Tall trails: Ants resolve an asymmetry of information and capacity in collective maintenance of infrastructure

Introduction

Information asymmetry, an imbalance of information between two parties, is so common in human and natural systems that it is rarely thought about as a phenomenon in itself. Scouting, research, and, most especially, communication, are all means to redress information asymmetry. In a competitive system, such as the human economy, information asymmetry often produces an advantage that one party can exploit to the disadvantage of another (Aboody & Lev, 2000). However, asymmetry of information may disadvantage both parties (Balakrishnan & Koza, 1993; Doherty, 1999), which leads to attempts to resolve the asymmetry through the creation of institutions and traditions, such as regulation, contracts, third-party facilitators, or the use of reputation (Balakrishnan & Koza, 1993; Healy & G.P., 2001; Hobbs, 2004; Scott-Phillips, 2008). Similar dynamics of information asymmetry within a competitive system can also lead animals to resolve information asymmetry to the benefit of both parties, such as through stotting in Thomson's gazelles (FitzGibbon & Fanshawe, 1988) or calling in frogs (Wagner, 1992). A more unusual dynamic in biology is information asymmetry within a cooperative framework. This can occur in social insects when an individual possesses information that the colony requires to make a correct decision, such as when an ant colony is looking for a new home (Franks, Mallon, Bray, Hamilton, & Mischler, 2003), or bees are foraging for food (Biesmeijer & Seeley, 2005). In leaf-cutting ants, which construct a transport infrastructure of cleared trails, information asymmetry can arise between workers that perceive the need for creation and maintenance of the trails and those capable of effecting the work. Here we examine this asymmetry and its resolution.

Trails play a primary role in an ant colony's activity and communication. The cleared foraging trail networks of leaf-cutting ants (*Acromyrmex* spp. and *Atta* spp.: Attini, Formicidae) are particularly sophisticated and multi-functional: they facilitate the transfer of leaf resources (Bruce & Burd, 2012; Burd & Howard, 2005; Hölldobler & Wilson, 1990; Moreira, Forti, Andrade, Boaretto, & Lopes, 2004), recruits and defenders (Jaffe & Howse, 1979; Powell & Clark, 2004), and information (Bollazzi & Roces, 2011; Farji-Brener et al., 2010) through a colony's territory to its nest. Individual trails can reach 300 meters in length and trail systems several thousand meters, requiring a substantial investment of worker time to clear debris (Howard, 2001). Smooth transport on trails is important because even seemingly minor obstructions may cause congestion that could impose disproportionately large costs on the colony.

Leaf-cutting ant colonies do not invest indiscriminately in trail clearance (Shepherd, 1982). Too much investment in the maintenance workforce could potentially make trail clearance energetically unprofitable (Bochynek, Meyer, & Burd, 2017). For example, colonies of *Atta cephalotes* and *Atta colombica* modulate the geometry of their trail networks to balance the travel time experienced by returning laden foragers against the costs of trail maintenance (Farji-Brener et al., 2015). A geometry that allows reduced travel time prevails in exposed habitats where desiccation is a danger, while a geometry that minimizes the effort needed for trail clearance is a higher priority under a forest canopy where desiccation is unlikely but leaf litter is copious.

The traffic of leaf-cutting ant trails comprises laden ants returning to the nest with a leaf-fragment, and unladen ants that may be either inbound or outbound. Information on whether a trail is sufficiently cleared to allow an adequate flow will reside largely with the laden ants themselves, as their own progress is the measure of trail condition. However, since laden ants are occupied with their burden, they do not have the capacity to clear obstructions.

Instead, unladen ants clear foraging trails (Howard, 2001). How, then, do leaf-cutting ants make investment decisions regarding their infrastructure? The challenge is that one component of the traffic stream must adequately prepare the trail for the benefit of another group. If laden and unladen ants are impeded by the same obstacles, it may be enough for unladen ants to clear any obstacle that they encounter. In some cases, however, particular trail conditions that affect laden ants will have no consequence for the locomotion of their unladen nestmates. For those situations, a more sophisticated organisation of investment in trail maintenance would be necessary to facilitate optimal trail flow.

The vertical clearance of a trail is one such special case that will affect laden and unladen leaf cutting ants differently. Leaf-cutting ants transport leaf-fragments above their heads (Fig. 1); therefore overhead obstacles such as grass, leaves, and small sticks can delay the movement of laden workers (T. Lewis, Pollard, & Dibley, 1974). Thus, the removal of trail debris to a sufficient height is a particularly important feature of trail infrastructure investment. But overhead obstacles that do not obstruct the trail surface may allow an unladen worker to pass without impediment, even as a laden nest-mate is hindered. In most cases of trail construction, as in other self-organized activities (Camazine et al., 2001), ants respond to cues concerning the need for a given task that they directly experience. Laden ants rarely put down their loads to attack obstructions except in unusual circumstances when traffic is nearly completely blocked (AIB, pers. obs.). How, then, is information about the need for clearing aligned with the capacity to clear in this case? The response of unladen ants to overhead obstructions can reveal whether they are simply clearing obstacles that block their *own* progress, with coincidental benefit to laden foragers, or whether they manage to resolve the asymmetry between information and capacity.

At present, the mechanisms that regulate trail clearance and the adaptive principles they serve are not fully understood, as there have been few investigations into the regulation

of trail height. Dussutour, Deneubourg, Beshers, and Fourcassié (2009) examined how a laboratory colony of *Atta colombica* responded to an obstruction 1 cm above the trail surface that delayed the progress of leaf-laden ants. They found that workers would cut smaller leaf fragments that more readily fit under the obstruction and compensated for the smaller loads by increasing the number of ants engaged in retrieving leaf fragments. This work did not explore an alternative strategy that is normally available to leaf-cutting ants: in a natural situation ants can remove obstructions rather than reduce the size of leaf-fragments (Fig. 1).

Here we test several hypothetical mechanisms that would allow unladen ants to clear a trail or tunnel to a height appropriate for laden ants. First, it may be that anything on or above the trail that is in the path of an unladen ant will serve as stimulus for attack and removal, whether or not it is delaying laden ants. Alternatively, ants may be responding to visual cues that the trail height is too low and use their individual judgement to assess the situation and clear the trail to an appropriate height. Finally, it is also possible that delays to their laden colony mates stimulate the clearing ants to seek out, attack, and remove obstruction. We erected artificial overhead obstructions on natural foraging trails of leaf-cutting ants to test these possibilities.

Methods

The experiment was performed on Barro Colorado Island and mainland Panama between the 10th of March and the 3rd of April, 2014, between the hours of 8:45 am and 6:30 pm with colonies of *Atta colombica*. Due to local field conditions at the time, especially a decline in the density of *A. colombica* colonies on BCI, collecting a broad sample population was not possible. One large colony with multiple trails was the subject of most replicates ($n = 23$). Additionally, a colony from the mainland was sampled once and a second colony was

sampled twice. We initially analysed the colonies separately (see appendix), but upon finding that the pattern of responses was concordant among colonies, we then pooled the data from all the colonies for the main analysis presented here. Individual trails were sampled multiple times but never more than once in a day and never from exactly the same location. We collected data in a manner designed to maximize the independence of trials within the prevailing constraints. Firstly, as the experiment investigates a transient response that is highly localised to a time and place on a particular trail, replicates at different times and trails are unlikely to influence each other. Indeed, for trail maintenance to be adaptive, it would need to be responsive at small spatial and temporal scales, increasing the likelihood of independence. Additionally, the colony from which most data was gathered was very large with very long trails and it is therefore likely that different individual ants experienced the experiment from trial to trial. Finally, *Atta* queens are multiply mated and so there is likely to be genetic variability within the group of sampled workers, reducing non-independence due to colony-level effects. Nonetheless, we recognize that replication on additional colonies would help to further explore the issues raised in this study.

The intention of the experiment was to impede laden ants while allowing unladen ants free passage. We created an overhead hindrance of a 1 mm diameter metal wire stretched across the trail. In different treatments the wire either did or did not hinder laden ants depending on the height at which it was placed, but it never hindered unladen ants, even when they were quite large (Fig 2). Poles of 1 mm diameter wire were placed 3 cm apart across the width of the trail to provide ants access to the overhead wire. Ants attacked both the overhead wire and the poles, but were unable to cut or clear it. Thus, it provided a constant stimulus for the duration of the trial. Once the experimental wire was in place for each treatment, a five minute delay allowed any disturbance of the ant traffic to pass before data recording. Video recordings were taken for half an hour for each replicate and the

number of clearing attacks by ants on the apparatus were counted. We defined clearing attacks as occurring when ants opened their mandibles and made contact with any piece of wire or lunged at it. Only one attack was counted per ant, and the overall rate of attack in half an hour provided the response variable of the experiment. We also measured the total traffic flow (number of ants passing a point on the trail per unit time) and trail width, which were entered as covariates in the statistical analyses (see below). Four treatment levels were applied to each trail:

1. The No Obstruction treatment functioned as the control (Fig. 2a). The wire was high enough (2 cm) that laden ants could pass underneath without hindrance.

2. The Obstruction treatment was intended to hinder the passage of laden ants without stopping them entirely, while being sufficiently high that non-laden ants would not directly encounter it (Fig. 2b). The wire was 0.9-1.2 mm above the ground, conforming with the contours of the trail floor as much as possible. The Obstruction treatment achieved the desired effect: laden ants in the Obstruction treatment transited the apparatus on average 30.72% slower (see results) than in the No Obstruction treatment, while unladen ants were not significantly affected. Despite the delay to them, laden ants did not participate in trail clearance, nor did caches of dropped leaf fragments form at the site.

3. The Visual Cue treatment was intended to provide a strong visual stimulus to the ants while not significantly hindering the progress of laden ants. This was achieved by hanging thin white plastic strips (Fig. 2c) from the horizontal wire, so that they fell to the same height as the Laden Ant Obstruction. However, in contrast to the solid wire of the Laden Ant Obstruction, laden ants could easily brush through the hanging strips. There was no significant effect on speed compared with the No Obstruction treatment (see Results).

4. In order to test whether the presence of laden ants was necessary for the clearance of trail height or if an indirect effect such as experience could be responsible, the Laden Ant Removal treatment attempted to remove all the returning laden ants from the trail. The wire obstruction was set at 0.9–1.2mm cm above the trail, i.e., at the same height as in the Laden Ant Obstruction treatment (Fig. 2d). Two wire mesh barriers upstream of the experimental apparatus temporarily obstructed both laden and unladen ants, but had a much greater effect on laden ants, allowing any laden escapees to be removed by hand. It was common for laden ants to drop leaf-fragments while being obstructed and often these ants would continue inbound along the trail, leaving their leaf-fragment behind. While the vast majority of the laden ants were prevented from traversing the experiment, a small number did slip though. These were mostly carrying very small pieces of plant material.

The treatments were applied in random order, except for Laden Ant Removal, which was always applied last because this treatment involved significant trail disruption and, had it been run before the other replicates, could have affected traffic movement for subsequent replicates. Furthermore, this ordering would reveal an 'experience effect'. In this scenario leaf-laden might ants pass through an obstruction, drop their load at the fungus garden or before, and return along the cleared trail and encounter the obstruction that they previously passed through. The previous encounter with the obstruction as a laden ant might then trigger the ant, now unladen, to clear the obstacle. If there were an 'experience effect' that elevated attack rate when laden ants passed through the experiment and returned, we would expect to see its strongest presence in the treatment that was always applied last, Laden Ant Removal. The other treatments had only mild and immediate effects on the traffic flow.

In addition to the number of attacks on the wire barrier, we also recorded the speed at which ants transited from one side of the apparatus to the other across a fixed distance, usually 10 cm, but on one occasion it was 6 cm, another 7 cm, and another 9 cm. For each

treatment, transit time was recorded for two randomly selected ants from each of the following classes of ant: outbound and unladen, inbound and unladen, and inbound and laden. Laden was not recorded for the Laden Ant Removed treatment as there were few to no laden ants on the trail. Flow was obtained by randomly choosing one random minute per treatment and counting all ants that passed an imaginary center line.

Statistical Analysis

An ANOVA followed by a post-hoc Tukey's test was used to compare the mean speed during transit of laden and unladen ants among the four treatment levels (with the exception that there were no laden ants in the Laden Ant Removal treatment). The purpose of this test was to verify the efficacy of the experimental obstruction.

We used an ANCOVA to compare the effect of the four treatment levels on the rate of clearing attacks on the obstruction, with traffic Flow and Trail Width as covariates. Homogeneity of slopes among all four treatments was checked and found to be consistent with the assumptions of ANCOVA. Flow was a relevant covariate because more ants passing the experimental apparatus may produce a larger number of clearance attacks. Trail Width is a surrogate that captures characteristics of usage not captured by flow, such as whether the trail was a main or peripheral trail.

A Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test for ANCOVA was calculated for post-hoc analysis of treatment differences in the clearance attack rate (Huitema, 2001). Statistical analyses were performed with R (R Development Core Team, 2012) and Systat 10.

Ethical Note

This work was performed with permission of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute and the Ministry of the Environment of the Panamanian government. The field manipulations were analogous to leaf-falls or branch-falls that leaf-cutting ant colonies regularly experience and individual ants were not harmed. Trail foraging returned to normal rapidly post-experiment.

Results

The mean speed of laden ants during transit of the experimental apparatus was significantly slower for the Obstruction treatment (2.03 cm/s, SD = 0.97, $n = 50$) than for the No Obstruction (2.93 cm/s, SD = 1.05, $n = 52$) or Visual Cue treatments (2.87 cm/s, SD = 0.89, $n = 52$), while the transit speeds in the latter two treatments did not differ significantly from each other (ANOVA, $F_{2,151} = 6.734$, $P < 0.001$, with Tukey's post-hoc tests to confirm pair-wise differences at an overall significance level of 0.05). None of the experimental placements interfered with the locomotion of inbound and outbound unladen ants, which maintained statistically indistinguishable mean speeds among treatments (ANOVA, $F_{3,406} = 1.404$, $P = 0.241$): Obstruction (3.18 cm/s, SD = 1.37, $n = 100$), No Obstruction (3.39 cm/s, SD = 1.38, $n = 112$), Visual Cue (3.21 cm/s, SD = 1.20, $n = 102$), Laden Ant Removal (3.52 cm/s, SD = 1.29, $n = 96$).

The rate of clearing attacks on barriers for all colonies was linearly related to traffic flow ($F_{1,97} = 7.24$, $P = 0.0084$) and trail width ($F_{1,97} = 60.36$, $P < 0.001$). Statistically controlling for these effects, we found that the attack rate on experimental barriers was significantly different among treatments (ANCOVA, $F_{3,97} = 9.53$, $P < 0.001$). There was a significantly higher rate of clearing attacks in the Obstruction treatment than in any other treatment (Tukey test, $P < 0.05$), but no significant difference among the remaining

treatments (Fig. 3). The overall ANCOVA model had an adjusted R^2 of 0.51. The significantly higher rate of attack in the Obstruction treatment remained true in the analysis of the BCI colony alone, and in addition the attack rate was significantly lower in the Laden Ant Removal treatment than in the No Obstruction treatment: see online supplement 1.

Discussion

The results demonstrate that unladen ants attempt to remove an overhead obstruction at a significantly higher rate when their laden colony mates were slowed by the obstruction (Fig. 3). Unladen ants were not simply responding at a fixed rate to any potential barrier on or above the trail regardless of whether laden ants were affected, as shown by the significantly higher rate of clearing attacks for the Obstruction treatment compared to the No Obstruction treatment (Fig. 3). Secondly, ants are not stimulated to clear overhead obstacles purely by the visual cues of an obstruction at a low height. If they were, then the Visual Cue apparatus would have been attacked at the same rate as in the Laden Ant Obstruction treatment, but it was not (Fig. 3). Finally, unladen ants attempted to clear overhead obstructions because of the immediate effect of obstructions on their laden nestmates and likely not because of previously experiencing the apparatus as laden ants or any other indirect effect. This is demonstrated by the significantly lower rate of clearing attacks in the Laden Ant Removal treatment than the Obstruction treatment, despite the presence of identical barriers at identical heights (Fig. 3). It would be economically efficient, of course, for unladen workers to refrain from height clearance if there were no laden ants using a trail.

Ant trails function as conduits for communication that facilitate foraging. Information is normally thought to flow downstream or upstream along foraging trails (Farji-Brener et al., 2010; Robson & Traniello, 1995; Wilson, 1962), but trail obstructions are local problems that require a localized signal and response for their efficient resolution. We have shown that

information about overhead obstruction is acquired locally by unladen *Atta colombica* workers, even though they do not experience it as an obstruction. Their response depends on a functional impairment experienced by their nestmates. A graded response that matched the degree of impairment would allow a colony to manage efficiently its investment in transport infrastructure, matching investment to colony-level goals rather than to individual experience. This modulation of function is part of how leaf-cutting ant trails reach the level of sophistication that they do.

What could be the stimulus that triggers the clearing of trail height in leaf-cutting ants? When laden ants are delayed by some obstacle, the momentary congestion may also slow the transit speed of unladen ants. The reduction in their own speed could then stimulate some unladen ants to switch from traversing the trail to clearing any immediate obstacles and possibly to search for obstacles further afield. However, we found no significant difference in mean speed during transit for unladen ants amongst any of the treatments. Therefore, this explanation does not appear likely.

Alternatively, unladen ants could be sensitive to encounter rates, which have been shown to be important in the regulation of other ant colony functions in previous work (Czaczkes, Franz, Witte, & Heinze, 2015; Czaczkes, Grüter, & Ratnieks, 2013; Deneubourg, Lioni, & Detrain, 2002; Depickère, Fresneau, & Deneubourg, 2004, 2008; Gordon, Paul, & Thorpe, 1993; Jeanson, Deneubourg, Grimal, & Theraulaz, 2004). It is possible that unladen workers increase their clearing attacks when they experience a high encounter rate with either laden or unladen nestmates at the traffic jam. Under this scenario, the hindering of laden ants causes their speed to reduce and their density to increase, thus increasing the rate at which unladen workers encounter them at the site of disturbance (Dussutour et al., 2009). Furthermore, if an obstacle reduces the returning traffic flow between itself and the nest, it would increase the contrast between encounter rates that outbound ants experience when they

come upon the obstacle. Under this mechanism, investment in the removal of obstructions could be made proportional to the degree of delay imposed on laden foragers.

Finally, there may be some form of direct communication from laden ants to unladen ants indicating their obstruction. For example, the laden ants may be releasing a pheromone when they are obstructed that alerts unladen ants to a problem and triggers an increase in their clearing rate. Direct communication through antennation or other tactile contact may also transfer such information. Further studies will be required to test these hypotheses.

Dussutour et al. (2009) showed that lab colonies of leaf-cutting ants would adapt to an overhead obstruction by reducing leaf-fragment size and increasing the number of workers on a foraging trail. This response would allow a colony to maintain its rate of leaf tissue harvesting despite the overhead trail obstruction. However, one might expect that investment in cleared trail height would be more profitable than adjusting fragment size to the constraints of the trail; a small number of ants can clear a trail for a very large number of nestmates, whereas reduction in fragment size imposes an inefficiency on each forager individually. Leaf-fragment sizes are normally highly tuned for efficient carriage (Burd, 2000; Burd & Howard, 2005; O. T. Lewis, Martin, & Czaczkes, 2008; Röschard & Roces, 2002; Rudolph & Loudon, 1986) and imposing height restrictions would impede this process. Therefore, a small investment in trail construction work is likely to have a very large payoff in foraging efficiency. This effect is also likely to be present in tunnels, explaining why foraging tunnels are both wide and high enough to allow unrestricted flow of leaf-carrying ants (Burd & Howard, 2005; Moreira, Forti, Andrade, et al., 2004). Indeed, we suggest that the system of clearing trail height is likely to be the same as that for tunnels. There is a similarity of physical characteristics between trail overhangs and tunnels (Fig. 1), especially foraging tunnels, which can be both high and wide (Moreira, Forti, Boaretto, et al., 2004). Furthermore, trail height investment does not appear to require visual stimulus, which would

not be available in deep, lightless tunnels. It may be that trail construction is an extension of tunnel digging behaviour laid out over two dimensions instead of three.

Investigations into information asymmetry have long been part of economics (Akerlof, 1970; Spence, 1973; Stiglitz & Weiss, 1981). In humans, an asymmetry of information is often presented as an opportunity for one party to exploit another (Akerlof, 1970; Dawson, Watson, & Boudreau, 2010; Leland, 1979; Mocan, 2006). The struggle in coordinating collective behaviour in human groups lies in aligning competing interests, but, once aligned, the communication and cognitive tools available to coordinate individuals are sophisticated and plentiful. By contrast, the eusocial nature of ants means that their interests are already aligned, but communication and cognition systems are comparatively limited. In leaf-cutting ants, we see a self-organised system using local information to resolve a mismatch between a group with information, but no capacity to solve a problem (laden ants) and another group with capacity but no information (unladen ants). The resolution of this imbalance helps to create a smoothly functioning system despite difficult terrain.

306

307 **References**

308

309 Aboody, D., & Lev, B. (2000). Information asymmetry, R&D, and insider gains. *The Journal of Finance*,
310 55(6), 2747-2766.

311 Akerlof, G.A. (1970). The market for "lemons": Quality uncertainty and the market mechanism. *The*
312 *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 84(3), 488-500.

313 Balakrishnan, S., & Koza, M.P. (1993). Information asymmetry, adverse selection and joint-ventures:
314 Theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 20(1), 99-117.

315 Biesmeijer, J.C., & Seeley, T.D. (2005). The use of waggle dance information by honey bees
316 throughout their foraging careers. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 59(1).

317 Bochynek, T., Meyer, B., & Burd, M. (2017). Energetics of trail clearing in the leaf-cutter ant *Atta*.
318 *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 71(1), 1-10. doi: 10.1007/s00265-016-2237-5

319 Bollazzi, M., & Roces, F. (2011). Information needs at the beginning of foraging: Grass-cutting ants
320 trade off load size for a faster return to the nest. *PLoS One*, 6(3), e17667.

321 Bruce, A.I., & Burd, M. (2012). Allometric scaling of foraging rate with trail dimensions in leaf-cutting
322 ants. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, 279(1737), 2442-2447.

323 Burd, M. (2000). Body size effects on locomotion and load carriage in the highly polymorphic leaf-
324 cutting ant *Atta colombica* and *Atta cephalotes*. *Behavioral Ecology*, 11(2), 125-131.

325 Burd, M., & Howard, J.J. (2005). Global optimization from suboptimal parts: foraging sensu lato by
326 leaf-cutting ants. *Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology*, 59(2), 234-242.

327 Camazine, S., Deneubourg, J.L., Franks, N. R., Sneyd, J., Theraulaz, G., & Bonabeau, E. (2001). *Self-*
328 *organization in biological systems*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

329 Czaczkes, T.J., Franz, S., Witte, V., & Heinze, J. (2015). Perception of collective path use affects path
330 selection in ants. *Animal Behaviour*, 99, 15-24.

331 Czaczkas, T.J., Grüter, C., & Ratnieks, F.L.W. (2013). Negative feedback in ants: crowding results in
332 less trail pheromone deposition. *Journal of Royal Society, Interface*, 10(81).

333 Dawson, G.S., Watson, R.T., & Boudreau, M. (2010). Information asymmetry in information systems
334 consulting: towards a theory of relationship constraints. *Journal of Management Information*
335 *Systems*, 27(3), 143-178.

336 Deneubourg, J.L., Lioni, A., & Detrain, C. (2002). Dynamics of aggregation and emergence of
337 cooperation. *The Biological Bulletin*, 202(3), 262-267.

338 Depickère, S., Fresneau, D., & Deneubourg, J.L. (2004). A basis for spatial and social patterns in ant
339 species: dynamics and mechanisms of aggregation. *Journal of Insect Behavior*, 17(1), 81-97.

340 Depickère, S., Fresneau, D., & Deneubourg, J.L. (2008). Effect of social and environmental factors on
341 ant aggregation: A general response? *Journal of insect Physiology*, 54(9), 1349-1355.

342 Doherty, A.M. (1999). Explaining international retailers' market entry mode strategy: internalization
343 theory, agency theory and the importance of information asymmetry. *The International*
344 *Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 9(4), 379-402.

345 Dussutour, A., Deneubourg, J.L., Beshers, S.N., & Fourcassié, V. (2009). Individual and collective
346 problem-solving in a foraging context in the leaf-cutting ant *Atta colombica*. *Animal*
347 *Cognition*, 12(1), 21-30.

348 Farji-Brener, A.G., Amador-Vargas, S., Chinchilla, F., Escobar, S., Cabrera, S., Herrera, M., & Sandoval,
349 C. (2010). Information transfer in head-on encounters between leaf-cutting ant workers:
350 food, trail condition or orientation cues? *Animal Behaviour*, 79(2), 343-349.

351 Farji-Brener, A.G., Chinchilla, F., Umaña, M.N., Ocasio-Torres, M., Chautá-Mellizo, A., Acosta-Rojas,
352 D., & Amador-Vargas, S. (2015). Branching angles reflect a tradeoff between reducing trail
353 maintenance costs or travel distances in leaf-cutting ants. *Ecology*, 96(2), 510.

354 FitzGibbon, C.D., & Fanshawe, J.H. (1988). Stotting in Thomson's gazelles: an honest signal of
355 condition. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 23(2), 69-74.

356 Franks, N. R., Mallon, E. B., Bray, H.E., Hamilton, M.J., & Mischler, T.C. (2003). Strategies for choosing
 357 between alternatives with different attributes: exemplified by house-hunting ants. *Animal*
 358 *Behaviour*, 65(1), 215-223.

359 Gordon, D. M., Paul, R.E., & Thorpe, K. (1993). What is the function of encounter patterns in ant
 360 colonies? *Animal Behaviour*, 45(6), 1083-1100.

361 Healy, P.M., & G.P., Krishna. (2001). Information asymmetry, corporate disclosure, and the capital
 362 markets: A review of the empirical disclosure literature. *Journal of Accounting and*
 363 *Economics*, 31(1), 405-440.

364 Hobbs, J.E. (2004). Information asymmetry and the role of traceability systems. *Agribusiness*, 20(4),
 365 397-415.

366 Hölldobler, B., & Wilson, E.O. (1990). *The Ants*: Belknap Press.

367 Howard, J.J. (2001). Costs of trail construction and maintenance in the leaf-cutting ant *Atta*
 368 *columbica*. *Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology*, 49(5), 348-356.

369 Huitema, B.E. (2001). *The analysis of covariance and alternatives: statistical methods for*
 370 *experiments, quasi-experiments, and single-case studies*. NJ: Wiley.

371 Jaffe, K., & Howse, P.E. (1979). The mass recruitment system of the leaf cutting ant, *Atta cephalotes*.
 372 *Animal Behaviour*, 27(2), 930-939.

373 Jeanson, R., Deneubourg, J.L., Grimal, A., & Theraulaz, G. (2004). Modulation of individual behavior
 374 and collective decision-making during aggregation site selection by the ant *Messor barbarus*.
 375 *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 55(4), 388-394.

376 Leland, H.E. (1979). Quacks, lemons and licensing: a theory of minimum quality standards. *The*
 377 *Journal of Political Economy*, 87(6), 1328-1346.

378 Lewis, O.T., Martin, M., & Czaczkes, T.J. (2008). Effects of trail gradient on leaf tissue transport and
 379 load size selection in leaf-cutter ants. *Behavioral Ecology*, 19(4), 805-809.

380 Lewis, T., Pollard, G.V., & Dibley, G.C. (1974). Rythmic foraging in the leaf-cutting ant *Atta cephalotes*
 381 (L.)(Formicidae: Attini). *The Journal of Animal Ecology*, 43(1), 129-141.

382 Mocan, N. (2006). Can consumers detect lemons? An empirical analysis of information asymmetry in
 383 the market for child care. *Journal of Population Economics*, 20(4), 743-780.

384 Moreira, A.A., Forti, L.C., Andrade, A.P.P., Boaretto, M.A.C., & Lopes, J. (2004). Nest architecture of
 385 *Atta laevigata* (F. Smith, 1858) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Studies on Neotropical Fauna*
 386 *and Environment*, 39(2), 109-116.

387 Moreira, A.A., Forti, L.C., Boaretto, M.A.C., Andrade, A.P.P., Lopes, J.F.S., & Ramos, V.M. (2004).
 388 External and internal structure of *Atta bisphaerica* Forel (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) nests.
 389 *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 128(3), 204-211.

390 Powell, S., & Clark, E. (2004). Combat between large derived societies: A subterranean army ant
 391 established as a predator of mature leaf-cutting ant colonies. *Insectes Sociaux*, 51(4), 342-
 392 351.

393 R Development Core Team. (2012). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna,
 394 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

395 Robson, J.F.A., & Traniello, S.K. (1995). Trail and territorial communication in social insects. In R. T.
 396 Cardé & W. J. Bell (Eds.), *The Chemical Ecology of Insects 2* (Vol. 2). New York: Chapman &
 397 Hall.

398 Röschard, J., & Roces, F. (2002). The effect of load length, width and mass on transport rate in the
 399 grass-cutting ant *Atta vollenweideri*. *Oecologia*, 131(2), 319-324.

400 Rudolph, S.G., & Loudon, C. (1986). Load size selection by foraging leaf-cutter ants (*Atta cephalotes*).
 401 *Ecological Entomology*, 11(4), 401-410.

402 Scott-Phillips, T.C. (2008). On the correct application of animal signalling theory to human
 403 communication. *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on the Evolution of*
 404 *Language*, 275-282.

405 Shepherd, J.D. (1982). Trunk trails and the searching strategy of a leaf-cutter ant, *Atta colombica*.
 406 *Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology*, 11(2), 77-84.

407 Spence, M. (1973). Job market signaling. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 87(3), 355-374.

408 Stiglitz, J.E., & Weiss, A. (1981). Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information. *The*
409 *American Economic Review*, 71(3), 393-410.

410 Wagner, W.E. (1992). Deceptive or honest signalling of fighting ability? A test of alternative
411 hypotheses for the function of changes in call dominant frequency by male cricket frogs.
412 *Animal Behaviour*, 44(3), 449-462.

413 Wilson, E.O. (1962). Chemical communication among workers of the fire ant *Solenopsis saevissima*
414 (Fr. Smith) 1. The organization of mass-foraging. *Animal Behaviour*, 10(1), 134-147.

415

416

417

Appendix

Analysing colonies separately, the main colony (n=23) had a rate of clearing attacks, when workers lunged at or contacted the wire or mock barrier with their mandibles and attempted to cut it, that was linearly related to traffic flow ($F_{1,85} = 7.06$, $P = 0.009$) and trail width ($F_{1,85} = 61.43$, $P < 0.001$). Statistically controlling for these effects, we found that the attack rate of experimental barriers was significantly different among treatments (ANCOVA, $F_{3,85} = 7.68$, $P < 0.001$). There was a significantly higher rate of clearing attacks in the Obstruction treatment than in any other treatment (Tukey test, $P < 0.05$), and also a significant difference between Laden Ant Removal and No Obstruction and Visual Cue (Fig. A1). The overall ANCOVA model had an adjusted R^2 of 0.53. While there were insufficient replicates to perform a similar statistical analysis for the remaining two colonies (n=3), the visual appearance of the trends appeared much the same (Fig. A2). This gave us sufficient confidence to combine the two data sets and perform the final data analysis.

434

435 Figure 1. (a) *Atta colombica* transport leaf fragments back to the nest along a fallen branch. A
436 long leaf crossing the trail has been cut so as to allow the smooth transportation of leaf-
437 fragments by laden ants. (b) *Atta colombica* transport leaf fragments along a trail that has
438 been levelled (x) from the slope of the hill (y) and heightened (z). White arrows indicate
439 direction of bi-directional trail flow.

440

441 Figure 2. (a) The cross wire is high enough to allow laden ants pass underneath without
442 hindrance. (b) The cross wire is low enough that laden ants are hindered by its presence, but
443 unladen ants are not. (c) Light plastic strips hang down to the same height as Obstruction, but
444 laden ants are able to pass through without hindrance. (d) Laden ants are removed from the
445 trail.

446

447 Figure 3. Adjusted mean and standard error of clearing attacks over half an hour for all
448 colonies and all treatments with a post-hoc Tukey-Kramer test (n=26).

449

450 Figure (A1). Adjusted mean and standard error of clearing attacks over half an hour for the
451 main colony only with all treatments and a post-hoc Tukey-Kramer test (n=23).

452

453 Figure (A2). Adjusted mean and standard error of clearing attacks over half an hour for the
454 two additional colonies with all treatments (n=3).

455

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Monash University Dean's Scholarship to AIB. Thanks go to the Smithsonian Institute for providing access to Barro Colorado Island. TJC was funded by an Alexander von Humboldt postdoctoral fellowship and a DFG Emmy Noether group leader grant (grant number CZ 237/1-1).

Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

(a) No Obstruction

(b) Obstruction

(c) Visual Cue

(d) Laden Ant Removal

Figure

Figure

Figure

Tall trails: ants resolve an asymmetry of information and capacity in collective maintenance of infrastructure

Andrew I. Bruce ^{a,*}, Tomer J. Czaczkes ^b, Martin Burd ^a

^a *School of Biological Sciences, Monash University, Melbourne, ~~VIC~~^{VIC}~~Victoria-3800~~, Australia*

^b *Biologie I, Universität Regensburg, ~~Universitätsstraße 31, D-93053~~ Regensburg, Germany*

Article history:

Received 12 September 2016

Initial acceptance 4 January 2017

Final acceptance 2 March 2017

Available online xxx

MS. number: 16-00800R

* Correspondence: A. I. Bruce, Michaelispassage 7, Hamburg, Hamburg, 20459, Germany.

E-mail address: andrew.iain.bruce@gmail.com (A. I. Bruce).

Information asymmetry is common in many aspects of natural and economic systems. Collective self-organized behaviour in social insects may involve asymmetries in which an individual may possess information but only another individual is able to act on it. We examined this phenomenon on foraging trails of ~~leaf-leaf~~-cutting ants (*Atta colombica*) to determine ~~if-whether~~ workers can resolve such an asymmetry. Cleared trails facilitate the transfer of resources and information but require constant maintenance to remove obstacles that arise in a dynamic environment. Overhead obstructions, which occur frequently along trails, present a specific asymmetry for collective behaviours. Returning foragers carrying leaf fragments above their heads may be hindered by such obstructions but must rely on unladen workers to remove them. Can leaf-cutting ants resolve this asymmetry? Do they do so in an indiscriminate or discriminate fashion? We created experimental overhead obstructions that hindered laden but not unladen ants. Clearing efforts by unladen workers were sensitive to the experience of their laden nest-mates; they intensified attacks on a low barrier that impeded traffic but not on an equivalent barrier too high to strike leaf fragments. By contrast, a low barrier in the absence of laden ants or an ineffective visual ~~“barrier”~~ did not elicit increased clearance attempts. Our results demonstrate that leaf-cutting ants can overcome an information asymmetry challenge, in which one group possesses the information ~~which-that~~ another must act upon. This allows the ants to adaptively modulate their ~~trail-trail~~-clearing efforts.

Keywords:

behavioural regulation

construction

foraging trails

leaf-cutter ants

| self-~~organisation~~organization

Information asymmetry, an imbalance of information between two parties, is so common in human and natural systems that it is rarely thought about as a phenomenon in itself. Scouting, research, and, most especially, communication, are all means to redress information asymmetry. In a competitive system, such as the human economy, information asymmetry often produces an advantage that one party can exploit to the disadvantage of another (Aboody & Lev, 2000). However, asymmetry of information may disadvantage both parties (Balakrishnan & Koza, 1993; Doherty, 1999), which leads to attempts to resolve the asymmetry through the creation of institutions and traditions, such as regulation, contracts, third-party facilitators, or the use of reputation (Balakrishnan & Koza, 1993; Healy & G.P. Palepu, 2001; Hobbs, 2004; Scott-Phillips, 2008). Similar dynamics of information asymmetry within a competitive system can also lead animals to resolve information asymmetry to the benefit of both parties, such as through stotting in Thomson's gazelles, *Eudorcas thomsonii* (FitzGibbon & Fanshawe, 1988) or calling in frogs (Wagner, 1992). A more unusual dynamic in biology is information asymmetry within a cooperative framework. This can occur in social insects when an individual possesses information that the colony requires to make a correct decision, such as when an ant colony is looking for a new home (Franks, Mallon, Bray, Hamilton, & Mischler, 2003), or bees are foraging for food (Biesmeijer & Seeley, 2005). In leaf-cutting ants, which construct a transport infrastructure of cleared trails, information asymmetry can arise between workers that perceive the need for creation and maintenance of the trails and those capable of effecting the work. Here we examine this asymmetry and its resolution.

Trails play a primary role in an ant colony's activity and communication. The cleared foraging trail networks of leaf-cutting ants (*Acromyrmex* spp. and *Atta* spp.: Attini, Formicidae) are particularly sophisticated and multi-functional: they facilitate the transfer of leaf resources (Bruce & Burd, 2012; Burd & Howard, 2005; Hölldobler & Wilson, 1990;

Formatted: Font: Italic

Moreira, Forti, Andrade, Boaretto, & Lopes, 2004), recruits and defenders (Jaffe & Howse, 1979; Powell & Clark, 2004); and information (Bollazzi & Roces, 2011; Farji-Brener et al., 2010) through a colony's territory to its nest. Individual trails can reach 300 ~~meters~~ in length, and trail systems several thousand ~~meters~~metres, requiring a substantial investment of worker time to clear debris (Howard, 2001). Smooth transport on trails is important because even seemingly minor obstructions may cause congestion that could impose disproportionately large costs on the colony.

Leaf-cutting ant colonies do not invest indiscriminately in trail clearance (Shepherd, 1982). Too much investment in the maintenance workforce could potentially make trail clearance energetically unprofitable (Bochynek, Meyer, & Burd, 2017). For example, colonies of *Atta cephalotes* and *Atta colombica* modulate the geometry of their trail networks to balance the travel time experienced by returning laden foragers against the costs of trail maintenance (Farji-Brener et al., 2015). A geometry that allows reduced travel time prevails in exposed habitats where desiccation is a danger, while a geometry that minimizes the effort needed for trail clearance is a higher priority under a forest canopy where desiccation is unlikely but leaf litter is copious.

The traffic of leaf-cutting ant trails comprises laden ants returning to the nest with a leaf-fragment, and unladen ants that may be either inbound or outbound. Information on whether a trail is sufficiently cleared to allow an adequate flow will reside largely with the laden ants themselves, as their own progress is the measure of trail condition. However, since laden ants are occupied with their burden, they do not have the capacity to clear obstructions. Instead, unladen ants clear foraging trails (Howard, 2001). How, then, do leaf-cutting ants make investment decisions regarding their infrastructure? The challenge is that one component of the traffic stream must adequately prepare the trail for the benefit of another group. If laden and unladen ants are impeded by the same obstacles, it may be enough for

unladen ants to clear any obstacle that they encounter. In some cases, however, particular trail conditions that affect laden ants will have no consequence for the locomotion of their unladen nestmates. For those situations, a more sophisticated ~~organisation~~-organization of investment in trail maintenance would be necessary to facilitate optimal trail flow.

The vertical clearance of a trail is one such special case that will affect laden and unladen ~~leaf-leaf~~-cutting ants differently. Leaf-cutting ants transport leaf-fragments above their heads (Fig. 1); therefore overhead obstacles such as grass, leaves, and small sticks can delay the movement of laden workers (F. Lewis, Pollard, & Dibley, 1974). Thus, the removal of trail debris to a sufficient height is a particularly important feature of trail infrastructure investment. But overhead obstacles that do not obstruct the trail surface may allow an unladen worker to pass without impediment, even as a laden nest-mate is hindered. In most cases of trail construction, as in other self-organized activities (Camazine et al., 2001), ants respond to cues concerning the need for a given task that they directly experience. Laden ants rarely put down their loads to attack obstructions except in unusual circumstances when traffic is nearly completely blocked (A. I. B. ~~ruce~~, pers.-onal obs.-ervation). How, then, is information about the need for clearing aligned with the capacity to clear in this case? The response of unladen ants to overhead obstructions can reveal whether they are simply clearing obstacles that block their own progress, with coincidental benefit to laden foragers, or whether they manage to resolve the asymmetry between information and capacity.

At present, the mechanisms that regulate trail clearance and the adaptive principles they serve are not fully understood, as there have been few investigations into the regulation of trail height. Dussutour, Deneubourg, Beshers, and Fourcassié (2009) examined how a laboratory colony of ~~Atta-A.~~ *colombica* responded to an obstruction 1 cm above the trail surface that delayed the progress of leaf-laden ants. They found that workers ~~would~~-cut smaller leaf fragments ~~that more to~~ readily fit under the obstruction and ~~they~~ compensated for

Comment [A1]: Fig. 1

Formatted: Font: Not Italic

the smaller loads by increasing the number of ants engaged in retrieving leaf fragments. This work did not explore an alternative strategy that is normally available to leaf-cutting ants: in a natural situation, ants can remove obstructions rather than reduce the size of leaf fragments (Fig. 1).

Here we test several hypothetical mechanisms that would allow unladen ants to clear a trail or tunnel to a height appropriate for laden ants. First, it may be that anything on or above the trail that is in the path of an unladen ant will serve as stimulus for attack and removal, whether or not it is delaying laden ants. Alternatively, ants may be responding to visual cues that the trail height is too low and use their individual judgement to assess the situation and clear the trail to an appropriate height. Finally, it is also possible that delays ~~to~~ in the progress of their laden colony-mates stimulate the clearing ants to seek out, attack, and remove obstructions. We erected artificial overhead obstructions on natural foraging trails of leaf-cutting ants to test these possibilities.

METHODS

Comment [A2]: T.S. Heading 1

The experiment was performed on Barro Colorado Island (BCI) and mainland Panama ~~between during the 10th of March and the 3rd of April, 2014, between 0 the hours of 8:45 am and 6 18:30 pm hours~~ with colonies of ~~Atta A.~~ *colombica*. ~~Due to~~ Because of local field conditions at the time, especially a decline in the density of *A. colombica* colonies on BCI, collecting a broad sample population was not possible. One large colony with multiple trails was the subject of most replicates (~~n~~ N = 23). Additionally, a colony from the mainland was sampled once and a second colony was sampled twice. We initially analysed the colonies separately (see ~~appendix~~ Appendix), but upon finding that the pattern of responses was concordant among colonies, we then pooled the data from all the colonies for the main

analysis presented here. Individual trails were sampled multiple times but never more than once in a day and never from exactly the same location. We collected data in a manner designed to maximize the independence of trials within the prevailing constraints. First~~ly~~, as the experiment ~~investigates~~investigated ~~a~~-transient responses that ~~is~~were highly ~~localised~~localized to a time and place on a particular trail, replicates at different times and trails ~~are~~were unlikely to influence each other. Indeed, for trail maintenance to be adaptive, it would need to be responsive at small spatial and temporal scales, increasing the likelihood of independence. Additionally, the colony from which most data ~~was~~were gathered was very large with very long trails and it is therefore likely that different individual ants experienced the experiment from trial to trial. Finally, *Atta* queens are multiply mated and so there is likely to be genetic variability within the group of sampled workers, reducing non-independence due to colony-level effects. Nonethe less, we recognize that replication on additional colonies would help to further explore the issues raised in this study.

The intention of the experiment was to impede laden ants while allowing unladen ants free passage. We created an overhead hindrance of a 1 mm diameter metal wire stretched across the trail. In different treatments the wire either did or did not hinder laden ants depending on the height at which it was placed, but it never hindered unladen ants, even when they were quite large (~~Fig. 2~~). Poles of 1 mm diameter wire were placed 3 cm apart across the width of the trail to provide ants access to the overhead wire. Ants attacked both the overhead wire and the poles, but were unable to cut or clear it. Thus, it provided a constant stimulus for the duration of the trial. Once the experimental wire was in place for each treatment, a ~~five-minute~~5 min delay allowed any disturbance of the ant traffic to pass before data recording. Video recordings were taken for half an hour for each replicate and the number of clearing attacks by ants on the apparatus were counted. We defined clearing attacks as occurring when ants opened their mandibles and made contact with any piece of

Comment [A3]: [Fig. 2](#)

wire or lunged at it. Only one attack was counted per ant, and the overall rate of attack in half an hour provided the response variable of the experiment. We also measured the total traffic flow (number of ants passing a point on the trail per unit time) and trail width, which were entered as covariates in the statistical analyses (see below). Four treatment levels were applied to each trail:- as follows.

1.(1) The 'No-no-Obstruction-obstruction' treatment functioned as the control (Fig. 2a). The wire was high enough (2 cm) that laden ants could pass underneath without hindrance.

2.(2) The Obstruction-'obstruction' treatment was intended to hinder the passage of laden ants without stopping them entirely, while being sufficiently high that non-laden ants would not directly encounter it (Fig. 2b). The wire was 0.9—1.2 mm above the ground, conforming with the contours of the trail floor as much as possible. The Obstruction obstruction treatment achieved the desired effect: laden ants in the Obstruction-obstruction treatment transited the apparatus on average 30.72% slower (see resultsResults) than in the No-no-Obstruction-obstruction treatment, while unladen ants were not significantly affected. Despite the delay to them, laden ants did not participate in trail clearance, nor did caches of dropped leaf fragments form at the site.

3.(3) The Visual-'visual Cue-cue' treatment was intended to provide a strong visual stimulus to the ants while not significantly hindering the progress of laden ants. This was achieved by hanging thin white plastic strips (Fig. 2c) from the horizontal wire, so that they fell to the same height as the Laden-laden Ant-ant Obstructionobstruction. However, in contrast to the solid wire of the laden ant obstruction, laden ants could easily brush through the hanging strips. There was no significant effect on speed compared with the no-no-obstruction treatment (see Results).

4.(4) The 'laden ant removal treatment' In-order-tWas used t to test whether the

presence of laden ants was necessary for the clearance of trail height or ~~if-whether~~ an indirect effect such as experience could be responsible. ~~the 'laden ant removal'~~ For this treatment², we attempted to remove all the returning laden ants from the trail. The wire obstruction was set at 0.9–1.2 mm above the trail, (i.e., at the same height as in the laden ant obstruction treatment¹; Fig. 2d). Two wire mesh barriers upstream of the experimental apparatus temporarily obstructed both laden and unladen ants, but had a much greater effect on laden ants, allowing any laden escapees to be removed by hand. It was common for laden ants to drop leaf fragments while being obstructed and often these ants would continue inbound along the trail, leaving their leaf fragment behind. While the vast majority of the laden ants were prevented from traversing the experiment, a small number did slip though. These were mostly carrying very small pieces of plant material.

The treatments were applied in random order, except for the laden ant removal treatment, which was always applied last because this treatment involved significant trail disruption and, had it been run before the other replicates, could have affected traffic movement for subsequent replicates. Furthermore, this ordering would reveal an 'experience effect'. In this scenario, leaf-laden ants might pass through an obstruction, drop their load either upon arrival at the fungus garden or before, and then return along the cleared trail and encounter the obstruction that they had previously passed through. The previous encounter with the obstruction as a laden ant might then trigger the ant, now unladen, to clear the obstacle. If there were an 'experience effect' that elevated attack rate when laden ants passed through the experiment and returned, we would expect to see its strongest presence in the treatment that was always applied last, (i.e. the laden ant removal treatment). The other treatments had only mild and immediate effects on the traffic flow.

In addition to the number of attacks on the wire barrier, we also recorded the speed at which ants transited from one side of the apparatus to the other across a fixed distance,

usually 10 cm, but on one occasion it was 6 cm, another 7 cm, and another 9 cm. For each treatment, we recorded transit times ~~was recorded~~ for two randomly selected ants from each of the following classes of ant: outbound and unladen, inbound and unladen, and inbound and laden. For the laden ant removal treatment, we did not record transit times for the 'inbound and Laden-laden' class was not recorded for the laden ant removed removal treatment as there were few to no laden ants on the trail. Flow was obtained by randomly choosing one random minute per treatment and counting all ants that passed an imaginary ~~center~~centre line.

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Statistical Analysis

Comment [A4]: T.S. Heading 2

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic

We used an An-ANOVA followed by a post-hoc Tukey's test ~~was used~~ to compare the mean speed during transit of laden and unladen ants among the four treatment levels (with the exception that there were no laden ants in the laden ant removal treatment). The purpose of this test was to verify the efficacy of the experimental obstruction.

We used an ANCOVA to compare the effect of the four treatment levels on the rate of clearing attacks on the obstruction, with traffic flow and trail width as covariates. Homogeneity of slopes among all four treatments was checked and found to be consistent with the assumptions of ANCOVA. Flow was a relevant covariate because more ants passing the experimental apparatus may produce a larger number of clearance attacks. Trail ~~Width~~width is a surrogate that captures characteristics of usage not captured by flow, such as whether the trail was a main or peripheral trail.

We calculated A-a Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison test for ANCOVA ~~was calculated~~ for post-hoc analysis of treatment differences in the clearance attack rate (Huitema, 2001). Statistical analyses were performed with R (R Development Core Team,

2012) and SYSTAT 10 (Systat Inc., Chicago, IL, U.S.A.).

Formatted: Highlight

Ethical Note

Comment [A5]: T.S. Heading 2

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic

This work was performed with permission of the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute and the Ministry of the Environment of the Panamanian government. The field manipulations were analogous to leaf-falls or branch-falls that leaf-cutting ant colonies regularly experience, and individual ants were not harmed. Trail foraging rapidly returned to normal rapidly post-following the experiment.

RESULTS

Comment [A6]: T.S. Heading 1

The mean ($\pm$ SD) speed of laden ants during transit of the experimental apparatus was significantly slower for the ~~Obstruction-obstruction~~ treatment (2.03 ± 0.97 cm/s, ~~SD = 0.97~~, $nN = 50$) than for the ~~No-no-Obstruction-obstruction~~ (2.93 ± 1.05 cm/s, ~~SD = 1.05~~, $nN = 52$) or ~~Visual-visual Cue-cue treatments~~ (2.87 ± 0.89 cm/s, ~~SD = 0.89~~, $nN = 52$) treatments, while the transit speeds in the latter two treatments did not differ significantly from each other (ANOVA, $F_{2,151} = 6.734$, $P < 0.001$, with Tukey's post-hoc tests to confirm pair-wise differences at an overall significance level of 0.05). None of the experimental placements interfered with the locomotion of inbound and outbound unladen ants, which maintained statistically indistinguishable mean speeds among treatments (ANOVA, $F_{3,406} = 1.404$, $P = 0.241$): ~~Obstruction-obstruction~~ (3.18 ± 1.37 cm/s, ~~SD = 1.37~~, $nN = 100$), ~~No-no Obstruction obstruction~~ (3.39 ± 1.38 cm/s, ~~SD = 1.38~~, $nN = 112$), ~~Visual-visual Cue-cue~~ (3.21 ± 1.20 cm/s, ~~SD = 1.20~~, $nN = 102$), laden ant removal (3.52 ± 1.29 cm/s, ~~SD = 1.29~~, $nN = 96$).

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

The rate of clearing attacks on barriers for all colonies was linearly related to traffic flow ($F_{1,97} = 7.24$, $P = 0.0084$) and trail width ($F_{1,97} = 60.36$, $P < 0.001$). Statistically

controlling for these effects, we found that the attack rate on experimental barriers differed ~~was~~ significantly ~~different among~~between treatments (ANCOVA, $F_{3,97} = 9.53$, $P < 0.001$). There was a significantly higher rate of clearing attacks in the ~~Obstruction-obstruction~~ treatment than in any other treatment (Tukey test, $P < 0.05$), but no significant differences ~~s~~ ~~among-between~~ the remaining treatments (Fig. 3). The overall ANCOVA model had an adjusted R^2 of 0.51. The significantly higher rate of attack in the ~~Obstruction-obstruction~~ treatment remained true in the analysis of the BCI colony alone, and in addition the attack rate was significantly lower in the laden ant removal treatment than in the ~~No-no-Obstruction~~ ~~obstruction~~ treatment (see ~~online supplement 1~~ Appendix).

Comment [A7]: Fig. 3

Formatted: Not Highlight

DISCUSSION

Comment [A8]: T.S. Heading 1

The results demonstrate that unladen ants attempt to remove an overhead obstruction at a significantly higher rate when their laden colony-mates ~~were-are~~ slowed by ~~the-an~~ obstruction (Fig. 3). Unladen ants were not simply responding at a fixed rate to any potential barrier on or above the trail regardless of whether laden ants were affected, as shown by the significantly higher rate of clearing attacks for the ~~Obstruction-obstruction~~ treatment compared to the ~~No-no-Obstruction-obstruction~~ treatment (Fig. 3). Secondly, ants are not stimulated to clear overhead obstacles purely by the visual cues of an obstruction at a low height. If they were, then the visual cue apparatus would have been attacked at the same rate as the obstruction in the laden ant obstruction treatment, but it was not (Fig. 3). Finally, unladen ants attempted to clear overhead obstructions because of the immediate effect of obstructions on their laden nestmates and likely not because of previously experiencing the apparatus as laden ants or any other indirect effect. This is demonstrated by the significantly lower rate of clearing attacks in the laden ant removal treatment than in the ~~Obstruction~~

obstruction treatment, despite the presence of identical barriers at identical heights (Fig. 3). It would be economically efficient, of course, for unladen workers to refrain from height clearance if there were no laden ants using a trail.

Ant trails function as conduits for communication that facilitate foraging. Information is normally thought to flow downstream or upstream along foraging trails (Farji-Brener et al., 2010; ~~Traniello~~~~Robson~~ & ~~Robson~~~~Traniello~~, 1995; Wilson, 1962), but trail obstructions are local problems that require a localized signal and response for their efficient resolution. We have shown that information about overhead obstructions is acquired locally by unladen ~~Atta~~ A. colombica workers, even though they do not experience it as an obstruction. Their response depends on a functional impairment experienced by their nestmates. A graded response that ~~matched~~matches the degree of impairment would allow a colony to manage efficiently its investment in transport infrastructure, matching investment to colony-level goals rather than to individual experience. This modulation of function is part of how leaf-cutting ant trails reach the level of sophistication that they do.

What could be the stimulus that triggers the clearing of trail height in leaf-cutting ants? When laden ants are delayed by some obstacle, the momentary congestion may also slow the transit speed of unladen ants. The reduction in their own speed could then stimulate some unladen ants to switch from traversing the trail to clearing any immediate obstacles and possibly to search for obstacles further afield. However, we found no significant difference in mean speed during transit for unladen ants amongst any of the treatments. Therefore, this explanation does not appear likely.

Alternatively, unladen ants could be sensitive to encounter rates, which have been shown to be important in the regulation of other ant colony functions in previous work (Czaczkes, Franz, Witte, & Heinze, 2015; Czaczkes, Grüter, & Ratnieks, 2013; Deneubourg, Lioni, & Detrain, 2002; Depickère, Fresneau, & Deneubourg, 2004, 2008; Gordon, Paul, &

Thorpe, 1993; Jeanson, Deneubourg, Grimal, & Theraulaz, 2004). It is possible that unladen workers increase their clearing attacks when they experience a high encounter rate with either laden or unladen nestmates at the traffic jam. Under this scenario, the hindering of laden ants causes their speed to reduce and their density to increase, thus increasing the rate at which unladen workers encounter them at the site of disturbance (Dussutour et al., 2009). Furthermore, if an obstacle reduces the returning traffic flow between itself and the nest, it would increase the contrast between encounter rates that outbound ants experience when they come upon the obstacle. Under this mechanism, investment in the removal of obstructions could be made proportional to the degree of delay imposed on laden foragers.

Finally, there may be some form of direct communication from laden ants to unladen ants indicating their obstruction. For example, the laden ants may be releasing a pheromone when they are obstructed that alerts unladen ants to a problem and triggers an increase in their clearing rate. Direct communication through antennation or other tactile contact may also transfer such information. Further studies will be required to test these hypotheses.

Dussutour et al. (2009) showed that laboratory colonies of leaf-cutting ants would adapt to an overhead obstruction by reducing leaf-fragment size and increasing the number of workers on a foraging trail. This response would allow a colony to maintain its rate of leaf tissue harvesting despite the overhead trail obstruction. However, one might expect that investment in cleared trail height would be more profitable than adjusting fragment size to the constraints of the trail; a small number of ants can clear a trail for a very large number of nestmates, whereas reduction in fragment size imposes an inefficiency on each forager individually. Leaf-fragment sizes are normally highly tuned for efficient carriage (Burd, 2000; Burd & Howard, 2005; ~~Ö. T.~~ Lewis, Martin, & Czaczkes, 2008; Röschard & Roces, 2002; Rudolph & Loudon, 1986), and imposing height restrictions would impede this process. Therefore, a small investment in trail construction work is likely to have a very large

payoff in foraging efficiency. This effect is also likely to be present in tunnels, explaining why foraging tunnels are both wide and high enough to allow unrestricted flow of leaf-carrying ants (Burd & Howard, 2005; Moreira, Forti, Andrade, et al., 2004). Indeed, we suggest that the system of clearing trail height is likely to be the same as that for tunnels. There is a similarity of physical characteristics between trail overhangs and tunnels (Fig. 1), especially foraging tunnels, which can be both high and wide (Moreira, Forti, Boaretto, et al., 2004). Furthermore, trail height investment does not appear to require visual stimulus, which would not be available in deep, lightless tunnels. It may be that trail construction is an extension of ~~tunnel~~-~~tunnel~~-digging behaviour laid out over two dimensions instead of three.

Investigations into information asymmetry have long been part of economics (Akerlof, 1970; Spence, 1973; Stiglitz & Weiss, 1981). In humans, an asymmetry of information is often presented as an opportunity for one party to exploit another (Akerlof, 1970; Dawson, Watson, & Boudreau, 2010; Leland, 1979; Mocan, 2006). The struggle in coordinating collective behaviour in human groups lies in aligning competing interests, but, once aligned, the communication and cognitive tools available to coordinate individuals are sophisticated and plentiful. By contrast, the eusocial nature of ants means that their interests are already aligned, but communication and cognition systems are comparatively limited. In leaf-cutting ants, we see a self-~~organised~~-~~organized~~ system using local information to resolve a mismatch between a group with information, but no capacity to solve a problem (laden ants), and another group with capacity but no information (unladen ants). The resolution of this imbalance helps to create a smoothly functioning system despite difficult terrain.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Monash University Dean's Scholarship to A.I.B. Thanks

go to the Smithsonian Institute for providing access to Barro Colorado Island. T.J.C. was funded by an Alexander von Humboldt postdoctoral fellowship and a DFG Emmy Noether group leader grant (grant number CZ 237/1-1).

References

- Aboody, D., & Lev, B. (2000). Information asymmetry, R&D, and insider gains. *Journal of Finance*, 55(6), 2747–2766.
- Akerlof, G.A. (1970). The market for "lemons": Quality uncertainty and the market mechanism. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 84(3), 488–500.
- Balakrishnan, S., & Koza, M.P. (1993). Information asymmetry, adverse selection and joint-ventures: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 20(1), 99–117.
- Biesmeijer, J.C., & Seeley, T.D. (2005). The use of waggle dance information by honey bees throughout their foraging careers. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 59(1), [133–142](#). doi:10.1007/s00265-005-0019-6.
- Bochynek, T., Meyer, B., & Burd, M. (2017). Energetics of trail clearing in the leaf-cutter ant *Atta*. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 71(1), 1–10. doi:10.1007/s00265-016-2237-5.
- Bollazzi, M., & Roces, F. (2011). Information needs at the beginning of foraging: Grass-cutting ants trade off load size for a faster return to the nest. *PLoS One*, 6(3), e17667.
- Bruce, A.I., & Burd, M. (2012). Allometric scaling of foraging rate with trail dimensions in leaf-cutting ants. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 279(1737), 2442–2447.
- Burd, M. (2000). Body size effects on locomotion and load carriage in the highly polymorphic leaf-cutting ants *Atta colombica* and *Atta cephalotes*. *Behavioral Ecology*, 11(2), 125–131.
- Burd, M., & Howard, J.J. (2005). Global optimization from suboptimal parts: Foraging sensu lato by leaf-cutting ants. *Behavioural Ecology and Sociobiology*, 59(2), 234–242.
- Camazine, S., Deneubourg, J.L., Franks, N. R., Sneyd, J., Theraulaz, G., & Bonabeau, E. (2001). *Self-*

organization in biological systems. Princeton, [NJ](#): Princeton University Press.

Czaczkes, T.J., Franz, S., Witte, V., & Heinze, J. (2015). Perception of collective path use affects path selection in ants. *Animal Behaviour*, 99, 15–24.

Czaczkes, T.J., Grüter, C., & Ratnieks, F.L.W. (2013). Negative feedback in ants: Crowding results in less trail pheromone deposition. *Journal of Royal Society, Interface*, 10(~~84~~), [20121009](#).
[doi:10.1098/rsif.2012.1009](#).

Dawson, G.S., Watson, R.T., & Boudreau, M. (2010). Information asymmetry in information systems consulting: Towards a theory of relationship constraints. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 27(3), 143–178.

Deneubourg, J.L., Lioni, A., & Detrain, C. (2002). Dynamics of aggregation and emergence of cooperation. ~~The~~ *Biological Bulletin*, 202(3), 262–267.

Depickère, S., Fresneau, D., & Deneubourg, J.L. (2004). A basis for spatial and social patterns in ant species: Dynamics and mechanisms of aggregation. *Journal of Insect Behavior*, 17(1), 81–97.

Depickère, S., Fresneau, D., & Deneubourg, J.L. (2008). Effect of social and environmental factors on ant aggregation: A general response? *Journal of insect Physiology*, 54(9), 1349–1355.

Doherty, A.M. (1999). Explaining international retailers' market entry mode strategy: Internalization theory, agency theory and the importance of information asymmetry. ~~The~~ *International Review of Retail, Distribution and Consumer Research*, 9(4), 379–402.

Dussutour, A., Deneubourg, J.L., Beshers, S.N., & Fourcassié, V. (2009). Individual and collective problem-solving in a foraging context in the leaf-cutting ant *Atta colombica*. *Animal Cognition*, 12(1), 21–30.

Farji-Brener, A.G., Amador-Vargas, S., Chinchilla, F., Escobar, S., Cabrera, S., Herrera, M., ~~& Sandoval,~~
~~et al.~~ (2010). Information transfer in head-on encounters between leaf-cutting ant workers: Food, trail condition or orientation cues? *Animal Behaviour*, 79(~~2~~), 343–349.

Farji-Brener, A.G., Chinchilla, F., Umaña, M.N., Ocasio-Torres, M., Chauta-Mellizo, A., Acosta-Rojas, D., ~~& Amador-Vargas,~~ [Set al.](#) (2015). Branching angles reflect a tradeoff between reducing

trail maintenance costs or travel distances in leaf-cutting ants. *Ecology*, 96(2), 510.

FitzGibbon, C.D., & Fanshawe, J.H. (1988). Stotting in Thomson's gazelles: An honest signal of condition. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 23(2), 69–74.

Franks, N. R., Mallon, E. B., Bray, H.E., Hamilton, M.J., & Mischler, T.C. (2003). Strategies for choosing between alternatives with different attributes: Exemplified by house-hunting ants. *Animal Behaviour*, 65(4), 215–223.

Gordon, D. M., Paul, R.E., & Thorpe, K. (1993). What is the function of encounter patterns in ant colonies? *Animal Behaviour*, 45(6), 1083–1100.

Healy, P.M., & G.P., alepu, Krishna.G. (2001). Information asymmetry, corporate disclosure, and the capital markets: A review of the empirical disclosure literature. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 31(1), 405–440.

Hobbs, J.E. (2004). Information asymmetry and the role of traceability systems. *Agribusiness*, 20(4), 397–415.

Hölldobler, B., & Wilson, E.O. (1990). *The Ants*. Cambridge, MA: Belknap Press.

Howard, J.J. (2001). Costs of trail construction and maintenance in the leaf-cutting ant *Atta columbica*. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 49(5), 348–356.

Huitema, B.E. (2001). *The analysis of covariance and alternatives: Statistical methods for experiments, quasi-experiments, and single-case studies*. Hoboken, NJ: J. Wiley.

Jaffe, K., & Howse, P.E. (1979). The mass recruitment system of the leaf cutting ant, *Atta cephalotes*. *Animal Behaviour*, 27(2), 930–939.

Jeanson, R., Deneubourg, J.L., Grimal, A., & Theraulaz, G. (2004). Modulation of individual behavior and collective decision-making during aggregation site selection by the ant *Messor barbarus*. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 55(4), 388–394.

Leland, H.E. (1979). Quacks, lemons and licensing: A theory of minimum quality standards. *The Journal of Political Economy*, 87(6), 1328–1346.

Lewis, O.T., Martin, M., & Czaczkes, T.J. (2008). Effects of trail gradient on leaf tissue transport and

Formatted: Font: Italic

load size selection in leaf-cutter ants. *Behavioral Ecology*, 19(4), 805–809.

Lewis, T., Pollard, G.V., & Dibley, G.C. (1974). Rythmic foraging in the leaf-cutting ant *Atta cephalotes* (L.)(Formicidae: Attini). ~~The~~ *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 43(1), 129–141.

Mocan, N. (2006). Can consumers detect lemons? An empirical analysis of information asymmetry in the market for child care. *Journal of Population Economics*, 20(4), 743–780.

Moreira, A.A., Forti, L.C., Andrade, A.P.P., Boaretto, M.A.C., & Lopes, J. (2004). Nest architecture of *Atta laevigata* (F. Smith, 1858) (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Studies on Neotropical Fauna and Environment*, 39(2), 109–116.

Moreira, A.A., Forti, L.C., Boaretto, M.A.C., Andrade, A.P.P., Lopes, J.F.S., & Ramos, V.M. (2004). External and internal structure of *Atta bisphaerica* Forel (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) nests. *Journal of Applied Entomology*, 128(3), 204–211.

Powell, S., & Clark, E. (2004). Combat between large derived societies: A subterranean army ant established as a predator of mature leaf-cutting ant colonies. *Insectes Sociaux*, 51(4), 342–351.

R Development Core Team. (2012). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Formatted: Font: Italic

~~Traniello, J.F.A., & Robson, J.F.A., & S.K. Traniello, S.K. (1995). Trail and territorial communication in social insects. In R. T. Cardé & W. J. Bell (Eds.), *The chemical ecology of insects 2* (Vol. 2, pp. xx241–xx286). New York, NY: Chapman & Hall.~~

Röschard, J., & Roces, F. (2002). The effect of load length, width and mass on transport rate in the grass-cutting ant *Atta vollenweideri*. *Oecologia*, 131(2), 319–324.

Rudolph, S.G., & Loudon, C. (1986). Load size selection by foraging leaf-cutter ants (*Atta cephalotes*). *Ecological Entomology*, 11(4), 401–410.

Scott-Phillips, T.C. (2008). On the correct application of animal signalling theory to human communication. *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on the Evolution of Language*, 7, 275–282.

Shepherd, J.D. (1982). Trunk trails and the searching strategy of a leaf-cutter ant, *Atta colombica*.

Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, 11(2), 77–84.

Spence, M. (1973). Job market signaling. ~~The~~ *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 87(3), 355–374.

Stiglitz, J.E., & Weiss, A. (1981). Credit rationing in markets with imperfect information. ~~The~~

American Economic Review, 71(3), 393–410.

Traniello, J.F.A., & Robson, S.K. (1995). Trail and territorial communication in social insects. In R. T.

Cardé & W. J. Bell (Eds.), *The chemical ecology of insects* (Vol. 2, pp. 241–286). New York, NY:

Chapman & Hall.

Wagner, W.E. (1992). Deceptive or honest signalling of fighting ability? A test of alternative

hypotheses for the function of changes in call dominant frequency by male cricket frogs.

Animal Behaviour, 44(~~3~~), 449–462.

Wilson, E.O. (1962). Chemical communication among workers of the fire ant *Solenopsis saevissima*

(Fr. Smith) 1. The organization of mass-foraging. *Animal Behaviour*, 10(~~1~~), 134–147.

Formatted: Not Highlight

Formatted: Not Highlight

Appendix

Analysing colonies separately, the main colony ($N = 23$) had a rate of clearing attacks, when workers lunged at or contacted the wire or mock barrier with their mandibles and attempted to cut it, that was linearly related to traffic flow ($F_{1,85} = 7.06$, $P = 0.009$) and trail width ($F_{1,85} = 61.43$, $P < 0.001$). Statistically controlling for these effects, we found that the attack rate of experimental barriers ~~differed was~~ significantly ~~different amongbetween~~ treatments (ANCOVA, $F_{3,85} = 7.68$, $P < 0.001$). There was a significantly higher rate of clearing attacks in the ~~Obstruction-obstruction~~ treatment than in any other treatment (Tukey test, $P < 0.05$), and also a significant difference between the laden ant removal treatment and the ~~no-no~~-obstruction and visual cue treatments (Fig. A1). The overall ANCOVA model had an adjusted R^2 of 0.53. While there were insufficient replicates to perform a similar statistical analysis for the remaining two colonies ($N = 3$), the visual appearance of the trends appeared much the same (Fig. A2). This gave us sufficient confidence to combine the two data sets and perform the final data analysis.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [A9]: Fig. A1

Formatted: Font: Italic

Comment [A10]: Fig. A2

Figure 1. (a) *Atta colombica* transport leaf fragments back to the nest along a fallen branch.

Formatted: Font: Bold

A long leaf crossing the trail has been cut so as to allow the smooth transportation of leaf-fragments by laden ants. (b) *Atta colombica* transport leaf fragments along a trail that has been levelled (x) from the slope of the hill (y) and heightened (z). White arrows indicate direction of bi-directional trail flow.

Figure 2. (a) No-obstruction treatment: ~~The-the~~ cross wire ~~is-was~~ high enough to allow laden ants ~~to~~ pass underneath without hindrance. (b) Obstruction treatment: ~~The-the~~ cross wire ~~is~~ ~~was~~ low enough that laden ants ~~are-were~~ hindered by its presence, but unladen ants ~~are-were~~ not. (c) Visual cue treatment: ~~Light-light~~ plastic strips ~~hang-hung~~ down to the same height as ~~an Obstruction~~obstruction in the obstruction treatment, but laden ants ~~are-were~~ able to pass through without hindrance. (d) Laden ant removal treatment: ~~Laden-laden~~ ants ~~are-were~~ removed from the trail.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Figure 3. Adjusted mean and standard error of clearing attacks over half an hour for all colonies and all treatments with a post-hoc Tukey-Kramer test ($pN = 26$).

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Italic

Figure (A1). Adjusted mean and standard error of clearing attacks over half an hour for the main colony only with all treatments and a post-hoc Tukey-Kramer test ($pN = 23$).

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Italic

Figure (A2). Adjusted mean and standard error of clearing attacks over half an hour for the two additional colonies with all treatments ($pN = 3$).

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Italic

Formatted: Font: Not Bold, Italic

Click here to download Copy-Edit file: t30H9w_YIANB_ERef_00306s3

(a) No ~~Ob~~struction

(b) Obstruction

(c) Visual ~~Cue~~

(d) Laden ~~Ant~~ Removal

Clearing attacks in 1/2 hour

No
obstruction

Obstruction

Visual
cue

Laden ant
removal