1 Separation and recovery of nickel, as a salt, from an EDTA leachate of spent 2 hydrodesulphurization catalyst using precipitation methods

3
4 Isabel S.S. Pinto¹, S.M. Sadeghi¹ and Helena M.V.M. Soares^{1*}

5 ¹REQUIMTE - Departamento de Engenharia Química, Faculdade de Engenharia,
6 Universidade do Porto, 4200-465, Porto, Portugal

7
8 * Corresponding author: hsoares@fe.up.pt

9 Helena MVM Soares, Departamento de Engenharia Química, Faculdade de Engenharia do
10 Porto, Rua Dr. Roberto Frias, 4200-465, Porto, Portugal; phone number: +351-225081650;
11 fax number: +351-225081449.

12 13 Abstract

14 The aim of the present work was to recover Ni, as a salt, from an ethylenediaminetetracetic
15 acid (EDTA) solution that also contains Al and Mo, obtained after leaching a spent Ni-Mo
16 hydrodesulphurization catalyst.

17 The pH for EDTA precipitation was optimized in order to maximize further separation of
18 Al and Mo from Ni. At pH = 1, 80% of EDTA was precipitated, followed by precipitation
19 of Al and Mo (recovery of 94 and 67 %, respectively) at pH 5. From this point, three
20 options for recovering Ni, as a salt, were studied. The first consisted on a second EDTA
21 precipitation at lower pH followed by Ni precipitation, as Ni(OH)₂, by increasing the pH;
22 the second and the third ones involved sequential addition of Fe³⁺ and phosphate ions, with
23 and without the second EDTA precipitation step, respectively. The addition of Fe induces
24 the substitution of Ni in the EDTA complexes, leaving Ni free to precipitate with the
25 phosphate, which maximizes Ni recovery.

26 The highest Ni recovery was 93%, as nickel phosphate, with a purity ≥ 96% in the
27 sequence involving second EDTA precipitation, where 70% of the remaining EDTA was
28 recovered at pH 0.4, followed by addition of Fe³⁺ and precipitation as nickel phosphate.
29 However, this option has the highest costs in terms of reagents consumption. By
30 suppressing the second EDTA precipitation step, nickel phosphate was recovered with
31 lower yield and purity (82 and 94%, respectively). In the case, where Ni was precipitated as

Ni(OH)₂, nickel recovery only reached 70%, with $\geq 97\%$ purity; still, this possibility has the lowest reagents cost. In the two options, that included phosphate addition, the analysis of the obtained solid suggests the Ni₃(PO₄)₂ stoichiometry and the proposed process is clean, with almost no generation of residues, since the precipitated EDTA can be recycled into the leaching stage and the Fe recovered, as a solid, can be reused as iron source.

Keywords: Spent catalyst, Nickel, Ethylenediaminetetracetic acid (EDTA), Iron, Phosphate.

1. Introduction

Spent hydrodesulphurization (HDS) catalysts are hazardous residues produced in large quantities in petroleum refining industries (Dufresne, 2007; Rapaport, 2000). The recovery of the valuable metals, like molybdenum (Mo), nickel (Ni), vanadium (V) and cobalt (Co), present in such catalysts, which are usually alumina-based, has been object of extensive study in order to find inexpensive, efficient and environmentally friendly processes (Zeng and Cheng, 2010). Hydrometallurgical processes have the advantage of using lower temperatures and allow better control on the recovery of by-products when compared to pyrometallurgical ones; however, some reagents are expensive, the reaction rates are much slower and large quantities of concentrated solutions are required (Ghosh and Ray, 1991; Kim et al., 2009). The leaching of metals from spent HDS catalysts is commonly accomplished using concentrated acid solutions, such as sulphuric acid, which allows almost complete solubilization of all the metals present in the catalyst (Idris et al., 2010; Kim et al., 2009; Valverde et al., 2008). This procedure leads to succeeding separation stages, usually based on the combination of solvent extraction and precipitation steps. When the goal is to recover Ni or Co from an Al solution, several studies can be found, most of them based on solvent extraction (Kim and Cho, 1997; Park et al., 2012; Park et al., 2007; Rao et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 1995). Orive et al. (Orive et al., 1992) compared a process of direct precipitation, where Ni and Co precipitated at pH > 12.5, with solvent extraction of Al, using Cyanex 272 or LIX 26 as extractants. Extraction using Cyanex 272 proved to be more effective than precipitation, as the second led to a solid of Ni and Co with significant amount of Al. Precipitation of Al at pH around 5 is efficient; however,

1 some Ni is usually lost to the solid so this method is only advisable if Ni is in great excess
2 (Lee et al., 2010). Valverde et al. (2008) proposed a method based on the precipitation of
3 Ni and Co as oxalates while the complex of Al-oxalate remains soluble. In general, the
4 methods found in the literature to separate Ni from an excess of Al are based on solvent
5 extraction because simpler inexpensive methods, like precipitation, are not efficient in
6 terms of separation.

7 Alternatively, leaching processes can be performed using an organic acid such as
8 ethylenediaminetetracetic acid (EDTA), that leads to a more selective dissolution of Ni/Co
9 from an alumina-based catalyst (Pinto and Soares, 2013a). Some Al and little Mo are also
10 solubilized and separation of metals, as well as EDTA, must be performed. To recover
11 metals from solution, where they are complexed with EDTA, EDTA is usually precipitated
12 in very acidic conditions leaving the metals free to be recovered (Goel et al., 2009).
13 However, if complexes are still stable in such conditions, EDTA precipitation is not
14 complete and metal complexes remain. Electrochemical processes and replacement
15 reactions are possibilities to recover metals from EDTA solutions.

16 The deposition of Ni in the presence of EDTA by electrochemical membrane processes has
17 been tried; however, results present in the literature have discrepancies: Juang and Wang
18 (2000) stated that no deposition of Ni was observed in the wide pH range studied and at
19 high current density; Otzekin and Yazicigil (2006) presented results that indicate the
20 possibility of recovering Ni by electrolysis, in the presence of EDTA, in a two-chamber
21 cell.

22 The replacement reaction consists on substituting the metal in the complex by another one
23 in order to precipitate the one intended to recover. In the case of EDTA, this is usually done
24 by iron replacement, which forms very stable complexes that can be recovered latter by
25 precipitation in alkaline conditions. This method has been applied to soil washing solutions:
26 Di Palma et al. (2003) recovered Cu and Pb from an EDTA solution by studying both
27 phosphate and hydroxide precipitation; Lo and Zhang (2005) recovered Pb and Zn by
28 addition of phosphate after Fe replacement; Lim et al. (2005) precipitated Pb, Cd and Ni in
29 their phosphate forms from spent EDTA solutions.

30 The aim of this work was to separate and recover Ni, as a salt, from a leaching solution of
31 spent HDS catalyst. Leaching was performed with an EDTA solution; therefore, the

leachate contains EDTA in excess, as well as Al and some small amount of Mo. Methods based on precipitation were studied and discussed and a final nearly-closed process is proposed.

2. Experimental

2.1 Leaching of spent HDS catalyst

The aqueous solution, used in this work, was obtained by leaching of a spent Ni-Mo HDS catalyst, using an EDTA solution. This leaching stage was studied as the second stage of a process for selective dissolution of metals from a spent Ni-Mo catalyst. Briefly, the first leaching stage was performed according to previous optimized conditions (Pinto and Soares, 2012): catalyst was roasted (500 °C, 90 minutes) and leached using microwave-assisted method (NaOH 10 g/L, S/L=50 g/L, 4 heating cycles of 30 s each). The catalyst was separated from the leachate by filtration and dried at 105 °C for 12 h in order to standardize weight before the second leaching stage.

The second leaching stage was accomplished with 0.1 M solution of EDTA and using microwave heating (pH = 10.8, S/L = 50 g/L, 4 heating cycles of 60 s each) (Pinto and Soares, 2013a). After four cycles, the solid and liquid phases were separated by filtration and the leachate was used to perform the precipitation studies. The metal concentrations in the obtained solution are presented in Table 1.

2.2 Computer chemical simulations

Species distribution diagrams were constructed based on chemical speciation calculations that were executed using MINEQL+ Version 4.5 (Schecher and McAvoy, 2003). Chemical equilibrium concentrations of all species considered in the model by the program reactions were generated based on the component stability constants (Martell and Smith, 2004) and the molar concentrations (Table 1).

2.3 EDTA precipitation

EDTA precipitation was accomplished by lowering the pH through the addition of concentrated HNO₃. Studies were performed using 20 mL of the respective solution and after acid addition the flasks with the solutions were left agitating at room temperature to

1 achieve equilibrium. Different pH ranges were tested depending if the EDTA precipitation
2 was performed before or after Al and Mo precipitation; in the first EDTA precipitation, the
3 pH was varied between 0.1 and 2.5 and duration went from 3 to 24h; in the second EDTA
4 precipitation, the pH range studied was between 0 and 0.5 with duration of the experiment
5 of 3-4 days. The solids were then separated by centrifugation (2500 g, 10 min), washed
6 with deionized water and dried at 105 °C until a constant weight was reached. Rigorous
7 amounts of the solid were weighted and dissolved in NaOH (0.1 M) and the volume was
8 made up to 50 mL for analysis.

10 **2.4. Al and Mo precipitation**

11 After first precipitation of EDTA, the pH was increased by the addition of NaOH to values
12 between 3 and 10 and experiments were left for 1 h before separating the solid by
13 centrifugation. Similarly to EDTA, the solid was washed and dried; then, rigorous weighted
14 samples were dissolved in aqua-regia and heated in a water bath for 2 hours. After complete
15 dissolution, the solution was transferred to a volumetric flask and volume was made up to
16 50 mL.

18 **2.5 Nickel replacement and its recovery as salts**

19 Nickel hydroxide was recovered by increasing the pH of the respective solution to values
20 higher than 9, by the addition of NaOH.

21 To study the precipitation as nickel phosphate, instead of hydroxide, an approach where Ni
22 ion was replaced by Fe^{3+} ion was studied. Solutions of Fe and phosphate were prepared. A
23 Fe solution (0.4 M) was prepared from $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4 \cdot 12 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ was used to
24 prepare a 1 M phosphate solution. A rigorously measured volume of Fe was added to the
25 Ni-EDTA solutions and left under constant agitation for 1 day to reach the equilibrium; so,
26 Fe replaces Ni in the EDTA complexes. Then, just after immediately addition of phosphate
27 solution, concentrated NaOH solution was used to increase the pH (between 7 and 11.5).
28 The system was filtered to separate the solid from the liquid. The time between phosphate
29 addition and filtration was varied from 30 s to 20 min. The solid was washed with
30 deionized water, dried and dissolved in nitric acid for analysis.

2.6. Analytical methods

Concentrations of EDTA, Ni, Al and Mo in the supernatant and in the dissolved solids were determined and mass balances were confirmed for each one.

Ni, Al and Mo were determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy with flame atomization (AAS-FA) with a Perkin-Elmer AAnalyst 400 spectrometer (Norwalk, CT, USA) using an air-acetylene (Ni) or nitrous oxide-acetylene (Al, Mo) flame.

EDTA concentrations were calculated from total organic carbon determinations using a Shimadzu TOC-5000A analyser (Kyoto, Japan).

Phosphorus concentration was determined by spectrophotometry at 880 nm, after reaction with molybdate (sulfuric acid + potassium antimonyl tartrate + ammonium molybdate + ascorbic acid) (US EPA, 1978), using a Genesys 10UV Scanning spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific).

3. Results and Discussion

The sequential process flow sheet, showing the several steps implemented in this work to recovery Ni and EDTA from the EDTA solution originated from leaching a spent HDS Ni-Mo catalyst, is shown in Figure 1.

3.1 EDTA precipitation

Leaching of spent HDS Ni-Mo catalyst with a 0.1 M solution of EDTA led to a solution containing 1.16 g/L of Ni, 0.532 g/L of Al and 0.115 g/L of Mo (molar concentrations in Table 1). It is known that EDTA precipitates at low pH as H_4EDTA ; this method is commonly used to recover this compound from aqueous solutions (Di Palma et al., 2003; Goel et al., 2009). However, complexed EDTA might be harder to precipitate, depending on the solubility and stability of the complexes. Computer simulations were performed, considering the molar concentrations of EDTA, Ni, Al and Mo in the solution obtained after leaching. The percentage of EDTA, which is predicted to be uncomplexed, is represented in Figure 2 (continuous line). Above pH 1.5, Ni, Al and Mo are all in the form of complexes while 60% of the total EDTA is free, which corresponds to the excess of EDTA relatively to the metals. Below pH 1.5, Mo starts to be uncomplexed, followed by Al. At pH 0.75, both metals are free, leaving 80% of EDTA uncomplexed while the

remaining 20% are present as Ni-EDTA complexes. Below pH 0.5, even Ni complexes, that are more stable, start to break, but free EDTA never reaches 100% for pH>0. Experiments were performed to quantify EDTA precipitation at different pH values. After the addition of HNO₃, the flasks were left for 24 h to achieve equilibrium. The experimental points (Figure 2) show that EDTA recovery from the leaching solution was close to the theoretical predictions for uncomplexed EDTA present in solution (continuous line). As expected, the recovery of EDTA increased with the decrease of pH because the metals begin to be in the free form leaving EDTA available to precipitate. Maximum recovery of EDTA was obtained in the pH range between 0.4 and 0 with a value of 95%. According to the chemical speciation simulations (Figure 3A), the remaining EDTA was still complexed with Ni as NiH_xEDTA species. Using a pH below 0.4, we obtained a good recovery of EDTA, whilst metals remain in the aqueous solution, which was verified by AAS-FA measurements. The solid of EDTA had high purity with a maximum content of metals of 0.05 wt.% of Ni, 0.1 wt.% of Al and 0.2 wt.% of Mo. Measurements of TOC confirmed that the content of H₄EDTA in the solid was 99 ± 4%. Experiments with duration of 3 and 6 h were tested and results did not differ significantly from the ones obtained after 24 h; hence, it is possible to conclude that after 3 hours dechelation had occurred.

3.2 Separation of Al and Mo

Al is highly insoluble in aqueous solutions between pH 4 and 11 due to the formation of Al(OH)₃, while Ni only starts to precipitate at pH above 7. However, the separation of these two metals is usually done by solvent extraction (Kim and Cho, 1997; Park et al., 2012; Rao et al., 2012) because the increase of the pH to values between 4 and 5, to precipitate Al(OH)₃, also leads to co-precipitation of Ni (Lee et al., 2010), which was verified experimentally. Similarly, Mo, that is soluble in this pH range, tends to precipitate together with Al (Pinto and Soares 2013b), which is an advantage in this case because it increases the purity of Ni solution.

As suggested by computer simulations (Figure 3), Al complexes are broken before Ni ones and the results for EDTA precipitation seem to indicate the same. So, to avoid the use of an organic extraction process, the presence of EDTA itself was used to study a separation of

Al from Ni, based on different stability of the complexes in acidic medium. This way, during the EDTA precipitation (studied in section 3.1), instead of aiming for higher EDTA recovery, the goal was to obtain a good separation of Al and Ni.

After precipitation and recovery of EDTA, as a solid, the pH of the remaining solution was increased to 5.5 in order to study precipitation of Al. Figure 4 shows the variation of precipitation of Ni, Al and Mo taking into account the pH of the first stage (EDTA precipitation, section 3.1). When pH was reduced to values around 1.5 the complexation between EDTA and Al begins to decrease and EDTA starts to precipitate (Eq. 1 and Figure 2); a similar situation happens with Mo. Because Al^{3+} is in the free form, when the pH is increased to 5.5, it precipitates as hydroxide (Eq. 2)

In the case of Ni, for pH below 1.5, $NiH(EDTA)$ species is protonated into $NiH_2(EDTA)$ (Figure 3A) and only for pH below 0.8, this complex starts to dissociate, to form free Ni and free EDTA. So, when the pH of the first stage was below 0.5, more EDTA was recovered (Figure 2), implying that, besides Al and Mo complexes, Ni-EDTA complexes were also partially broken. This fact was corroborated with the results obtained after increasing the pH (Figure 4), where Ni precipitation was observed because it was already free of EDTA in some extent.

From the analysis of Figure 4, we concluded that the pH of the first stage should be between 1.2 and 0.7 to guarantee a maximum precipitation of Al and Mo without any significant loss of Ni.

Figure 5A shows the variation of Ni and Al precipitation with the pH of the second stage for three different pH values of the first stage. The pH of the second stage did not influence significantly the precipitation of Ni and Al.

Precipitation of Mo did not appear to be dependent of the pH of the first stage, as long as it was below 1.5 (Figure 4). Below this pH, Mo-EDTA complexes start to break, leaving EDTA free to precipitate in the first stage and Mo when the pH was increased in the second

stage. Mo precipitation does not go beyond 80% even when all Mo is predicted to be uncomplexed. This fact might be observed because at pH 5 Mo alone in solution is soluble, but since Al precipitates, Mo tends to co-precipitate, which was already observed (Pinto and Soares 2013b); so, the amount of Mo precipitated is not dependent on the quantity of free metal ion. However, it was verified that the amount of Mo recovered varied with the pH of the second stage. Maximum values of precipitated Mo were reached between pH 4.5 and 5.5. As it can be seen in Figure 5B, the tendency was very similar when different pH values of the first stage were compared. Analysis of variance (95% confidence level) corroborates that only the pH of the second stage has significant influence on the Mo precipitation, as long as the first stage occurred below pH 1.5.

These results showed that Al and Mo can be almost completely separated from Ni. After a stage at pH 0.9-1.0, $79 \pm 1\%$ of EDTA precipitated. Then, the pH was increased to 4 - 6, and $94 \pm 4\%$ of Al and $67 \pm 5\%$ of Mo precipitated with a loss of $3 \pm 1\%$ of Ni. The solid was mainly aluminum hydroxide, with a maximum of 4 wt.% of Mo and 1.5 wt.% of Ni. The solution obtained contained 1.13 g/L of Ni (1.92×10^{-2} M), 2.96×10^{-2} g/L of Al (1.10×10^{-3} M) and 3.82×10^{-2} g/L of Mo (3.96×10^{-4} M), as well as 0.020 M of EDTA. The mass ratios Ni/Al and Ni/Mo increased from 2.2 to 38 and from 10 to 30, respectively.

3.3 Recovery of Nickel

The solution that resulted after the previous stage (section 3.2) is mainly constituted by Ni and EDTA in a proportion of 1:1. At this ratio, Ni is complexed with EDTA in almost the whole pH range, which does not allow the recovery of Ni as a solid of nickel hydroxide by increasing the pH, due to the high stability of the Ni-EDTA complex.

In order to recover nickel, three different approaches, summarized in Figure 1, were studied.

3.3.1 Option 1

As it was reported in Section 3.1, Ni-EDTA complexes are dissociated at pH below 0.5, which allowed an almost complete precipitation of EDTA. To facilitate the separation of Al from Ni, discussed in Section 3.2, a higher pH was used in the precipitation of EDTA.

1 After this step, the remaining EDTA could be separated by precipitation at a lower pH
2 (<0.5) so that Ni would be free to precipitate.

3 With this in mind, after the second stage, where Al and Mo were separated, pH values
4 between 0 and 0.5 were tested. Because the concentration of EDTA was much smaller,
5 dechelation kinetics was slower. Experiments were left for 3 days before separating the
6 solid. After the addition of HNO₃, no alteration was visible in the solution, but after 1 day,
7 the formation of a solid was observed. Durations higher than 3 days did not affect the
8 EDTA recovery. The best results were obtained between pH 0.2 and 0.4, where $70 \pm 3\%$ of
9 EDTA was precipitated. The solid contain a maximum wt. % of Ni of 0.02. By adding this
10 result to the first EDTA precipitation at pH 0.9, the total recovery of EDTA was 86%. This
11 value is slightly lower than the one obtained when the pH of the first stage was between 0.2
12 and 0.4 (94%). This is due to the decrease of the total concentration of EDTA and
13 consequent modification of the equilibrium. After this stage, the remaining solution had
14 1.92×10^{-2} M of Ni and 5.9×10^{-3} M of EDTA.

15 Now, since most of the EDTA was precipitated and EDTA/Ni molar ratio was about 0.3,
16 nickel was mostly present as free ion and could be precipitated, as Ni(OH)₂, in alkaline
17 medium. After addition of concentrated NaOH, it was verified that Ni precipitation reached
18 72%, even at pH up to 12. This result shows, as expected, that only free nickel precipitated
19 while Ni-EDTA complexes remain unaltered in solution. The solid has a purity of 97%,
20 with Al and Mo as the main impurities.

22 **3.3.2 Option 2**

23 Similarly to option 1, free EDTA was precipitated by decreasing the pH to 0.4, and the
24 remaining EDTA stays complexed with Ni.

25 The replacement of Ni by another metal to allow a more complete precipitation and
26 recovery of Ni was considered. Iron was chosen as it forms strong complexes with EDTA
27 and is not toxic. In order to model the best pH conditions to replace Ni by Fe, computer
28 simulations were performed considering $[\text{Ni}] = 2 \times 10^{-2}$ M and $[\text{EDTA}] = [\text{Fe}] = 6 \times 10^{-3}$ M.
29 Figure 6 shows that, below pH 3, EDTA complexes preferentially with Fe leaving Ni free
30 (Eq 3).

Above this pH, equilibrium starts favoring the Ni complexes, leaving Fe free to precipitate as iron hydroxide. Due to the excess of Ni, 70% are still free between pH 4 and 7, above this pH this fraction precipitates, which was observed experimentally (section 3.3.1).

After Fe substitution, the precipitation of the free Ni cannot be achieved in the form of the hydroxide: the increase in the pH would lead to the dechelation and precipitation of Fe hydroxide that is more insoluble than the Ni one. Therefore, the hypothesis of recovering Ni, as a salt of nickel phosphate, which is more insoluble than the hydroxide, was studied. After the second precipitation step of EDTA (described in section 3.3.1), Fe was added to the obtained solution and was left for a day in order to reach equilibrium. The blue Ni-EDTA solution transformed into a yellow solution containing Fe-EDTA. Then, phosphate ion was added in the form of Na_2HPO_4 solution.

Effect of the iron quantity

Iron is needed to replace Ni in the EDTA complexes; however, its amount cannot be in much excess compared to EDTA because it will precipitate together with Ni. Thus, $[\text{Fe}]/[\text{EDTA}]$ ratios between 1 and 1.3 were assayed for a reaction time of 2 minutes at pH=7 (Figure 7A). It was concluded that the variation of the $[\text{Fe}]/[\text{EDTA}]$ ratio did not influence the recovery of Ni. This means that the replacement of Ni by Fe was complete and there is no need to add excess of Fe. Furthermore, Figure 7A shows that the amount of Fe incorporated in the solid increases with the $[\text{Fe}]/[\text{EDTA}]$ ratio; so, a $[\text{Fe}]/[\text{EDTA}]$ ratio closer to 1, which is the stoichiometric quantity needed (Eq. 3), is the best compromise between a high purity and a Ni recovery.

Effect of pH

It was verified that if the pH of Ni-EDTA solution was maintained (pH=0.4), no precipitation of nickel phosphate occurred after the addition of the phosphate even though this addition increased the pH. Solubility of nickel phosphate decreases with the increase of the pH, with a minimum for pH between 9 and 10. So, after the addition of phosphate the pH was increased by adding NaOH. This was done after the addition of phosphate and not

1 before: the pH of the Ni-Fe-EDTA solution cannot be increased to pH values higher than
2 2.5 otherwise EDTA starts to complex preferentially with Ni and Fe would immediately
3 precipitate (Figure 6), which was experimentally observed.

4 An increase in the Ni recovery with the pH was observed until pH 9 (Figure 7B). Between
5 pH 9 and 10, no meaningful changes have been detected. This behavior was consistent with
6 the computer simulations where the minimum solubility of nickel phosphate is predicted
7 between pH 9 and 10 (data not shown). Between pH 7.5 and 9.0, the precipitation of Ni
8 increased almost 10%. The best Ni recovery results, $93 \pm 1 \%$, were obtained at pH 9 after a
9 reaction time of 2 minutes $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]/[\text{Ni}^{2+}]=1$ and $[\text{Fe}]/[\text{EDTA}]=1$. In addition, the
10 percentage of Fe in the solid increased continuously with the pH. This fact is well
11 understood since higher pH favors the precipitation of $\text{Fe}(\text{OH})_3$ even in the presence of
12 EDTA.

14 *Effect of the phosphate quantity*

15 In order to evaluate the suitable amount of phosphate needed to maximize the recovery of
16 Ni, the molar ratio between phosphate and Ni was varied between 0 and 2.5. After the
17 addition of the correspondent amount of phosphate, the pH was increased up to 9 with
18 NaOH. The reaction was left to occur for 2 minutes and then the solid was separated by
19 filtration. Figure 7C shows the results obtained for the different $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]/[\text{Ni}^{2+}]$ ratios, both
20 in terms of Ni recovery and percentage of Fe in the solid. The goal is to recover Ni with the
21 higher purity possible and not lose Fe to the solid. Without the addition of phosphate, both
22 the recovery of Ni was lower and the percentage of Fe in the solid was higher due to the
23 lower insolubility of nickel hydroxide. Between $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]/[\text{Ni}^{2+}] = 0.7$ and 2.5 the differences
24 in Ni precipitation were not significant. The quantities of Ni and P determined in the solid
25 showed that the molar ratio between these two elements was always close to 1.5, indicating
26 that the precipitated solid has a stoichiometry of $\text{Ni}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2$. In this case the minimum
27 quantity of phosphate needed would be $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]/[\text{Ni}^{2+}] = 0.667$, which explains the
28 constancy of the results for ratios higher than 0.7. The percentages of Fe in the solid were
29 also very similar between the experiments where $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]/[\text{Ni}^{2+}]$ was between 0.7 and 2.5,
30 although the tendency was decreasing with the increasing ratio.

Effect of reaction time

According to computer simulations, Fe would precipitate as $\text{Fe}(\text{PO})_4$ to reach equilibrium, because Ni-EDTA complexes are stronger than Fe-EDTA complexes at this pH 9 (Figure 6). Due to this reason, short reaction times (between 30s and 20 minutes) were tested. The increase of Ni recovery was only significant between 30 s and 2 min; above this time, there were no statistical differences between results. The variance in the percentage of Fe present in the solid was also not significant between 2 and 20 min. From these results, a reaction time between 2 and 20 minutes can be used without compromising Ni recovery or purity.

3.3.3 Option 3

Based on the previous results, we decided to apply the Fe substitution as the third step of the process and remove the second EDTA precipitation step. The solution obtained after the second stage (separation of Al and Mo) has an equimolar concentration of Ni and EDTA (approximately 2×10^{-2} M) and a pH between 5 and 6. Since the amount of Fe to be added should be equal to EDTA concentration (Eq. 3), this implies that the quantity of Fe must be increased, when compared to the previous situation, and the pH must be lowered (< 2.5) to avoid iron precipitation. This option was studied in a similar way of what was already described in Section 3.3.2. The quantity of Fe was added to achieve a $[\text{Fe}]/[\text{EDTA}]$ ratio of 1. Higher quantities ($[\text{Fe}]/[\text{EDTA}] = 1.15$) were also tested but the percentage of Fe in the solid increased from 1 to 4%, as it happened previously (Figure 7A). Similarly, reactions longer than 2 minutes and $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]/[\text{Ni}^{2+}] \geq 0.7$ did not make significant differences in the Ni precipitation. The influence of pH was also studied, with the addition of NaOH after the addition of phosphates (Figure 8). In this case, the increase of pH also enhanced Ni precipitation, with a maximum Ni recovery of 82 ± 2 % at pH 8.5. However, the amount of Fe, present in the precipitate, also increased more significantly with the pH than what was previously observed for the less concentrated EDTA solution (Figure 7), due to the higher quantity of Fe added. To minimize the contamination of Fe ($< 2\%$), pH values lower than 8 should be used.

3.4 Proposal of a process to recover Ni from HDS spent catalysts

Figure 1 summarizes the studies performed to recover Ni salts from spent catalysts. Leaching spent catalysts with an alkaline aqueous solution of EDTA, as the second leaching step of a process to recover metals from spent HDS catalysts produces a solution of EDTA, Ni, Al and Mo (Pinto and Soares, 2013a). By lowering the pH to values close to 1, it is possible to recover the EDTA in excess relatively to Ni; this procedure leaves Al and Mo uncomplexed and able to precipitate at pH higher than 5. From this point, three options were studied to recover Ni.

In option 1, EDTA was precipitated again, using a more acidic pH than the first EDTA precipitation step, in order to dissociate Ni-EDTA complexes. Then, free Ni can be precipitated, as nickel hydroxide, by increasing the pH.

Because extremely acidic conditions were not able to dissociate all Ni-EDTA complexes, the recovery of Ni was not complete. Therefore, two additional options (2 and 3) were developed for raising the yield of Ni recovery.

In option 2, we started by performing the second EDTA precipitation step. Then, in order to increase the free Ni amount, and thus the subsequent yield of nickel recovery, Fe was added for replacing Ni in the EDTA complexes and precipitation of Ni was achieved in the phosphate form. Similarly, option 3 was based on Fe replacement but directly after the Al and Mo precipitation step.

Finally, iron was separated and recovered as iron hydroxide from the solutions coming from options 2 and 3, by increasing the pH up to 12. To decrease costs, this iron hydroxide could be re-solubilized and added to the Ni-EDTA solution as the iron source.

A comparative analysis of the three options developed in this work for recovering Ni, shows that option 2 allows the higher Ni recovery. However, this option also includes a higher number of stages. Alternatively, option 3 has the advantage of using less amount of acid to lower the pH and does not include the slower stage that is EDTA second precipitation. Nevertheless, the quantity of Fe added was higher, which also decreased the purity of the recovered solid.

The processes proposed are nearly-closed with almost no generation of residues. The final solution obtained in option 2 contains around 6×10^{-3} mol/L EDTA and 3×10^{-3} mol/L of phosphate, less than 1.4×10^{-3} mol/L of Ni and 1.8×10^{-5} mol/L of Fe. In the case of option 3, EDTA, phosphate and Ni concentrations are about two to three times higher. The

1 effluent coming from option 1 can be reused again in the leaching stage of the spent
2 catalyst, after correcting the EDTA concentration with the recovered and some fresh
3 EDTA, with a purge to avoid the accumulation of contaminants, or appropriately treated
4 and disposed. In option 1, the final solution contains Ni and EDTA at a proportion of 1:1
5 and concentration of about 6×10^{-3} mol/L.

6 The final solid of nickel phosphate can be used in electroplating processes as a source of
7 both Ni and P (Matsushima, 1978). Nickel phosphate is also useful in cathode electrodes
8 (Lee et al., 2011), catalysts (Samadi-Maybodi, 2011) and as an inorganic pigment (Onoda
9 et al., 2007). Nickel hydroxide is usually applied in electrochemical cells and batteries or
10 used as an intermediate to produce catalysts and nickel powder.

11 All the proposed processes were based on precipitation operations that occur at room
12 temperature; this is an important advantage when thinking in the scale-up of the process
13 because it is based on simple and economic viable unit operations. On the other hand, the
14 main difficulty might be the control of the optimal amount of Fe addition to maximize Ni
15 recovery and avoid excessive contamination of the solid, which requires control of the
16 EDTA concentration in solution.

17 Since the metal products are recovered from an industrial residue, most of the costs are due
18 to acid, base, iron and phosphate consumption. Table 2 shows a comparison of the reagent
19 costs for the three options. Hydrochloric acid was chosen to decrease the pH instead of
20 nitric due to its lower cost. This is only an estimation based on the average prices at
21 industrial scale and should not be considered as an extensive economical evaluation. Also,
22 these calculations do not include the possible reutilization of iron. Option 2 is the most
23 expensive due to the larger consumption of reagents but it is also the one with greater
24 recovery of Ni and with fewer impurities when compared to option 3. For that reason, the
25 final product is more valuable; however, it is difficult to predict the actual price of each
26 product, which unables the overall evaluation of the return associated with each option
27 proposed.

29 **Acknowledgements**

30 This work has been supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT), from the
31 Portuguese Government, through grant no. PEst-C/EQB/LA0006/2011. I.Pinto
32 acknowledges a grant scholarship (SFRH/BD/70450/2010) financed by FCT.

References

- Di Palma, L., Ferrantelli, P., Merli, C., Biancifiori, F., 2003. Recovery of EDTA and metal precipitation from soil flushing solutions. *J Hazard Mater* 103, 153-168.
- Dufresne, P., 2007. Hydroprocessing catalysts regeneration and recycling. *Appl Catal a-Gen* 322, 67-75.
- Ghosh, A., Ray, H.S., 1991. Principles of extractive metallurgy, 2nd ed. Wiley, New York.
- Goel, S., Pant, K.K., Nigam, K., 2009. Extraction of nickel from spent catalyst using fresh and recovered EDTA. *J Hazard Mater* 171, 253-261.
- Idris, J., Musa, M., Yin, C.Y., Hamid, K.H.K., 2010. Recovery of nickel from spent catalyst from palm oil hydrogenation process using acidic solutions. *J Ind Eng Chem* 16, 251-255.
- Juang, R.S., Wang, S.W., 2000. Metal recovery and EDTA recycling from simulated washing effluents of metal-contaminated soils. *Water Res* 34, 3795-3803.
- Kim, H.I., Park, K.H., Mishra, D., 2009. Sulfuric acid baking and leaching of spent Co-Mo/Al₂O₃ catalyst. *J Hazard Mater* 166, 1540-1544.
- Kim, K., Cho, J.W., 1997. Selective recovery of metals from spent desulfurization catalyst. *Korean J Chem Eng* 14, 162-167.
- Lee, D.J., Scrosati, B., Sun, Y.K., 2011. Ni₃(PO₄)₂-coated Li[Ni_{0.8}Co_{0.15}Al_{0.05}]O₂ lithium battery electrode with improved cycling performance at 55 degrees C. *J Power Sources* 196, 7742-7746.
- Lee, J.Y., Rao, S.V., Kumar, B.N., Kang, D.J., Reddy, B.R., 2010. Nickel recovery from spent Raneynickel catalyst through dilute sulfuric acid leaching and soda ash precipitation. *J Hazard Mater* 176, 1122-1125.
- Lim, T.T., Chui, P.C., Goh, K.H., 2005. Process evaluation for optimization of EDTA use and recovery for heavy metal removal from a contaminated soil. *Chemosphere* 58, 1031-1040.
- Lo, I.M.C., Zhang, W.H., 2005. Study on optimal conditions for recovery of EDTA from soil washing effluents. *J Environ Eng-Asce* 131, 1507-1513.
- Martell, A.E., Smith, R.M., 2004. NIST Standard Reference Database 46 Version 8.0. NIST Critically Selected Stability Constants of Metal Complexes Database. US Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology.
- Matsushima, Y., 1978. Formation of nickel phosphate coating on iron or steel. Canadian Patent 1059881
- Onoda, H., Matsui, H., Tanaka, I., 2007. Improvement of acid and base resistance of nickel phosphate pigment by the addition of lanthanum cation. *Mat Sci Eng B-Solid* 141, 28-33.

Orive, M.M., Olazabal, M.A., Fernandez, L.A., Madariaga, J.M., 1992. The Recovery of Cobalt and Nickel from Acidic Sulfate-Solutions in the Presence of Aluminum. *Solvent Extr Ion Exc* 10, 787-797.

Oztekin, Y., Yazicigil, Z., 2006. Recovery of metals from complexed solutions by electrodeposition. *Desalination* 190, 79-88.

Park, K.H., Kim, H.I., Parhi, P.K., Mishra, D., Nam, C.W., Park, J.T., Kim, D.J., 2012. Extraction of metals from Mo-Ni/Al₂O₃ spent catalyst using H₂SO₄ baking-leaching-solvent extraction technique. *J Ind Eng Chem* 18, 2036-2045.

Park, K.H., Mohapatra, D., Nam, C.W., 2007. Two stage leaching of activated spent HDS catalyst and solvent extraction of aluminium using organo-phosphinic extractant, Cyanex 272. *J Hazard Mater* 148, 287-295.

Pinto, I.S.S., Soares, H.M.V.M., 2012. Selective leaching of molybdenum from spent hydrodesulphurisation catalysts using ultrasound and microwave methods. *Hydrometallurgy* 129-130, 19-25.

Pinto, I.S.S., Soares, H.M.V.M., 2013a. Microwave-assisted selective leaching of nickel from spent hydrodesulphurization catalyst: A comparative study between sulphuric and organic acids. *Hydrometallurgy* 140, 20-27.

Pinto, I.S.S., Soares, H.M.V.M., 2013b. Recovery of molybdates from an alkaline leachate of spent hydrodesulphurisation catalyst - proposal of a nearly-closed process. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 52, 481-487.

Rao, S.V., Yang, D.H., Sohn, J.S., Kim, S.K., 2012. Purification of Sulphate Leach Liquor of Spent Raneynickel Catalyst Containing Al and Ni by Solvent Extraction with Organophosphorus-Based Extractants. *Sci World J*. Article ID 286494

Rapaport, D., 2000. Are spent hydrocracking catalysts listed hazardous wastes? *Hydrocarb Process* 79, 49-53.

Samadi-Maybodi, A., 2011. Synthesis and Characterization of Nickel Phosphate Nanoparticles and VSB-5 with Quaternary Ammonium Base. *International nano letters* 1, 7.

Schecher, W.D., McAvoy, D.C., 2003. MINEQL+ A Chemical Equilibrium Modeling System, Version 4.5 for Windows. User's Manual Hallowell, Maine.

US EPA - United States Environmental Protection Agency, 1978. Phosphorus, All Forms: Test Method 365.3.

Valverde, I.M., Paulino, J.F., Afonso, J.C., 2008. Hydrometallurgical route to recover molybdenum, nickel, cobalt and aluminum from spent hydrotreating catalysts in sulphuric acid medium. *J Hazard Mater* 160, 310-317.

- 1 Zeng, L., Cheng, C.Y., 2010. Recovery of molybdenum and vanadium from synthetic
- 2 sulphuric acid leach solutions of spent hydrodesulphurisation catalysts using solvent extraction.
- 3 Hydrometallurgy 101, 141-147.
- 4 Zhang, P.W., Inoue, K., Tsuyama, H., 1995. Recovery of Metal Values from Spent
- 5 Hydrodesulfurization Catalysts by Liquid-Liquid-Extraction. Energ Fuel 9, 231-239.

Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Flow sheet of the processes developed for recovering Ni from an EDTA solution originated from leaching a spent HDS Ni-Mo catalyst.

Fig. 2. Variation of EDTA precipitation with the pH from an initial solution containing 2.0×10^{-2} , 1.9×10^{-2} , 1.2×10^{-3} and 0.1 M of Ni, Al, Mo and EDTA, respectively. Each point represents a single experiment.

Fig. 3. Species distribution diagram of Ni (**A**) and Al (**B**), based on computer simulations, in an aqueous solution containing 0.1, 2×10^{-2} and 2×10^{-2} M of EDTA, Ni and Al, respectively.

Fig. 4. Variation of Ni, Al and Mo precipitation at pH 5-6 after precipitation of EDTA at different pH (in x axis): comparison between predicted and experimental values. Each point represents a single experiment.

Fig. 5. Precipitation of Ni and Al (**A**) and Mo (**B**), at different pH values (in x axis) after precipitation of EDTA in acidic conditions (pH in figure legend). Each point represents a single experiment.

Fig. 6. Species distribution diagram of Ni (**A**) and Fe (**B**), based on computer simulations, in an aqueous solution containing $[\text{Ni}] = 2 \times 10^{-2}$ M and $[\text{Fe}] = [\text{EDTA}] = 6 \times 10^{-3}$ M.

Fig. 7. Ni recovery, and respective percentage of Fe in the solid, obtained by substitution with Fe and precipitation with phosphate from an initial solution of $[\text{Ni}] = 1.9 \times 10^{-2}$ M and $[\text{EDTA}] = 5.9 \times 10^{-3}$ M. **A** Variation of $[\text{Fe}^{3+}]/[\text{EDTA}]$ molar ratio ($[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]/[\text{Ni}^{2+}] = 1$, $t = 2$ min, $\text{pH} = 7$, $n = 3$); **B** Variation of pH ($[\text{Fe}]/[\text{EDTA}] = 1$, $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]/[\text{Ni}^{2+}] = 1$, $t = 2$ min, each point represents a single experiment); **C** Variation of $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]/[\text{Ni}^{2+}]$ molar ratio ($[\text{Fe}]/[\text{EDTA}] = 1$, $t = 2$ min, $\text{pH} = 9$, $n = 3$).

Fig. 8. Ni recovery (and respective percentage of Fe in the solid) obtained by substitution with Fe and precipitation with phosphate from an initial solution of $[\text{Ni}] = [\text{EDTA}] = 2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}$ ($[\text{Fe}]/[\text{EDTA}] = 1$, $[\text{PO}_4^{3-}]/[\text{Ni}^{2+}] = 1$, $t = 2 \text{ min}$, $n=3$).

Fig. 1

Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Fig.2

Fig.3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8

Table 1. Metal concentrations of the initial solution, obtained by leaching a spent HDS catalyst with 0.1M EDTA.

Metal	Ni	Al	Mo
Conc., mol/L	1.98×10^{-2}	1.97×10^{-2}	1.20×10^{-3}

Table 2. Comparison between the three proposed options, in terms of reagents consumptions and costs, to treat 1 m³ of solution.

Reagent	Price ^a , USD/kg	Consumption, kg			Cost, USD		
		Option 1	Option 2	Option3	Option 1	Option 2	Option 3
HCl, 33%	0.15	55.0	55.0	12.2	8.25	8.25	1.82
NaOH	0.40	20.0	19.4	19.4	8.00	7.77	7.77
FeCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O	0.35	-	1.6	5.4		0.57	1.89
Na ₂ HPO ₄ ·12H ₂ O	1.1	-	5.0	5.0		5.52	5.52
Total					16.25	22.11	17.00

^a based on several industrial suppliers and ICIS Indicative Chemical Prices