excimer laser photolysis of group 6 metal carbonyls in the gas phase," J. Phys. Chem. 94 (1990) 2404.
- [18] Y. Ishikawa, P. A. Hackett, D. M. Rayner, "Excimer laser photolysis of gas-phase tungsten hexacarbonyl," J. Phys. Chem. 92 (1988) 3863.
- [19] Y. Ishikawa, P. A. Hackett, D. M. Rayner, "Excimer laser photolysis of vanadium hexacarbonyl: Time-resolved infrared studies of gas-phase $\text{V}(\text{CO})_x$ ($x = 5-2$)," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109 (1987) 6644.
- [20] M. Zhou, L. Andrews, C. W. Bauschlicher, Jr., "Spectroscopic and theoretical investigation of vibrational frequencies in binary unsaturated transition-metal carbonyl cations, neutrals, and anions," Chem. Rev. 101 (2001) 1931.
- [21] J. Velasquez III, B. Njagic, M. S. Gordon, M. A. Duncan, "IR photodissociation spectroscopy and theory of $\text{Au}^+(\text{CO})_n$ complexes: Nonclassical carbonyls in the gas phase," J. Phys. Chem. A 112 (2008) 1907.

- [22] J. Velasquez III, M. A. Duncan, "IR photodissociation spectroscopy of gas phase $\text{Pt}^+(\text{CO})_n$ ($n=4-6$)," Chem. Phys. Lett. 461 (2008) 28.
- [23] A. M. Ricks, J. M. Bakker, G. E. Douberly, M. A. Duncan, "Infrared spectroscopy and structures of cobalt carbonyl cations, $\text{Co}(\text{CO})_n^+$ ($n=1-9$)," J. Phys. Chem. A 113 (2009) 4701.
- [24] A. M. Ricks, Z. D. Reed, M. A. Duncan, "Seven-coordinate homoleptic metal carbonyls in the gas phase," J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131 (2009) 9176.
- [25] Z. D. Reed, M. A. Duncan, "Infrared spectroscopy and structures of manganese carbonyl cations, $\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_n^+$ ($n=1-9$)," J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 21 (2010) 739.
- [26] A. M. Ricks, Z.E. Reed, M.A. Duncan, "Infrared spectroscopy of mass-selected metal carbonyl cations," J. Mol. Spec. 266 (2011) 63.
- [27] A. D. Brathwaite, Z. D. Reed, M. A. Duncan, "Infrared photodissociation spectroscopy of copper carbonyl cations," J. Phys. Chem. A. 115 (2011) 10461.
- [28] A. M. Ricks, A. D. Brathwaite, M. A. Duncan, "Coordination and spin states in vanadium carbonyl complexes ($\text{V}(\text{CO})_n^+$, $n=1-7$) revealed with IR spectroscopy," J. Phys. Chem. A 117 (2013) 1001.
- [29] A. D. Brathwaite, M. A. Duncan, "Infrared photodissociation spectroscopy of saturated group IV (Ti, Zr, Hf) metal carbonyl cations," J. Phys. Chem. A 117 (2013) 11695.
- [30] A. D. Brathwaite, J. A. Maner, M. A. Duncan, "Testing the limits of the 18-electron rule: The gas-phase carbonyls of Sc^+ and Y^+ ," Inorg. Chem. 53 (2014) 1166.
- [31] G. J. Wang, C. X. Chi, J. M. Cui, X. P. Xing, M. Zhou, "Infrared photodissociation spectroscopy of mononuclear iron carbonyl anions," J. Phys. Chem. A 116 (2012) 2484.

- [32] C. X. Chi, J. M. Cui, X. P. Xing, G. J. Wang, Z. P. Liu, M. Zhou, "Infrared photodissociation spectroscopy of trigonal bipyramidal 19-electron $\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_5^+$ cation," Chem. Phys. Lett. 542 (2012) 33.
- [33] G. J. Wang, J. M. Cui, C. X. Chi, X. J. Zhou, Z. H. Li, X. P. Xing, M. Zhou, "Bonding in homoleptic iron carbonyl cluster cations: A combined infrared photodissociation spectroscopic and theoretical study," Chem. Sci. 3 (2012) 3272.
- [34] A. P. Scott, L. Radom, "Harmonic vibrational frequencies: an evaluation of Hartree-Fock, Møller-Plesset, quadratic configuration interaction, density functional theory, and semi-empirical scale factors," J. Phys. Chem. 100 (1996) 16502.
- [35] J. P. Merrick, D. Moran, L. Radom, "An evaluation of harmonic vibrational frequency scale factors," J. Phys. Chem. A 111 (2007) 11683.
- [36] R. D. Johnson III, K. K. Irikawa, R. N. Kacker, R. Kessel, "Scaling factors and uncertainties for ab initio anharmonic vibrational frequencies," J. Chem. Theor. Comp. 6 (2010) 2822.
- [37] C. Fábri, T. Szidarovszky, G. Magyarfalvi, G. Tarczay, "Gas-phase and Ar-matrix SQM scaling factors for various DFT functionals with basis sets including polarization and diffuse functions," J. Phys. Chem. A 115 (2011) 4640.
- [38] V. Jonas, W. Thiel, "Symmetry force fields for neutral and ionic transition metal carbonyl complexes from density functional theory," J. Phys. Chem. A 103 (1999) 1381.
- [39] C. J. Cramer, D. G. Truhlar, "Density functional theory for transition metals and transition metal chemistry," Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 11 (2009) 10757.
- [40] S. Shil, D. Bhattacharya, S. Sarker, A. Misra, "Performance of the widely used Minnesota density functionals for the predictions of heats of formations, ionization potentials of

- some benchmarked first row transition metal complexes," J. Phys. Chem. A 117 (2013) 4945.
- [41] K. P. Huber, G. Herzberg, *Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure IV. Constants of Diatomic Molecules*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., 1979.
- [42] L. Yu, G. N. Srinivas, M. Schwartz, "Scale factors for C≡O vibrational frequencies in organometallic complexes," J. Molec. Struc. (Theochem) 625 (2003) 215.
- [43] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, et al. Gaussian 09 (Revision D.01), Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2009.
- [44] C. Latouche, F. Palazzetti, D. Skouteris, V. Barone, "High-accuracy vibrational computations for transition-metal complexes including anharmonic corrections: Ferrocene, ruthenocene and osmocene as test cases," J. Chem. Theor. Comp. 10 (2014) 4565.

Table 1. Electronic ground states and experimental and theoretical frequencies (cm^{-1}) for metal carbonyl complexes computed using DFT/B3LYP.

Complex	Spin State	Experiment	LANL2DZ TZP	LANL2TZ TZP	Def2-TZVP TZP
$\text{Ti}(\text{CO})_6^+$	quartet	2110 [30]	2184	2180	2179
$\text{V}(\text{CO})_6^+$	triplet	2097 [29]	2183, 2186	2182, 2184	2180, 2182
$\text{V}(\text{CO})_6$	doublet	1989 [20]	2065, 2068	2063, 2066	2062, 2065
$\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$	singlet	2000 [8]	2073	2074	2070
$\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_6^+$	singlet	2115 [26]	2195	2197	2192
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5^+$	doublet	2123 [34]	2210, 2215	2209, 2214	2206, 2211
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$	singlet	2016, 2038 [9]	2082, 2111	2081, 2111	2078, 2106
$\text{Co}(\text{CO})_5^+$	singlet	2140, 2150 [24]	2215, 2233	2216, 2232	2213, 2227
$\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$	singlet	2061 [12]	2125	2124	2120
$\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_5^+$	doublet	2169, 2183 [33]	2244, 2266	2239, 2261	2236, 2259
$\text{Cu}(\text{CO})_4^+$	singlet	2198 [28]	2277	2277	2273
$\text{Y}(\text{CO})_8^+$	singlet	2087 [31]	2165, 2167	2165, 2167	2163, 2166
$\text{Zr}(\text{CO})_6^+$	quartet	2094 [30]	2164	2162	2157
$\text{Nb}(\text{CO})_7^+$	singlet	2057, 2075, 2100, 2125, 2169 [25]	2136, 2149, 2168, 2191, 2243	2132, 2147, 2165, 2190, 2241	2131, 2143, 2162, 2186, 2240
$\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_6$	singlet	2003 [18]	2070	2068	2066

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Ru(CO)_5	singlet	2015,	2077, 2114	2075, 2111	2073, 2109
		2045 [16]			
Hf(CO)_6^+	quartet	2075 [30]	2145	2143	2141
Ta(CO)_7^+	singlet	2056, 2067,	2133, 2141,	2130, 2138,	2126, 2137,
		2089, 2113,	2158, 2179,	2157, 2177,	2153, 2176,
		2168 [25]	2243	2241	2237
W(CO)_6	singlet	2000 [19]	2063	2061	2058
Os(CO)_5	singlet	2008,	2069, 2111	2065, 2107	2060, 2104
		2046 [17]			

Table 2. Electronic ground states and experimental and theoretical frequencies (cm^{-1}) for metal carbonyl complexes computed using DFT/BP86.

Complex	Spin State	Experiment	LANL2DZ TZP	LANL2TZ TZP	Def2-TZVP TZP
$\text{Ti}(\text{CO})_6^+$	quartet	2110 [30]	2083	2079	2076
$\text{V}(\text{CO})_6^+$	triplet	2097 [29]	2079, 2081	2078, 2080	2074, 2077
$\text{V}(\text{CO})_6$	doublet	1989 [20]	1980, 1982	1980, 1982	1977, 1979
$\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$	singlet	2000 [8]	1994	1994	1990
$\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_6^+$	singlet	2115 [26]	2097	2096	2092
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5^+$	doublet	2123 [34]	2103, 2109	2103, 2108	2098, 2102
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$	singlet	2016, 2038 [9]	2007, 2025	2005, 2023	2000, 2018
$\text{Co}(\text{CO})_5^+$	singlet	2140, 2150 [24]	2117, 2126	2118, 2125	2112, 2120
$\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$	singlet	2061 [12]	2039	2041	2034
$\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_5^+$	doublet	2169, 2183 [33]	2135, 2147	2132, 2141	2129, 2139
$\text{Cu}(\text{CO})_4^+$	singlet	2198 [28]	2175	2175	2171
$\text{Y}(\text{CO})_8^+$	singlet	2087 [31]	2071, 2073	2070, 2071	2068, 2070
$\text{Zr}(\text{CO})_6^+$	quartet	2094 [30]	2069	2066	2062
$\text{Nb}(\text{CO})_7^+$	singlet	2057, 2075, 2100, 2125, 2169 [25]	2044, 2057, 2071, 2092, 2149	2043, 2055, 2063, 2083 2148	2038, 2048, 2059, 2079, 2144
$\text{Mo}(\text{CO})_6$	singlet	2003 [18]	1991	1988	1986

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Ru(CO)_5	singlet	2015, 2045 [16]	1996, 2027	1993, 2024	1991, 2024
Hf(CO)_6^+	quartet	2075 [30]	2057	2054	2052
Ta(CO)_7^+	singlet	2056, 2067, 2089, 2113, 2168 [25]	2045, 2054, 2066, 2084, 2149	2044, 2052, 2063, 2083, 2148	2038, 2048, 2059, 2079, 2144
W(CO)_6	singlet	2000 [19]	1988	1986	1982
Os(CO)_5	singlet	2008, 2046 [17]	1995, 2029	1990, 2026	1983, 2022

Table 3. Electronic ground states and experimental and theoretical frequencies (cm^{-1}) for metal carbonyl complexes computed using DFT/M06.

Complex	Spin State	Experiment	LANL2DZ TZP	LANL2TZ TZP	Def2-TZVP TZP
$\text{Ti}(\text{CO})_6^+$	quartet	2110 [30]	2211	2211	2216
$\text{V}(\text{CO})_6^+$	triplet	2097 [29]	2209, 2212	2208, 2211	2192, 2223 2226
$\text{V}(\text{CO})_6$	doublet	1989 [20]	2092, 2094, 2097	2092, 2094 2097	2092, 2095 2098
$\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$	singlet	2000 [8]	2101	2099	2105
$\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_6^+$	singlet	2115 [26]	2218	2220	2224
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5^+$	doublet	2123 [34]	2233, 2242	2233, 2241	2237, 2242
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$	singlet	2016, 2038 [9]	2120, 2139	2118, 2135	2112, 2138
$\text{Co}(\text{CO})_5^+$	singlet	2140, 2150 [24]	2251, 2257	2249, 2256	2246, 2257
$\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$	singlet	2061 [12]	2157	2158	2152
$\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_5^+$	doublet	2169, 2183 [33]	2277, 2290	2275, 2288	2272, 2289
$\text{Cu}(\text{CO})_4^+$	singlet	2198 [28]	2307	2306	22302
$\text{Y}(\text{CO})_8^+$	singlet	2087 [31]	2198, 2201	2197, 2199	2196, 2199
$\text{Zr}(\text{CO})_6^+$	quartet	2094 [30]	2202	2198	2194
$\text{Nb}(\text{CO})_7^+$	singlet	2057, 2075, 2100, 2125,	2168, 2180, 2198, 2219,	2166, 2179, 2199, 2222,	2165, 2177, 2196, 2221,

			2169 [25]	2271	2275	2273
	Mo(CO) ₆	singlet	2003 [18]	2102	2099	2096
	Ru(CO) ₅	singlet	2015, 2045 [16]	2107, 2139	2105, 2136	2103, 2135
	Hf(CO) ₆ ⁺	quartet	2075 [30]	2186	2184	2181
	Ta(CO) ₇ ⁺	singlet	2056, 2067, 2089, 2113, 2168 [25]	2165, 2171, 2189, 2211, 2274	2163, 2170, 2188, 2211 2273	2159, 2168, 2184, 2207 2270
	W(CO) ₆	singlet	2000 [19]	2095	2091	2087
	Os(CO) ₅	singlet	2008, 2046 [17]	2097, 2137	2094, 2134	2089, 2132

Table 4. Electronic ground states and experimental and theoretical frequencies (cm^{-1}) for metal carbonyl complexes computed using DFT/M06-L.

Complex	Spin State	Experiment	LANL2DZ TZP	LANL2TZ TZP	Def2-TZVP TZP
$\text{Ti}(\text{CO})_6^+$	quartet	2110 [30]	2166	2161	2163
$\text{V}(\text{CO})_6^+$	triplet	2097 [29]	2145, 2167, 2171	2146, 2164, 2167	2145, 2167, 2170
$\text{V}(\text{CO})_6$	doublet	1989 [20]	2052, 2055, 2059	2053, 2056, 2060	2048, 2057, 2060
$\text{Cr}(\text{CO})_6$	singlet	2000 [8]	2065	2060	2062
$\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_6^+$	singlet	2115 [26]	2170	2172	2169
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5^+$	doublet	2123 [34]	2179, 2185	2179, 2185	2176, 2188
$\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$	singlet	2016, 2038 [9]	2075, 2098	2075, 2098	2068, 2099
$\text{Co}(\text{CO})_5^+$	singlet	2140, 2150 [24]	2190, 2203	2193, 2203	2193, 2206
$\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_4$	singlet	2061 [12]	2097	2107	2108
$\text{Ni}(\text{CO})_5^+$	doublet	2169, 2183 [33]	2213, 2239	2211, 2235	2209, 2237
$\text{Cu}(\text{CO})_4^+$	singlet	2198 [28]	2251	2247	2246
$\text{Y}(\text{CO})_8^+$	singlet	2087 [31]	2146	2145	2145
$\text{Zr}(\text{CO})_6^+$	quartet	2094 [30]	2159	2154	2147
$\text{Nb}(\text{CO})_7^+$	singlet	2057, 2075, 2100, 2125,	2130, 2136, 2152, 2171,	2128, 2133, 2150, 2169,	2125, 2133, 2150, 2174

			2169 [25]	2226	2225	2227
	Mo(CO) ₆	singlet	2003 [18]	2066	2055	2059
	Ru(CO) ₅	singlet	2015, 2045 [16]	2070, 2102	2068, 2099	2064, 2100
	Hf(CO) ₆ ⁺	quartet	2075 [30]	2144	2140	2134
	Ta(CO) ₇ ⁺	singlet	2056, 2067, 2089, 2113, 2168 [25]	2130, 2132, 2147, 2166,	2128, 2130, 2145, 2164,	2121, 2125 2141, 2162
	W(CO) ₆	singlet	2000 [19]	2066	2065	2051
	Os(CO) ₅	singlet	2008, 2046 [17]	2069, 2107	2074, 2089	2056, 2100

Table 5. Frequency scaling factors suitable for fundamental C–O vibrations and rms (cm⁻¹)

Method	Scaling Factor	rms
B3LYP/LANL2DZ	0.966	6.8
B3LYP/LANL2TZ	0.966	7.2
B3LYP/Def2-TZVP	0.968	7.5
BP86/LANL2DZ	1.009	7.0
BP86/LANL2TZ	1.010	8.3
BP86/Def2-TZVP	1.012	8.2
M06/LANL2DZ	0.952	6.2
M06/LANL2TZ	0.953	6.2
M06/Def2-TZVP	0.953	9.1
M06-L/LANL2DZ	0.972	8.9
M06-L/LANL2TZ	0.973	8.5
M06-L/Def2-TZVP	0.974	7.7

Figure Captions

Figure 1. The experimental infrared spectrum of $\text{Co}(\text{CO})_5^+$ [23] compared to the spectra predicted by different functionals using the optimized scaling factors derived in this study.

Figure 2. The experimental infrared spectrum of $\text{Ta}(\text{CO})_7^+$ [24] compared to the spectra predicted by different functionals using the optimized scaling factors derived in this study.

Figure 1

Figure 2